

An overview of how rubisco and carbohydrate metabolism may be regulated at elevated atmospheric $[\text{CO}_2]$ and temperature

George Bowes

*Department of Botany, 220 Bartram Hall, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA,
e-mail: bowes@nervm.nerdc.ufl.edu*

Joseph C.V. Vu

USDA-ARS and Agronomy Department, University of Florida, USA

Mian W. Hussain

Department of Botany, University of Florida, USA

Arja H. Pennanen

Department of Plant Production, University of Helsinki, Finland

L. Hartwell Allen, Jr.

USDA-ARS and Agronomy Department, University of Florida, USA

Although atmospheric CO_2 concentration ($[\text{CO}_2]$) has been up to 16-fold higher than at present, the past several million years have seen atypically low values. Thus, modern-day plants are adapted to cope with a low $[\text{CO}_2]/[\text{O}_2]$ ratio. The present $[\text{CO}_2]$ does not saturate C_3 photosynthesis, so its doubling produces an “efficiency effect”, but it is not always fully realized. Acclimation to high $[\text{CO}_2]$ during growth can down-regulate photosynthesis, presumably to optimize carbon acquisition and utilization. A primary factor in acclimation is a reduction in rubisco. Two crops, rice and soybean, were used to study this phenomenon. Rice photosynthesis and growth peaked at $500 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$, whereas soybean responded up to $990 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$. Rubisco concentration declined under CO_2 -enrichment and increasing temperatures, more so in rice than soybean. The rubisco k_{cat} of rice was unaffected by growth $[\text{CO}_2]$ or temperature, but that from soybean was increased by both. In rice the capacity to handle carbohydrate, as measured by sucrose phosphate synthase activity was up-regulated by CO_2 -enrichment, but not by temperature. Leaf carbohydrates were increased by $[\text{CO}_2]$, but decreased by higher temperatures, starch more so than sucrose. Even though C_3 species differ in response to $[\text{CO}_2]$ and temperature, CO_2 -enrichment can moderate adverse effects of temperature extremes.

Key words: acclimation, ribulose biphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase, rice, soybean, sucrose phosphate synthase, starch, Q-enzyme

Atmospheric [CO₂]: past, present, and future

Predicting the future is an inexact science, but various scenarios forecast an atmospheric CO₂ concentration ([CO₂]) of between 575 and 900 μmol mol⁻¹ by the year 2100 (King et al. 1992). The consequences of this rise in atmospheric [CO₂] will not be distributed equally around the globe. The photosynthetic activity of terrestrial ecosystems in northern latitudes is a major component moderating the rise in atmospheric CO₂ by sequestering a substantial proportion of the carbon from anthropogenic sources (Takahashi et al. 1992). Thus, as the [CO₂] continues to rise, the responses of northern ecosystems and agroecosystems could well have important feedback effects that impact the global rate of increase.

Because CO₂ is a greenhouse gas its increase is expected to cause global warming, and influence climatic patterns. The current Holocene interglacial period is characterized by a stable climate (Thomson 1993). However, climate can oscillate rapidly, and in the previous interglacial period changes on the order of 10°C apparently occurred within a few decades (Dansgaard et al. 1993). Such rapid and extreme temperature fluctuations would have more impact on photosynthesis and growth, even to the point of species survival, than a doubling in atmospheric CO₂. An increase of greenhouse gases equivalent to a doubling of [CO₂] is predicted to lead to a global mean temperature rise of between 1.5 and 4.5 °C and an increase in average precipitation. However, at regional levels the magnitude of the changes is uncertain (Carter 1996). These climate changes, in addition to higher [CO₂], will also affect photosynthesis, and the situation is further complicated by interactive effects of the environmental parameters.

High [CO₂] and temperature are not new phenomena for the planet. Atmospheric [CO₂] has apparently fluctuated by more than 20-fold over geologic time. Mean global temperatures have also fluctuated in some correspondence with

changes in [CO₂]. When terrestrial plants first appeared atmospheric [CO₂] may have been as high as 4000 to 6000 μmol mol⁻¹, but the past 400 million years has seen an overall decline (Böger 1980, Budyko et al. 1987, Yapp and Poths 1992, Berner 1993). The rise of vascular plants and their attendant photosynthesis was a major factor in this decline. The past several million years have seen atypically low atmospheric [CO₂] (Post et al. 1990). As a consequence, many modern-day plants, including crop species, tend to be adapted to a low [CO₂]/[O₂] ratio (Badger 1992), but they now have to cope with an atmospheric [CO₂] that has almost doubled since the last glacial maximum. Plants of the 21st century will encounter even higher [CO₂], and likely higher temperature regimes. Furthermore, in northern latitudes changing climatic patterns could extend the season for photosynthetic activity. The degree to which temperate species will respond to these potentially positive inputs will partially depend on their ability to acclimate to the shift in resources, especially a rise in the C:N ratio. In the long-term view it will be influenced by the capacity of a species for genotypic adaptation, or in the case of crop plants, human ingenuity with molecular or classical breeding techniques.

Acclimation to elevated [CO₂]

The photosynthesis of some 95% of species (C₃), which includes virtually all crop and forest species of northern latitudes, is not saturated by the present [CO₂]. Thus in short-term experiments a doubling of [CO₂] increases the net photosynthetic assimilation rate by 50%; reduces photorespiration, dark respiration, and stomatal conductance; and enhances quantum and water use efficiency, the C:N ratio, and modulates growth (Bowes 1993, Woodrow 1994). Thus CO₂ enrichment has an "efficiency effect", and in this respect it differs from fertilization responses that occur with other nutrients, such as N and P.

Table 1 shows the light-saturated, photosynthetic rates for leaves of CO₂-enriched rice

Table 1. Photosynthetic rate, rubisco protein concentration, catalytic turnover, and activation in leaves of rice and soybean grown season-long, with natural sunlight and three different day/night temperature and two [CO₂] regimes. Measurements were made when solar photon irradiance exceeded 1200 μmol m⁻²s⁻¹.

	Growth Conditions		Photosynthetic Rate (μmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Rubisco Content (mg g ⁻¹ fresh wt)	K _{cat} (mol CO ₂ mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	Activation (%)
	Temperature (°C)	[CO ₂] (μmol mol ⁻¹)				
Rice						
	32/23	330	19.3	16.4	13.0	91
		660	31.8	13.0	13.6	80
	35/26	330	21.2	15.7	14.2	87
		660	32.6	12.3	13.9	85
	38/29	330	16.0	12.7	13.2	79
		660	26.6	11.5	13.5	68
Soybean						
	32/22	350	27.5	15.5	15.6	97
		700	40.9	14.2	16.8	83
	36/26	350	23.5	14.5	16.8	86
		700	39.8	13.1	18.1	78
	40/30	350	17.1	12.8	19.1	74
		700	33.3	11.3	20.1	71

(*Oryza sativa* L. cv. IR-72) and soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr. cv. Bragg). The plants were grown season-long in natural sunlight under similar controlled conditions at near-ambient and twice-ambient atmospheric [CO₂], and three different day/night temperatures. The rates were measured on attached, fully-expanded leaves with a LI-COR 6200 system at the growth [CO₂] and temperature. Measurements were made 90 days after planting for rice and 60 days for soybean at the growth [CO₂]. At a growth temperature of 32°C, rice and soybean leaf photosynthetic rates were enhanced 65 and 49%, respectively, by doubling the [CO₂]. Thus for both species a positive effect on photosynthesis of the elevated [CO₂] was maintained. Previous data for these two species showed that the CO₂ response for rice photosynthesis, biomass, and yield peaked at about 500 μmol mol⁻¹, whereas the soybean responded up to at least 990 μmol mol⁻¹ (Baker et al. 1989, 1990, Campbell et al. 1990)

However, “efficiency effects” such as these in rice and soybean are not necessarily retained by all C₃ species in long-term CO₂-enrichment

experiments. Acclimation can cause down-regulation in photosynthesis, as measured by changes in the response of assimilation rate to intercellular [CO₂] (A/C_i curve). Presumably this optimizes carbon acquisition with its utilization in plants that are more adapted to low [CO₂] conditions.

Acclimation involves various aspects of metabolism, but a major site is ribulose biphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase (rubisco). This enzyme initiates both the photosynthetic carbon reduction (PCR) cycle, and the photorespiratory carbon oxidation (PCO) cycle, and is a major component regulating CO₂ assimilation in C₃ species. Control analyses show that at high irradiance the flux control coefficients for rubisco can be as high as 0.8 to 1.0, where 1.0 indicates it alone is the limiting factor (Stitt and Schulze 1994, Woodrow 1994), though under most conditions, control is shared with other photosynthetic processes.

The kinetics of rubisco have been used to model A/C_i curves (Farquhar et al. 1980, Long et al. 1993, Sage 1994). The initial, linear phase of the A/C_i curve is a measure of carboxylation

efficiency, because photosynthesis is limited by the amount of active rubisco. This phase is followed at higher [CO₂] by an inflection above which A rises more gradually, and is limited by the rate at which RuBP can be regenerated by the PCR cycle. Regeneration of RuBP is linked to the rate of non-cyclic electron transport, which provides ATP for the PCR cycle, and to PCR cycle enzyme activities which convert triose phosphates to RuBP. In the RuBP-limited phase, an increase in [CO₂] may still increase A because photorespiration is reduced, and a greater proportion of RuBP is used for carboxylation, instead of oxygenation. Under some conditions, RuBP regeneration is limited by inorganic phosphate (P_i), which depends on the rate at which P_i in triose phosphates is recycled to the chloroplast (Sharkey and Vanderveer 1989, Socias et al. 1993).

During photosynthesis, C₃ leaves maintain C_i at close to the inflection point, such that rubisco and RuBP-regeneration capacity are co-limiting (Stitt 1991, Long et al. 1993, Sage 1994). A doubling in [CO₂] reduces stomatal limitations because C_i rises from about 245 to 490 μmol mol⁻¹ CO₂. The rise in C_i causes the initial 50% increase in photosynthesis that is often reported, and moves photosynthesis into the RuBP-limited region of the A/C_i curve (Stitt 1991, Woodrow 1994).

During long-term enrichment (weeks), acclimation may occur in the A/C_i curve, with changes in the initial slope and/or RuBP-limited region. The underlying causes of acclimation in the A/C_i curve are only partially resolved. Potentially it could be a stress response, indicating physiological dysfunction in plants that over millennia have adapted to low [CO₂]. Or, it may be an optimization process as resources change. In some species or conditions, elevated CO₂ produces substantial carbohydrate accumulation within the leaves. The leaf morphology can be deformed; massive starch granules can distort chloroplasts, and possibly disrupt function by distending the thylakoid membranes and imposing constraints on the diffusion of gases or metabolites (Bowes 1991, Stitt 1991, Sage 1994).

In most instances down-regulation of CO₂ assimilation probably reflects a restricted capacity to handle the extra carbon, because other environmental resources are insufficient, or the plant has inherent metabolic limitations. According to this view, acclimation is an optimization process that reallocates resources from non-limiting components, such as carbon acquisition, into limiting components such as electron transport, and carbohydrate handling (Bowes 1991, Sage 1994). The availability of N would be a primary factor, because CO₂ enrichment increases the C:N ratio of plants (Conroy and Hocking 1993, Pettersson and McDonald 1994).

Rubisco responses to elevated [CO₂]

Although various biochemical components are involved in acclimation, rubisco has a leading role, due to the fact that this enzyme is both the primary regulatory site for CO₂ fixation and a major repository of leaf N. There are reports for a number of species of reduced rubisco activity at elevated CO₂ (Spencer and Bowes 1986, Sage et al. 1989, Besford et al. 1990, Rowland-Bamford et al. 1991, Tissue et al. 1993). A decrease in rubisco activity may be caused by a reduction in rubisco protein concentration, which is consistent with the hypothesis that N is being reallocated. We found the rubisco protein of rice declined linearly with increasing [CO₂], dropping by as much as 60% (Rowland-Bamford et al. 1991). Rubisco protein may still decline with seemingly adequate N supplies (Sage et al. 1989, Rowland-Bamford et al. 1991, Conroy and Hocking 1993), possibly because the C:N ratio during growth is unbalanced. Some species show little or no decline in rubisco concentration (Campbell et al. 1988, 1990, Sage et al. 1989, Socias et al. 1993, Sage 1994). In this regard, our studies with rice and soybean grown under similar conditions indicate that rice seems more

susceptible to down-regulation of rubisco protein than soybean, and this is also true for the A/C_i curve (Campbell et al. 1988, Rowland-Bamford et al. 1991).

In some situations the reduction in rubisco activity is due to a lower activation state (Campbell et al. 1988, Sage et al. 1989, Yelle et al. 1989, Rowland-Bamford et al. 1991, Socias et al. 1993, Tissue et al. 1993). Enrichment does not change the apparent $K_m(\text{CO}_2)$ of rubisco (Bowes 1991, Rowland-Bamford et al. 1991).

Table 1 shows several parameters for rubisco extracted from rice and soybean leaves rapidly frozen in liquid N_2 around midday, 60 and 53 days after planting, respectively. Rubisco was extracted, and assayed at 30°C , as described previously (Vu et al. 1987); while rubisco protein concentration was measured using the radioimmune procedure (Vu and Yelenosky 1988).

Rice grown at the two lower temperatures showed about a 20% decrease in rubisco protein concentration under the double- CO_2 treatment (Table 1). Soybean, however, exhibited only about a 10% reduction. The catalytic turnover rate (K_{cat}) of rice rubisco was unaffected by the high $[\text{CO}_2]$ treatment; whereas unexpectedly, that of soybean showed a small but consistent increase (Table 1). Both species exhibited lower daytime rubisco activation values when the plants were grown under CO_2 -enriched conditions (Table 1).

Measurement of rubisco activities from dark-sampled leaves of these plants, taken after sunset or before dawn, indicated that CO_2 -enrichment may affect the metabolism of carboxyarabinitol 1-phosphate (CA1P), an endogenous inhibitor of rubisco which occurs in both rice and soybean. In rice during the transition from day to night, rubisco activity declined more rapidly when the plants were growing in the CO_2 -enriched conditions (data not shown). This suggested that synthesis of CA1P was enhanced by the higher CO_2 . Growth temperature had little effect on this response. In contrast, with soybean this apparent enhancement of CA1P synthesis was more dependent upon elevated temperature than $[\text{CO}_2]$.

These experiments with similarly-treated rice and soybean plants, indicate we must expect species-specific differences in the acclimation and regulation of rubisco among plants exposed to elevated $[\text{CO}_2]$.

Feedback effects from carbohydrate metabolism

The most often cited explanation for acclimation and the down-regulation of rubisco is that CO_2 enrichment causes an imbalance in the source-sink capacities, especially insufficient sink capacity for the excess carbohydrate production (Arp 1991, Farrar and Williams 1991, Stitt 1991, Sheen 1994, Woodrow 1994). The mechanism by which the imbalance is sensed probably involves feedback effects via end-product accumulation (Stitt 1991, Sheen 1994). This is indicated by a number of sugar-feeding studies which resulted in reduced photosynthesis, rubisco activity and concentration. Similarly, the over-expression of acid invertase in transgenic plants, and the resultant hexose accumulation, decreased photosynthesis and PCR cycle enzyme activities (Stitt et al. 1990, Sheen 1994).

A molecular model invokes the metabolite regulation of gene expression, with glucose providing a regulatory signal to repress the transcription of photosynthetic genes, including those encoding the small and large subunits of rubisco (Stitt 1991, Krapp et al. 1993, Sheen 1994). Nuclear genes encoding chloroplast proteins are reported to be more sensitive than chloroplastic genes when plants are exposed to elevated $[\text{CO}_2]$ or sugar supply (Van Oosten et al. 1994). In addition, genes involved directly with carbohydrate metabolism can be positively, or negatively, regulated by sugars (Sheen 1994). This could be a means to up-regulate enzymes that process carbohydrate, and thereby assist in balancing the sink capacity with the source.

This concept is consistent with our findings

Table 2. Sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS) activity, sucrose and starch contents in rice grown for 48 days with natural sunlight and five different day/night temperatures and two [CO₂] regimes. The SPS activity was measured at 30°C under saturating substrate conditions using leaves frozen in liquid N₂ at midday. Sucrose and starch contents represent peak daytime values sampled late in the afternoon.

Growth Conditions		SPS Activity	Sucrose Content	Starch Content
Temperature (°C)	[CO ₂] (μmol mol ⁻¹)	(nmol mg ⁻¹ protein h ⁻¹)	(mg g ⁻¹ dry wt)	
25/18	660	1488	61.8	55.4
28/21	330	1440	52.2	42.4
	660	1638	65.6	62.5
31/24	660	1728	60.5	34.2
34/27	660	1806	58.9	30.6
37/27	660	1620	50.6	21.3

for CO₂-enriched rice. While rubisco concentration and activation were down-regulated (Rowland-Bamford et al. 1991), the activity of sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS) was increased by about 20% at 600 vpm as compared to 330 vpm CO₂ (Table 2). This enzyme is a key regulatory point in carbohydrate synthesis, especially for species which accumulate sucrose. The data shown in Table 2 are for SPS activity measured under saturating substrate and activator conditions as described by Stitt et al. (1988), using the resorcinol method to determine sucrose phosphate formation. Along with SPS activity, both sucrose and starch were increased by CO₂-enrichment (Table 2). Rice clearly accumulates sucrose, as under all growth conditions the sucrose content of the leaves was equal to or higher than that of starch. In this it differs from soybean, which mainly accumulates starch.

A similar situation occurred in the sink-limited regions of transgenic tobacco leaves which had invertase over-expressed in the cell walls; rubisco and fructose bisphosphatase activities declined, but SPS increased (Stitt et al. 1990). More work is required to determine how CO₂ enrichment influences the enzymes and allocation of carbohydrates in plants that are predominantly starch- or sucrose-accumulators. It is possible that plants exemplified by soybean have

inherent capacity to handle the additional carbohydrate, and thus show less propensity for down-regulation of rubisco. Although soybean accumulates substantially more starch under CO₂-enriched conditions, we have not observed any major up-regulation of ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase comparable to that of SPS activity in rice.

CO₂ enrichment and temperature effects

Environmental conditions have a marked influence on the stimulation of photosynthesis and growth by CO₂-enrichment. Because of the efficiency effect, CO₂-enrichment can improve resource use, even when parameters such as temperature are exerting stress (Gifford 1992, Bowes 1993). Higher global temperatures are an important consideration in the rising CO₂ debate because of interactive effects on photosynthesis. A rise in temperature lowers the ratio of [CO₂]/[O₂] in solution, shifts the specificity of rubisco towards oxygenase, enhances photorespiration and dark respiration, and increases the sink response relative to the source. Thus, positive effects of CO₂-enrichment are potentially greater as the temperature rises. Long (1991) calculated that with no down-regulation of rubisco an increase in atmospheric CO₂ to 650 μmol mol⁻¹ could increase light-saturated assimilation by 20% at 10°C but 105% at 35°C, and raise the temperature optimum for photosynthesis by 5°C.

Interactive effects of elevated [CO₂] and temperature on photosynthesis are demonstrated experimentally in Table 1. For rice, the difference in photosynthetic rate between the ambient and twice-ambient [CO₂] treatment was about 60% at all growth temperatures. However, with soybean the difference increased with growth temperature, being 49% at 32°C but 95% at 40°C (Table 1). In both species, the adverse effects of elevated temperature on photosynthesis were

moderated by CO₂ enrichment, but the soybean response was closer to the Long model.

Photosynthetic gains may not always be realized in long-term growth and yield due to an interplay of factors that complicate the issue. For example, leaves compensate for increased air temperatures by greater transpiration; whereas CO₂ enrichment tends to raise foliar temperatures by reducing transpiration (Allen 1990, Campbell et al. 1990, Long 1991). Furthermore, species within just the C₃ category differ markedly in the temperature regimes to which they are adapted, and in tolerance of the low and high extremes where temperature becomes stressful. Temperature regimes that enhance CO₂-stimulated vegetative growth can negatively impact reproductive growth. The grain yield of CO₂-enriched rice showed about a 10% decline for each 1°C rise above 26°C, and similar scenarios have been reported for soybean and wheat (Baker et al. 1989, 1992, Mitchell et al. 1993). This is because growth and reproduction reflect the integrated temperature response of metabolism and developmental processes. As a consequence, species, developmental stage, light regime, nutrient status, and the temperature range, all modify temperature x CO₂ responses (Rawson 1992).

In rice and soybean there was an interplay between elevated growth temperatures and [CO₂] on rubisco parameters (Table 1). For both species, rubisco protein concentration declined with increasing temperature, as well as with elevated [CO₂]. Similarly, the activation of rubisco from both species declined with higher temperatures and [CO₂]. Rice and soybean differed in terms of the response of rubisco's catalytic turnover rate. For rice there was no effect of temperature or [CO₂]. In contrast, the K_{cat} for soybean rubisco was increased by both elevated temperature and [CO₂] (Table 1). Despite the down-regulation in rubisco concentration and activation, the substrate effect from CO₂-enrichment maintained relatively high leaf photosynthetic rates at unfavorably high temperatures (Table 1).

In addition to effects on photosynthetic and rubisco activity, elevated temperatures influence carbohydrate metabolism. In CO₂-enriched rice

plants, SPS activity was increased by temperatures up to 34°C, but thereafter declined (Table 2). Total non-structural carbohydrates declined with increasing growth temperature, but the decline in starch content was much greater than for sucrose (Table 2). Consequently, the sucrose to starch ratio increased with temperature. This was opposite to the effect of CO₂ enrichment, which tended to decrease the ratio.

We have found that although CO₂-enriched soybeans synthesized more starch, ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase activity was not greatly altered by temperature or [CO₂]. However, high temperature (40°C) caused a dramatic fall-out of starch branching enzyme (Q-enzyme) activity, which was ameliorated by CO₂-enrichment (Pennanen et al. 1995).

These data with rice and soybean suggest that high temperatures not only influence the amount of carbohydrate produced, but also its composition, possibly shifting the amylose-to-amylopectin ratio in favor of the former. However, CO₂-enrichment moderates the differences, and can have positive effects in a stress situation.

Future increases in atmospheric CO₂ and day temperatures have the potential for positive interactive effects with many C₃ species, though in some regions the photosynthetic gains may not translate into greater yields, because of temperature stress on reproductive processes (Allen 1990, Bowes 1993). If mean global night temperatures increase the outcome is less predictable. Higher temperatures at night could negate the lower respiration of CO₂-enriched species (Amthor et al. 1992), and increase damage to the reproductive system (Ahmed et al. 1993); but in heat-tolerant plants it may improve carbohydrate mobilization and ease sink limitations on photosynthesis (Ahmed et al. 1993).

In summary, among C₃ species the response to CO₂ enrichment is variable. Restrictive growth conditions can be influential, but evidence also points to the existence of inherent interspecific and intraspecific differences, reflective of different RuBP regeneration and sink capacities. Limitations in these capacities can lower the increases that might otherwise be anticipated from

rubisco kinetics alone. There is evidence that the rise in [CO₂] could offset the negative effects of high temperature regimes on photosynthesis and growth.

Acknowledgements. Work in my laboratory was supported by the USDA/SEA NRICG Photosynthesis and Respiration Program, Grant No. 93-37306-9386 (GB), USDOE Grant No. DE-AI05-88ER69014 (LHA Jr), and Finnish Academy of Science Grant No. 1022668 (AHP).

References

- Ahmed, F.E., Hall, A.E. & Madore, M.A.** 1993. Interactive effects of high temperature and elevated carbon dioxide concentration on cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 16: 835–842.
- Allen, L.H., Jr.** 1990. Plant responses to rising carbon dioxide and potential interactions with air pollutants. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 19: 15–34.
- Amthor, J.S., Koch, G.W. & Bloom, A.J.** 1992. CO₂ inhibits respiration in leaves of *Rumex crispus* L. *Plant Physiology* 98: 757–760.
- Arp, W.J.** 1991. Effects of source-sink relations on photosynthetic acclimation to CO₂. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 14: 869–875.
- Badger, M.R.** 1992. Manipulating agricultural plants for a future high CO₂ environment. *Australian Journal of Botany* 40: 421–429.
- Baker, J.T., Allen, L.H., Jr., Boote, K.J., Jones, P. & Jones, J.W.** 1989. Response of soybean to air temperature and carbon dioxide concentration. *Crop Science* 29: 98–105.
- , **Allen, L.H., Jr. & Boote, K.J.** 1992. Temperature effects on rice at elevated CO₂ concentration. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 43: 959–964.
- , **Allen, L.H., Jr., Boote, K.J., Jones, P. & Jones, J.W.** 1990. Rice photosynthesis and evapotranspiration in sub-ambient, ambient, and superambient carbon dioxide concentrations. *Agronomy Journal* 82: 834–840.
- Berner, R.A.** 1993. Paleozoic atmospheric CO₂: importance of solar radiation and plant evolution. *Science* 261: 68–70.
- Besford, R.T., Ludwig, L.J. & Withers, A.C.** 1990. The greenhouse effect: acclimation of tomato plants growing in high CO₂, photosynthesis and ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase protein. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 41: 925–931.
- Böger, P.** 1980. The O₂/CO₂ cycle: development and atmospheric consequences. In: San Pietro, A. (ed.). *Biochemical and Photosynthetic Aspects of Energy Production*. Academic Press, New York. p. 175–190.
- Bowes, G.** 1991. Growth at elevated CO₂: photosynthetic responses mediated through Rubisco. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 14: 795–806.
- 1993. Facing the inevitable: plants and increasing atmospheric CO₂. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* 44: 309–332.
- Budyko, M.I., Ronov, A.B. & Yanshin, A.L.** 1987. *History of the Earth's Atmosphere*. Springer-Verlag, New York. 139 p.
- Campbell, W.J., Allen, L.H., Jr. & Bowes, G.** 1988. Effects of CO₂ concentration on rubisco activity, amount, and photosynthesis in soybean leaves. *Plant Physiology* 88: 1310–1316.
- , **Allen, L.H., Jr. & Bowes, G.** 1990. Response of soybean canopy photosynthesis to CO₂ concentration, light, and temperature. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 41: 427–433.
- Carter, T.R.** 1996. Developing scenarios of atmosphere, weather and climate for northern regions. *Agricultural and Food Science in Finland* 5: 235–249. (this issue)
- Conroy, J. & Hocking, P.** 1993. Nitrogen nutrition of C₃ plants at elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. *Physiologia Plantarum* 89: 570–576.
- Dansgaard, W., Johnsen, S.J., Clausen, H.B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Gundestrup, N.S., Hammer, C.U., Hvidberg, C.S., Steffensen, J.P., Sveinbjornsdottir, A.E., Jouzel, J. & Bond, G.** 1993. Evidence for general instability of past climate from a 250-kyr ice-core record. *Nature* 364: 218–220.
- Farquhar, G.D., Caemmerer, S. von & Berry, J.A.** 1980. A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C₃ species. *Planta* 149: 78–90
- Farrar, J.F. & Williams, M.L.** 1991. The effects of increased atmospheric carbon dioxide and temperature on carbon partitioning, source-sink relations and respiration. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 14: 819–830.
- Gifford, R.M.** 1992. Interaction of carbon dioxide with growth-limiting environmental factors in vegetation productivity: implications for the global carbon cycle. In: Stanhill, G. (ed.). *Advances in Bioclimatology*, Volume 1. Springer Verlag, New York. p.24–58.
- King, A.W., Emanuel, W.R. & Post, W.M.** 1992. Projecting future concentrations of atmospheric CO₂ with global carbon cycle models: the importance of simulating historical changes. *Environmental Management* 16: 91–108.
- Krapp, A., Hofmann, B., Schaefer, C. & Stitt, M.** 1993. Regulation of the expression of *rubS* and other photosynthetic genes by carbohydrates: a mechanism for the 'sink regulation' of photosynthesis? *Plant Journal* 3: 817–828.
- Long, S.P.** 1991. Modification of the response of photosynthetic productivity to rising temperature by atmospheric CO₂ concentrations: Has its importance been underestimated? *Plant, Cell & Environment* 14: 729–739.
- , **Baker, N.R. & Raines, C.A.** 1993. Analysing the responses of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation to long-term elevation of atmospheric CO₂. *Vegetatio* 104/105: 33–45.
- Mitchell, R.A.C., Mitchell, V.J., Driscoll, S.P., Franklin, J. & Lawlor, D.W.** 1993. Effects of increased CO₂

concentration and temperature on growth and yield of winter wheat at two levels of nitrogen application. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 16: 521–529.

Pennanen, A.H., Vu, J.C.V., Allen, L.H., Jr. & Bowes, G. 1995. Elevated CO₂ and temperature effects on enzymes of sucrose and starch synthesis in soybean. *Plant Physiology* 108: 90.

Pettersson, R. & McDonald, A.J.S. 1994. Effects of nitrogen supply on acclimation of photosynthesis to elevated CO₂. *Photosynthesis Research* 39: 389–400.

Post, W.M., Peng, T.-H., Emanuel, W.R., King, A.W., Dale, V.H. & DeAngelis, D.L. 1990. The global carbon cycle. *American Scientist* 78: 310–326.

Rawson, H.M. 1992. Plant responses to temperature under conditions of elevated CO₂. *Australian Journal of Botany* 40: 473–490.

Rowland-Bamford, A.J., Baker, J.T., Allen, L.H., Jr. & Bowes, G. 1991. Acclimation of rice to changing atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 14: 577–583.

Sage, R.F. 1994. Acclimation of photosynthesis to increasing atmospheric CO₂: the gas exchange perspective. *Photosynthesis Research* 39: 351–368.

–, **Sharkey, T.D. & Seemann, J.R.** 1989. Acclimation of photosynthesis to elevated CO₂ in five C₃ species. *Plant Physiology* 89: 590–596.

Sharkey, T.D. & Vanderveer, P.J. 1989. Stromal phosphate concentration is low during feedback limited photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology* 91: 679–684.

Sheen, J. 1994. Feedback control of gene expression. *Photosynthesis Research* 39: 427–438.

Socias, F.X., Medrano, H. & Sharkey, T.D. 1993. Feedback limitation of photosynthesis of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. grown in elevated CO₂. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 16: 81–86.

Spencer, W. & Bowes, G. 1986. Photosynthesis and growth of water hyacinth under CO₂ enrichment. *Plant Physiology* 82: 528–533.

Stitt, M. 1991. Rising CO₂ levels and their potential significance for carbon flow in photosynthetic cells. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 14: 741–762.

–, **Schaeuwen, A. von & Willmitzer, L.** 1990. “Sink” regulation of photosynthetic metabolism in transgenic tobacco plants expressing yeast invertase in their cell wall in-

volves a decrease of the Calvin-cycle enzymes and an increase of glycolytic enzymes. *Planta* 183: 40–50.

– & **Schulze, D.** 1994. Does Rubisco control the rate of photosynthesis and plant growth? An exercise in molecular ecophysiology. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 17: 465–487.

–, **Wilke, I., Fiel, R. & Heldt H.W.** 1988. Coarse control of sucrose phosphate synthase in leaves: alterations of kinetic properties in response to the rate of photosynthesis and the accumulation of sucrose. *Planta* 174: 217–230.

Takahashi, T., Tans, P. & Fung, I. 1992. Balancing the budget. Carbon dioxide sources and sinks, and the effects of industry. *Oceanus* 35: 18–28.

Thomson, K.S. 1993. Northern exposures. *American Scientist* 81: 522–525.

Tissue, D.T., Thomas, R.B. & Strain, B.R. 1993. Long-term effects of elevated CO₂ and nutrients on photosynthesis and rubisco in loblolly pine seedlings. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 16: 859–865.

Van Oosten, J.J., Wilkins, D. & Besford, R.T. 1994. Regulation of the expression of photosynthetic nuclear genes by high CO₂ is mimicked by carbohydrates: a mechanism for the acclimation of photosynthesis to high CO₂? *Plant, Cell & Environment* 17: 913–923.

Vu, J.C.V., Allen, L.H., Jr. & Bowes, G. 1987. Drought stress and elevated CO₂ effects on soybean ribulose biphosphate carboxylase activity and canopy photosynthetic rates. *Plant Physiology* 83: 573–578.

– & **Yelenosky, G.** 1988. Water deficit and associated changes in some photosynthetic parameters in leaves of ‘Valencia’ orange (*Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck). *Plant Physiology* 88: 375–378.

Woodrow, I.E. 1994. Optimal acclimation of the C₃ photosynthetic system under enhanced CO₂. *Photosynthesis Research* 39: 401–412.

Yapp, C.J. & Poths, H. 1992. Ancient atmospheric CO₂ pressures inferred from natural geothites. *Nature* 355: 342–344.

Yelle, S., Beeson, R.C., Jr., Trudel, M.J. & Gosselin, A. 1989. Acclimation of two tomato species to high atmospheric CO₂. II. Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase. *Plant Physiology* 90: 1473–1477.

SELOSTUS

Hiilihydraatti- ja proteiiniaineenvaihdunnan säätely kohonneen hiilidioksidipitoisuuden ja lämpötilan vallitessa

George Bowes, Joseph C.V. Vu, Mian W. Hussain, Arja H. Pennanen ja L. Hartwell Allen, Jr.
University of Florida, USA ja Helsingin yliopisto

Ilmakehän nykyinen CO₂-pitoisuus ei kyllästä C₃-fotosynteesiä, joten fotosynteesi tehostuu CO₂-pitoisuuden kaksinkertaistumisen seurauksena. Tehostuminen ei kuitenkaan ole aina yhtä voimakasta. Kasvin mukautuminen korkeaan CO₂-pitoisuuteen kasvun aikana voi hidastaa fotosynteesiä, jotta hiilen saanti ja hyödyntäminen olisivat optimaalisia. Päätekijä mukautumisessa on rubiscon väheneminen. Rubisco on entsyymi, joka liittyy hiilidioksidia sokerifosfaattiin Calvinin kierrossa. Kasvien aineenvaihduntaa korkeassa CO₂-pitoisuudessa ja lämpötilassa tutkittiin riisillä ja soijapavulla. Riisin fotosynteesi ja kasvu olivat huipussaan 500 ppm:n ja soijapavulla 990 ppm:n CO₂-pitoisuudessa. Rubiscon pitoisuus vähe-

ni CO₂-pitoisuuden ja lämpötilan noustessa etenkin riisillä. CO₂-pitoisuuden tai lämpötilan nousu eivät vaikuttaneet rubiscon aktiivisuuteen riisillä, mutta soijapavulla vaikutus havaittiin. Riisin kykyä käsitellä hiilihydraattia mitattiin sakkaroosifosfaattisynteesin aktiivisuudella, joka nousi CO₂-pitoisuuden myötä, mutta ei muuttunut lämpötilan kohotessa. CO₂-pitoisuuden nousu lisäsi lehtien hiilihydraattipitoisuutta, mutta korkeampi lämpötila vähensi etenkin tärkelyksen osuutta. Vaikka C₃-lajit reagoivat hiilidioksidiin ja lämpötilaan eri tavoin, CO₂-pitoisuuden nousu voi lieventää ääriämpötiloista johtuvia epäsuotuisia reaktioita.