# Prevalence of Psychoactive Substance Use Among Nigerian Male Commercial Vehicle Drivers Selected from The Three Major Ethnic Groups in Nigeria

Tochukwu Frank Egwuatu\*, Onyekachi Ogbonnaya Iroanya, Khalid Olajide Adekoya

Department of Cell Biology and Genetics, Faculty of Science, University of Lagos, Nigeria

Corresponding author\*

tochukwufrankegwuatu@gmail.com

Manuscript received: 16 June, 2020. Revision accepted: 23 July, 2020. Published: 26 July, 2020.

#### Abstract

The use of psychoactive substances for several reasons by commercial vehicle drivers has been documented as a major cause of increased incidences of traffic accidents globally. The study aimed at determining the prevalence of psychoactive substance use among Nigerian male commercial vehicle drivers randomly selected from the three major ethnic groups in Nigeria (Igbo, Hausa and Yoruba). A structured questionnaire which included data on sociodemographic status and psychoactive drug use history was introduced to all participants. Generic Multi-Drug Urine Dip Card Test Kit and Shimadzu chromatograph system (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) were used for toxicological analyses. Out of the 264 Nigerian male commercial vehicle drivers who participated in this study, 111(42.0 %) had used illicit drugs in recent times, 55(20.8 %) took drugs for improved energy and 90(34.1 %) felt high after using illicit drugs. Marijuana was the most abused substance; 77(29.2 %) followed by benzodiazepine; 34(12.9) across all ethnicities studied. Across all ethnicities, HPLC revealed that the Hausa drivers had the highest concentration of psychoactive substances in their urine samples. These findings, reiterates the need for the Nigerian anti-drug agency to develop new strategies in conducting a repressive drug war and extending the same to bus stations.

Keywords: Psychoactive drug; Accident; Drivers; Forensic toxicology; Nigeria.

# INTRODUCTION

Forensic toxicology is the science which applies the principles of analytical chemistry and clinical toxicology for medico-legal purpose where the results are likely to be used in court (Wyman, 2012; Smith and Bluth, 2016). Forensic toxicology covers human performances such as impaired driving due to drug consumption, use of steroids by athletes and workplace drug testing (Jenkins, 2010, Wyman, 2012). Substance abuse is a patterned use of a drug in which the user consumes the substance in amounts or with methods which are harmful to themselves or others (Nutt et al., 2010). Psychoactive substances are classified into three main groups: those that alter perception, those that stimulate the brain and those that depress it (Vij, 2011). In 2018, the prevalence of any drug use in Nigeria is estimated at 14.4 per cent or 14.3 million people aged between 15 and 64 years and the extent of drug use in Nigeria is comparatively high when compared with the 2016 global annual prevalence of any drug use of 5.6 per cent among the adult population (UNODC, 2018). Psychoactive substances are prevalent in biological samples taken from injured drivers at the emergency units of several hospitals. Several studies have reported high prevalence

rates not only of alcohol but also of medicinal and illicit drugs in such samples (Bogstrand et al., 2011; Drummer et al., 2012). A lot is known about the magnitude of problems associated with drink-driving and the effectiveness of related countermeasures, but much less is known about driving under the influence of other psychoactive substances. Currently, there are no global estimates of deaths resulting from drug-driving, nor is the prevalence of drug-driving known. However, growing recognition of the problem of drug-driving has led to increased focus on this area among road safety policy-makers and researchers (EMCDDA, 2015). The issues linked with driving under the influence of alcohol and narcotic substances are subject to continuous interest not only for legal practitioners, but also for the police, prosecution, and medico-legal teams (EMCDDA, 2012). The use of psychoactive substances among commercial vehicle drivers is not only increasing but strangely predisposing the drivers and their passengers to health risks. There is however paucity of empirical data on the factors associated with this increase (Yunusa et al., 2017). Road traffic injuries claim more than 1.2 million lives each year and have a huge impact on health and development (Gopalakrishnan, 2012). Road traffic accidents are the leading cause of death among young

people aged between 15 and 29 years. The risk of a road traffic death varies significantly by region, and there has been little change in the regional rates of death since 2010. Injury and deaths arising from road traffic accidents are a major public health problem in developing countries where more than 85 % of all deaths and 90 % of disability-adjusted life years were lost from road traffic injuries (Nantulya and Reich, 2002). Road traffic accidents have emerged as an important public health issue which needs to be tackled by a multidisciplinary approach (Gopalakrishnan, 2012). The highest rates are still in the African Region, while the European Region has a rate far below the global average (9.3 per 100 000 populations, relative to the global rate of 17.4 (WHO, 2015). Almost half of all deaths on the world's roads are among those with the least protectionmotorcyclists, cyclists and pedestrians (WHO, 2015). Motor vehicle accident is a significant cause of mortality and morbidity in Nigeria, and driving under the influence of psychoactive substances to improve their performance and keep sleep at bay for as long as possible. The causes of motor vehicle accidents are varied and multi-factorial. However, the use of psychoactive substances plays a major role in the occurrence of motor vehicle accidents. Studies in Nigeria and other countries have shown a high prevalence of use of psychoactive substances, among various categories of drivers (Adekoya et al., 2011). Pela (1989) documented the use following drugs in decreasing order of frequency: alcohol, cigarettes, stimulants, cannabis (Indian hemp) and sedativehypnotics by adolescents in Benin, Nigeria. Males had been documented to have a higher prevalence of use of all the psychoactive substances (Igwe et al., 2009; Oshodi et al., 2010). The lifetime use of caffeine, alcohol, and tobacco has been noted to be significantly associated with male gender (Akanni and Adayonfo, 2015). A significant substance abuse problem in the undergraduate population in Botswana with alcohol being the most commonly used substance has also been reported (Olashore et al., 2018). The prevalence of psychoactive substances use among middle and high school students in the North Center of Morocco was comparable to the national prevalence. Hence, there is need to initiate psychoactive substance prevention programs among school students which should be based on factors associated with psychoactive substance use (Zarrouq et al., 2016). The study aimed at determining the prevalence of psychoactive substance use among Nigerian male commercial vehicle drivers randomly selected from the three major ethnic groups in Nigeria (Igbo, Hausa and Yoruba).

#### **MATERIAL AND METHOD**

#### Study design, location and population

This was a cross sectional study which employed both experimental and quantitative research design. The study adopted the purpose/judgmental sampling technique. The technique is a non-probability sampling method because the participants of interest which were drivers were selected from different motor parks located in Anambra, Abia (Igbo–speaking), Kaduna, Sokoto (Hausa–speaking), Oyo and Lagos States (Yoruba– speaking) ethnic group in Nigeria. The target population for the study was the male commercial driver's population (motorcycle riders, tricycle riders, taxi and bus drivers).

#### **Ethical approval**

Prior to sample collection, the research details were explained to all the participants after which they willingly consented to participate in the study. Questionnaires were completed by all the study participants and informed written consent was obtained. The study protocol was approved by the Health Research Ethics Committee (CMULHREC number: CMUL/HREC/05/18/349) of the University of Lagos, Nigeria. Permission was also requested and obtained from the National Union of Road Transport Workers (NURTW) in all sample States before the study was conducted.

#### **Sample and Data Collection**

Approximately 15 ml of urine was collected from each driver who participated in this study using sterile sample bottles. An on-site urinalysis was carried out using 5 ml of each urine sample to determine the general health status of the subjects. Afterwards, the remaining urine samples of the subjects were transported to the laboratory for toxicological analysis. Each driver in the various motor parks was interviewed until the desired sample size was attained. They responded to a sociodemographics and a semi-structured *pro forma*, which sought information on the type of substance commonly used, reasons for their use, usage frequency and related accident history.

#### **Toxicological Analysis**

The urine samples were tested using 10 Panel Generic Multi Drug Urine Dip Card Test Kit. The kit tested for psychoactive the presence of ten drugs (methamphetamine (METH), cocaine (COC), oxycodone (OXY), morphine (MOP), amphetamine (AMP), methadone (MTD) and barbiturates (BAR), marijuana (THC), Benzodiazepines (BZO) and phencyclidine (PCP)). The tip of the kit was dipped into the urine sample and allowed to wait for minimum of 10 seconds. The kit had a positive and negative panel for each drug. Each sample that tested positive to a particular drug would indicate a red line while negative showed two lines.

## **Quantification of Drugs in Polysubstance Users**

High performance liquid Chromatographic (HPLC) analysis was performed with the use of a Shimadzu liquid chromatograph equipped with a model LC-2010AHT gradient pump, CBM-20A system controller and Shimadzu CLASS-VP UV-Visible detector (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). All chemicals and reference standards (morphine, phencyclidine, benzodiazepine and  $\Delta 9$ -*Tetrahydrocannabinol* solution used for the HPLC analysis were all of analytical grade. purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The chromatographic separations were performed using a Sepax BR-C18 column (250×4.6 mm; Sepax Technologies, Inc.) with 5-µm particle diameter. The column temperature was set at 25°C, and the mobile phase was water (0.1 % formic acid) and Acetonitrile (0.1 % formic acid). This was

delivered at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min. The wavelength of the detector was set at 254 nm, and the injection volume of each extract was either 10  $\mu$ l for assay determination.

## **Data Analysis**

All numerical data collected were statistically analysed using Statistically Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows version 20.0 software. Frequency counts and percentage were generated for all variables, and statistical tests of significance were performed with correlation and regression analysis. Significance was fixed at  $P \le 0.05$  and highly significant if  $P \le 0.01$ . Concentrations of illicit drugs in the urine samples of polysubstance users were deduced from the chromatograms using the formula;

Concentration of drug (mg/L) =  $\frac{\text{Concentration of Standard x Area of Drug}}{\text{Area of Standard}}$ 

## RESULTS

Out of the 264 Nigerian male commercial vehicle drivers who participated in this study, 72 (27.27 %) were Hausas, 96 (36.36 %) each were Igbos and Yorubas respectively. In Table 1, the data obtained from the questionnaires revealed that the lowest Mean  $\pm$  SE value for age among the three ethnicities studied was 41.47  $\pm$ 

1.02 years (Igbo) while highest was  $42.07 \pm 1.56$  years (Hausa). The highest numbers of single (33(34.4 %)) and married (73(76.0 %)) drivers were obtained from Yoruba and Igbo drivers respectively. Also, the lowest numbers of single (13(18.1 %)) and married (59(81.9 %)) drivers were obtained from Hausa drivers.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of sociodemographic parameters in all drivers.

Donomotora	Variables	Distribution across ethnicities (%)					
rarameters	v artables	Hausa (N=72)	Igbo (N=96)	Yoruba (N=96)			
	Minimum	19.0	21.0	24.0			
Age (Years)	Minimum	72.0	67.0	72.0			
	Mean $\pm$ S.E	$42.07 \pm 1.56$	41.47±1.02	$41.96 \pm 1.21$			
Monital status (9/)	Single	13 (18.1)	23 (24)	33 (34.4)			
Iviaritar status (%)	Married	59 (81.9)	73 (76)	63(65.6)			

The study showed that 236 (89.4 %) were bus divers while 15 (5.7 %) and 13 (4.9 %) were car and tricycle drivers respectively. In Table 2, Igbo drivers had the highest (88 (91.7 %)) number of bus drivers while Hausas had the least (63 (87.5 %)). Hausa participants had the highest (28 (38.9 %) years) number of experienced divers while those with the highest number of individuals with the lowest (32 (33.3) years) years of experience were Igbo drivers. Yoruba participants had the highest (59 (61.5 %)) number of drivers who have had accidents followed by the Hausa (27 (37.5 %)) and Igbo (23 (24.0 %)) drivers respectively. In Table 3, Hausa drivers had the highest (54 (75.0 %)) number of illicit drug users while the Igbo drivers had the lowest (20 (20.8 %)) number. Marijuana was indicated to be the most used drug by the drivers compared to other psychoactive drugs across all ethnicities; Hausa (36(50.0 %)), Igbo (13(13.5 %)) and Yoruba (36(37.5 %)). Across all ethnic groups, increased energy was observed to the major reason why drivers used illicit drugs. Additionally, smoking was indicated as the major mode of administration of illicit drugs among the drivers across all ethnic groups. Feeling high after drug use was indicated as the major post administration effect of illicit drug use across all ethnic groups.

Damana Aona	Variables		Frequency across ethnicities (%)					
Parameters	variables	Hausa	Igbo	Yoruba				
	Bus	63 (87.5)	88 (91.7)	85 (88.5)				
Vehicle type	Car	7 (9.7)	0 (0)	8 (8.3)				
	Tricycle	2 (2.8)	8 (8.3)	3 (3.1)				
	< 5 years	14 (19.4)	32 (33.3)	22 (22.9)				
Driving experience	< 10 years	30 (41.7)	38 (39.6)	52 (54.2)				
	$\geq 10$ years	28 (38.9)	26 (27.1)	22 (22.9)				
A anidant history	Yes	27 (37.5)	23(24.)	59 (61.5)				
Accident history	No	45 (62.5)	73 (76)	37 (38.5)				
	< 5 times	20 (27.8)	20 (20.8)	50 (52.1)				
Number of times	< 10 times	5 (6.9)	3 (3.1)	8 (8.3)				
	$\geq$ 10 times	2 (2.8)	0 (0)	1 (1.0)				
	None	45(62.5)	73 (76)	37(38.5)				

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of driving experience and accident history parameters in all ethnicities.

 Table 3. Descriptive statistics of psychoactive drug use history parameters in all drivers.

Domony of on	Variable	Frequency across ethnicities (%)				
Parameter	variable	Hausa (N=72)	Igbo (N=96)	Yoruba (N=96)		
How you used drugs hefere?	Yes	54 (75)	20 (20.8)	37 (38.5)		
Have you used drugs before:	No	18(25)	76 (79.2)	59 (61.5)		
	Maijuana	36 (50)	13 (13.5)	36 (37.5)		
True of dames	Tablet	18 (25)	6 (6.3)	1 (1)		
Type of drug	Syrup	0(0)	1(1)	0 (0)		
	None	18(25)	76 (79.2)	59 (61.5)		
	Alertness	6 (8.3)	4 (4.2)	10 (10.4)		
	Increased energy	26 (36.1)	10 (10.4)	19 (19.8)		
Dessen for done -	Improve sexual performance	2(2.8)	1 (1.0)	4 (4.2)		
Reason for drug use	Pleasure	18 (25)	2 (2.1)	1 (1)		
	Peer pressure	2(2.8)	3 (3.1)	3 (3.1)		
	None	18(25)	76 (79.2)	59 (61.5)		
	Yes	54 (75)	18 (18.8)	36 (37.5)		
Did you use it in the past 90 days?	No	0(0)	2 (2.1)	1 (1)		
	None	18 (25)	76 (79.2)	59 (61.5)		
	Everyday	35 (48.6)	18 (18.8)	32 (33.3)		
E	Weekends	8 (11.1)	2 (2.1)	4 (4.2)		
Frequency of use	Weekly	11(15.3)	0 (0)	1 (1)		
	None	18 (25)	76 (79.2)	59 (61.5)		
	Last 24 h	33 (45.8)	19 (19.8)	34 (35.4)		
	1-3 day(s) ago	12 (16.7)	1(1)	2 (2.1)		
Last time used	Last week	9 (12.5)	0 (0)	O (0)		
	$\geq 1 \text{ month}$	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1)		
	None	18 (25)	76 (79.2)	59 (61.5)		
	Smoking	35 (48.6)	14 (14.6)	36 (37.5)		
Mode of administration	Ingestion	19(26.4)	6 (6.3)	1 (1.0)		
	None	18 (25)	76 (79.2)	59(61.5)		
	Yes	13 (18.1)	6 (6.3)	15 (15.6)		
Do you feel addicted to it?	No	41(56.9	14 (14.6)	22 (22.9)		
-	None	18 (25)	76 (79.2)	59 (61.5)		
	Park sellers	52 (72.2)	14 (14.6)	29 (30.2		
How do you get it?	Private suppliers	2(2.8)	6 (6.3)	8 (8.3)		
• •	None	18 (25.)	76 (79.2)	59 (61.5)		
	Yes	9 (12.5)	3 (3.1)	3 (3.1)		
Is the drug costly?	No	45(62.5)	17 (17.7)	34 935.4)		
	None	18 (25)	76 (79.2)	59(61.5)		
	Yes	21 (29.2)	12 (12.5)	23 (24)		
Can you do without it?	No	33 (45.8)	8 (8.3)	14 (14.5)		
-	None	18 (25)	76 (79.2)	59 (61.5)		
	High	35.00 (48.6)	19 (19.8)	36 937.5)		
Feeling after use	Sleepy	19 (26.4)	1 (1.0)	1(1)		
	None	18 (25)	76 (79.2)	59 (61.5)		

Table 4 shows the distribution of the participants by psychoactive drug use history. Out of the 264 participants across all ethnic groups, 111(42.0 %) had used illicit drugs in recent times while 153(58.0 %) did

not, 55(20.8 %) abused illicit drugs for increased energy and 85(32.2 %) used illicit drugs daily. Additionally, 90(34.1 %) of the respondents indicated that they felt high after taking illicit drugs.

Parameter	Variable	Frequency (%)
Have you used dwigs before?	Yes	111 (42)
Have you used drugs before:	No	153 (58)
	Alertness	20 (7.6)
Parameter         Variable         Free           Have you used drugs before?         Yes         111           No         153         Alertness         200           Increased energy         550         111           Pleasure         210         111           Peer pressure         803         130           None         153         153           Frequency of use         Everyday         850           Weekends         130         130           Weekends         131         130           Mode of administration         Ingestion         260           None         153         550           Do you feel addicted to it?         No         760           None         153         153           How do you get it?         Park sellers         940           Private suppliers         170         170           None         153         153           High         900 <t< td=""><td>55 (20.8)</td></t<>	55 (20.8)	
Desson for drug use	Improve sexual performance	7 (2.7)
Reason for unug use	Pleasure	21 (8)
	Peer pressure	8 (3)
	None	153 (58)
	Everyday	85 (32.2)
Frequency of use	Weekends	13 (4.9)
Frequency of use	Weekly	13(4.9)
	None	153 (58)
	Smoking	85 (32.2)
Mode of administration	Ingestion	26 (9.8)
	None	153 (58)
	Yes	35 (13.3)
Do you feel addicted to it?	No	76 (28.8)
	None	153 (58)
	Park sellers	94 (35.6)
How do you get it?	Private suppliers	17 (6.4)
	None	153 (58)
	High	90 (34.1)
Feeling after use	Sleepy	21 (8)
	None	153 (58)

**Table 4**. Distribution of the respondents by psychoactive drug use history.

N= 264

Pearson correlation analysis was carried out to determine the relationship between sociodemographics, driving experience history and psychoactive drug use history. Across all ethnic groups (Table 5), ethnicity correlated with drug use, drug name, reason for drug use, frequency of drug use, feeling of addiction, acquisition of drugs and cost and post administration effects of drug use at 0.01 level of significance. However, there was no correlation between ethnicity and mode of administration (p $\geq$ 0.05). Accident history correlated with drug use, drug name, reason for drug use, frequency of drug use, mode of administration, feeling of addiction, acquisition of drugs and cost and post administration effects of drug use drug use at 0.01 level of significance.

All urine samples were analysed using drug test kits. In Table 6, marijuana 77(29.2 %) was the most abused substance followed by benzodiazepine 34(12.9 %) across all ethnicities studied. Marijuana had the highest 32(44.4 %) number of users among the Hausa drivers, followed by benzodiazepine 28(38.9 %) while the least was morphine 3(4.2 %). For the Igbo drivers, the use of marijuana was the highest 14(14.6 %), followed by methadone 10(10.4 %) with the least being morphine and phencyclidine 1(1 %). Amongst the Yoruba drivers, marijuana was also most abused substance 31(32.3 %) followed by benzodiazepine 4(4.2 %) while the least abused drugs were barbiturate and methadone 1(1 %) each.

Across all ethnicities, Hausa drivers had the highest number of polysubstance users compared to other ethnic groups as shown in Table 7.

Parameter	Drug use	Name of drugs	Reason for drug use	Used past 90 days	Frequency of use	Last time used	Mode of administration	Feeling of addicted	How do you get it	Is the drug costly	Can you do without it	Feeling after use
Age	0.02	-0.02	-0.09	-0.02	0.01	-0.01	-0.02	-0.05	-0.00	0.00	0.03	-0.04
Ethnicity	0.26**	$0.20^{**}$	$0.18^{**}$	$0.26^{**}$	0.19**	$0.22^{**}$	0.12	$0.18^{**}$	0.39**	.026**	$0.17^{**}$	0.19**
<b>Marital Status</b>	-0.02	-0.02	-0.07	-0.04	0.02	0.00	-0.03	-0.07	-0.03	-0.02	-0.02	-0.03
Vehicle Type	-0.04	-0.02	.028	0.01	-0.05	-0.05	-0.02	-0.02	0.01	-0.04	-0.08	-0.05
Driving experience	-0.01	-0.04	-0.09	-0.05	-0.02	-0.02	-0.03	-0.02	-0.03	0.00	-0.00	-0.03
Accident history	0.33**	0.37**	0.34**	0.31**	0.34**	0.35**	0.37**	0.36**	$0.18^{**}$	$0.29^{**}$	0.31**	0.36**
Number of times	0.26**	0.30**	$0.28^{**}$	0.25**	$0.26^{**}$	0.27**	0.30**	0.30**	$0.14^{*}$	0.25**	$0.24^{**}$	$0.28^{**}$
		* = Corre	elation is s	ignificant a	at the $0.05$	level.		** =	Correlatio	on is signifi	icant at the	0.01 level

Table 5. Correlation between sociodemographics, driving experience history and psychoactive drug use history in ethnic groups.

\*\* = Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

Table 6. Frequency distribution of drugs across ethnic groups rom toxicological testing.

						I	Drug				
Ethnicity	7	THC	MOP	BAR	COC	OXY	AMP	MET	РСР	BZO	MTD
		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Hausa		32	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	28	0
(N=72)		(44.4)	(4.2)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(5.6)	(38.9)	(0)
Igbo		14	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	10
(N=96)		(14.6)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(2.10)	(10.4)
Yoruba		31	3	1	0	0	0	2	2	4	1
(N=96)		(32.3)	(3.1)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2.1)	(2.1)	(4.2)	(1)
All ethnic	cities	77	7	1	0	0	0	2	7	34	11
(N=264)		(29.2)	(2.7)	(0.4)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0.8)	(2.7)	(12.9)	(4.2)
Key: TH	IC = Tett	rahydrocabir	nnol	BAR = Barbitur	ate	PCP = Phencycl	idine	COC = Cocair	ne	MOP = Morph	ine
AN	MP = Am	phetamine		MTD = Methado	one	BZO = Benzodi	azepines	OXY = Oxyco	odone	MET = Metha	mphetamine

Table 7. Frequency distribution of polysubstance users across all ethnic groups.

				Drug			
Ethnicity	<b>BZO+THC</b>	THC+PCP	THC+MOP	BZO+THC+PCP	BZO+THC+MOP	PCP+THC	BZO+MOP+
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	+MOP (%)	PCP (%)
Hausa	1	1	0	3	2	0	1
(N=72)	(1.4)	(1.4)	(0)	(4.2)	(2.8)	(0)	(1.4)
Igbo	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
(N=96)	(2.1)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Yoruba	1	0	2	1	1	1	0
(N=96)	(1)	(0)	(2.1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(0)
All ethnicities	4	2	2	4	3	1	1
N=264	(1.5)	(0.8)	(0.8)	(1.5)	(1.1)	(0.4)	(0.4)

Key: THC = Tetrahydrocabinnol, BZO = Benzodiazepine, MOP = Morphine, PCP = Phencyclidine

Chromatographic analysis was performed using the urine samples of participants who tested positive to two or more drugs. Table 8 shows that the polysubstance users used mainly marijuana, benzodiazepine, morphine and phencyclidine. Across all ethnicities, the Hausa drivers had the highest concentration of psychoactive substances in their urine samples. The chromatographs did not show THC only in samples H14, H17 and IG58. Tetrahydrocabinnol and BZO only were not detected by HPLC in samples H53 and H66. In samples H16 and Y22, BZO only and MOP only were not detected by HPLC respectively. Also, BZO and MOP were not detected by HPLC in sample H07 but PCP was detected.

Table 8. Concentrations of different drugs in polysubstance users.

Ethnioite	Subject	Drug concentrations in urine (mg/L)							
Ethnicity	Subject	РСР	THC	BZO	MOP				
Hanna	H07	1321.3	671.7	0	0				
	H12	0	210.6	15.9	0				
	H14	0	0	0.02	0				
	H16	0	0.2	0	0.5				
паиза	H17	0	0	0.1	93				
	H40	10.7	8	0.	0				
	H53	122.9	0	0	0				
	H66	167.4	0	0	0				
Igbo	IG25	34.7	8.7	0	0				
	IG36	0	0.9	1.2	0				
	IG58	0	0	2.3	0				
	Y03	108.1	25.6	0	1.2				
	Y04	0	19.5	0	32.8				
Vombo	Y06	0.02	0.8	1.2	0				
roruba	Y10	0	0.8	1.5	0				
	Y21	0	0.1	0.3	0.2				
	Y22	0	0.1	0	0				
Standards:	PCP = (2.5)	5)	Т	HC = (2.4)					
	MOP = (3.	99)	В	ZO = (2.39)					
Key:	MOP = Me BZO = Be H = Hausa Y = Yorub	orphine nzodiazepir a	P nes T I(	PCP = Phencyclidine THC = Tetrahydrocabinnol IG = Igbo					

## DISCUSSION

The ages of the commercial vehicle divers who participated in this study ranged from 19 to 72 years old. Majority of the drivers studied fell with the age range (15-64 years) given by UNODC, (2018) as the age range that has the highest prevalence of drug use. Across all ethnic groups, 111 (42.0 %) of the respondents had used illicit drugs in recent times and this value is higher than what was reported in the report of Iroanya et al., (2018) who reported that only 5.7 % of their subjects had taken other stimulants aside alcohol in recent times. The study revealed that 55 (20.8 %) abused illicit drugs for increased energy, 20(7.6 %) for alertness, 21(8.0 %) for pleasure and 85 (32.2 %) used illicit drugs daily. Additionally, 90 (34.1 %) and 21(8.0 %) of the respondents indicated that they felt high or sleepy respectively after taking illicit drugs. These findings agree with the report of Yunusa et al., (2017) who stated that the desires to relax/sleep after a hard days job (84.8 %), work hard (48 %), relieve stress (81 %), relieve anxiety (66.5 %) and pleasure (72 %) were the major factors associated with the abuse of substances by the respondent.

This study revealed that 52(72.22 %) of drivers from the Hausa ethnicity used one or more psychoactive substance(s) and this agrees with the study of Yunusa *et al.*, (2017) who reported that eight out of every ten (81.1 %) of drivers who participated in their study has abused a substance. This study showed that 25(26.04 %) of Igbo drivers used one or more drug(s) and this is lower than the findings of Aniedu and Okonkwo, (2008) who reported the prevalence of psychoactive drug use amongst taxi drivers to be 85.4 %. It was also observed that 36(37.5 %) of the Yoruba drivers also used one or more psychotropic substance(s) and this is also higher than the report of Iroanya et al, (2018) who reported that 24.6 % of their participants tested positive to at least one psychoactive drug in a study conducted at two bus stations in Lagos state, Nigeria. Additionally, 113(42.8) of the participants across all ethnic groups tested positive to one or more psychoactive substance(s). This is lower than the finding of Hamman et al., (2018) who documented that 57.7 % of the subjects tested positive for substance abuse in a study conducted among professional minibus drivers at Zagazig city, Sharqia Governorate, Egypt. The study showed that the substances commonly used by the drivers were marijuana (29.2 %), benzodiazepine (12.9 %). methadone (4.2 %), phencyclidine (2.7 %), morphine (2.7 %) and Methamphetamine (0.8 %). This is significantly higher than the findings of Bogstrand et al., (2012) who reported that most commonly found substances among drivers in normal traffic were Cannabis (0.7 %), benzodiazepines (0.8 %), methadone (0.1 %), methamphetamine (0.2 %) and Morphine (0.0 %)%). From this study, marijuana was the most used drugs among the drivers who participated in the study. This agrees with the report of UNODC, (2018) which reported that Cannabis was the most commonly used drug in the Nigerian population. Hamman et al., (2018) also reported that the most common abused substance was cannabis which represented 80 % of the positive participants. Cannabis is the world's most commonly used illicit drug which occupies fourth place in worldwide popularity amongst psychoactive drugs, after caffeine, nicotine and alcohol (Vij, 2011). It was also observed from this study that the use of benzodiazepine by the subjects was also common after marijuana's use. This disagrees with the report UNODC (2018) which stated that cannabis' use was followed by opioids. Interestingly, the use of methodone was highest among the Igbo drivers. This disagrees with the findings of Aniedu and Okonkwo, (2008) who reported that the commonest drugs used by taxi drivers in Enugu were alcohol, tobacco and central nervous system stimulants such as coffee and kolanut. It was observed that none of the drivers across all ethnic groups tested positive to cocaine, oxycodone and amphetamine. This might be due to the unavailability of these drugs within their environments, moreover, they are also very expensive. This however is in disagreement with the findings of Dini et al., (2019) who documented that 21.3 % and 2.2 % of the truck drivers who took part in their study consumed amphetamine and cocaine respectively. This study revealed that the Hausa drivers had the highest number of polysubstance users. The concentrations of PCP, THC and BZO were generally higher in the Hausa

drivers than other ethnic groups. This agrees with the report of NDLEA (2011) regarding the urgent need for coordination and collaboration between tiers of government to tackle the scourge of drug abuse in the Northern Nigeria. It has been predicted that the use of illicit drugs kill around 0.2 million people each year, shattering families and bringing misery to thousands of other people. Illicit drugs undermine economic and social development and contribute to crime, instability, insecurity and the spread of HIV (NDLEA, 2011).

#### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The prevalence of hypertension among the Nigerian male commercial drivers in the three major ethnicities studied is high and of public health importance. The threat of drug abuse is real and enormous. Hence, the Nigerian government and relevant non-governmental organizations should come up with viable strategies on how to identify areas with high drug addiction prevalence, train specialist staff to handle drug abuse issues, educate drivers and the public on the negative effects of drug abuse. This study has revealed that a sizable proportion of the Nigerian male commercial drivers operating in the selected bus stations in Anambra, Abia, Kaduna, Sokoto, Oyo and Lagos States, Nigeria use psychoactive substances as diagnosed by the drug kits. It is very crucial for the Nigerian anti-drug agency (National Drug Law Enforcement Agency (NDLEA)) to develop new tactics in conducting a repressive drug war, and make attempts to understand the psychological world of addicts in order to formulate appropriate policies against drug abuse. There can be no better time and appropriate turning point than now.

*Conflict of interest:* The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest concerning the publication of this article.

#### REFERENCES

- Akanni, O. O. and Adayonfo, E. O. 2015. Correlates of psychoactive substance use among Nigerian Adolescents. *Sahel Medical Journal*. 18(4): 192 – 199.
- Adekoya, B. J., Adekoya, A. O., Adepoju, F. G. and Owoeye. J. F. A. 2011. Driving under influence among long distance commercial drivers in Ilorin, Nigeria. *International Journal of Biological and Medical Research*. 2(4): 870 – 873.
- Aniebu, P. N. and Okonkwo, K. O. B. 2008. Prevalence of psychoactive drug use by Taxi drivers in Nigeria. *Journal of College of Medicine*. 13: 48-52
- Bogstrand, S. T., Normann, P. T., Rossow, I, Larsen, M., Morland, J. and Ekeberg, O. 2011. Prevalence of alcohol and other substances of abuse among injured patients in a Norwegian emergency department. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. **117**:132–138.
- Bogstrand, S. T., Gjerde, H., Normann, P. T., Rossow, and Ekeberg, O. 2012. Alcohol, psychoactive substances and non-

fatalroad traffic accidents - a case-control study. *BMC Public Health.* 12:734.

- Dini, G., Bragazzi, N. L., Montecucco, A., Rahmani, A. and Durando, P. 2019. Psychoactive drug consumption among truck-drivers: a systematic review of the literature with metaanalysis and meta-regression. Journal of Preventive Medicine and Hygiene. 60: e124-e139.
- Drummer, O. H., Kourtis, I., Beyer, J., Tayler, P., Boorman, M. and Gerostamoulos, D. 2012. The prevalence of drugs in injured drivers. *Forensic Science International*. 215:14–17.
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA). 2012. Driving under the influence of drugs, alcohol and medicines in Europe-findings from the DRUID project. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 58pp.
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA). 2015. New psychoactive substances in Europe: innovative legal responses. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 14pp.
- Gopalakrishnan, S. 2012. A Public Health Perspective of Road Traffic Accidents. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*. 1(2): 144–150.
- Hammam, R. A. M., Zalat, M. M., Abdelsalam, N. M. and Mesallam, D. I. A. 2018. Substance abuse and driving behavior among professional minibus drivers at Zagazig city, Sharqia Governorate, Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Occupational Medicine. 42 (3):365-382.
- Igwe, W. C, Ojinaka, N., Ejiofor, S. O., Emechebe, G. O. and Ibe, B. C. 2009. Sociodemographic correlates of psychoactive substance abuse among secondary school students in Enugu, Nigeria. *European Journal of Social Sciences*. 12:277-283.
- Iroanya, O. O., Uguru-Okorie, C. G., Egwuatu, T. F.and Bello, A. J. 2018. Prevalence of substance abuse amongst commercial vehicle drivers in Bariga and Gbagada motor parks of Lagos State, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Applied Science Research.* 7(3):17-24.
- Jenkins, A. J. and Caplan, Y. H. 2010. Drug testing in alternate biological specimens. Humana Press. Totowa, NJ, USA. 186 pp.
- Nantulya, V. M. and Reich, M. R. 2002. The neglected epidemic: Road traffic injuries in developing countries. *British Medical Journal*. 324:1139–1141.
- National Drug law Enforcement Agency (NDLEA). 2011. Report on incidence of drug abuse in Nigeria.
- Nutt, D., King, L. A., Saulsbury, W. and Blakemore, C. 2010. Development of a rational scale to assess the harm of drugs of potential misuse. *The Lancet*: 1047–1053.
- Olashore, A. A., Ogunwobi, O., Totego, E. and Opondo P. 2018. Psychoactive substance use among first year students in a Botswana University: Pattern and Demographic correlates. *BMC Psychiatry*. 18(270): 1–9.
- Oshodi, O. Y., Aina, O. F. and Onajole, A. T. 2010. Substance use among secondary school students in an urban setting in Nigeria: Prevalence and associated factors. *African Journal of Psychiatry*. 13:52-7
- Pela, O. A. 1989. Patterns of adolescent psychoactive substance use and abuse in Benin City, Nigeria. *Adolescent.* 24(95): 569–574.
- Smith, M. P. and Bluth, M. H. 2016. Forensic toxicology: an introduction. *Clinics in Laboratory Medicine*. 36: 753–759.
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. 2018. Drug Use in Nigeria. Vienna. 61pp.

- Vij, K. 2011. Forensic medicine and toxicology: principles and practice. 5<sup>th</sup> Edition. Elsevier: A division of Reed Elsevier India Private Limited. India. 445pp.
- World Health Organization (WHO). 2015. Global status report on road safety. Geneva. 340pp.
- Wyman, J. 2012. Principles and procedures in forensic toxicology. *Clinics in Laboratory Medicine*. **32**:493-507.
- Yunusa, U., Bello, U. L., Idris, M., Haddad, M. M. and Adamu, D. Determinants of Substance Abuse among Commercial Bus

Drivers in Kano Metropolis, Kano State, Nigeria. 2017. *American Journal of Nursing Science*. **6**(2): 125-130.

Zarrouq, B., El Asri, B. A., Achour, S., Rammouz, I., Aalouane, R., Lyoussi, B., Khelafa, S., Bout, A., Berhili, N., Hlal, H., Najdi, A., Nejjari, C. and El Rhazi, K. 2016. Psychoactive substances use and associated factors among middle and high school students in the North Center of Morocco: a crosssectional questionnaire survey. *BMC Public Health.* 16(468): 1–9.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK