Dairy progeny testing in Finland¹)

ULF LINDSTRÖM²) and KALLE MAIJALA Agricultural Research Centre, Institute of Animal Breeding, Tikkurila

MIKKO VARO University of Helsinki, Department of Animal Breeding, Viikki

Received March 9, 1973

Abstract. An account is given of the present method of progeny testing of dairy bulls. Correction factors used are presented and an example of their application given. It is noted that progeny tests for milk yield based on less than 30 daughters have a low repeatability. There were no significant changes in the ranking order of AI bulls in different geographical areas. In the Ostrobothnia area, however, the progeny tests seemed to be slightly less accurate than in other regions.

Our present method of progeny testing of bulls for dairy characters is based on a method developed by prof. Mikko Varo. Progeny testing of bulls in Finland was done according to this method already in 1950. A description of the principles is given by Varo (1958) and Lindström (1969).

In the course of time the method has been modified at the Department of Animal Breeding in collaboration with the AI and breeding organisations. Nowadays the progeny tests are computed at the Central Association of AI Societies. Previously (up to 1963) the Department of Animal Breeding of the Agric. Research Centre did the calculations.

Material

All figures used in the calculations stem from the reports given by the milk recorders. Previously the material needed for the progeny tests was collected once a year, at the termination of the recording year. Today the monthly reports sent by the recorders to the data processing department are automatically stored on magnetic tapes or discs. This makes it possible to

Paper presented by dr. Lindström at the 2nd World Ayrshire Conference, Lahti, August 3, 1972.

²⁾ At present at the Department of Animal Production, University of Nairobi, Kenya.

calculate the progeny tests more often, even once a month if desired. So far the progeny tests have been based on the results of the recording year. Recently, however, a method has been adopted whereby the production of the daughters is also given as a 12 month rolling average. This enables us to use all records and to speed up the computations.

Only the results for daughters milking their first, second or third recording year are included. A minimum of 10 daughters per sire is required before a progeny test is calculated.

Corrections

In order to account for the environmental influences within herds, each daughter's milk and fat production result is compared with the corresponding herd average. The daughter's own result is included in the herd average. This is a safety measure as our recorded herds, on an average, consist of only 7 cows. The daughter's result in per cents of the herd average is called her relative production. When more than one breed is represented in a herd, separate averages for the breeds in question are computed. (Minimum 2 cows required.) These are used when calculating the relative production. The fat % is calculated as a deviation from the herd average (including the daughter).

To account for differences in age and month of calving of the daughters corrections are made. From Table 1 it appears that especially the time of calving affects the milk production results to a high degree. The fat percentage, on the other hand, is but slightly affected by these systematic influences.

Calculation of progeny test

Each year (or each time the progeny test is calculated) correction factors for the effects of age and calving month are computed by least squares procedures (see Table 1). The correction factors used when calculating the

Table 1. Influence of age and calving month on the 3 first production years of Ayrshire cows (recording year 1967/68, least squares analysis¹).

	Number		Percentage of	total varia	tion
Production year	of	Relati	ve milk prod.	Fat %	deviat.
	records	age	calv. month	age	calv. month
First	29523	1.66	9.49	0.44	0.81
Second	22452	0.47	3.10	0.07	0.44
Third	19779	0.18	3.13	0.00 ns^2	0.66

¹⁾ Least squares analysis means that the influences of age and calving month have s i m ultaneously been considered (Harvey 1966). In other words, the age influence is free from the effect of calving month and vice versa. (Statistically speaking we obtain unbiased estimates of these influences.)

²⁾ ns = not statistically significant; all other figures highly significant.

progeny test in May 1972 are given in Table 2. The procedure in calculating the progeny test is perhaps most easily explained by an example. Let us assume that an individual daughter of a certain bull is born in June 1968, that she is milking her first year, that she has calved in July and that her relative production is 91.7. From Table 2 we note that the average relative production for a cow of this age is 90.5. Further we note that cows milking their first year and calving in July are -5.8 relative units below the overall average. The result for this daughter is thus as follows: 91.7—(90.5 -5.8), i.e. 91.7-90.5+5.8 or 7.0. In other words, this cow is 7% above other Ayrshire cows of the same age calving at the same time.

Table 2. Correction for effect of age and calving month in Ayrshire (recording year 1971/72; results for latest 12 months production).

a. Least squares estimates of the age effect.

Cow born; month, year	Rel.milk.prod.	Fat % deviat.
1st prod. year		
6,69	83.2	0,4
4,69	85.1	0.4
2,69	86.5	0.6
11,68	88.1	0.6
10,68	89.9	0.6
8,68	90.5	0.6
6,68	91.3	0.9
4,68	91.4	1.1
2,68	91.1	0.9
12,67	93.1 aver. 89.0	0.7 aver. 0.67
2nd prod. year		
8,68	90.3	0.7
6,68	93.6	0.5
4,68	93.2	0.8
2,68	93.8	0.7
12,67	95.1	0.6
10,67	96.7	0.6
8,67	96.9	0.5
6,67	97.2	0.5
4,67	96.6	0.7
2,67	98.0 aver. 95.1	0.4 aver. 0.59
3rd prod. year		
10,67	98.3	-0.6
8,67	97.0	0.4
6,67	97.3	0.6
4,67	98.1	0.5
2,67	98.5	0.4
12,66	99.7	0.2
10,66	100.9	0.3
8,66	101.8	0.2
6,66	101.3	0.2
4,66	101.2 aver. 99.4	0.2 aver. 0.24

b. Least squares constants (deviations from overall average) for the calving month effect.

Calving	15		Producti	ion year		ed
month	rel.milk yield	fat % dev.	rel.milk yield	fat % dev.	rel.milk yield	fat % dev.
1	2.1	0.1	-1.6	-0.2	-1.3	-0.1
2	-0.0	0.3	-3.1	-0.1	-1.8	-0.1
3	-1.1	0.3	-1.0	-0.1	1.2	-0.2
4	-2.7	0.3	-0.2	-0.2	1.5	-0.2
5	-6.3	0.3	-1.9	0.1	-0.8	-0.2
6	-7.8	-0.0	-2.1	0.2	-1.8	0.1
7	-5.8	-0.6	-0.1	-0.0	-1.0	0.1
8	1.0	-0.3	1.6	0.0	0.2	-0.1
9	4.8	0.0	3.4	0.2	1.3	0.4
10	6.3	-0.1	3.3	0.1	1.8	0.3
11	5.1	-0.0	1.9	0.1	1.5	0.1
12	4.4	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.8	-0.1

The calculations and the results for all daughters of the sire are done similarly. The results with regard to fat % deviation, 4 % milk production, fat kg production and live weight deviation can be calculated in the same way.

Correction for no. of daughters

The milk production figures are corrected for the number of daughters the test is based on. This is done by multiplying the average relative yield by the regression factor n/(n+15), where n=no. of daughters. For example, when the test is based on 20 daughters the correction factor is 0.57, on 100 daughters it is 0.87. Let us assume that a sire has been tested on 45 daughters, the average relative production being +4.8 units. Thus the corrected relative production is $45/(45+15)\times 4.8=0.75\times 4.8=3.6$. In other words, we could expect the next progeny test (based on an infinite number of daughters) of this sire to be +3.6 units above average. The fat % deviation is not corrected because it is quite repeatable.

Use of progeny test results

The most important task of the progeny tests is to provide a basis for the selection of bull sires and »ordinary» sires for production of female replacements. Each time the progeny test is calculated the State AI Committee (consisting of representatives from research, AI and breeding sectors, Ministry of Agriculture, Veterinary Medicine) meets and selects the sires on the basis of test results and the amount of pellets stored.

The Breed Society classifies the bulls in breeding and elite classes according to test results. At present the selection criterion used when picking the

bulls is the so called combined deviation. This is made up of the corrected relative production plus 10 times the fat percentage deviation. The combined deviation gives approximately correct economic weights to milk production and fat percentage under our present conditions (Lindström and Maijala 1971). In practice this means that the main emphasis is on milk yield, while the fat percentage will be kept approximately at the present level. The Appendix gives a list of the information included in the progeny test.

Improving the accuracy

Because of our small herds the accuracy of progeny tests based on small numbers of daughters is low. This is evident from Table 3. (The reason why the repeatability for some of the progeny tests based on more than 50 daughters also is low is probably to be found in the 1968 test which was based on a small number of daughters.) It would seem that at least 40 daughters, and preferably 60—80, would be needed to give a satisfactory degree of accuracy.

Table 3. Repeatability of Ayrshire progeny tests for relative milk yield (Progeny tests corrected for age and month of calving; only first year records used.)

ALCOHOL:	AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PARTY OF A SECOND	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	
	No. of daughters in 1967 progeny test	No. of bulls	Correlation to 1968 progeny test, %
400			
	≦ 20	59	48.2
	21 - 30	67	47.9
	31 - 40	59	69.1
	41 - 50	40	77.6
	51 - 70	62	50.9
	71 - 100	43	77,1
	≧ 100	43	65.2

The most effective way of improving the overall accuracy would probably be to calculate corrected herd average s. That is, before the herd average is computed the records for each cow in the herd would be corrected with regard to age and calving month. Moreover, it would be preferable to take into account the progeny test of the sire of each cow (Lindström and Maijala 1972). In this way it would be possible to eliminate at least some of the inaccuracies due to the varying composition of our small herds.

Differences between areas

Do progeny tests in one geographical area satisfactorily indicate a bull's breeding value in another area? This question has recently been investigated.

Table 4. Association between progeny tests for the same Ayrshire AI-bulls in different geographical areas (Recording year 1970/71 results).

Areas ¹)	No. of	Ave of dau	r. no. ghters	Geneti rel.milk	c correlation fat %	on, %²) rel.fat
	bulls	N 1	N 2	yield	dev.	kg yield
SF × CF	68	117	38	101	101	106
SF × Ostr	69	91	33	86	96	92
CF × NF	41	90	16	107	103	114
Ostr. × NF	27	92	21	81	93	110
		Ave	erage	94	98	105

- 1) SF = Southern Finland, CF = Central Finland Ostr. = Ostrobothnia, NF = Northern Finland
- ²) Genetic correlations (r_G) calculated from: r_G = $\frac{r_{\rm P_1P_2}}{\sqrt{b_1 \times b_2}},$

where $r_{P_1P_2}$ = phenotypic correlation between progeny test results in area 1 and 2 b₁ and b₂ = repeatability of progeny tests in area 1 and 2 (calculated from the number of daughters, assuming a heritability of 0.2 for one record, Lindström 1969).

The main results are given in Table 4. The ranking order of the bulls is not affected to a noticeable degree. (Due to the method of calculation some of the correlations exceed 100 %). It seems, however, that the progeny tests in the Ostrobothnia area are somewhat less accurate indicators of a bulls value than progeny tests in other areas. The progeny tests here were calculated using correction factors (for age and calving month) applicable to the respective areas. It might be useful to include this procedure also in the routine progeny testing as there seem to be differences between the areas in this respect.

REFERENCES

HARVEY, W. R. 1966. Least squares analysis of data with unequal subclass number. ARS 20-8. Agr.Res. Service. US Dep. of Agr. 157 pp.

LINDSTRÖM, U. B. 1969. Genetic change in milk yield and fat percentage in artificially bred populations of Finnish dairy cattle. Acta Agr. Fenn. 114.

- » & Maijala, K. 1971. Studies on AI dairy sire provings. I. Importance of various recorded characteristics. Z. Tierz. zücht. biol. 87: 292-298.
- & Maijala, K. 1972. Improving accuracy of bull dam selection. Acta Agr. Scand. 22: 189-199.

Varo, M. 1958. Über die brauchbarkeit unserer Bullewerte auf den verschiedenen Leistungsstufen. Acta Agr. Fenn. 93(4): 1-31.

Sonnien jälkeläisarvostelu Suomessa1)

ULF LINDSTRÖM ja KALLE MAIJALA Maatalouden tutkimuskeskus, Kotieläinjalostuslaitos, Tikkurila

MIKKO VARO Helsingin yliopisto, Kotieläinten jalostustieteen laitos, Viikki

Karjantarkkailun tuloksiin perustuvan sonnien jälkeläisarvostelun laskenta automaattisella tietojenkäsittelyllä aloitettiin v. 1950. Periaatteessa samanlaisena pysyneeseen laskentamenettelyyn on tehty eräitä täydennyksiä. Nykyisin arvostelu lasketaan jälkeläisten kolmen ensimmäisen tuotoksen perusteella käyttämällä suhteellisia tuotoksia tuotantokyvyn mittana. Tuotokset korjataan iän, tuotosvuoden ja poikimiskuukauden mukaan sekä lopullinen arvostelu jälkeläisten luvun mukaan. Kaikki sonnit, joilla on vähintään 10 jälkeläistä, arvostellaan.

Arvostelun luotettavuuden arvioimiseksi on laskettu eri suurten jälkeläisryhmien ensimmäisiin tuotoksiin perustuvien jälkeläisarvojen toistumiskertoimia. Tulos viittaa siihen, että vasta vähintään 40, mieluummin 60-80 jälkeläistä riittää tyydyttävän luotettavaan arvosteluun.

Esitelmä, jonka tohtori Lindström piti Maailman 2. Ayrshirekonferenssissa Lahdessa 3. 8. 1972.

Appendix. Examples of progeny tests for Ayrshire bulls.

				No	Aver.	Aver.	Aver.	Fat %	Live	Ĭ	Corrected		Com-
Bull	Bull's name	Sire's no	Dam's no	of daught.	prod. of daught. kg	prod. of daught. kg	fat % of daught.	devi- ation	devi- ation kg	Milk devi- ation	Eat devi- ation	4 % milk dev.	bined devi- ation
29107	Anttilan Mimro	23111	107745	242	4964	224	4.51	0.01	15	04.7	5.0	04.4	04.8
29111	Marius	23599	127772	243	4350	198	4.55	90.0	80-	-03.1	-1.9	-02.4	-02.5
29115	Ensilän Leif	23204	113499	16	4697	224	4.77	0.14	28	01.9	3.7	-01.6	03.3
29122	Marttilan Malli	23599	108519	89	4393	197	4.48	00.0	05	-04.0	-4.2	-04.3	-04.0
29129	Vihtamaan Mikael	23086	154462	6	4282	201	4.69	0.16	-03	-04.1	-1.1	-01.8	-02.5
29134	Mestari	23506	173318	12	4332	206	4.76	0.19	-01	01.5	3.3	03.3	03.4
29139	Upolan Maisteri	23111	135564	166	4707	200	4.25	-0.19	00	8.70	3.6	04.8	05.9
29141	Metsä-Jonnin Niku	23111	135585	120	4442	209	4.71	0.23	-10	-03.4	9.0	9.00-	-01.1
29151	Uusi-Mattilan Mainio	23111	147842	196	4707	202	4.29	-0.10	-02	02.9	8.0	01.9	01.9
29163	Metsä-Paavolan Mauser	19909	160624	100	4581	208	4.54	0.05	-11	00.1	8.0	00.5	00.3
29166	Kopralan Mukava	23000	140617	168	4251	189	4.45	0.00	60-	-01.8	-1.8	-01.6	-01.8
29177	Kruuvan Lähetti	25075	061074	110	4116	188	4.57	0.13	-07	-02.0	0.1	9.00-	-00.7
29188	Leppäniemen Mikko	23697	121717	64	4162	183	4.40	-0.05	-12	8.00-	-1.7	8.00-	-01.3
29199	Histan Lento	22501	076833	47	4344	188	4.33	-0.04	00	-01.7	-2.5	-02.0	-02.1
29202	Mäki-Mantilan Mahti	23394	140421	259	4265	188	4.41	-0.05	-01	00.1	9.0-	-00.4	4.00-
29205	Marmori	23674	250718	16	3525	170	4.82	0.43	-12	-03.7	6.0	-00.2	9.00
29211	Kuusikon Lippo	26064	154320	36	3951	174	4.40	0.01	10	-02.1	-1.9	-01.0	-02.0
29212	Luja	20649	138289	14	4118	207	5.03	0.11	12	-01.8	9.0-	-01.2	-000.7
29215	Kaartilan Masa	23000	137747	27	4456	208	4.67	0.13	80	-01.2	9.0	-00.3	00.1