# Detection and molecular characterization of the cucumber mosaic virus in chili pepper (*Capsicum* spp. L.) crops

Detección y caracterización molecular del virus del mosaico del pepino en cultivos de ají (*Capsicum* spp. L.)

Diana Marcela Rivera-Toro<sup>1,2</sup>, Juan Carlos Vaca-Vaca<sup>1,2</sup>, and Karina López-López<sup>1,2\*</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

The chili pepper (Capsicum spp. L.) is a vegetable of economic importance that has been affected worldwide by the cucumber mosaic virus (CMV), a pathogen that causes a devastating disease in this crop. The aim of this research was the detection and characterization of CMV in chili pepper crops in Valle del Cauca, Colombia. Leaves of three chili pepper varieties (tabasco, cayenne and habanero) with viral symptoms were collected in four municipalities of Valle del Cauca. Total RNA was purified and a fragment of capsid protein (CP) from CMV was amplified by RT-PCR. Then, it was sequenced and bioinformatically analyzed, and from these sequences, specific primers were designed. From 71 chili pepper samples collected in Palmira, Yumbo, Vijes and Yotoco, 37 were positive for CMV (52.1%). The CMV chili pepper sequence analysis showed that they had their highest identity (98.5%) with a CMV isolated from bananas in Ecuador. Specific primers designed for CMV chili pepper showed greater sensitivity for detecting this virus (64.7% vs. 52.1%). The CMV chili pepper CP analysis indicated that it could be transmitted by the species Aphis gossypii. This study reports, for the first time, the molecular characterization of CMV in three chili pepper varieties.

Key words: *Cucumovirus*, diagnostics, habanero, PCR, Solanaceae, tabasco.

# RESUMEN

El ají (Capsicum spp. L.) es una hortaliza de importancia económica que se ha visto afectada por el virus del mosaico del pepino (cucumber mosaic virus - CMV), un patógeno que ocasiona una enfermedad devastadora para este cultivo a nivel mundial. El objetivo de la investigación fue la detección y caracterización molecular de CMV en cultivos de ají en el Valle del Cauca, Colombia. Se recolectaron hojas de tres variedades de ají (tabasco, cayena y habanero) con síntomas virales en cuatro municipios del Valle del Cauca. Se purificó su RNA total y se amplificó un fragmento del gen de la proteína de la cápside (CP) de CMV por RT-PCR. Después se secuenció, se analizó bioinformáticamente y a partir de estas secuencias se diseñaron primers específicos. De 71 muestras de ají colectadas en Palmira, Yumbo, Vijes y Yotoco, 37 resultaron positivas para CMV (52,1%). El análisis de las secuencias de CMV ají mostró que presentaban su mayor identidad (98,5%) con un CMV aislado de banano en Ecuador. Los primers específicos diseñados para CMV ají mostraron mayor sensibilidad para detectar este virus (64,7% vs. 52,1%). El análisis de CP de CMV ají indicó que este virus podría ser transmitido por la especie Aphis gossypii. Este estudio reporta, por primera vez, la caracterización molecular de CMV en tres variedades de ají.

**Palabras clave:** *Cucumovirus*, diagnóstico, habanero, PCR, Solanaceae, tabasco.

# Introduction

The chili pepper (*Capsicum* spp. L.) is one of the most important vegetables worldwide because of its culinary and medicinal uses (Green and Kim, 1991). Currently, the region of Valle del Cauca is one of the largest producers of chili pepper in Colombia, due to the climatic conditions and nutritional characteristics of the soil. However, the yield has decreased in the past ten years (Ministerio de Agricultura y Desarrollo Rural, 2018) as a result of factors such as temperature and humidity that are constantly changing due to climate change or diseases related to fungal, bacterial and viral pathogens; this last factor has become a major limitation for chili pepper production worldwide (Moury and Verdin, 2012).

In the tropics, viral diseases affect crop production and are common in plants of the Solanaceae family like chili pepper. These viruses belonging mainly to genera *Begomovirus*, *Potyvirus*, *Tospovirus* and *Cucumovirus* and affect chili pepper crops, causing more than 90% losses (Subramanya-Sastry, 2013). Although a great variety of viral genera have been reported as infectious agents, few studies have been carried out in the Valle del Cauca region or in Colombia.

Received for publication: 17 October, 2019. Accepted for publication: 27 August, 2020

Doi: 10.15446/agron.colomb.v38n2.82975

<sup>1</sup> Grupo IPMA Interacción Planta-Microorganismo-Ambiente, Facultad de Ciencias Agropecuarias, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Palmira, (Colombia).

<sup>2</sup> Centro de investigación e innovación en Bioinformática y Fotónica - CIBioFi, Universidad del Valle, Cali (Colombia).

\* Corresponding author: klopezl@unal.edu.co



Only the presence of a potyvirus, the pepper deforming mosaic virus (PepDMV) (Morales *et al.*, 2005) and recently, a begomovirus (Vaca-Vaca *et al.*, 2019) have been reported as presently affecting these crops. The cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) has only been detected using serological techniques like ELISA. However, these techniques have been displaced by molecular strategies that are more sensitive (González-Garza, 2017).

In Colombia, since 2005 no studies of RNA viruses in chili pepper have been published, so there is no accurate information on the current viral diseases that affect chili pepper crops in this biogeographic area. Therefore, it is likely that due to current global climate changes, a variation in the dynamics of populations of the viral vectors (insects) has occurred, causing changes in virus populations. So, the scenario of those last studies does not match the current situation of the dynamics of RNA viruses. This is more evident if we consider that these viruses evolve following the "Quasispecies" model (Shukla *et al.*, 1994) which, through high mutation rates can generate new variants and in most cases new viral species that could emerge as new and more aggressive viral pathotypes.

CMV has a genome composed of three segments of positive single-stranded RNA (RNA1, RNA2 and RNA3). Each viral RNA fragment is encapsidated in an isometric particle and translated into important proteins responsible for the encapsidation, replication, and viral movement through the plant (International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, 2018). This virus is transmitted by aphids in a nonpersistent manner (Scott, 2006). CMV isolates have been clustered into two groups, I and II, according to serology, nucleic acid hybridization, and gene sequences (Owen and Palukaitis, 1988; Wahyuni et al., 1992; Dubey et al., 2008). Then, Roossinck et al. (1999) divided subgroup I into IA and IB based on gene sequences and phylogenetic analysis. CMV is one of the major viral diseases worldwide due to its global distribution as well as its host range covering more than 1000 plant species (International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, 2018). In chili pepper crops, CMV produces some symptoms like mosaics, leaf distortion, stunted growth and fruit lesions, which result in a reduction in yield (Rahman et al., 2016; Azizan et al., 2017).

The aim of this research was the detection and molecular characterization of CMV in three different chili pepper varieties in the Valle del Cauca region of Colombia. Based on this information, the producers may be able to develop strategies to control this virus as well as having the necessary information to implement improvement programs for controlling viral diseases.

# Materials and methods

# Plant material

Seventy-one samples of three chili pepper varieties, habanero (*Capsicum chinense*), tabasco (*Capsicum frutescens*) and cayenne (*Capsicum annuum* var. *acuminatum*), at different phenological stages and with viral symptomatology were randomly collected in four municipalities (Palmira, Yumbo, Vijes and Yotoco) of Valle del Cauca in Colombia. Tissues collected from each plant were ground using liquid nitrogen and stored in a refrigerator at -20°C for further analysis.

# **RNA** extraction

Total RNA extraction was performed from 100 mg of frozen or dehydrated plant tissue (with silica gel), using the TRIZOL<sup>®</sup> reagent (Invitrogen<sup>TM</sup>). The quality and quantity of total RNA was verified in 0.7% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide. These gels were visualized in a Chemi-Doc<sup>TM</sup> XRS photodocument, using the Quantity One 4.6 software (BioRad<sup>TM</sup>, California, USA).

# Virus detection by RT-PCR

For cDNA synthesis, 5  $\mu$ L of total RNA was used as a template using the RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (ThermoFisher Scientific<sup>™</sup>, Massachusetts, USA). The cDNA was synthesized using both primers included in the kit: random hexamer and oligo dT. In order to detect CMV, a 586 bp fragment corresponding to the gene coding for the capsid protein (CP) was amplified by RT-PCR (Herrera-Vásquez et al., 2009) using the BIOLASE<sup>™</sup> PCR kit DNA Polymerase (Bioline<sup>™</sup>, London, UK). For this reaction, a primer melting temperature (Tm) of 50°C was used. The amplification of the fragment was carried out in a C100 thermal cycler (BioRad<sup>™</sup>, California, USA). In order to characterize the CMV detected in chili pepper, the 586 bp fragment was purified using the Wizard® DNA Clean up system (Promega<sup>®</sup>, Wisconsin, USA) purification kit and was sent to Macrogen<sup>®</sup> (Seoul, South Korea) for Sanger sequencing.

#### **Bioinformatics analysis**

In order to know the identity of the 586 bp fragment, a sequence nucleotide analysis was performed by BlastN algorithm using the default search algorithm parameters: database: refseq genomes, organism: virus, program selection: somewhat similar sequences (blastn). The sequence was edited using the BioEdit program (North Carolina, USA); a multiple alignment was performed in the Clustal W program (Larkin *et al.*, 2007). The identity values between the sequences, at nucleotide level, were calculated

using the Sequence Demarcation Tool (SDT) 1.2 program (Muhire *et al.*, 2014). Phylogenetic analysis was performed using the Mega 7 program (Kumar *et al.*, 2016). The evolutionary history was inferred using the neighbor-joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) and evolutionary distances were computed using the maximum composite likelihood method (Tamura *et al.*, 2004). Also, a protein alignment was carried out to manually identify the key amino acids for vector transmission (Perry *et al.*, 1998).

# Design of specific primers for CMV chili pepper detection

Based on the CMV sequence obtained, a new set of primers was designed using the CLC main workbench v7.0<sup>®</sup> program (Qiagen<sup>®</sup>, Hilden, Germany). These primers amplified a 229 bp fragment of the CP gene. Then, the amplification conditions were standardized by gradient PCR. To evaluate the specificity of the primers, cDNA from different plants, including the three chili pepper varieties infected with this virus, were used as a template.

# Mechanical inoculation of CMV chili pepper

Using inoculum from the field (tabasco chili pepper plants infected only with CMV), mechanical inoculation

of CMV into 15 healthy and young (one month) plants of tabasco chili pepper (*Capsicum frutescens*) was performed under greenhouse conditions. Carburundum powder (600 mesh) and phosphate buffer pH: 7.4 ( $Na_2HPO_4$  +  $NaH_2PO_4$ ) were used for this purpose. One month after inoculation, CMV was detected by RT-PCR using the specific primers that we previously designed (CMV chili pepper-F / CMV chili pepper-R).

# **Results and discussion**

# Detection by RT-PCR of cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) in chili pepper

Ten samples of habanero pepper (*Capsicum chinense*), 59 samples of tabasco pepper (*Capsicum frutescens*), and two samples of cayenne pepper (*Capsicum annuum* var. *acuminatum*) were collected in 2017. The collected samples showed symptoms related to the viral disease such as leaf deformation and mosaics (Fig. 1).

Detection of CMV using primers described by Herrera-Vásquez *et al.* (2009) was carried out and fragments of the expected size (~586 bp) in 52.1% of analyzed samples (37/71) were obtained: 100% (6/6) of the samples from Palmira,



FIGURE 1. Tabasco pepper with viral symptomatology: mosaics and severe leaf deformation, A) Palmira; B) Vijes: mosaic and mild leaf deformation; C) Yumbo; D) Yotoco.

50% (12/24) of the samples from Yumbo, 56.5% (17/30) of the samples from Vijes and 27.2% (3/11) of the samples from Yotoco (Fig. 2). The highest number of positive samples for CMV was found in Palmira and Vijes, where severe viral symptoms were observed, such as the presence of mosaics and leaf deformation (Fig. 1A-B). The samples collected in Yotoco had a lower viral presence, reflected in the presence of mild symptoms (Fig. 1D).



**FIGURE 2.** Detection of cucumber mosaic virus by RT-PCR in chili pepper. Products were analyzed through electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel. M: 1 kb DNA ladder; lane 1: A209 (Vijes), lane 2: A150 (Palmira), lane 3: A239 (Yotoco), C+: positive control (Plasmid DNA containing a CMV fragment), C-: negative control (water). The arrow indicates the expected fragment size.

Similar results have been found in other chili pepper varieties. Valadez *et al.* (2019) detected CMV by means of RT-PCR in jalapeño pepper in the Colima region of Mexico. Robles-Hernández *et al.* (2010) describe that symptoms, such as mosaics and leaf distortion, necrosis, defoliation, and flower fall, are known in jalapeño pepper crops in Mexico. These symptoms result in the decrease of fruits and can generate almost 90% of pepper crop losses.

#### Molecular characterization of CMV chili pepper

In order to confirm the identity of the detected virus in chili pepper crops, two CMV fragments from samples collected in each municipality were sequenced for a total of eight CMV fragments. A sequence of 549 bp of the CP gene was obtained for each fragment and an identity analysis was carried out among all the sequences of this study. First of all, both sequences from each municipality were the same. Then, a 98.5% to 100% identity was found among all the sequences: the quasispecies from Palmira and Yumbo are 100% identical, and at the same time, they are 99.45% identical to the quasispecies from Vijes and 98.54% identical to the quasispecies from Yotoco. Finally, the quasispecies of Vijes and Yotoco share 99% identity. This might indicate that the CMV quasispecies found in Palmira, Yumbo, Vijes and Yotoco are the same.

Therefore, a sequence from each municipality was chosen for subsequent analysis. The sequence of these fragments was uploaded to the GenBank database with the following accession numbers: CMV-chili pepper isolate Palmira: MK889209, CMV- chili pepper isolate Yumbo: MK889210, CMV-chili pepper isolate Vijes: MK889211, and CMV-chili pepper isolate Yotoco: MK889212.

In order to know the percentage of identity of our quasispecies with other isolates from the GenBank database, an identity analysis was carried out in SDT (Tab. 1). Our CMV quasispecies isolated from chili pepper are highly related to the CMV isolated from the banana crop in Ecuador (KU127245) with 98.5% identity, followed by the CMV isolated from lulo (*Solanum quitoense*) in Colombia (MG696856) with 98.3% identity (Gallo *et al.*, 2018). However, it is important to highlight that our CMV quasispecies have a lower identity percentage (between 93.5 and 94.3%) with CMV isolated from tomato-JX101617 in Palmira, Colombia (Uribe-Echeverry, 2012), taking into account that this isolate was found in a plant from the same botanical family and that it was located in the same biogeographic region of the samples evaluated in this study.

Considering the classification of the different CMV isolates explained above, CMV sequences of subgroups IA, IB and II were included for our bioinformatics analysis. All reference sequences were downloaded from GenBank. Multiple nucleotide alignment among the chosen sequences and the CMV sequences obtained in this study showed their highest nucleotide identity with a CMV isolated from bananas in Ecuador (KU127245.1) that is placed into subgroup IA. The phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3) confirms that the CMV quasispecies detected in this study belongs to subgroup IA and has a close phylogenetic relationship with the CMV isolate found in banana plants in Ecuador and Colombia. This result has a huge impact because banana was previously grown in some of the areas where our CMV quasispecies was detected. Therefore, it is very possible that the CMV isolate has jumped from banana plants to chili peppers and has successfully adapted to this crop through time and through different selective pressures that operate in chili

CMV Isolate	Subgroup	GenBank accession	Host	Country	CMV chili pepper Palmira / Yumbo / Vijes / Yotoco		
CMV Banana	IA	7KU127245	Musa paradisiaca	Ecuador	98.5% / 98.3% / 98.7% / 98.5%		
CMV Lulo	IA	MG696856	Solanum quitoense	Colombia	98.3% / 98.3% /98.1% / 98.3%		
CMV Tobacco	IA	AB448694	Nicotiana tabacum	Syria	98.1% / 98.1% / 97.9% / 97.8%		
CMV Banana	IA	U32859	Musa paradisiaca	Ecuador	97.9% / 97.8% /98.1% / 97.9%		
CMV Plantain	IA	U32858	Musa balbisiana	Colombia	97.6% / 97.6% / 97.8% / 97.9%		
CMV Melon	IA	KP165582	Cucumis melo	Germany	97.6% / 97.4% / 97.4% / 97.2%		
CMV Passionfruit	IA	KU976477	Passiflora edulis	Nigeria	97.2% / 97% / 97% / 97.2%		
CMV Tomato	IB	JX101617	Solanum lycopersicum	Colombia	94.3% / 94.1% / 94.1% / 93.9%		
CMV Pepper	IB	KU976471	Capsicum sp.	Nigeria	93.9% / 93.8% / 93.8% / 93.6%		
CMV Pepper	IB	KU976466	Capsicum sp.	Iran	92.7% / 92.5% / 92.5% / 92.3%		
CMV Pepper	IB	KU976467	Capsicum sp.	Sudan	91.9% / 91.8% / 91.8% / 91.2%		
CMV Pepper	Ш	KU976485	Capsicum sp.	Australia	78.6% / 78.5% / 79% / 79%		
CMV Tobacco	Ш	KU976486	Nicotiana tabacum	Germany	77.7% / 77.5% / 78.1% / 78.1%		
CMV Tobacco	II	AJ810256	Nicotiana tabacum	USA	77.7% / 77.5% / 78.1% / 78.1%		

TABLE 1. Percentage identities of the 549 bp fragment from CP gene of CMV chili pepper regarding the most related CMV variants found in the Gen-Bank database. The highest identity values are shown in bold.



FIGURE 3. Phylogenetic relationships of the CMV chili pepper, constructed from the CP gene at the nucleotide level and its relationship with related CMV isolates. The bar below the tree indicates nucleotide substitutions per site. The evolutionary history was inferred using the neighbor-joining method and the evolutionary distances were computed using the maximum composite likelihood method. The bootstrap consensus of the tree was inferred from 2000 replicates. Only bootstrap values above 50% are shown.

peppers in relation to those that operate in banana. It is also noteworthy that, although a CMV quasispecies isolate from tomato had been previously detected in Colombia, it is not related to our isolates because the CMV isolated from tomato is clustered in subgroup IB, characterized by having "Asian strains" (Palukaitis and Zaitlin, 1997). Despite being solanaceous, these results may indicate that the evolutionary selective pressures on the quasispecies found in these crops are different and are leading to speciation that has taken the viral variants so far that they are practically associated with different groups. We cannot discard the possibility that this event could probably happen through the exchange of contaminated seeds, due to the fact that CMV is also disseminated by seeds (Ali and Kobayashi, 2010). To our knowledge, this is the first record of the molecular identification of a CMV quasispecies affecting chili peppers in Colombia.

#### Specific primer design and detection of CMV chili pepper

With the previously obtained sequences, a pair of primers was designed in order to perform a more precise and sensitive detection of this CMV quasispecies: CMV chili pepper-F (CTT-TAC-GAA-CTG-TCA-CCC) and CMV chili pepper-R (AAC-TAT-TAA-CCA-CCC-AAC-C) that amplified a 229 bp fragment from the CP gene. In order to corroborate the efficacy of these primers, RT-PCR was carried out by taking cDNA from chili pepper samples positive for CMV as well as genomic chili pepper DNA. According to the results obtained in the efficacy test (data not shown), the expected fragment (229 bp) of CMV was observed in the sample that had previously been reported as positive for CMV using the primers described by Herrera-Vásquez et al. (2009). It was also observed that there was no amplification when genomic DNA was used as a template, so the possibility that the amplified fragment corresponds to a part of the genome of the plant is discarded.

With this pair of primers, a new detection on the same samples was carried out. We found that 64.7% (46/71) of the samples were positive for this CMV quasispecies: 100% (6/6) of the samples from Palmira, 62.5% (15/24) of the samples from Yumbo, 73.3% (22/30) of the samples from Vijes and 27.2% (3/11) of the samples from Yotoco. Besides reaffirming the results obtained with the previously

described primers, new samples were detected as positive for this virus (Tab. 2). This result shows that the primers designed in this study have high sensitivity, since the number of positive samples for CMV was increased by 12% (64.7%).

The presence of CMV chili pepper was found in all (three) of the evaluated chili pepper varieties. However, we found that the varieties tabasco and habanero show symptoms associated with viral disease, which could indicate that they are susceptible to CMV chili pepper. Otherwise, the variety cayenne was phenotypically asymptomatic despite being positive for CMV chili pepper. From this result, we can suggest that this variety would serve as a virus reservoir, but it could also be a good material to look for CMV tolerance genes.

#### Capsid protein analysis of CMV chili pepper

Studies by Perry et al. (1998) have shown that in the capsid protein of CMV there are certain key amino acid positions for viral transmission mediated by vector insects (aphids): one isolate is highly transmissible by aphids (CMV-Fny), and the other one is transmitted at low frequency by aphids (CMV-M), and the amino acids and their position have been determined (Fig. 4). In this study, our CMV isolates were compared and we found that CMV chili peppers shared the amino acid arrangement with the CMV-Fny isolate (Fig. 4) indicating that our isolates most likely belong to the group of those that are highly transmissible by aphids. Also, the study by Perry et al. (1998) shows that some mutations in the conserved positions of the CMV-Fny isolate increase or decrease the transmission by different aphid species, such as Aphis gossypii or Myzus persicae. Our analysis indicates that the CMV chili pepper, in addition to being

Municipality	Chili nonnor vorioty	Positive plants / plant collected (% viral infection)				
wunicipanty	Cilli pepper variety -	Herrera-Vásquez's primers universal	Primers designed in this work (CMV chili pepper)			
Delmire	Habanero	1/1 (100%)	1/1 (100%)			
Faiiiiia	Tabasco	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)			
Vumbo	Tabasco	11/22 (50%)	14/22 (63%)			
TUIIDO	Cayena	1/2 (50%)	1/2 (50%)			
Viice	Tabasco	11/21 (52.3%)	14/21 (66.6%)			
vijes	Habanero	6/9 (66.6%)	8/9 (88.8%)			
Yotoco	Tabasco	2/11 (18.1%)	3/11 (27.2%)			
	Tabasco	29/59 (49.1%)	36/59 (61%)			
	Habanero	7/10 (70%)	9/10 (90%)			
roui municipanties	Cayena	1/2 (50%)	1/2 (50%)			
	Total	37/71 (52.1%)	46/71 (64.7%)			

TABLE 2. PCR comparison in the CMV chili pepper detection in chili pepper crops using primers reported by Herrera-Vásquez (2009) and primers designed in this work (CMV chili pepper).

	44	97	130	136	155	182	
CP-CMV-M*	K	Р	Α	Y	I	G	Мр
CP-CMV-Fny*	K	Р	Α	Y	V	G	Ag
MK889211 CP-CMV Chili Pepper	ITLKPPKIDR	PA <mark>SS</mark>	 GVQANNK	LLYDL <mark>S</mark> A	<b>Y</b> AVLV	VDIEHQRIPMSGV	Ag
MK889209 CP-CMV Chili Pepper Palmira	ITLKPPKIDR	PA <mark>SS</mark>	 GVQANNK	LLYDL <mark>S</mark> A	YAVLV	VDIEHQRIPMSGV	Ag
MK889210 CP-CMV Chili Pepper Yumbo	ITLKPPKIDR	PASS	 GVQANNK	LLYDLSA	YAVLV	VDIEHQRIPMSGV	Ag
MK889212 CP-CMV Chili Pepper Yotoco	ITLKPPKIDR	PASS	 GVQANNK	LLYDLSA	YAILV	VDIEHQRIPMSGV	Ag
ALS40407 CP-CMV Banana - Ecuador	ITLKPPKIDR	PA <mark>SS</mark>	 GVQANNK	LLYDL <mark>S</mark> A	<u>YAVLV</u>	VDIEHQRIPISGV	Ag
BAJ79033 CP-CMV Tobacco - Syria	ITLKPPKIDR	PASS	 GVQANNK	LLYDLSA	<u>YAVLV</u>	VDIEHQRIPTSGV	Ag
AAB50176 CP-CMV Banana - Colombia	ITLKPPKIDR	PASS	 GVQANNK	LLY <mark>DPS</mark> A	<u>YAVLV</u>	VDIEHQRIPTSGV	Ag
APB09213 CP-CMV (IB) Pepper - Iran	ITLKPPKIDR	PASS	 GVQANNK	LLHDLSA	<u>YAVLV</u>	VDIEHQRIPTSGV	ND
APB09232 CP-CMV (II) Pepper - Australia	IALKPPEIEK	PSSS	 GVQANNK	LLYDLSE	YAVLV		ND

\*Consensus sequence reported by Perry et al. (1998)

FIGURE 4. Capsid protein analysis from CMV chili pepper. Abbreviation on the right side indicates which aphid species transmits each CMV isolate: *Mp (Myzus persicae), Ag (Aphis gossypii*), ND (Not determined).



FIGURE 5. A) Symptoms in tabasco pepper (*Capsicum frutescens*) collected from the field in the municipality of Yumbo, positive for CMV chili pepper. B) Symptoms of CMV chili pepper in a tabasco pepper plant mechanically inoculated under greenhouse conditions. C) Healthy tabasco pepper plant.

an isolate highly transmissible by aphids, is more likely to be transmitted by *A. gossypii* and has a low probability of being transmitted by *M. persicae*.

# Mechanical transmission of CMV chili pepper

At one-month post-inoculation, CMV chili pepper was detected in 86.6% (13/15) of tested plants by RT-PCR, using the specific primers that we previously designed (CMV chili pepper-F / CMV chili pepper-R). These plants showed symptoms such as leaf deformation and yellow vein (Fig. 5). This result confirms that the CMV chili pepper is an infectious agent for chili pepper plants in Colombia.

# Conclusions

This study reports, for the first time, the detection and characterization of cucumber mosaic virus in chili pepper crops in four municipalities of Valle del Cauca using molecular techniques in 64.7% (46/71) of the evaluated samples. The CMV chili pepper CP analysis indicated that the virus could be transmissible by the species *Aphis gossypii*. Also, the CMV primers reported in this study can be implemented as an effective tool in the detection of CMV due to their sensitivity. Finally, this CMV isolate can be considered an infectious agent according to the observed symptoms in the mechanical transmission assay.

# **Acknowledgments**

The research was supported by the Centro de investigación e Innovación en Bioinformática y Fotónica CIBioFi and funded by the Sistema General de Regalías de Colombia (BPIN-2013000100007), Colciencias, Gobernación del Valle del Cauca and Company Hugo Restrepo & Cia. S.A. It was also partially funded by the project HERMES (Code 43908) of the Universidad Nacional de Colombia.

# Literature cited

- Ali, A. and M. Kobayashi. 2010. Seed transmission of *Cucumber mosaic virus* in pepper. J. Virol. Methods 163(2), 234-237. Doi: 10.1016/J.JVIROMET.2009.09.026
- Azizan, N.H., Z. Ahmad, Z. Abidin, and I.C. Phang. 2017. Study of cucumber mosaic virus gene expression in *Capsicum annuum*. Sci. Herit. J. 1(2), 29-31. Doi: 10.26480/gws.02.2017.29.31
- Dubey, V.K., Aminuddin, and V.P. Singh. 2008. First report of a subgroup IA *Cucumber mosaic virus* isolate from gladiolus in India. Australas. Plant Dis. Notes 3(1), 35-37. Doi: 10.1071/ DN08014
- Gallo, Y., L.F. Toro, H. Jaramillo, P.A. Gutiérrez, and M. Marín.
  2018. Identificación y caracterización molecular del genoma completo de tres virus en cultivos de lulo (*Solanum quitoense*) de Antioquia (Colombia). Rev. Colomb. Cienc. Hortic. 12(2), 281-292. Doi: 10.17584/rcch.2018v12i2.7692
- González-Garza, R. 2017. Evolución de técnicas de diagnóstico de virus fitopatógenos. Rev. Mex. Fitopatol. 35(3), 591-610. Doi: 10.18781/r.mex.fit.1706-1
- Green, S.K. and J.S. Kim. 1991. Characteristics and control of viruses infecting peppers: a literature review. Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center. URL: https://books.google.com. co/books/about/Characteristics\_and\_control\_of\_viruses\_i. html?id=NDMjAQAAMAAJ&pgis=1 (accessed 24 March 2018).
- Herrera-Vásquez, J.A., A. Alfaro-Fernández, M.C. Córdoba-Sellés, M.C. Cebrián, M.I. Font, and C. Jordá. 2009. First report of tomato torrado virus infecting tomato in single and mixed infections with cucumber mosaic virus in Panama. Plant Dis. 93(2), 198-198. Doi: 10.1094/PDIS-93-2-0198A
- International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. 2018. Virus taxonomy: The classification and nomenclature of viruses - The online (10<sup>th</sup>) report of the ICTV. URL: https://talk.ictvonline. org/taxonomy/ (accessed 18 April 2018).
- Kumar, S., G. Stecher, and K. Tamura. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for Bigger datasets. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33(7), 1870-1874. Doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Larkin, M.A., G. Blackshields, N.P. Brown, R. Chenna, P.A. McGettigan, H. McWilliam, F. Valentin, I.M. Wallace, A. Wilm, R. Lopez, J.D. Thompson, T.J. Gibson, and D.G. Higgins. 2007. Clustal W and clustal X version 2.0. Bioinformatics 23(21), 2947-2948. Doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm404
- Ministerio de Agricultura y Desarrollo Rural. 2018. Área cosechada y producción rendimiento producción de ají en Colombia, 2003-2013. Participación del cultivo en área cosechada y producción permanente. Agronet 1-5. URL: https://www.agronet.gov.co/estadistica/Paginas/home.aspx?cod=1 (accessed 2 April 2018).
- Morales, F., A.K. Martínez, A.C. Velasco, J.A. Arroyave, and C. Olaya. 2005. Identificación de un potyvirus que afecta ají y pimentón (*Capsicum* spp.) en el Valle del Cauca. Fitopatol. Colomb. 24(2), 77-80.
- Moury, B. and E. Verdin. 2012. Viruses of pepper crops in the Mediterranean Basin: a remarkable stasis. Adv. Virus Res. 84, 127-162. Doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-394314-9.00004-X
- Muhire, B.M., A. Varsani, and D.P. Martin. 2014. SDT: a virus classification tool based on pairwise sequence alignment and identity calculation. PLOS One 9(9), e108277. Doi: 10.1371/ JOURNAL.PONE.0108277

- Owen, J. and P. Palukaitis. 1988. Characterization of cucumber mosaic virus. I. Molecular heterogeneity mapping of RNA 3 in eight CMV strains. Virology 166(2), 495-502. Doi: 10.1016/0042-6822(88)90520-X
- Palukaitis, P. and M. Zaitlin. 1997. Replicase-mediated resistance to plant virus disease. Adv. Virus Res. 48, 349-377. Doi: 10.1016/ S0065-3527(08)60292-4
- Perry, K.L., L. Zhang, and P. Palukaitis. 1998. Amino acid changes in the coat protein of cucumber mosaic virus differentially affect transmission by the aphids *Myzus persicae* and *Aphis* gossypii. Virology 242(1), 204-210. Doi: 10.1006/viro.1998.8991
- Rahman, M.S., A.M. Akanda, I.H. Mian, M.K.A. Bhuiyan, and M.M. Hossain. 2016. New sources of resistance to *Cucumber mosaic virus* in *Capsicum annuum*. J. Crop Sci. Biotechnol. 19(3), 249-258. Doi: 10.1007/s12892-016-0044-1
- Robles-Hernández, L., A.C. González-Franco, E.M. Gill-Langarica, L. Pérez-Moreno, and J.C. López-Díaz. 2010. Virus fitopatógenos que afectan al cultivo de chile en México y análisis de las técnicas de detección. Tecnociencia Chihuaha 4(2), 72-86.
- Roossinck, M., L. Zhang, and K. Hellwald. 1999. Rearrangements in the 5' nontranslated region and phylogenetic analyses of cucumber mosaic virus RNA 3 indicate radial evolution of three subgroups. J. Virol. 73(8), 6752-6758. Doi: 10.1128/ JVI.73.8.6752-6758.1999
- Saitou, N. and M. Nei. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 4(4), 406-425. Doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454
- Subramanya-Sastry, K. 2013. Introduction to plant virus and viroid disease in the tropics. pp. 1-10. In: Sano, T.K. (ed.). Plant virus and viroid diseases in the tropics (Vol. 1). Springer, New Delhi. Doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-6524-5
- Scott, S.W. 2006. Bromoviridae and Allies. Encyclopedia of life sciences. John Wiley & Sons. Chichester, England. Doi: 10.1002/9780470015902
- Shukla, D.D., C.W. Ward, and A.A. Brunt, 1994. *The Potyviridae*. New Phytol. 131(2), 289-290. Doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1995. tb05732.x
- Tamura, K., M. Nei, and S. Kumar. 2004. Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbor-joining method. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 101(30), 11030-11035. Doi: 10.1073/ pnas.0404206101
- Uribe-Echeverry, P. 2012. Desarrollo de una metodología molecular para el diagnóstico rápido de virus que están infectando el tomate en Colombia. Undergraduate thesis, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Palmira, Colombia.
- Vaca-Vaca, J.C., J. Morales-Euse, D.M. Rivera-Toro, and K. López-López. 2019. Primer reporte de begomovirus infectando cultivos de ají (*Capsicum* spp.) en Colombia. Acta Biol. Colomb. 24, 452-462. Doi: 10.15446/abc.v24n3.79367
- Valadez-Ramírez, P., J. Paz-Román, S. Guzmán, M. Buenrostro-Nava, and D. Ochoa-Martínez. 2019. Nuevos registros de aislamientos del cucumber mosaic virus y su RNA satélite en Colima, México. Rev. Mex. Fitopatol. 37(2), 357-364. Doi: 10.18781/R.MEX.FIT.1901-2
- Wahyuni, W.S., R.G. Dietzgen, K. Hanada, and R.I.B. Francki. 1992. Serological and biological variation between and within subgroup I and II strains of cucumber mosaic virus. Plant Pathol. 41(3), 282-297. Doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3059.1992.tb02350.x