Predicting Student Performance and Enhancing Equity with AI: A Literature Review

Dr. Mahmoud Yousef AlFaress[1] 0009-0001-8949-024X, Prof. Dr. Midhunchakkaravarthy Janarthanan[2] 0000-0002-0107-885X, Prof. Dr. Chandra Kumar Dixit [3]

[1] Lincoln University College - Malaysia

pdf.yousef@lincoln.edu.my

[2] Lincoln University College - Malaysia

midhun@lincoln.edu.my;

[3] Institute of Engineering and Technology, DSMNRU, Lucknow UP India

ckdixit@dsmnru.ac.in

Abstract: In this study, we are trying to deeply explore how Artificial Intelligence technology (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) predict how well students will do in school (student performance) and make sure everyone has a fair shot at success (Enhancing Equity). We looked at a ton of research, analyzing different ML techniques used in schools, figuring out what kind of data is most important, and wrestling with the ethical questions. What we found is pretty exciting: AI models can really boost the learning process and help level the playing field, but only if we're smart about how we use them. There are definitely some downsides – things like biased algorithms, the need for clear explanations of how these models work, keeping student data private, and the practical realities of getting these tools into schools. This paper pulls together what lots of researchers have found, and it stresses that we need more real-world studies, consistent ways of doing things, and, above all, a commitment to using AI ethically. We need to monitor these artificial intelligence systems closely, get different experts working together, and invest in the resources and training needed to make sure these models create learning environments that are both fair and data-driven.

Keywords: Education; Students Performance; Enhancing Equity; Artificial Intelligence (AI); Machine Learning (ML)

Introduction

In recent years, the education sector has seen an unprecedented growth rate for the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technology, which is fueled by personalized learning, improved student support, and better educational outcomes. One of the areas that lead the road is the use of artificial intelligence to predict the extent of students' performance (Akgun & Greenhow, 2022; Almalawi et al., 2024). This ability to anticipate the results of the learner has the ability to revolutionize education by allowing teachers to intervene early, customize instruction, and level the playing field for all learners.

Altogether, while the current state of traditional educational approaches might not be sufficient in meeting the diverse and unforeseen learning needs of students, AI can allow for more data-driven and flexible approaches (Borenstein & Howard, 2021). Nevertheless, the introduction of artificial intelligence (AI) tools in education does not come without its challenges regarding biases (Baker et al., 2023), transparency (Poldrack et al., 2020), and equitable access to technology. Recent developments have also boosted interest in the role of AI in education. Adaptive learning systems and intelligent tutoring show a more specific example of how AI can personalize learning (Holmes et al, 2019). However, the use of such technologies still calls for meaningful knowledge of their potentials and limitations.

A review paper comprehensively summarizes the current state of research on the use of AI technology (mainly machine learning algorithms) for predicting student performance and enhancing educational equity among learners. Through a review of the existing literature, identification of relevant research gaps and recommendations, and a discussion of the ethical implications, this study seeks to capture the promise of AI and what remains unfulfilled in how we can achieve equitable, data-driven learning environments.

Literature Review

1: Machine Learning in Education

Undoubtedly, the new technologies of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning have a great impact on a variety of industries, and the education sector is not an exception, AI and ML providing innovative solutions to predict student performance and progress toward educational equity. The ML algorithms also detect complex, non-linear patterns within large set of data that make them able to analyze types of educational data (Ghorbani & Ghousi, 2020). Distributing ML to analyze trends in students' behavior, academic success and socio-economic background can provide educators with insights into how they can intervene to help at-risk students, ultimately leading to a fairer intervention and distribution of resources (Palacios et al., 2021).

This increased interest reflects worldwide efforts are under way that take advantage of the technology to enhance sustainable development (Pedro et al., 2019). However, AI is capable of analyzing and using large amounts of data in ways that provide unprecedented opportunities to better understand and support learners in ways never before possible.

2: Machine Learning Algorithms for Predicting Student Performance

Various machine learning (ML) methods, each one with its own advantages and disadvantages, have been successfully applied to predict the academic performance of students in a number of educational scenarios. The subsequent sections outline the commonly used machine learning methods for predicting student performance in the education field.

Support Vector Machines (SVMs) are frequently used in educational data mining to predict student performance based on a variety of factors, including demographics, academic histories, and engagement indicators. They are particularly good at multi-dimensional data categorization and regression. SVM offers a strong basis for precise prediction even though it is computationally costly (generally) for big datasets (Harvey & Kumar, 2019; Ojajuni et al., 2021).

Deep learning architectures such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks are examples of Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) that can handle unstructured data and replicate complex, non-linear interactions that occur during student learning (Arizmendi et al., 2023; Jiao et al., 2022). CNNs are capable of analyzing hierarchical data, like verbal feedback or interactions in a learning environment, but LSTMs are more suited to identify sequences, such as learning routes and engagement dynamics across time. In contrast to other methods, artificial neural networks (ANNs) are regarded as "black boxes" due to their poor interpretability (Arizmendi et al., 2023).

Decision trees and ensemble techniques like Random Forests and Gradient Boosting yield relatively interpretable methods since they derive decision rules explicitly from educational data (Ghorbani & Ghousi, 2020; Khosravi & Azarnik, in press). Decision trees provide definitely visible and also easily reported, the important features or aspects affecting students' course success which can become a guidance for educators. Decision trees, when used alone, overfit (Ghorbani & Ghousi, 2020); however, many trees are employed in ensemble settings, which enhances prediction accuracy and model resilience (Khosravi & Azarnik, in press).

Finally, Bayesian networks provide a framework to explicitly model probabilistic relationships and uncertainties in educational systems. They enable the incorporation of domain knowledge and may provide useful indications of causal factors impacting student performance, although they necessitate a more advanced understanding of both the Bayesian statistical fundamentals and the educational domain from which to derive the design of the model The best approach is contingent on the type of data, the study objectives, and the need for interpretability against the model accuracy (Almalawi et al., 2024; Bird et al., 2021).

3: Data Utilized in AI Educational Models

The efficacy of AI-powered predictive models is significantly influenced by the caliber and variety of the data employed.

- Behavioral Data: Time spent on learning materials, assignment completion rates, class attendance, and participation in online platforms (such as postings in online forums and the frequency of utilizing learning management systems) (Jiao et al., 2022). Based on their actions within the classroom, they were observable, quantifiable, and tangible educational data points. These statistics can be helpful in predicting students' short-term paths and identifying those who are at risk of failing (Jiao et al., 2022), but they don't always take into consideration extracurricular elements that affect students' performance, like difficulties outside of the classroom or variations in study environments.

- Academic Data: Grades, test scores, assessment results, and records of course completion (Ojajuni et al., 2021). They are objective measures that offer a snapshot of past success, but they are not always comprehensive representations of the struggles students face or the act of learning. For instance, it might not show the range of knowledge or which areas required a lot of work for a student in order to earn a mark.

- Socio-economic Characteristics: Information on parental education, family background, income status, and availability of learning tools (i.e., quiet space to study, internet at home, etc.) (Bird et al., 2021). This data is crucial for better understanding systemic barriers and exposing potential disadvantages that may limit learning opportunities, although it has some drawbacks regarding fairness and possible biases due to privacy (Baker et al., 2023).

- New types of data sources: Wearable sensor data provides real-time insight into the wellbeing and engagement of students (Akgun & Greenhow, 2022). This could offer a fuller picture of the student by including data about activity levels, sleep patterns, even stress markers. In addition, natural language processing (NLP) approaches are used to parse student essays and assignments, extracting academic elements more robustly (Chassignol et al., 2018). NLP can assess writing quality, detect learner misconceptions, or even assess student attitudes from written production (Chassignol et al., 2018). When considering the aspect of data processing, the amount and variety of data, as well as the privacy considerations, especially since both personal well-being and detailed textual data are considered sensitive (Potgieter 2020), pose challenges with every use of these data sources. This singular dependence on particular data types as well as underestimating socio-economic implications can reinforce existing inequalities (Baker et al., 2023). Therefore, to generate meaningful predictive modelling, transparent, equitable and contextualised data come into play. Moreover, the continuous assessment of data relevance and potential ethical challenges is critical in order to guarantee that AI works responsibly and effectively in education (Akgun & Greenhow, 2022; Borenstein & Howard, 2021).

4: Outcomes and Effectiveness of ML Models
Research indicates that machine learning (ML) models in education have variable prediction accuracy, and their efficacy is strongly impacted by a number of important factors:

- Algorithm selection: Algorithm selection is highly contextual and no single algorithm is better (Almalawi et al., 2024). Certain algorithms outshine others based on the types of available data and the nature of the prediction task (classification vs. regression) (Almalawi et al., 2024). While deep neural networks (DNN) like ANNs can learn complex non-linear patterns in large datasets (Bird et al., 2021), simpler interpretable models, like decision trees may be more effective and informative to smaller, easier-to-understand datasets, most notably when interpretability is a chief concern.
- Data quality: Missing data, biased data, or non-representative datasets can significantly influence model outcomes (Baker et al, 2023). For example, biased data produces models that disadvantage specific groups of students (Baker et al., 2023). If key features are omitted or data do not accurately represent the wider student population, this will strongly constrain the ability of a model to generalise and predict accurately in real educational settings (Poldrack et al., 2020).
- Model Interpretability: Although more sophisticated models are of a type that can generate predictions of outcomes that are even more helpful and accurate than simpler ones, but their inherent opacité may dissuade educators from using them and limit constructive uses of that reasoning (Holmes et al., 2019). A teacher needs to often know what a model is predicting and why this is so, in order to trust the output of a model and take an intervention based on it. The so-called "black box" nature of certain kinds of models means they might not be practical in the real world, because it can be difficult to detect faults in the model and pull useful info from it (Bird et al., 2021).
- Model Interpretability: Machine learning models can undoubtedly deliver on various applications such as personalized learning paths and monitoring at-risk students, but many obstacles remain on the way. One of these challenges is balancing the so-called appropriate trade-off between maintaining interpretability of models and achieving high predictive accuracy, as well as the need for domain-specific modelling tuning to ensure model robustness to the nuances of different educational environments (Almalawi et al., 2024). Livelihood challenges require building models that are accurate but also transparent, fair, and that will be readily useful for teachers and students, along with thorough assessment of ethical implications and data quality (Akgun & Greenhow, 2022; Borenstein & Howard, 2021).

5: Ethical Challenges in AI Implementation
AI use in education is accompanied by many ethical considerations—these include fairness, transparency, data privacy, and fair accessibility. Both the need and the urgency of resolving such issues on an ongoing basis will be necessary to ensure responsible, equitable use that benefits all students and curtails the agglomeration of existing inequalities.

- Fairness and Bias: Potentially harmful consequences for certain student groups may emerge through AI models trained on data with biases representative of society, which can reinforce, if not intensify, systemic inequity (Akgun & Greenhow, 2022; Baker et al., 2023). As an example, suppose there is an AI model that is based on historical data — it may unjustly predict people from groups who are underrepresented would do worse than people from groups who are overrepresented during the prediction process, regardless of individual ability if the success of people from certain demographic groups are overrepresented while others underrepresented in the data. One of the key aspects of the AI development and deployment lifecycle is implementing purposeful bias detection and mitigation strategies to prevent the biased encoding of systemic injustices (Baker et al. 2023).

- Transparency and Explainability: The “black box” aspect of certain AI systems (particularly intricate deep learning models) complicates educators, learners, and parents comprehending and trusting model predictions even further (Borenstein & Howard, 2021; Holmes et al., 2019). Potentially, this could be detrimental to the acceptance of AI in education, and it may undermine the confidence in AI judgement from opaque systems that could directly affect students learning paths or resource allocation. Thus, the possibilities AI knowledge reveals to educators might not necessarily be realised successfully if AI predictions are understood too late or not at all. By giving stakeholders insight into the internal operations or model decision-making process, XAI systems are essential for promoting accountability and transparency (Borenstein & Howard, 2021).

- Data privacy and security: Sensitive data regarding students like academic performance, behavioral patterns, and even socioeconomic data may need protections (Potgieter 2020). This necessitates implementing strong data protection measures such as anonymization, encryption, and secure storage, and ensuring compliance with data protection guidelines as the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) to uphold trust and ethics. Unauthorized access to student data can have egregious repercussions, but causes a tendency to avoid reliance on educational institutions. This makes the responsible use of data extremely important in AIEd, which can only be achieved with clear policies and ethical guidelines.

- Access and Equity: Concerns about the inequities of access to technology and digital literacy need to be fully addressed so that AI implementations do not inadvertently exacerbate the gaps (Luckin et al., 2016; Pedro et al., 2019). If AI-powered educational tools and resources are not accessible to students who are in need, the digital divide will increase and existing underprivileged student groups will further worsen (Onyema et al., 2020). To ensure that all students can benefit equally from AI technologies — as well as reach their potential — all students should have the same access to digital literacy training, technology and AI-supported educational opportunities (Luckin et al., 2016).
  To ensure the ethical use of AI in education, we must constantly monitor models and their impacts, have ongoing conversations with all stakeholders (students, teachers, parents, and legislators), and rigorously follow best practices and applicable legal standards (Poldrack et al., 2020). A responsible and moral AI ecosystem in education can only be created if educational institutions actively promote ownership and accountability for the development, implementation and use of AI systems (Akgun & Greenhow, 2022).

6: Research Gaps Identified

There are still a number of significant research gaps in the field of Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIEd), despite recent remarkable advancements and growing promise to revolutionize education. These shortcomings not only make it difficult to fully realize Artificial Intelligence in Education's transformative potential to improve educational practices, but they also pose a serious obstacle to making sure that AIEd is applied equitably and ethically in a range of learning situations.

- **Lack of longitudinal studies:** A significant limitation is the relative lack of strong longitudinal studies that examine how AI interventions can improve long-term student performance and equity. Much current research addresses short-term benefits but does not compare them to effective student growth paths - does the effect of AI tools persist, will we still get performance benefits over the long term, or will the initial positive response dissipate? This understanding is important for helping us to make responsible decisions regarding the incorporation of AI systems in schools, such that we achieve equitable outcomes.

- **Generalizability or Constrained Applicability of Models:** Many of the ML models developed in an educational context are created and validated under specific settings and among specific student populations, which leads to limited generalizability and constrained applicability to different and diverse educational contexts (Almalawi et al., 2024). A model that works well in one subject area, grade level, or type of institution may not work or be reliable in another. This highlights the need for more research addressing the design of robust and flexible AI models that can be deployed and scaled in diverse educational contexts, student populations and pedagogical practices (Almalawi et al., 2024).

- **Interdisciplinary Collaboration:** Limited interdisciplinary collaboration between AI experts, learning scientists, educators, and social scientists continues to impede the development of truly holistic and effective AI-driven educational solutions that address both technical and pedagogical needs (Holmes et al., 2019). Effective AI in education requires a convergence of expertise. Technical AI solutions may lack pedagogical grounding without comprehensive collaboration, and educational practices may miss the potential that AI technologies offer without excellent collaboration. We need to bridge that gap by fostering interdisciplinary research teams to ultimately develop AIEd solutions that are rigorous on the technical side while also being educationally relevant (Holmes et al., 2019).

- **Comprehensive Ethical Frameworks:** Despite the growing popularity of ethical considerations, more work is required to create more organized and useful ethical frameworks and guidelines that offer specific answers for the moral development, application, and implementation of AI in education (Chassignol et al., 2018). Such frameworks should articulate not just high-level ethical aspirations, but actionable bottom-up guidance to address fundamental challenges — from data practices and informed consent to fairness in the development of algorithmic systems, transparency and accountability, and the implications of A.I. for the role of humans in education. It is also essential to establish practical, context-specific ethical frameworks to promote ethical and responsible use of AI in different educational environments (Akgun & Greenhow, 2022; Borenstein & Howard, 2021).

- **Effect on Educators:** The surface of the emerging research that examines how increasing use of AI tools affects the practice and well-being of educators has hardly been scratched (Luckin et al., 2016). It is critical to understand how AI is changing what it means to teach, what skills educators need to be able to leverage and integrate AI, and where we believe this will create opportunities for our roles to be augmented as well as displaced. Further research is needed on how to effectively support the evolution of educators in an AI-mediated educational landscape, and to guarantee that AI tools enable the teaching profession and avoid overwhelming it (Luckin et al., 2016; Pedro et al., 2019)
  Filling these identified research gaps is absolutely necessary in order to fully leverage AI's transformative potential for education while simultaneously making absolutely sure that all AI implementations are demonstrably effective, fundamentally ethical, and genuinely equitable for all learners (Pedro et al., 2019).

7: Ethical and Practical Considerations

7.1: Promoting Educational Equity Through AI
AI has the potential to follow anywhere from identifying pains that disadvantaged certain groups of students to offering tailored interventions (Palacios et al., 2021). Adaptive learning systems customize learning for each students, according to a child's specific traits, and providing individualized levels of academic knowledge and exposure to various modalities, learning styles, etc. (Luckin et al., 2016). However, the AI trained on the data that is readily accessible now by ALL might also run the risk of perpetuating inequity in hegemonic biases (Akgun & Greenhow, 2022; Baker et al., 2023). The AI should be genius, not alien (Holmes et al., 2019; Pedro et al., 2019) Furthermore, though AI may aid in bringing awareness to injustices with larger audiences, it must be developed even more carefully to ensure deeply held biases aren't ingrained and perpetuated in faulty algorithms, and to avoid harmful consequences for students who are already at a disadvantage.

7.2: Challenges in Implementing AI Models
However, there are practical challenges in deploying AI models in education:

- **Resource Limitations:** Costly data storage, computation power, and software are barriers, particularly in underserved regions (Onyema et al., 2020). Schools that need AI benefits the most often have limited resources to do so.
- **Data Fragmentation:** The absence of standardized data formats and interoperable systems restricts the integration and comprehensive analysis of data (Banu et al., 2024). Institutions keep student data in silos, limiting the ability to use information holistically for AI applications.
- **Change Averseness:** Due to misinformation or job displacement anxiety, educators could resist AI. This requires clear communication and change management. AI adoption will face these problems, but to ensure adoption we need to provide training and address educator concerns.
- **Ethical and Legal Concerns:** Data protection laws are adding more complexity and cost (Potgieter 2020). They are not easy, and require planning and resources.
  Addressing Challenges: Addressing these challenges requires investment in educator professional development and capacity building.

7.3: Data Security in AI Systems
Data security is key for credible AI in education:

- Encryption: Encrypting data at rest and in-transit helps to maintain confidentiality (Potgieter 2020). Encryption protects data against unwanted access.
- Access Controls: Limiting access of data to authorized personnel avoids breaches (Borenstein & Howard, 2021). Active access controls limit data availability to those with need.
- Regular Audits: Audits identify and address system vulnerabilities (Almalawi et al., 2024). Regular security checks are crucial for proactive threat detection.
- Incident Response Plans: Plans mitigate data breach impacts (Banu et al., 2024). Being security incident prepared is integral to reducing the damage.
- Compliance with Standards: Compliance to the standards like ISO/IEC 27001 will help educational institutes to enhance security in their education systems. Furthermore, data security enhances trust and supports the moral use of AI & ML in education.

7.4: Data Privacy Concerns
The following actions are crucial for safeguarding student privacy:

- Informed Consent: Clearly describe the advantages of data use (Potgieter 2020). Transparent consent procedures are essential to ethical data handling.
- Data Minimization: The notion of collecting only the data necessary, which will reduce privacy risk (Borenstein & Howard, 2021). Collecting only information necessary for a particular service limits potential damage.
- Anonymization and Pseudonymization: Protecting identity when analyzing data (Almalawi et al., 2024). Anonymization and pseudonymization strategies try to achieve a balance between the utility of the data and their need to be protected as sensitive.
- Compliance with Regulations: Following legal guidelines and ethical data processes engender trust (Banu et al., 2024). Practising regulatory compliance shows privacy commitment.
- Responsible Data Management is Critical: Ethical data stewardship is required (Chassignol et al., 2018). Ethical data governance creates an environment of privacy and accountability.
  By tackling privacy concerns, responsible and ethical use of AI can happen.

7.5: Getting a Fair Deal from AI Algorithms
To ensure fairness of AI algorithms, one must:

- Bias Detection and Mitigation: Routine audits and impact assessments are essential for uncovering and addressing biases (Baker et al., 2023). Unfairness is diagnosed and addressed proactively through bias audits.
- Diversity of data representation: The use of inclusive datasets prevents bias for major groups (Akgun & Greenhow, 2022). Also, diverse training data leads to more equitable AI modeling.
- Transparency: It improves understanding and accountability (Borenstein & Howard, 2021). Techniques for XAI make it easier to trust and detect bias.
- Continuous Monitoring: Periodic monitoring ensures fairness across time (Almalawi et al., 2024). Fairness is an ongoing process, not an end state.
  It is very important for educational outcomes to be fair.

7.6: Informed Consent in Educational AI
Informed consent in educational AI: core components

- Transparency: Information sharing regarding data collection and purposes (Potgieter 2020). Transparency is critical for trust and informed decisions.
- Voluntary Participation: Participation must be based on ample understanding of the study (Borenstein & Howard, 2021). Recognition that voluntary consent means that students do have a choice in whether to participate in the data collection process, and ethical data should ensure consent is respected.
- Information Availability: Data hazards and privacy considerations, for example, should be disclosed (Almalawi et al., 2024). Making educated decisions on information sharing is made possible by transparency.

- Sharing Information at an Age-Appropriate Level: It's critical to communicate information at a level suitable for students (Borenstein & Howard, 2021). Only when provided age-appropriate information can children give their true informed consent.
  Assuring informed consent is crucial because it fosters norms and confidence.

7.7: Countering Bias in Decision-Making

Ways to counter bias when using AI to make decisions:

- Bias Audits: Routine assessments of models are critical (Baker et al., 2023). Bias audits are essential for identifying and measuring biases.
- Inclusive Development: The papers touched on the theme of Inclusive Development (Akgun & Greenhow, 2022); when developing technology, one must take into consideration many different stakeholders and as they contribute to the design process, their needs must be aligned with those of the implementing agents or programmers. Diversity of teams and perspectives help counter bias.
- Fairness Metrics: Introducing metrics for evaluation based tracks fairness (Borenstein & Howard, 2021) Fairness metric are the quantitative metrics to measure algorithmic fairness.
- Person Responsible for Ethical Oversight: Committees give advice and ethical oversight (Almalawi et al., 2024) Use of ethical oversight in AI matters.
  Tackling bias makes way for fairer and more advantageous AI decisions.

7.8: Collaborative Efforts for Implementation

- Cost-Effective Solutions: Collaborative development results in scalable, affordable tools (Onyema et al., 2020). Shared resources and open-source initiatives lower expenses.
- Data Interoperability: Standardization allows for sharing and integration of data (Banu et al., 2024). Training them on data is the key to increase interoperability into AI models.
- Educator Training: Investment in Educators Matters: Professional development is critical Integrating AI into education is challenging; Educator training is important.
- Policy and Systemic Support: Policy reforms and systemic support are needed to facilitate ethical and equitable AI implementation.
  Collaboration enhances educational outcomes and equity through AI.

8: Discussion

AI in Education: Ramifications, Risks, and Opportunities

This paper discusses both the potential and the dangers of Machine Learning (ML) algorithms to predict student performance. This may be more concrete than it sounds, since the application of ML algorithms does offer demonstrably effective tools for predicting student performance and guiding tailored learning pathways, but we must also acknowledge that these advancements are not without limitations. In fact, the success of ML in education very much depends on the nature and quality of the training data, its representativeness, a fundamental requirement for model transparency and interpretability, and vigilant consideration of the ethical implications of ML throughout design and implementation iterations. Neglecting any of these elements risks undermining AI’s potential benefits and even reinforcing pre-existing inequalities in education systems.

Implementing AI models in educational settings presents real-world challenges. Resource constraints, especially the significant costs associated with data infrastructure, computational power and software licensing, pose a strong barrier to entry — particularly for under-resourced schools and districts. Moreover, data fragmentation (i.e., data silos, lack of interoperable systems) remains a problem that restricts the creation of holistic student profiles and hinders the availability of holistic, AI-driven insights. Adding to those technical hurdles is the real but often experienced challenge of mindset inertia and resistance to change among educators and administrators, often based on misinformation to alienation to fears of changing roles in an AI-extending environment. To tackle these complex practical challenges requires a committed strategy of investing in the needed tech infrastructure, getting all the pieces of data sharing to work together through standardized systems, and, most importantly, developing the professional capacity of teachers and administrators by addressing concerns and implementing targeted professional development to ensure a smooth and effective adoption strategy for AI tools in the learning process.

This ethical and practical responsibility centers on the implementation of AI and its impact on students who will be affected by these systems in the future. As well as the technical aspects, it is equally important to look at the ethical implications of algorithmic fairness and preventing bias in order to ensure that every student can expect fair and equal outcomes from the system. While strong or comprehensive legislative standards do help, data privacy and protection methods must still act as necessary safeguards for building trust in AI systems—and upholding ethical responsibility over students' sensitive data.

Moreover, ensuring transparency and explainability in AI models is important, not just for accountability purposes but also to build trust among educators and to be able to apply insights from AI in a practical, meaningful, and informed manner in the classroom.
That said, realizing the full promise of AI to promote equity in education will take a concerted and multidimensional effort. It is critical to obtain the combined expertise of AI experts, education trainers and practitioners, and data scientists, along with policy-makers and social scientists to offer broad-spectrum approaches that address technical feasibility, pedagogical robustness, and ethical considerations. Technological advancement alone will not produce a meaningful and lasting improvement in educational equity through AI technology; at its core, it relies on a sincere commitment to building AI models that are clearly interpretable, inherently equitable and context-sensitive — and also with a strong and consistently applied ethical framework throughout the model lifecycle, from design through development to deployment.

9: Conclusion

In this paper, we have managed to deliver quite a good overview of recent research results on the use of AI technology, especially Machine Learning algorithms, to model performance prediction and explore the potentials of AI technology to promote educational equity. We report on our findings that such game-changing ML techniques as Support Vector Machines (SVMs), Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) and Decision Trees and Ensemble Methods (including Conditional Inference Trees, Random forests, Bagging and Boosting methods) could help speed up and improve prediction accuracy significantly while unlocking rich data hubs for creating insightful indicators of factors influencing student success. The analysis of the different data types indicates that the space for AI-driven educational models is not a narrow one, and suggests that it might be possible to create more nuanced and potentially more dynamic and personalized learning experiences.
While those challenges must be identified and proactively addressed: Overarching these potential benefits are the fundamental concerns of AI system bias, forging data privacy and transparency mechanisms that can help ascertain students' rights and avert mistrust, and the operational hurdles of dealing with tech shortfalls, performing in-depth training and support for teachers. For the transformative potential of AI to come to pass in education in an authentic way that serves all

learners, future research and praxis must place the utmost emphasis on designs that are indisputably interpretable and transparent, fundamentally fair and equitable in all of their outcomes, and upholding the highest ethical standards of data handling and algorithmic fairness.
It is not only crucial but also an undeniable priority for the sustainable and moral advancement of AI as a whole to address these acknowledged challenges, particularly the pressing need for thorough longitudinal studies to assess long-term impact, the encouragement of interdisciplinary collaboration to develop comprehensive solutions, and the creation of an extensive ethical framework to guide responsible utilization of AI. Finally and, perhaps most importantly, ensuring that we use these newly available powerful AI tools in an ethical and equitable way that increases student success and creates a better education for everyone is going to come down to how well we are able to responsibly engage with the ethical and practical challenges that accompany these innovations — in the long-term the success of AI in education is going to depend not just on more technology in the classroom but on responsible use.

10: References

1. Akgun, S., & Greenhow, C. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education: Addressing ethical challenges in K–12 settings. *AI and Ethics*, *2*(3), 431–440. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-021-00096-7
2. Almalawi, A., Soh, B., Li, A., & Samra, H. (2024). Predictive models for educational purposes: A systematic review. Big Data and Cognitive Computing, 8(12), 187. https://doi.org/10.3390/bdcc8120187
3. Arizmendi, C. J., Bernacki, M. L., Raković, M., Plumley, R. D., Urban, C. J., Panter, A. T., & Greene, J. A. (2023). Predicting student outcomes using digital logs of learning behaviors: Review, current standards, and suggestions for future work. *Behavior Research Methods*, *55*(6), 3026–3054. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-022-01939-9.
4. Potgieter, I. (2020). Privacy concerns in educational data mining and learning analytics. International Review of Information Ethics, 28. https://doi.org/10.29173/irie384
5. Baker, R. S., Esbenshade, L., Vitale, J., & Karumbaiah, S. (2023). Using demographic data as predictor variables: A questionable choice. *Journal of Educational Data Mining*, *15*(1), 22–52. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7702628
6. Bird, K. A., Castleman, B. L., Mabel, Z., & Song, Y. (2021). Bringing transparency to predictive analytics: A systematic comparison of predictive modeling methods in higher education. *AERA Open*, *7*, 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1177/23328584211037630
7. Borenstein, J., & Howard, A. (2021). Emerging challenges in AI and the need for AI ethics education. *AI and Ethics*, *1*(1), 61–65. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-020-00002-7
8. Chassignol, M., Khoroshavin, A., Klimova, A., & Bilyatdinova, A. (2018). Artificial intelligence trends in education: A narrative overview. *Procedia Computer Science*, *136*, 16–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.08.233
9. Ghorbani, R., & Ghousi, R. (2020). Comparing different resampling methods in predicting students' performance using machine learning techniques. *IEEE Access*, *8*, 67899–67911. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9062549
10. Harvey, J. L., & Kumar, S. A. P. (2019). A practical model for educators to predict student performance in K–12 education using machine learning. In *2019 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence (SSCI)* (pp. 1–8). IEEE. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/SSCI44817.2019.9003147
11. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. Center for Curriculum Redesign. https://curriculumredesign.org/wp-content/uploads/AIED-Book-Excerpt-CCR.pdf

12. Jiao, P., Ouyang, F., Zhang, Q., & Alavi, A. H. (2022). Artificial intelligence-enabled prediction model of student academic performance in online engineering education. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, *55*(7), 6321–6344. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-022-10155-y
13. Khosravi, A., & Azarnik, A. (2024). Leveraging educational data mining: XGBoost and random forest for predicting student achievement. *International Journal of Data Science and Advanced Analytics*, *14*(1), 45–55. https://ijdsaa.com/index.php/welcome/article/download/229/111/604
14. Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson Education. https://www.pearson.com/content/dam/one-dot-com/one-dot-com/global/Files/about-pearson/innovation/Intelligence-Unleashed-Publication.pdf
15. Ojajuni, O., Ayeni, F., Akodu, O., Ekanoye, F., Adewole, S., Ayo, T., & Mbarika, V. (2021). Predicting student academic performance using machine learning. In *Computational Science and Its Applications – ICCSA 2021* (pp. 481–491). Springer, Cham. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-87013-3_36
16. Onyema, E. M., Eucheria, N. C., Obafemi, F. A., Sen, S., Atonye, F. G., Sharma, A., & Alsayed, A. O. (2020). Impact of coronavirus pandemic on education. *Journal of Education and Practice*, *11*(13), 108–121. https://doi.org/10.7176/jep/11-13-12
17. Palacios, C. A., Reyes-Suárez, J. A., Bearzotti, L. A., Leiva, V., & Marchant, C. (2021). Knowledge discovery for higher education student retention based on data mining: Machine learning algorithms and case study in Chile. *Entropy*, *23*(4), 485. https://doi.org/10.3390/e23040485
18. Pedro, F., Subosa, M., Rivas, A., & Valverde, P. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development*. UNESCO. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000366994
19. Poldrack, R. A., Huckins, G., & Varoquaux, G. (2020). Establishment of best practices for evidence for prediction: A review. *JAMA Psychiatry*, *77*(5), 534–540. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.3671
20. Banu, D. S. B., Kumar, D. K. S., Rizvi, D. P. J. M., Rai, S. K., & Rana, D. P. (2024). Towards a framework for performance management and machine learning in a higher education institution. Journal of Information and Education Research, 2(02), 33–44. https://doi.org/10.52783/jier.v4i1.608