#### JOURNAL OF THE SCIENTIFIC AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY OF FINLAND

Maataloustieteellinen Aikakauskirja Vol. 55: 509–524, 1984

# Comparison between Ayrshire, Friesian and Finnish cattle dairy cows on home – produced feeds

ELSI ETTALA

The Agricultural Research Centre North Savo Experimental Station, SF-71750 Maaninka, Finland

Abstract. An experiment with Ayrshire (Ay), Friesian (Fr) and Finnish cattle (Fc) animals on home-produced feeds under same kind of conditions is conducted at North Savo Experimental Station in the years 1979–87. The test animals (40 Ay, 40 Fr, 16 Fc) was taken by random sampling when they were calves. One half of the animals of each race receives silage-grain feeding, the other half hay-grain-urea feeding.

The growth rate of the calves was equal in the beginning but later on the Friesian animals grew fastest and the Finnish cattle animals most slowly. The average milk yield of the first lactation year was at the same level in the Friesian groups and in the Ayrshire hay-group. The first milk yield of the Ayrshire silage-group was a little lower than of those mentioned before and clearly lower in the Finnish cattle groups. The milk yields were a consequence of the feed consumptions of the animals. In the second lactation year the feed consumptions and the milk yields have increased distinctly. There are not to be found differences in the conception rates depending on races.

#### Aim of the research

The aim of this long-term experiment (8 years) is to find out characteristic features of the dairy cattle races in Finland under same kind of conditions fed by home-produced feeds. The most important objects to be cleared up are:

- milk yield of the cows
- consumption of roughages at the different stages of lactation
- feed conversion
- fertility
- diseases
- economic result

## Experimental procedures

The test animals. The animals were taken for this study by random sampling so that heifers were inseminated by young bulls in 1978 in different parts of

Finland. 96 cows (40 Ayrshire, 40 Friesian and 16 Finnish cattle) had 84 different fathers. Because some of the bulls had two daughters they were put into different feeding groups. Also spare calves were taken in the study, altogether 50 Ayrshire, 50 Friesian and 20 Finnish cattle calves started the experiment.

The calves were brought to the experimental station from 25 th of May to 18 th of July, 1979. The average ages and live weights of the calves when arriving were:

	Ayrshire	Friesian	Finnish cattle
Age, days	51	53	50
Live weight, kg	54	57	48

The test calves were rather heterogenic in size and to their condition.

Other procedures. The animals are to be fed all their lives individually. All feeds and residues are weighed daily. Samples of different feeds are taken continuously. The milk yield is weighed individually at each milking time. The composition of the milk is analysed once a week. A veterinarian is presently conducting a fertility study and the information about diseases will be filed up. The growing animals are weighed twice a month and the cows once a month, on two successive days.

#### Feeding

The races are devided into two feeding groups. Both groups are fed with domestic feeds. One group eats ad libitum unwilted, flail-harvested grass silage preserved with AIV 2-solution (formic acid 83 % plus orthophosphoric acid 2 %), and grain, and one kilo of hay since prelactational feeding of heifers. The other group eats hay ad libitum, and grain added with 2 percent of urea as protein supplement as well as extra vitamins. Both groups receive vitamins in the mineral mixtures. The grain consists of ½ barley and ½ oats.

By the amount of grain for the growing animals the average live weight gain is tried to get to be 600–700 g per day. The hay group eats grain 1,5 kilos per day, the grain ration of the silage group is reduced (1,5–1,0–0,6–0,0 kg) when the consumption of silage increases.

The amount of the grain ration of the cows depends on the milk yield as follows:

Milk yield	Grain f.u./kg of m	ilk (4 percent fat)
(4 percent fat) kg/day per cow	Silage group	Hay group
- 15	0,24	0.32
20	0,26	0,34
25	0,28	0,36
30 -	0,30	0,38

The grain ration is programmed into a computer and it changes stepless according to the milk yield, also taking into account the amount of milk the

previous days.

Before the experimental diet there was a transition period of two weeks after the calves were 3,5 months of age, now in 1983 after the age of 3 months. Before that the calves received skimmilk powder, grain and hay or silage.

#### Growth results

The feeding on the experimental station made quite rapidly the growth of the animals arriving from different conditions fairly equal. The mean growth rate of different races in the first weeks was the same:

Live weight		We	eks	
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th
Ayrshire, kg	54	58	63	67
Friesian, kg	57	61	66	70
Finnish cattle, kg	48	52	57	61

When the test feeding started the ages and the live weights of the groups were as follows:

	No. of calves	Age, days	Live weight, kg
Ayrshire group			
Silage	25	121	96,9
Hay-urea	25	121	95,7
Friesian group			
Silage	25	111	93,5
Hay-urea	25	111	93,2
Finnish cattle group			
Silage	10	115	88,0
Hay-urea	10	116	90,0

A clear difference in the live weight gain between different races began at the age of about 9 months (Fig. 1, Table 1). The animals in the silage group grew faster than the animals in the hay group (Table 2). The Friesian heifers ate particularly much silage. The growth in this group was also clearly greater than in the other groups. The consumption of hay of the Finnish cattle cows was clearly lower than that of the other groups and also the growth rate of this group was poorer than the growth of the others.

## Heat and conception rates of heifers

The Ayrshire and Friesian heifers had their first heat on an average at the same weight (abt. 260 kg). The difference in the age between these races was




Fig. 1. Live weight gain of calves of different races on the North Savo Experimental Station.

Table 1. Average liveweights and growth rates of different races at different ages.

	Age, months <sup>1)</sup>								
	6	9	12	15	18				
Live weight. kg									
Ay	151	212	282	332	390				
Fr	149	217	293	350	414				
Fc	140	199	261	307	358				
Growth rate, g per day									
Ay	783	748	734	699	698				
Fr	797	800	793	763	763				
Fc	723	716	683	648	641				

<sup>1)</sup> Age in months is an approximate value, the animals are weighed at two weeks intervals and there is a difference of five to ten days between the races.

#### Observations:

Ay = Ayrshire

Fr = Friesian

Fc = Finnish cattle

Table 2. Average live weight and growth rates of different races by the age of two years.

	Silage group	Hay-urea group
Live weight, kg		
Ay	511	483
Fr	558	506
Fc	466	414
Growth rate g per day		
Ay	686	644
Fr	771	685
Fc	628	537

Table 3. Age and live weight of heifers during their first heat.

No. of	Age	e, months	Live	weight, kg	Daily gain, g
heifers	mean	range	mean	range	
24	10.9	9,0-13,6	261	215-323	779
25	11,8	10,3-13,3	262	221-329	705
25	10,1	8,3-11,8	264	229-346	877
25	11,1	9,8-13,0	259	204-300	736
10	10,0	8,3-11,6	233	193-282	774
10	10,4	8,9-12,3	215	180-243	634
	24 25 25 25 26	heifers mean  24 10.9 25 11,8  25 10,1 25 11,1  10 10,0	heifers mean range  24 10.9 9,0–13,6 25 11,8 10,3–13,3  25 10,1 8,3–11,8 25 11,1 9,8–13,0  10 10,0 8,3–11,6	heifers         mean         range         mean           24         10.9         9,0-13,6         261           25         11,8         10,3-13,3         262           25         10,1         8,3-11,8         264           25         11,1         9,8-13,0         259           10         10,0         8,3-11,6         233	heifers         mean         range         mean         range           24         10.9         9,0-13,6         261         215-323           25         11,8         10,3-13,3         262         221-329           25         10,1         8,3-11,8         264         229-346           25         11,1         9,8-13,0         259         204-300           10         10,0         8,3-11,6         233         193-282

thereby under one month (Table 3). When the Finnish cattle heifers had their first heat they were younger and smaller than Ayrshire and Friesian heifers. The heifers in the silage groups had their heat earlier than the slowlier grown heifers in the hay groups.

All races and both feeding groups had silent and strong heats. The length of the heat cycle (average 20,8 days, range from 18 to 26 days) was not found to be dependent on races or feeding. The duration of heat in Ayrshire heifers was a bit shorter (average 2,8 days) than the heat of other races (average 3,4 days). The heifers were inseminated at the mean age of 16 months (Table 4). The bigger ones were inseminated at the first heat after the age of 15 months and the smaller ones at their first heat after the age of 16 months. The limits for live weights were: Ayrshire heifers 320 kg, Friesian heifers 340 kg and Finnish cattle heifers 300 kg. Most of the heifers weighed considerably more (Table 4).

The conception rate was good. After the first insemination on an average 75,6 percent of the heifers conceived, and 90,8 percent after the second insemination (Table 5). One Friesian heifer did not conceive at all.

Table 4. Age and live weight of heifers during inseminations followed by gestation.

		Age, months					Live we	ght, kg
	mean		ran	ge			mean	range
Ayrshire group								
Silage	15,9	15 r	nonths	13	day	s –	363	317-492
		18	33	23	39			
Hay-urea	16,1	15	29	12	39	_		
		17	55	24	39		350	308-416
Friesian group								
Silage	16,1	15	33	6	39	_	397	345-450
		17	39	22	59			
Hay-urea	16,0	15	39	3	39	_	367	332-399
		17	33	22	39			
Finnish cattle group								
Silage	15,9	15	39	0	39	_	340	294-411
		16	33	29	33			
Hay-urea	16,5	15	39	14	39	_	327	287-386
		18	39	10	39			

Table 5. Conception rate of heifers in 1980.

		C	onception rate,	%	
	Insemination	1			
	1.	2.	3.	4. or more	total
Ayrshire group					
Silage	75,0	20,8	4,2	_	100,0
Hay-urea	92,0	4,0	_	4,0	100,0
Friesian group					
Silage	68,0	16,0	4,0	12,0	100,0
Hay-urea	72,0	20,0	4,0	_	96,0
Finnish cattle group					
Silage	70,0	20,0	10,0	_	100,0
Hay-urea	70,0	10,0	20,0	-	100,0
Average	75,6	15,1	5,0	3,4	99,2
Ayrshire	83,7	12,2	2,0	2,0	100,0
Friesian	70,0	18,0	4,0	6,0	98,0
Finnish cattle	70,0	15,0	15,0	_	100,0

## Calving

The heifers calved at the age of a little over 25 months (Table 6). There were no differences depending on the races in the length of the gestation (range from 8 months 21 days to 9 months 13 days).

The Friesian heifers of the silage group had difficult calvings and dead calves distinctly more than the others had (Tables 7 and 8). The reason was evidently the obesity caused by abundant consumption of silage; the difficulties of Friesian heifers on hay feeding were minor. The size of the calves of all races had remarkable variation (Table 9). Differences between races or feeding groups in retention of afterbirth (Table 8) were not be seen.

Table 6. Calving age and average live weight of heifers before and after the calving in 1981.

	No. of		Age, months									Live we	eight, kg
	animals		mean					range				before calving	after calving
Ayrshire group													
Silage	20	25	months	5	days		24	months	s 12	day	s –	523	462
							26	33	17	33			
Hay-urea	20	25	33	10	39		24	39	16	39	_	509	449
							27	39	5	39			
Friesian group													
Silage	20	25	39	10	33		24	39	2	39	_	583	512
							27	39	25	39			
Hay-urea	20	25	39	5	39		24	39	6	39	_	528	462
							26	39	23	39			
Finnish cattle group													
Silage	8	25	39	5	33		24	59	8	39	_	469	416
							26	39	5	39			
Hay-urea	8	25	39	26	33		24	39	23	33	_	446	406
,							27	29	18	33			

Table 7. Difficulties during the first and the second calving in 1981 and 1982.

	No. of	No. of First calving 1981			No. of	Second calving 1982				
	animals	9	of calvir	igs	animals	9	of calvir	ngs		
		easy	normal	difficult		easy	normal	difficult		
Ay	48	33,3	60,4	6,3	39	33,3	64,1	2.6		
Fr	48	35,5	39,6	25,0	40	22,5	70,0	7,5		
Fc	20	55,0	45,0	-	16	25,0	62,5	12,5		
	Total				Total					
Average	116	37,9	49,1	12,9	95	27,4	66,3	6,4		
Silage groups	57	31,6	47,4	21,1	47	25,5	70,2	4,3		
Hay-urea groups	59	44,1	50,8	5,1	48	29,2	62,5	8,3		

Easy = easy, guick and painless, goes without helping

Normal = normal, during two hours, without helping or with one - two persons help

Difficult = difficult, takes time, many helpers.

Table 8. Dead calves and retention of afterbirth during the first and the second calving in 1981 and 1982.

Se	Second c	calving	1982
	Dead calves		ntion of erbirth
no.	0. %	no.	%
_	_	4	10,3
-		3	7,5
-		2	12,5
_		9	9,5
_		3	6,4
-		6	12,5

Table 9. Birth weight of calves of the first and the second calving in 1981 and 1982.

		Birth we	eight, kg	
	First o	calving	Second	calving
	mean	range	mean	range
Ayrshire group				
Silage	37,5	32-47	36,0	27-45
Hay-urea	37,8	26-47	33,3	21-44
Friesian group				
Silage	38,5	31-46	41,4	35-49
Hay-urea	39,6	25-43	38,3	30-49
Finnish cattle				
Silage	26,5	20-32	32,5	23-37
Hay-urea	27,2	23–35	29,4	25-34
Average	36,4	20-47	36,2	21-49
Ay	37,7	26-47	34,6	21-45
Fr	39,1	25-46	39,9	30-49
Fc	26,9	20–35	31,0	23-37
Silage	36,0	20-47	37,7	23-49
Hay-urea	36,7	23-47	34,7	21-49

#### Milk yield

#### The first lactation year

The milk yields of Ayrshire and Friesian cows in the peak of their first lactation were practically the same in the hay-grain-urea groups (Table 10). The milk production also continued to be the same during the whole lactation period (Fig. 2). On the other hand, Ayrshire cows in their first lactation on silage-grain feeding produced less than the Friesian cows. The peak of the first lactation of all races was higher in hay-grain-urea feeding

Table 10. Peaks of the first lactation in 1981.

	No. of	Milk yield	, kg/day per cow
	animals	mean	range
Ayrshire group			
Silage	20	18,5	15,0-23,6
Hay-urea	20	21,6	17,7–27.5
Friesian group			
Silage	20	19,9	12,8-26,2
Hay-urea	20	21,7	17,4–26,7
Finnish cattle group			
Silage	8	15,2	11,0-23,8
Hay-urea	8	17,1	11,4-21,9




Fig. 2. Milk yield of the first lactation year in 1981.

than in silage-grain but the production decreased faster. The grain ration had evidently an effect on the shape of the lactation curve.

The average milk yields of 4 percent fat-corrected milk (FCM) in the first lactation were quantitatively very much the same in both the Friesian groups and in the hay group of Ayrshire (Table 11). The milk yield of the Ayrshire cows in the silage group was slightly lower than of those mentioned above. The milk yields of the Finnish cattle were lower than others. They produced more with silage than with hay feeding.

Table 11. Fat corrected (4 per cent) milk yield of the first lactation year.

	No. of		eld, 4 per cent g/year per cow
	animals	mean	range
Ayrshire group			
Silage	20	3888	3285-4802
Hay-urea	20	4065	3412-4840
Friesian group			
Silage	20	3993	2888-5363
Hay-urea	20	3948	3344-5002
Finnish cattle group			
Silage	8	3387	2284-4742
Hay-urea	8	3182	2112-4153

The attention is drawn to the great variation (Tables 10 and 11) in the milk yields of the first lactation. Among the test animals taken by random sampling there were really poor and good individuals but most of them were, however, on the average level.

#### The second lactation year

The sescond lactation year of some of the cows is not yet finished and so the results of the whole year are not so far available. The peaks of lactation in the second production year were distinctly higher than those of the first year (Table 12). The best ones produced 30 kg or more. Both Ayrshire and Friesian cows, both on silage and with hay feeding, altogether 16,8 percent of the cows, produced 30 kg or more. A part of the cows have already calved for the third time. The peaks of the milk production have still increased (Table 13). The results look very good when taking into account that the feeds are home-produced and the test animals were taken by random sampling.

Table 12. Peaks of the second lactation in 1982.

	No. of	Milk yield,	kg/day per cow
	animals	mean	range
Ayrshire group			
Silage	19	25,2	19,2 -30,6
Hay-urea	20	25,6	22,41)-32,3
Friesian group			
Silage	20	26,3	20,3 -33,1
Hay-urea	20	27,1	22,91)-32,4
Finnish cattle group			
Silage	8	18,3	16,7 -26,8
Hay-urea	8	20,3	15,6 -24,1

<sup>1)</sup> Two cows calved before the set time (at 7-8 months) and produced only 11,3 and 13,6 kg/day.

Table 13. Peaks of the third lactation in 1983.

	No. of		Milk yield, kg/day per co			
	animals		mean	range		
Ayrshire group						
Silage	18		26,5	21,0-31,0		
Hay-urea	20		27,8	20,0-34,4		
Friesian group						
Silage	17		27,5	17,7-35,2		
Hay-urea	17		30,2	20,3-38,0		
Finnish cattle group						
Silage	8		24,4	18,7-29,7		
Hay-urea	7		24,1	17,6-29,2		

#### Composition of the milk

The fat content of the milk of all the races was surprisingly high (Table 14). The milk protein content on the contrary was below the average value (3,30 percent) in the milk of the first lactation. In the beginning of the second lactation year the protein content of the milk, however, began to raise. The Friesian cows had both the fat and the protein contents slightly lower than others.

#### Feed consumption

The feed consumption in the first lactation year explains the differences in the milk yield. The Ayrshire and the Friesian cows ate hay equally much during the first lactation year, but the Ayrshire cows ate distinctly less silage at that time (Table 15). The cows of the Finnish cattle ate in the first lactation year silage relatively more than hay.

Table 14. Composition of the milk in the first lactation in 1981.

	No. of	F	at	Protein		
	animals	mean %	kg	mean %	kg	
Ayrshire group						
Silage	20	4,83	138-199	3,18	91-146	
Hay-urea	20	4,85	147-204	3,20	91-140	
Friesian group						
Silage	20	4,60	123-232	3,08	86-146	
Hay-urea	20	4,44	133-211	3,09	95-140	
Finnish cattle group						
Silage	8	4,72	93-196	3,15	69-130	
Hay-urea	8	4,89	93-187	3,30	63-113	

Table 15. Average feed consumption during 10 month's time in the first lactation in 1981-82.

	No. of animals	Silage kg	Hay kg	Grain kg	Total DM kg
Ayrshire group					
Silage	- 20	27,8	0,9	3,3	9,5
Hay-urea	20	-	9,1	4,7	12,1
Friesian group					
Silage	20	32,2	0,9	3,6	10,6
Hay-urea	20	-	9,1	4,6	12,1
Finnish cattle group					
Silage	8	25,2	0,7	3,0	8,5
Hay-urea	8	_	7,2	3,8	9,7

<sup>1)</sup> Grain is supplemented with minerals and with 2 per cent urea and 0,5 per cent DEB-Karjavitan (vitamins) on hay feeding.

The consumption increased remarkably during the second lactation year (Table 16). So far we have, however, the results of the feed consumption of the first seven months of the second lactation year only. The trend is quite the same as it was during the first year. The Ayrshire and the Friesian cows have been eating hay the same quantity but the Ayrshire cows have been eating silage less than the Friesian cows. The difference in the consumption of silage between the Ayrshire and the Friesian cows is bigger than between the Ayrshire and the Finnish cattle cows. The Finnish cattle cows have increased the consumption of hay during the second year more than the cows of the bigger races.

## Nutrient supply

When the study was planned the aim was to have quantitatively the same amount of nutrient in both the silage and the hay groups. Therefore the hay group received more grain supplemented with urea as an extra protein source.

The nutrient balance of Friesian and Finnish cattle groups in the first year was surprisingly good (Table 17). The hay group of Ayrshire cows was an exception, which after eating hay as much as the Friesian cows obtained also feed units equally much as the Friesian cows and passed the silage group of the Ayrshire cows. Therefore the milk yield of Ayrshire cows during the first lactation year was less on silage than on hay.

The same trend in supply of nutrients continued during the second lactation year (Table 18). All other races but not the Ayrshire had the same average consumption of feed units and digestible crude protein in different feeds.

Especially at the peak of the lactation the Ayrshire cows of the silage group did not obtain as much feed units and digestible crude protein as the cows in the hay group (Figs. 3 and 4).

Table 16. Average feed consumption during the first 7 month's time in the second lactation in 1982.

	No. of animals	Silage kg	Hay kg	Grain kg	Total DM kg
Ayrshire group					
Silage	19	37,0	0,9	5,4	13,2
Hay-urea	20	-	10,4	7,1	15,4
Friesian group					
Silage	20	41,3	0,9	5,6	14,4
Hay-urea	20	-	10,5	7,0	15,6
Finnish cattle group					
Silage	8	32,6	0,8	4,5	11,6
Hay-urea	8	_	9,0	5,5	12,8

<sup>1)</sup> Same observation as in Table 15.

Table 17. Average daily nutrient supply during 10 month's time in the first lactation of 1981-82.

	Silage		Hay		Grain		Total	
	f.u	DCP,g	f.u.	DCP,g	f.u.	DCP,g	f.u.	DCP,g
Ayrshire group								
Silage	3,9	692	0,4	52	3,1	270	7,4	1014
Hay-urea	-	-	4,1	541	4,3	525	8,4	1066
Friesian group								
Silage	4,5	795	0,4	52	3,3	292	8,3	1138
Hay-urea	-	-	4,1	542	4,3	516	8,3	1058
Finnish cattle group								
Silage	3,6	625	0,3	43	2,8	244	6,7	911
Hay-urea	_	-	3,2	429	3,5	425	6,7	854

f.u. = feed units

DCP = digestible crude protein

Table 18. Average daily nutrient supply during the first seven month's time in the second lactation year in 1982.

	Silag	ge	Hay	,	Grain	n	Tota	1
	f.u.	DCP,g	f.u. I	DCP,g	f.u. I	OCP,g	f.u.	DCP,g
Ayrshire group								
Silage	5,8	970	0,5	60	5,0	423	11,2	1452
Hay-urea	-	-	5,6	694	6,5	800	12,1	1494
Friesian group								
Silage	6,5	1077	0,5	62	5,1	435	12,1	1574
Hay-urea	-	-	5,7	704	6,5	796	12,2	1500
Finnish cattle group								
Silage	5,2	848	0,4	55	4,2	354	9,8	1257
Hay-urea	-	-	4,8	597	5,0	619	9,8	1216

## Fertility

Possible differences in fertility will come out when the years pass. Until now the differences have been considerably great between the years and variable between the races (Table 19). The reasons for this will be glarified later on by computer from an extensive material.

The most important reason of the first lactation year 1981 has been cleared up. When after the first insemination already 69,8 per cent of the cows were conceived there began to appear abortions at an early stage of gestation. The reason was Zearalenoni (S 2), toxin of Fusarium mold in hay of the very rainy summer. Now after the third calving the conception rates look good, but so the summer 1983 was also excellent.




Fig. 3. Daily intake of feed units in 1982.

Table 19. Conception rates in 1980-82.

		Concepti	Conception rate %			
	No. of animals	after the first incemi- nation	after the first and second insemination	Times of inseminations per cow per gestation		
Heifers						
Ay	49	83,7	95,9	1,22		
Fr	49	70,0	88,0	1,47		
Fc	20	70,0	85,0	1,45		
First lactation						
Ay	39	62,5	72,5	1,90		
Fr	40	60,0	80,0	1,78		
Fc	16	37,5	62,5	2,44		
After first lactation						
Ay	38	47,4	84,2	2,00		
Fr	39	48,7	74,3	1,85		
Fc	16	56,3	75,1	1,81		




Fig. 4. Daily intake of digestible crude protein in 1982.

#### Diseases and removals of animals

Mastitis has been the most difficult of the diseases but only three removals have occurred because of it (Table 20). The greatest reason for removals has been leg weakness. Here the Friesian cows have been weaker than the others. Of the cows altogether 16,7 percent have been removed during the two and half years. The endurance of the cows will come out very

Table 20. Removals of cows during two and half years in 1981-83.

F	Reasons	Ay	Fr	Fc	Total
I	eg weakness	1	4	_	5
N	Mastitis	-	2	1	3
P	Prolapsus of vagina	1	2	-	3
	nfertility	1	-	_	1
	Other reasons	2	2	-	4
		5	10	1	16

clearly, because the experiment is going to be continued until the end of the year 1987. Then also the final economic result can be figured out.

Ms received December 13, 1983.

**SELOSTUS** 

## Ayrshire-, friisiläis- ja suomenkarjalehmien vertailu kotoisilla rehuilla

Elsi Ettala

Pohjois-Savon koeasema, SF 71750 Maaninka

Pohjois-Savon koeasemalla on meneillään pitkäaikainen (8 v) lypsykarjakoe. Kokeessa vertaillaan ayrshire – (ay), friisiläis – (fr) ja suomenkarja – (sk) rotuja samanlaisissa olosuhteissa. Eläinaines otettiin otannalla ja tuotiin koeasemalle v. 1979 vasikoiden ollessa keskimäärin 52 vrk:n ikäisiä. Kokeessa on 40 ay-, 40 fr- ja 16 sk-lehmää. Aluksi oli varaeläimiä, jolloin lukumäärä oli 50 ay-, 50 fr- ja 20 sk-vasikkaa. Koelehmät (96) olivat peräisin 84:stä eri isästä.

Vertailu tapahtuu kotoisilla rehuilla. Jokainen rotu on jaettu kahtia. Toinen puoli saa säilörehu-viljaruokinnan sekä lehmänä kilon heinää, toinen puoli heinä-vilja-urearuokinnan. Ureaa viljaseoksessa on 2 %. Viljaa on heinäryhmällä enemmän (0,32–0,38 ry/kg 4 %-maitoa) kuin säilörehuryhmällä (0,24–0,30 ry/kg 4 %-maitoa). Seoksessa on 2/3 ohraa ja 1/3 kauraa. Säilörehua tai heinää eläimet saavat ruokahalun mukaan, jolloin voidaan tutkia niiden karkearehun syöntikyky.

Alussa erirotuisten vasikoiden kasvunopeus oli samaa tasoa, mutta myöhemmin fr-rotuiset kasvoivat nopeimmin ja sk-rotuiset hitaimmin. Hiehot tiinehtyivät hyvin (1. siemennyksestä 75,6 %) ja poikivat keskimäärin n. 25 kk:n iässä. Poikimisvaikeuksia oli fr-säilörehuryhmän eläimillä. Ne olivat muita lihavampia runsaan säilörehunsyönnin ansiosta.

1. vuoden maitotuotos oli molempien ruokintaryhmien fr-ensikoilla ja ay-heinäryhmän ensikoilla samaa tasoa (ryhmien keskiarvo 3948–4065 kg 4 %-maitoa/tuotantokausi). Aysäilörehuryhmän ensikoiden tuotos (3888 kg) oli jonkinverran ja sk-ensikoiden (3182–3387 kg) selvästi edellisiä pienempi. Hajonta saman rodun sisällä oli erittäin suuri. Maidon rasvapitoisuus oli ay- ja sk-lehmillä korkea (ryhmien keskiarvot 4,72–4,89 %) ja fr-ensikoillakin hyvä (4,44–4,60 %). Maidon valkuaispitoisuus eri ryhmillä vaihteli 3,08 %:sta 3,30 %:iin.

Toisen tuotantovuoden tuotosta ei ole vielä tiedossa, mutta herumishuiput olivat hyviä (ryhmien keskiarvot ay:llä ja fr-llä 25,2–27,1 kg maitoa ja sk:lla 18,3–20,3 kg). Kolmantena tuotantovuotena tulokset ovat edelleen nousussa.

Ay-eläimet söivät vähemmän säilörehua, mutta heinää yhtä paljon kuin friisiläiset. Skeläimet söivät vähiten, mutta suhteellisesti enemmän säilörehua kuin heinää. Toisena tuotantovuotena syöntimäärät olivat huomattavasti suurempia kuin ensikkovuonna. Säilörehu- ja heinäryhmillä oli keskimääräinen ravinnonsaanti varsin yhtäläinen muilla paitsi ay-rodulla, jonka heinäryhmä saavutti ravinnonsaannissa friisiläisten tason.

Ensimmäisen ja toisen poikimisen jälkeen tiinehtyminen ei ollut yhtä hyvä kuin hiehoilla. Selvää rodullista eroa ei ilmennyt. Lehmistä on 2,5 vuoden kuluessa poistettu 16,7 %.