## Excellence and Precedence: Medieval Islamic Discourse on Legitimate Leadership

Asma Afsaruddin Leiden, The Netherlands: E. J. Brill, 2002. 322 pages.

The question of the imamate or the caliphate, the designation of the Muslim community's legitimate leader, is undoubtedly one of the most important in Islamic history. The first civil war (656-61), which broke out with the murder of Caliph `Uthman, had a profound effect not only on subsequent Islamic political and religious institutions, but also on later Muslims' views, accounts, and discussions of the community's early history. This bitter conflict, which necessarily involved extensive controversy concerning the identity and required qualifications of the community's legitimate leader,

Book Reviews 127

laid the foundations for an enduring theological split among Islam's three major "sects": the Shi`ites, the Sunnis, and the Kharijis – one that would persist long after the war ended with the assassination of `Ali.

Polemics among these groups, and among subcategories of the three main groups, each of which endeavored to justify its contemporary views on legitimate leadership and sectarian identity, were a creative force in many fields. Bodies of theoretical discussion, primarily in theology but also in law and other fields, grew around these polemics, using prooftexts from the Qur'an and Hadith, as well as historical accounts, as evidence in arguments about the Companions, their relationships with the Prophet, their relative merits and other moral qualities, and their dealings with each other. Though focused on a much earlier period and concerning conflicts long over, these polemics were all the more sensitive and emotionally charged because of their contemporary implications concerning the legitimacy of the sectarian groups' beliefs.

Her work reveals, by examining one important intellectual exchange, some of the processes by which this body of theoretical discussion grew. It analyzes *Bina' al-Maqalah al-Fatimiyah fi Naqd al-Risalah al-'Uthmaniyah*, a seventh-/thirteenth-century polemical Shi'ite work on the imamate, itself a refutation of a third-/ninth-century polemical work. The author, Jamal al-Din Ahmad ibn Musa ibn Tawus (d. 673/1274-75), belonged to an established Twelver Shi'ite scholarly family from Hillah, southern Iraq. Both he and his brother, Radiy al-Din 'Ali ibn Tawus (d. 664/1266), were important thirteenth-century scholars, although Radiy al-Din has been better served than Jamal al-Din in modern scholarship since the publication of Kohlberg's *A Medieval Muslim Scholar at Work: Ibn Tawus and His Library* (Leiden: 1992).

The *Bina' al-Maqalah* refutes an anti-Shi`ite work by the famous ninth-century litterateur, Mu`tazili theologian, and polymath `Amr ibn Bahr al-Jahiz (d. 255/868). The book against which Ibn Tawus wrote *Bina' al-Maqalah*, *al-Risalah al-`Uthmaniyah*, is itself an anti-Shi`ite polemic upholding the views of the `Uthmaniyah, who revered the first three caliphs (Abu Bakr, `Umar, and `Uthman) and rejected `Ali as a legitimate caliph. However, his arguments stressing Abu Bakr's superior qualifications for the caliphate are closely related to anti-Shi`ite polemics written by mainstream Sunni theologians, who generally accepted `Ali as a legitimate caliph, but one inferior in excellence to his three predecessors. Afsaruddin draws on al-Jahiz's work extensively in her discussion of its refutation, so that one might characterize this study as a comparative analysis of the two works.

This book is divided into an introduction, six chapters, and a conclusion. The first four chapters have to do with the arguments put forward to prove the right of Abu Bakr or `Ali to have succeeded the Prophet as caliph. Chapter 1. "The Excellence of Precedence," discusses the conversions of Abu Bakr and `Ali, and the relative merit assigned to them for their early conversion. Chapter 2, "The Precedence of Excellence," looks at the virtues of Abu Bakr and `Ali with attention to generosity, abstemiousness, veracity, and valor. Chapter 3, "The Epistemology of Excellence," covers the importance of knowledge as a requirement for the imamate. Chapter 4, "The Excellence of Propinquity to the Prophet: Kinship vs. Companionship," reviews the claims made about the relative strength and virtue associated with the close relationships that Abu Bakr and 'Ali enjoyed with the Prophet. In the last two chapters, Afsaruddin deals with some of the evidence used in making these arguments and focuses on hadith reports and Qur'anic verses: Chapter 5, "Canon of Excellence I: Hadith as Proof-Texts and the Principle of Nass," and chapter 6, "Canon of Excellence II: Qur'anic Verses as Proof-Texts."

This work is less about what the correct theological answer to the controversy is than about the historical development and effects of the argument itself. This controversy had wide-ranging effects on Islamic religious literature in various genres. This might be expected in theological treatises, for the imamate early on became one of the main divisions of Islamic dogma (*usul al-din*) or theology (*kalam*). It also had a profound effect on hadith criticism, Qur'anic exegesis, histories, biographies (*sirah*), and other genres. One of Afsaruddin's main accomplishments is showing in detail to what extent the interpretation of the Qur'an, Hadith, and early Islamic history was colored by this controversy. Another is her showing the importance of such little-studied genres as *manaqib* or *fada'il* (virtuous or excellent qualities) and *awa'il* (firsts), and their connection with such concepts as precedence (*sabq, sabiqah*), which played a major role in Sunni-Shi'ite polemics.

The work includes a few errors in translation. Ahmad ibn Hanbal is described as "the great learned shaykh, a quarter of those who are affiliated with the sunna" (p. 215). It is not "quarter" (*rub*") that is intended here, but rather "stalwart" (*rab*"). The theologian al-Baghdadi defined the Ahl al-Sunnah as "those who showed preference (*tafdil*) for Abu Bakr, 'Umar, and those who were after him, even though they differed with regard to the respective merits of 'Ali and 'Uthman" (p. 20). This should be "... considered Abu Bakr the best, then 'Umar, then those after ('Umar) ..." An unfortunate error is the translation of "al-Khidr," the character associated with

Book Reviews 129

the unnamed mystical teacher of Moses in *Surat al-Kahf*, as "vegetables" (*al-khudar*) (p. 174). While such errors certainly affect the reader's understanding of particular points, they are relatively minor in terms of the overall presentation.

Devin Stewart, Chair Department of Middle Eastern and South Asian Studies Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia