# INDIGENOUS BACILLUS SPECIES ISOLATED FROM AEDES AEGYPTI LARVAE: ISOLATION, LARVICIDAL TOXICITY SCREENING, PHENOTYPIC CHARACTERIZATION, AND MOLECULAR IDENTIFICATION

#### SALAMUN<sup>1,2,3,4\*,</sup> RIZKY DANANG SUSETYO<sup>1</sup>, HAKIMATUL HUSNIYAH<sup>1</sup>, ALMANDO GERALDI<sup>1,2,3</sup>, NI'MATUZAHROH<sup>1,2,3</sup>, FATIMAH<sup>1,2,3</sup>, FARAH AISYAH NAFIDIASTRI<sup>5</sup> AND NABILATUN NISA'<sup>6</sup>, MUHAMMAD FATH ALHAQQI SANIS SALAMY<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Microbiology, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, 60115, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Research Group for Applied Microbiology and Bioresource Technology, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, 60115, Indonesia <sup>3</sup> University of Co-E-Research Center for Bio-Molecule Engineering, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, 60115, Indonesia

<sup>4</sup> Laboratory of Entomology, Institute of Tropical Diseases, Universitas Airlangga Surabaya, 60115, Indonesia

<sup>5</sup>Laboratory of Microbiology, Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematic and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Surabaya, Jl. Ketintang Surabaya, 60231, Indonesia

<sup>6</sup> Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, 60115, Indonesia

<sup>7</sup> Department of Medical Physiology and Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Airlangga University, Kampus A Jl. Mayjen Prof. Moestopo 47, Surabaya, 60131, Indonesia

Received 7 March 2023 / Revised 15 May 2023 / Accepted 15 May 2023

#### ABSTRACT

Vector-borne diseases transmitted by mosquitoes are considered a significant public health problem worldwide. Aedes aegypti is one of the mosquito species responsible for transmitting these diseases. One environmentally friendly method of vector control is the use of microbial agents such as Bacillus species. This study aimed to explore investigate indigenous entomopathogenic bacteria of Bacillus species isolated from A. aegypti larvae. Larvae samples were collected from breeding sites of A. aegypti. All isolates underwent screening and affirmation confirmation tests to assess their larvicidal toxicity against A. aegypti larvae. Phenotypic characterizations and molecular identifications were conducted to determine the species of the Bacillus isolates based on similarity index and percent identity (%ID). Phylogenetic trees were used to compare the isolates with other Bacillus species. The results revealed 120 isolates of Bacillus species from A. aegypti larvae samples. Among them, three isolates (LS3.3, LS9.1, and LSD4.2) exhibited the highest larvicidal toxicity in the confirmation test, resulting in larval mortality rates of 100%, 96.7%, and 100%, respectively, after 48 hours of exposure. Molecular identifications, showed that LSD4.2 had a 99.16% ID with Bacillus velezensis, LS3.3 had a 98.22% ID with Bacillus subtilis. These three bacteria from the Bacillus genus have been reported to offer significant benefits to humans.

Keywords: Aedes aegypti, Bacillus mojavensis, Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus velezensis, Dengue vector, Larvicidal toxicity

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Dengue Fever (DF) is a vector-borne infection transmitted by mosquitoes, which is considered a significant public health problem worldwide (Dahmana *et al.* 2020). *Aedes aegypti* is the mosquito species responsible for transmitting this disease. Various attempts have been made to address the issue of DF, but the outcomes have fallen short of expectations. Extensive research has been conducted on developing vaccines to prevent this disease; however, satisfactory results have yet to be achieved. One alternative to combatting this disease is controlling the population of the vector (Melanie *et al.* 2018). Several measures have been taken to suppress the population

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author, email: salamun@fst.unair.ac.id

of *A. aegypti*, including the use of chemical insecticides. However, the use of chemical insecticides has negative implications for environmental quality and is toxic to non-target organisms present in breeding sites for *A. aegypti* larvae (Dahmana *et al.* 2020).

Experts have suggested the development of bioinsecticides as biocontrol agents for disease vectors in response to the DF problem (Thomas 2018). Bioinsecticides are known to possess advantages such as specificity and safety for non-target organisms and the environment. One of the biocontrol agents being developed is entomopathogenic bacteria from the genus Bacillus. Bacillus sp. has been proven to be effective and highly specific, particularly toxic to the A. aegypti mosquito. Certain Bacillus species are capable of producing protein crystals along with spores during sporulation (Evdokimov et al. 2014). Numerous studies have demonstrated within that multiple bacterial strains the Bacillus genus have potential the to eliminate A. aegypti larvae, including *B*. thuringiensis and B. sphaericus (Boyce et al. 2013). These species exhibit high toxicity towards mosquito larvae while being safe for other parasites, predators, and mammals, in addition to causing no environmental pollution (Melanie et al. 2018). In general, Bacillus sp. can form endospores when confronted with unsuitable growth conditions that compromise their survival structure (Zeigler & Perkins 2015). The isolation and characterization of indigenous strains of B. thuringiensis from Saudi Arabia have been carried out (El-Kersh et al. 2016). Sixtyeight isolates have demonstrated larvicidal potential against the Malaria disease vector, Anopheles gambiens (El-Kersh et al. 2016). Similarly, B. sphaericus was isolated and characterized on Lombok Island, showing potential as a bio-insecticide for controlling the Malaria vector A. aconitus (Suryadi et al. 2016). Salamun et al. (2021) recently isolated a Bacillus species, Bacillus thuringiensis BK5.2, from Baluran National Park, East Java, Indonesia, which displayed high toxicity against A. aegypti larvae.

*B. thuringiensis* strains isolated and characterized from Lebanese soils have also proven to be effective (Fayad *et al.* 2019). These strains have been developed as bioinsecticides targeting agricultural pest insects (Kumar *et al.* 2021). Numerous scientific studies have

explored the role of biocontrol agents and their potential in disease vector control (Thomas 2018). Toxins produced by Bacillus sp. exhibit activity against specific target insects (Schünemann et al. 2014). Microbial larvicides can be employed as environmentally friendly biological agents for disease vector control (Benelli et al. 2016). Building on previous studies utilizing natural soil samples collected in Baluran National Park, East Java, Indonesia (Salamun et al. 2021), our research aims to identify the diversity of Bacillus species isolated from A. aegypti larvae in DF endemic areas.

This study aims to isolate indigenous entomopathogenic Bacillus sp. from samples of A. aegypti larvae in their breeding sites in DF endemic areas. conduct screening and affirmation tests to determine the larvicidal toxicity of the isolates against A. aegypti larvae, perform phenotypic characterizations, and conduct molecular identification. The findings are expected to contribute to the development of diverse entomopathogenic Bacillus species as potential agents for the biocontrol of disease vectors, plant diseases, and pests.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Materials

The materials and tools utilized in this study were employed for the isolation, larvicidal toxicity screening, phenotypic characterization, and molecular identification of Bacillus sp. from the aforementioned isolation. Samples of A. aegypti larvae were collected from water reservoirs serving as breeding sites for A. aegypti in Gresik, Surabaya, and Sidoarjo, East Java, Indonesia. Screening and affirmation of the larvicidal toxicity of Bacillus sp. were performed using third-instar A. aegypti larvae. The A. aegypti larvae were obtained from the Tropical Disease Airlangga, Institute, Universitas Surabaya, Indonesia.

# Sampling of Aedes aegypti Larvae

Samples of *A. aegypti* larvae were collected from mosquito breeding sites, specifically water reservoirs. Identification of *A. aegypti* larvae samples was conducted following the Identification Key of *A. aegypti* larvae (Bar & Andrew 2013). Previous studies have shown that *Bacillus* can be isolated from various sources, including soil, aquatic environments, herbivorous droppings, forest soil, dead insects, and mosquito breeding sites (Paul 2007; Zeigler & Perkins 2015; Suryadi *et al.* 2016). Five larvae per sample were extracted using a pipette and placed in sterile glass bottles.

### Isolation of *Bacillus* sp.

Bacillus sp. was isolated from the Laboratory of Microbiology, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Airlangga, as conducted by Suryadi et al. (2016). Five A. aegypti larvae were sampled from a suspected location infected with entomopathogenic bacteria, where the larvae exhibited minimal or slow movement on the water's surface. All larvae were placed in a sterile test tube and macerated, followed by the addition of 9 mL of 0.85% NaCl solution. The mixture was allowed to sit for 5 minutes. A 10<sup>1</sup>- $10^2$  dilution of the sample was prepared, heated at 70°C for 30 minutes, and then inoculated with 1 mL of nutrient agar (NA) using the pour plate method onto a sterile Petri dish. The solidified media was incubated at 30°C for 48 hours. The resulting colonies were subjected to spore stain. The Bacillus colonies were isolated on NA media using the streak method and stored at 4°C (Suryadi et al. 2016).

### Larvicidal Toxicity Screening of Bacillus sp.

A pure Bacillus sp. isolate was inoculated into a sterile glass container containing 10 mL of Nutrient Yeast Salt Medium (NYSM) and incubated on a rotary shaker incubator at room temperature (35°C) for 48 hours (Suryadi et al. 2016). The absorbance value of the Bacillus sp. suspension was measured using а spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 600 nm (OD<sub>600nm</sub>). For the screening of larvicidal toxicity, ten third-instar larvae of A. aegypti reared at the Entomology Laboratory of the Institute of Tropical Diseases, Airlangga University, were inoculated with a 5 mL suspension of Bacillus sp. in a bottle containing 45 mL of tap water. The control group consisted of 45 mL of well water, 5 mL of NYSM, and 10 A. aegypti larvae (Suryadi et al. 2016). The percentage of larvae that died after 24 and 48 hours of exposure was calculated. The screening for larvicidal toxicity was conducted with three replicates, using a mortality range of 60-100 larvae, and the absorbance was set to 0.8.

## **Phenotypic Characterizations**

Morphological characterizations were conducted to determine the macroscopic and microscopic characteristics, such as the colony shape and the spore location. Three Bacillus sp. isolates with the highest potential were cultured on Petri dishes containing 8 mL of NA media using the streak method. The plates were then incubated for 48 hours and stained using the staining method. Physiological spore characterization included testing for indole production, motility, oxidase activity, starch hydrolysis, and salinity tolerance. Additionally, the Microbact 12A/12B kit was used for an additional test. For this test, 225µL of bacterial suspension was taken and added to each well of the kit. One drop of immersion oil was added to each well, and the results were observed after incubation at 37°C for 24 hours.

### Molecular Identification

Molecular identification of bacterial isolates was conducted through the 16S rRNA gene (Kumar et al. 2016; Johnson et al. 2019). Initially, an isolated culture in 20 mL of NB media was incubated at 120 rpm and room temperature (35°C) for 48 hours. DNA extraction was performed using the CTAB method. The concentration and purity of the DNA were determined at 280 nm and 260 nm using the Multiskan GO. The 16S rRNA gene was amplified using the Eppendorf Mastercycler tool and the PCR method. The process involved adding GoTaq Green Master Mix and primers 16S rRNA, P0 (5'-GAG AGT TTG ATC CTG GCT CAG-3') and P6 (5'-CTA CGG CTA CCT TGT TAC GA-3'). The steps included denaturation at 94°C for 2 minutes, denaturation at 92°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 55°C for 30 seconds, elongation at 72°C for one minute, and final elongation at 72°C for 5 minutes, repeated for 35 cycles of polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Amplicons were sequenced, and similarity analysis was conducted by comparing the data in GenBank using NCBI's BLASTn. The PCR visualization results were obtained by

electrophoresis of a 1% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide and observed under UV light. Bacterial relationship analysis was performed by constructing a phylogenetic tree using the MEGA 6.0 application (Tamura *et al.* 2013).

# Data Analysis

The results of the isolation and larvicidal screenings analyzed toxicity were using analysis. Bergey's descriptive Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (Paul et al. 2009) was utilized to obtain data on morphological and physiological properties of the local Bacillus sp. The similarity index percentage was calculated based on the positive and negative similarity of the characters of each isolate to determine the bacterial species of Bacillus sp. (Paul et al. 2009). on phenotypic characteristics, Based the similarity percentage pointed towards Bacillus thuringiensis and Bacillus sphaericus, bacteria that have demonstrated larvicidal activity against A. aegypti larvae.

The 16S rRNA gene, which had been amplified by PCR and confirmed by electrophoresis, was further purified and sequenced to determine the sequence of the 16S rRNA gene in bacterial isolates. The PCR results were then submitted to Malaysia's First Base DNA Sequencing Service. The sequencing

results were edited using BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor software version 7.2.5, and the similarity of the isolated 16S rRNA gene of Bacillus sp. with the gene data of bacteria in GenBank was determined using the Basic Local Tools (BLAST). Alignment Search The nucleotide BLAST analysis was conducted by the National Center for Biotechnology Information at the National Library of Medicine in Washington, DC and can be accessed at https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Sampling and Isolation of Bacillus sp.

In the isolation of 30 samples (150 larvae) of A. *aegypti* larvae from Surabaya, Gresik, and Sidoarjo, East Java, Indonesia, and the map of sampling locations shown in Figure 1, there were 120 isolates of *Bacillus* sp. (Table 1). Larvicidal toxicity screening results with varying OD<sub>600nm</sub> values were obtained for isolates of *Bacillus* sp., which exhibited potential diversity as entomopathogenic *Bacillus* sp. (Table 1 and Figure 2A).



Figure 1 Map of Sampling Locations: Gresik (GR), Surabaya (SB), and Sidoarjo (SD), East Java, Indonesia

| Sampling           | Global positioning systems<br>(GPs) of sampling locations | Sample<br>codes | Number of<br>isolates<br>collection | Screening results of |    |    |          | Culture turbidity of |
|--------------------|---|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----|----|----------|----------------------|
| location<br>(City) |   |                 |                                     | Up                   | Lp | Mp | ну<br>Нр | $(OD_{600nm})$       |
| Surabaya           | S07'03.293É112'42.460'                                    | LS1             | 2                                   | 0                    | 1  | 1  | 0        | 1.50 - 1.50          |
| 2                  | S07'03.293É112'42.452'                                    | LS2             | 4                                   | 1                    | 1  | 2  | 0        | 1.00 - 1.25          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'42.447'                                    | LS3             | 5                                   | 0                    | 1  | 1  | 3        | 0.80 -1.50           |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'42.434'                                    | LS4             | 6                                   | 0                    | 4  | 0  | 2        | 0.80 - 1.30          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'42.438'                                    | LS5             | 4                                   | 2                    | 1  | 1  | 0        | 1.00 - 1.20          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'42.455'                                    | LS6             | 4                                   | 1                    | 2  | 1  | 0        | 1.10 - 1.50          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'42.446'                                    | LS7             | 4                                   | 3                    | 1  | 0  | 0        | 0.80 - 1.15          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'42.445'                                    | LS8             | 3                                   | 0                    | 3  | 0  | 0        | 1.00 - 1.35          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'42.452'                                    | LS9             | 3                                   | 1                    | 1  | 0  | 1        | 0.85 - 1.40          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'42.443'                                    | LS10            | 4                                   | 2                    | 1  | 0  | 1        | 0.95 - 1.50          |
| Gresik             | S07'03.293É112'34.459'                                    | LG1             | 2                                   | 0                    | 2  | 0  | 0        | 1.10 -1.10           |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'34.471'                                    | LG2             | 4                                   | 3                    | 1  | 0  | 0        | 1.00 - 1.50          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'34.437'                                    | LG3             | 4                                   | 0                    | 2  | 2  | 0        | 0.40 - 1.30          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'34.436'                                    | LG4             | 4                                   | 0                    | 2  | 2  | 0        | 0.85 - 1.40          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'34.484'                                    | LG5             | 4                                   | 4                    | 0  | 0  | 0        | 0.95 - 1.50          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'34.515'                                    | LG6             | 6                                   | 2                    | 4  | 0  | 0        | 0.80 - 1.50          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'34.536'                                    | LG7             | 5                                   | 0                    | 3  | 1  | 1        | 0.50 - 1.20          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'34.530'                                    | LG8             | 2                                   | 1                    | 0  | 0  | 1        | 1.00 - 1.40          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'34.948'                                    | LG9             | 5                                   | 1                    | 2  | 2  | 0        | 0.80 - 1.50          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'34.965'                                    | LG10            | 6                                   | 0                    | 4  | 1  | 1        | 1.00 - 1.50          |
| Sidoarjo           | S07'03.293É112'45.472'                                    | LSD1            | 6                                   | 3                    | 3  | 0  | 0        | 0.75 - 1.40          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'45.578'                                    | LSD2            | 4                                   | 2                    | 2  | 0  | 0        | 0.55 - 1.50          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'45.491'                                    | LSD3            | 3                                   | 0                    | 1  | 2  | 0        | 1.10 - 1.30          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'45.264'                                    | LSD4            | 2                                   | 0                    | 0  | 0  | 2        | 0.90 - 1.10          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'45.624'                                    | LSD5            | 3                                   | 1                    | 1  | 1  | 0        | 0.80 - 1.35          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'45.623'                                    | LSD6            | 5                                   | 4                    | 0  | 1  | 0        | 1.10 - 1.40          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'45.432'                                    | LSD7            | 4                                   | 2                    | 0  | 0  | 2        | 0.40 - 0.95          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'45.536'                                    | LSD8            | 3                                   | 0                    | 1  | 2  | 0        | 0.90 - 1.40          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'45.542'                                    | LSD9            | 3                                   | 0                    | 2  | 0  | 1        | 1.10 - 1.15          |
|                    | S07'03.293É112'45.541'                                    | LSD10           | 6                                   | 2                    | 4  | 0  | 0        | 0.65 - 1.50          |
|                    |   |                 | 120                                 | 35                   | 50 | 20 | 15       | 0.40 - 1.50          |

Table 1 Potency of Indigenous *Bacillus* sp. Isolates (OD<sub>600nm</sub> varies) Based on the Results of Larvicidal Toxicity Screening Against *Aedes aegypti* Third Instar Larvae at 48-Hour Exposure

Descriptions: Up = Un-potential, larval mortality 0%; Lp = Low-potential, larval mortality <30%; Mp = Medium-potential, larval mortality 30-50%; Hp = High-potential, larval mortality >50%.



Figure 2 Results of the Larvicidal Toxicity Screening (A) (OD<sub>600nm</sub> varies) with One Replication and the Affirmative Toxicity Test (B) (OD<sub>600nm</sub> = 0.80) with Three Replications, Performed on 15 Isolates of Indigenous *Bacillus* sp. from Gresik (LG), Surabaya (LS), and Sidoarjo (LSD) Against *Aedes aegypti* Third-Instar Larvae at 24- and 48-Hour Exposure

#### Larvicidal Toxicity Screening of Bacillus sp.

The results of the Affirmative Toxicity Test (Fig. 2B) were conducted at turbidity of 0.80 (OD<sub>600nm</sub>) from cultures of Bacillus sp. isolates. The correlation between turbidity and the concentration of Bacillus sp. (CFU/mL) yielded a regression line of Y=151.5+17.6, with a coefficient of determination (R2) of 0.9525, as depicted in Figure 3. Based on calculations, turbidity of 0.8 in Bacillus sp. cultures is equivalent to a bacterial cell count of 13.8x107 CFU/mL. Following the Affirmative Toxicity Test (Fig. 2B), the three isolates with the highest potential underwent phenotypic characterizations. The results of the phenotypic characterizations for these three isolates are presented in Figure 4 and Table 2.



Figure 3 Standard curve for quantifying *Bacillus* sp. cell count (CFU/mL) in LSD4.2 isolate culture using optical density (OD<sub>600nm</sub>) variation

#### **Phenotypic Characterizations**

The LS3.3 and LS9.1 isolates exhibited colonies with irregular shapes and flat elevations, while the LSD4.2 isolate had circular colonies with raised elevations. The size of the colonies for all three isolates was moderate. The margins of LS3.3, LS9.1, and LSD4.2 isolates were lobate, serrate, and entire, respectively. Microscopic characterization using spore staining (Fig. 4) revealed that LS3.3 and LSD4.2 isolates had spherical spores located at the terminal end, while the LS9.1 isolate had ovalshaped spores located at the subterminal end. Detailed phenotypic characterizations are provided in Table 2.



Figure 4 Spore location of endospores in *local Bacillus sp.* isolates using spore staining. Descriptions: a) LSD4.2 isolate; b) LS9.1 isolate; c) LS3.3 isolate

Table 2Phenotypiccharacterizationsbasedonthephysiological tests of Bacillussp. isolatescodedLSD4.2, LS9.1, and LS3.3

|     |                      | Characteristics of  |       |       |  |  |
|-----|----------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|--|--|
| No. | Physiological Tests  | <i>Bacillus</i> sp. |       |       |  |  |
|     |                      | LSD4.2              | LS9.1 | LS3.3 |  |  |
| 1.  | Lysine               | -                   | -     | +     |  |  |
| 2.  | Ornithine            | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 3.  | $H_2S$               | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 4.  | Glucose              | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 5.  | Mannitol             | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 6.  | Xylose               | -                   | +     | +     |  |  |
| 7.  | ONPG                 | -                   | +     | +     |  |  |
| 8.  | Indole               | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 9.  | Urease               | +                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 10. | VP                   | +                   | +     | +     |  |  |
| 11. | Citrate              | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 12. | TDA                  | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 13. | Gelatin              | +                   | +     | +     |  |  |
| 14. | Malonate             | -                   | +     | -     |  |  |
| 15. | Inositol             | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 16. | Sorbitol             | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 17. | Rhamnose             | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 18. | Sucrose              | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 19. | Lactose              | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 20. | Arabinose            | -                   | +     | +     |  |  |
| 21. | Adonitol             | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 22. | Raffinose            | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 23. | Salicin              | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 24. | Arginine             | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 25. | Motility             | +                   | +     | +     |  |  |
| 26. | Katalase             | +                   | +     | +     |  |  |
| 27. | Oksidase             | +                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 28. | Salinity 5%          | -                   | +     | +     |  |  |
| 29. | Salinity 10%         | -                   | -     | -     |  |  |
| 30. | Hidrolysis of Amylum | +                   | +     | +     |  |  |

#### Molecular Identification

The results of PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene for three *Bacillus* sp. isolates, confirmed by electrophoresis, are shown in Figure 5. The third band of *Bacillus* sp. appeared at approximately 1500 bp.



Figure 5 Confirmation of the 16S rRNA gene in three *Bacillus* sp. isolates using electrophoresis methods. (Descriptions: S1 = LSD4.2; S2 = LS3.3; S3 = LS9.1; M = Marker)

Table 3 shows the results of sequencing to identify the similarity of the 16S rRNA gene for *Bacillus* sp. using BLAST. Isolate code LSD4.2 had a 99.16% identity with *Bacillus velezensis*, LS3.3 had a 98.22% identity with *Bacillus* 

*mojavensis*, and LS9.1 had a 99.93% identity with *Bacillus subtilis*, respectively. The results of constructing the phylogenetic tree of *Bacillus* sp. on GenBank are shown in Figure 6.

Table 3 Similarity of Bacillus sp. based on sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene using the Basic Local Alignment SearchTools (BLAST) program

| Isolates<br>Code | Spesies Name   | Accession No.              | E<br>value                              | %<br>ID        | Query Cover<br>(%) |
|------------------|--|----------------------------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| LSD4.2           | <i>Bacillus velezensis</i> strain CBMB205<br><i>Bacillus velezensis</i> strain FZB42         | NR_075005.2<br>NR_116240.1 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\end{array}$ | 99.16<br>99.02 | 99<br>99           |
| LS9.1            | Bacillus subtilis subs. inaquosorum strain<br>BGSC 3A28<br>Bacillus subtilis strain ICM 1465 | NR_104873.1                | 0.0                                     | 99.93<br>99.86 | 100                |
| LS3.3            | Bacillus mojavensis strain IFO15718<br>Bacillus halotolerans strain LMG 22477                | NR_024693.1<br>NR_115931.1 | 0.0 0.0                                 | 98.22<br>98.11 | 99<br>99           |



Figure 6 Phylogenetic tree of *Bacillus* sp. isolates coded LSD4.2, LS9.1, LS3.3, and their relationship to other *Bacillus* sp. in the GenBank database

In this study, the initial objectives were to isolate B. thuringiensis or B. sphaericus and screen their toxicity to A. aegypti larvae. Variations in the mortality rate of A. aegypti larvae due to exposure to Bacillus sp. were observed. Thirdinstar larvae of A. aegypti were used for both screening and confirming the larvicidal toxicity of Bacillus sp. (Table 1; Fig. 2A and 2B). A total of 120 isolates could be isolated from 150 samples of A. aegypti larvae collected from Surabaya, Sidoarjo, and Gresik cities in East Java, Indonesia. Among them, 15 isolates showed high potency in the larvicidal toxicity screening. The affirmation test of larval toxicity (Fig. 2B) revealed that three isolates exhibited the highest toxicity. The larvicidal toxicity screening using third-instar A. aegypti larvae was based on their sensitivity to entomopathogenic bacterial toxins (Kim et al. 2017). The older the larval instar, the lower their sensitivity to the bacterial toxin. Additionally, fourth-instar larvae exhibit less feeding habits compared to younger larvae, resulting in reduced consumption of bacterial toxins. Furthermore, during the pupal phase, feeding activity ceases (Aynalem 2022). In the affirmation test of Bacillus sp. LSD4.2 (Fig. 3), a concentration of 13.8 x  $10^7$  CFU/mL, caused 100% larval mortality after 48 hours of exposure, categorizing it as highly toxic. B. thuringiensis PWR4.32, isolated in Malang, Indonesia, exhibited a lethal concentration 50% (LC50) value of 22.79 x  $10^7$  cells/mL after 72 hours of exposure- (Gama et al. 2010). Similarly, B. thuringiensis W.Swh.S.K2, isolated in Nganjuk, Indonesia, had an LC50 value of  $3.53 \times 10^7$ cells/mL after 48 hours of exposure (Pratiwi et al. 2013). B. thuringiensis BK5.2, isolated from Baluran National Park in East Java, Indonesia, showed an LC50 value of 8.3 x 10<sup>6</sup> cells/mL after 48 hours of exposure (Salamun et al. 2021). The results of this study indicate differences in larvicidal toxicity among different Bacillus sp. isolates, suggesting that these isolates may belong to different species or strains.

*Bacillus* sp. larvicidal toxicity can be identified through two mechanisms of action. During sporulation, bacteria produce an insecticidal toxin stored in parasporal inclusions. During the vegetative stage, bacteria produce secondary metabolites, such as enzymes or other chemical compounds, that are also insecticidal. The entomopathogenic action of *Bacillus* sp. involves the toxin produced during sporulation, which binds to intestinal cell receptors, causing pores to form in the intestinal cell membrane. This leads to the entry of ions to balance intracellular and extracellular fluids. Consequently, intestinal cells experience rapid damage, resulting in the lysis of epithelial cells. Infected larvae stop feeding for several hours, ultimately leading to their death (Polenogova *et al.* 2022). The endotoxin in the parasporal inclusion of the entomopathogenic *Bacillus* sp. also reduces the blood's acidity (pH), leading to larval death due to septicemia (Poopathi *et al.* 2013).

Other actions of entomopathogenic Bacillus sp. as bioinsecticides have also been reported. Bacillus sp. produces secondary metabolites, including biosurfactants, during bacterial growth in suitable media. The biosurfactant produced by the B. subtilis strain is composed of a mixture of molecules, some of which are toxic to arthropods and vectors (Sachdev & Cameotra 2013). Biosurfactant-producing bacteria have been found to be effective in controlling diseases in plants and insects (Zhao et al. 2014). Biosurfactants can affect the cuticle of insects due to their amphiphilic nature, which includes hydrophobic and hydrophilic molecules. This can damage cell membranes and epithelial cells and ultimately cause death (Zhao et al. 2014).

Based on the phenotypic characteristics (Table 2 and Fig. 4) and identification using Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, the isolates coded LSD4.2 and LS3.3 showed similarity indices of 82.6% and 63.3%, respectively, with *B. sphaericus*. Isolate LS9.1 had a similarity index of 62.50% with *B. thuringiensis*. However, based on molecular identification using the 16S rRNA gene, these three *Bacillus* sp. isolates showed different results. They were identified as *B. velezensis*, *B. mojavensis*, and *B. subtilis* (Table 3; Fig. 6).

B. velezensis FZB42T, previously classified as part of the B. subtilis group due to its 99% genetic similarity, was later included in a different phylogenomics category based on additional genetic characteristics. This strain of В. velezensis produces unique intracellular biomolecules that have the potential for development through genetic engineering in various industries, including health. pharmaceuticals, environment, and food, particularly in agriculture (Adeniji et al. 2019).

Studies have shown that *B. velezensis* NKG-2 is useful as a potential biocontrol agent and promoter of plant growth (Myo *et al.* 2019). *B. velezensis* strain WLYS23 has great potential as a biocontrol agent for disease control in freshwater aquaculture (Zhang *et al.* 2021). *B. velezensis* 33RB is a potential alternative to chemical pesticides as a biological control agent for phytopathogens, offering environmentally friendly and sustainable properties (Dawwam & Sehim 2022).

The search for new biocontrol agents focuses on Bacillus subtilis and its related species, including Bacillus mojavensis. The metabolites produced by the B. mojavensis PS17 isolate from wheat germ inhibit the growth of the plant pathogen Fusarium spp., indicating its potential as a biocontrol agent for agriculture (Diabankana et al. 2021). B. mojavensis shares similarities with B. subtilis but differs in fatty acid composition, DNA sequences, and resistance to genetic transformation (Bacon & Hinton 2002). B. mojavensis produces surfactin, iturin, and fengycin, which belong to an antimicrobial and antifungal lipopeptide group (Mounia et al. 2014; Blacutt et al. 2016). According to Jasim et al. (2016), the lipopeptide compounds surfactin and fengycin in B. mojavensis have antimicrobial activity against pathogenic bacteria, including both Gram-negative and Gram-positive strains. Hmidet et al. (2017) reported that B. mojavensis produces surfactin and fengycin, with optimal production occurring in media containing glucose. B. mojavensis demonstrated hemolytic activity on blood agar, suggesting the production of biosurfactants (Berekaa & Ezzeldin 2018). B. mojavensis BTCB15 is capable of producing 2.3 nm AgNPs and exhibits antibacterial activity against numerous drug-resistant pathogens (Iqtedar et al. 2019). In their study, Fanaei et al. (2021) discovered that B. mojavensis HF produces three types of lipopeptides: surfactin, fengycin, and kurstakin. They identified a wide variety and number of surfactin and fengycin isomers compared to previous reports and claimed to be the first to report the presence of kurstakin in Bacillus mojavensis species. Further research is needed to determine whether kurstakin is stored in parasporal inclusions or excreted as secondary metabolites.

*B. subtilis* also produces biosurfactant as a mosquitosidal toxin (Kumar *et al.* 2022). Mosquitosidal toxin activity has also been

reported from B. cereus (Mani et al. 2017). Biosurfactants, synthetic compounds produced by several strains of Bacillus sp., have been used as biocontrol agents against insects (Mani et al. 2017). For example, B. subtilis isolated from soil has been introduced as a biological control agent for insects due to its production of surfactin (Kumar et al. 2022). B. subtilis (MW644765) mediated silver nanoparticles (AgNP) have shown promising larvicidal activity against mosquito larvae, making them a potential biocontrol agent for reducing mosquito populations (Wilson et al. 2022). B. subtilis is considered a universal cell factory for various industries such as agriculture, biomaterials, pharmaceuticals, and industry (Su et al. 2020).

Molecular identification results have identified three high-potential Bacillus species: B. subtilis (LS9.1), B. velezensis (LSD4.2), and B. mojavensis (LS3.3). Commercial products derived from B. thuringiensis and B. sphaericus have been used for the control of A. aegypti larvae (Boyce et al. 2013). The discovery of B. velezensis, B. mojavensis, and B. subtilis in this study is highly significant. These bacteria have been reported as multifunctional bacteria in various industries, including health, pharmaceuticals, environment, and food, and as biocontrol agents for disease vectors, plant pests, and disease control in freshwater aquaculture.

### CONCLUSION

The results of the isolation and larvicidal toxicity screenings of Bacillus sp. against Aedes aegypti larvae revealed a range of potential larvicidal toxicity levels, varying from low to high. Screening 120 isolates of Bacillus sp. for larvicidal toxicity identified 15 isolates with high potency. The confirmation test identified three isolates with the highest potential. The larval mortality rates due to exposure to isolates LS3.3, LS9.1, and LSD4.2 were 100%, 96.7%, and 100%, respectively, after 48 hours of exposure. Molecular identification using the 16S rRNA gene revealed the diversity of the isolates, with isolate LSD4.2 sharing 99.16% identity with Bacillus velezensis, LS3.3 sharing 98.22% identity with Bacillus mojavensis, and LS9.1 sharing 99.93% identity with Bacillus subtilis. These three bacteria, belonging to the Bacillus genus, offer significant benefits for humans.

#### REFERENCES

- Adeniji AA, Loots DT, Babalola OO. 2019. Bacillus velezensis: Phylogeni, useful applications, and avenues for exploitation. Appl Microb Biotech 103: 3669-82.
- Aynalem B. 2022. Empirical review of *Tuta absoluta* meyrick effect on the tomato production and their protection attempts. Advances in Agriculture 2595470.
- Bacon CW, Hinton DM. 2002. Endophytic and biological control potential of *Bacillus mojavensis* and related species. Biological Control 23: 274-84.
- Bar A, Andrew J. 2013. Morphology and Morphometry of *Aedes aegypti* Larvae. Annual Review & Research in Biology 3(1): 1-21.
- Benelli G, Jeffries CL, Walker T. 2016. Biological Control of mosquito vectors: Past, present, and future. Insects 7(52): 1-18.
- Berekaa MM, Ezzeldin MF. 2018. Exopolysaccharide from *Bacillus mojavensis* DAS10-1: production and characterization mahmoud. Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology 12(2): 633-40.
- Blacutt AA, Mitchell TR, Bacon CW, Gold SE. 2016. Bacillus mojavensis RRC101 lipopeptides provoke physiological and metabolic changes during antagonism against Fusarium verticillioides. MPMI 29(9): 713-23.
- Boyce R, Lenhart A, Kroeger A, Velayudhan R, Roberts B, Horstick O. 2013. *Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis* for the control of dengue vectors: systematic literature review. Trop Med Int Health 18(5): 564-77.
- Dahmana H, Mediannikov O. 2020. Mosquito-borne diseases emergence/resurgence and how to effectively control it biologically. Pathogens 9(310): 1-26.
- Dawwam GE, Sehim AE. 2022. Promising biological agents represented in *Bacillus velezensis* 33RB and *Aspergillus niger* 46SF endophytic isolates for controlling *Populus tomentosa* wilt and anthracnose diseases. Egypt J Biol Pest Control 3: 144.
- Diabankana RGC, Afordoanyi DM, Safin RI, Nizamov R M, Karimova LZ, Validov SZ. 2021. Antifungal properties, abiotic stress resistance, and biocontrol ability of *Bacillus mojavensis* PS17. Curr Microbiol 78(8): 3124-32.
- Dunlap CA, Bowman MJ, Zeigler DR. 2019. Promotion of *Bacillus subtilis* subsp. *inaquosorum*, *Bacillus subtilis* subsp. *spizizenii* and *Bacillus subtilis* subsp. *stercoris* to species status. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 113(1): 1-12.
- El-Kersh TA, Ashraf MA, Yazeed A, Al-Sheikh, Frédéric Tripet, Ibrahim MA, Ali A, Metwalli M. 2016. Isolation and characterization of native *Bacillus thuringiensis* strains from Saudi Arabia with

enhanced larvicidal toxicity against the mosquito vector *Anopheles gambiae*. Parasites & Vector 9: 1-14.

- Evdokimov AG, Moshiri F, Sturman EJ, Rydel TJ, Zheng M, Seale JW, Franklin S. 2014. Structure of the full-length insecticidal protein Cry1Ac reveals intriguing details of toxin packaging into *in vivo* formed crystals. Protein Science 23: 1491-1497.
- Fanaei M, Jurcic K, & Emtiazi G. 2021. Detection of simultaneous production of kurstakin, fengycin and surfactin lipopeptides in *Bacillus mojavensis* using a novel gel-based method and MALDI-TOF spectrometry. World journal of microbiology & biotechnology 37(6), 97.
- Fayad N, Patiño-Navarrete R, Kambris Z, Osta, M, Chopineau J, Mahillon J, Chamy LE, Sanchis V, Awad MK. 2019. Characterization and whole genome sequencing of AR23, a highly toxic *Bacillus thuringiensis* strain isolated from Lebanese soil. Curr Microbiol 76: 1503-11.
- Gama ZP, Yanuwiadi B, Kurniati TH. 2010. Strategi pemberantasan nyamuk aman lingkungan: Potensi Bacillus thuringiensis isolat Madura sebagai musuh alami nyamuk Aedes aegypti (Environmentally safe mosquito eradication strategy: Potential of Bacillus thuringiensis Madura isolate as natural enemy of Aedes aegypti mosquito). Jurnal Pembangunan dan Alam Lestari 1(1): 1-10.
- Hmidet N, Ayed HB, Jacques P, Nasri M. 2017. Enhancement of surfactin and fengycin production by *Bacillus mojavensis* A21: application for diesel biodegradation. Hindawi BioMed Research International 5893123: 1-8.
- Iqtedar M, Aslam M., Akhyar M, Shehzaad A,, Abdullah R, Kaleem A. 2019. Extracellular biosynthesis, characterization, optimization of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) using *Bacillus mojavensis* BTCB15 and its antimicrobial activity against multidrug resistant pathogens. Prep Biochem Biotechnol 49(2): 136-42.
- Jasim B, Sreelakshmi S, Mathew J, Radhakrishnan EK. 2016. Identification of endophytic *Bacillus mojavensis* with highly specialised broad spectrum antibacterial activity. Biotech (6)187: 1-10.
- Johnson JS, Spakowicz DJ, Hong BY, Petersen LM, Demkowicz P, Chen L, Leopold SR, Hanson BM, Agresta HO, Gerstein M, Sodergren E, Weinstock GM. 2019. Evaluation of 16S rRNA gene sequencing for species and strain-level microbiome analysis. *Nat Commun* 10, 5029.
- Kim IH, Ensign J, Kim DY, Jung HY, Kim NR, Choi BH, Park SM, Lan Q, Goodman, WG. 2017. Specificity and putative mode of action of a mosquito larvicidal toxin from the bacterium *Xenorhabdus innexi*. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 149: 21-8.

- Kumar A, Kumar H, Manonmani AM, Prabakaran G, Vijayakumar B, Mathivanan A, Geetha I, Jambulingam P. 2022. Field evaluation of biosurfactants, surfactin and di-rhamnolipid produced by *Bacillus subtilis* subsp. *subtilis* (VCRC B471) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (VCRC B426) against immature stages of the urban malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi*. J Vector Borne Dis 59: 246-52.
- Kumar P, Kamle M, Borah R, Mahato, DK, Sharma B. 2021. Bacillus thuringiensis as microbial biopesticide: uses and application for sustainable agriculture. Egypt J Biol Pest Control 31: 95.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. Mol Biol Evol 33: 1870-1874.
- Mani C, Selvakumari J, Han Y, Jo Y, Thirugnanasambantham K, Sundarapandian S, Poopathi S. 2017. Molecular characterization of mosquitocidal toxin (surface layer protein, SLP) from *Bacillus cereus* VCRC B540. Appl Biochem Biotech 184(4): 1094-105.
- Melanie, Rustama MM, Sihotang IS, Kasmara H. 2018. Effectiveness of storage time formulation of *Bacillus thuringiensis* against *Aedes aegypti* larvae (Linnaeus). Cropsaver 1(1): 48-52.
- Mora I, Cabrefiga J, Montesinos E. 2015. Cyclic Lipopeptide biosynthetic genes and products, and inhibitory activity of plant-associated *Bacillus* against phytopathogenic bacteria. PLoS ONE 10(5): e0127738.
- Mounia YA, Chaouche NK, Dehimat L, Bataiche I, Ali KH, Cawoy H, Thonart P. 2014. Antifungal activity and bioactive compounds produced by *Bacillus mojavensis* and *Bacillus subtilis*. Afr J Microb Res 8(6): 476-84.
- Myo EM, Liu B, Ma J, Shi L, Jiang M, Zhang K, Ge B. 2019. Evaluation of *Bacillus velezensis* NKG-2 for bio-control activities against fungal diseases and potential plant growth promotion. Biol Control 134: 23-31.
- Paul A. 2007. Soil Microbiology, Ecology, and Biochemistry. Academic Press, Elsevier Inc. Burlington, USA
- Paul DV, Garrity MG, Jones D, Krieg NR, Ludwig W, Rainey FA, Schleifer K, Withman BW. 2009. Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology vol. 3 ed. 2, New York: Springer Science-Business Media.
- Polenogova O, Noskov Y, Artemchenko A, Zhangissina S, Tatyana K, Yaroslavtseva O, Khodyrev V, Kruykova N, Glupov V. 2022. *Citrobacter freundii*, a natural associate of the Colorado potato beetle, increases larval susceptibility to *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Pest Management Science 78.

- Poopathi S, Abidha S. 2013. Mosquitocidal bacterial toxins (*Bacillus sphaericus* and *Bacillus thuringiensis* serovar *israelensis*): mode of action, cytopathological effects and mechanism of resistance. Journal of Physiology and Pathophysiology 1(3): 22-38.
- Pratiwi EK, Samino S, Gama ZP, Nakagoshi N. 2013. Uji toksisitas *Bacillus thuringiensis* asal kota Nganjuk terhadap larva *Aedes aegypti* (Toxicity assay of *Bacillus thuringiensis* from Nganjuk City against *Aedes aegypti* larvae). Jurnal Biotropika 1 (4): 171-76.
- Sachdev DP, Cameotra SS. 2013. Biosurfactants in agriculture. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 97(3): 1005-16.
- Salamun, Fatimah, Fauzi A, Praduwana SN, Ni'matuzahroh. 2021. Larvicidal toxicity and parasporal inclusion of native *Bacillus thuringiensis* BK5.2 against *Aedes aegypti*. J Basic Clin Physiol Pharmacol 32(4): 379–84.
- Schünemann R, Knaak N, Fiuza LM. 2014. Mode of action and specificity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins in the control of caterpillars and stink bugs in soybean culture. Microbiology 1-12.
- Su Y, Liu Ch, Fang H, Zhang D. 2020. *Bacillus subtilis*: a universal cell factory for industry, agriculture, biomaterials and medicine. Microb Cell Fact 19: 173.
- Suryadi BF, Yanuwiadi B, Ardyati T, Suharjono. 2016. Evaluation of entomopathogenic *Bacillus sphaericus* isolated from Lombok Beach Area against mosquito larvae. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 6(2): 148-54.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. 2013. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol Biol Evol 30: 2725-29.
- Thomas MB. 2018. Biological control of human disease vectors: a perspective on challenges and opportunities. BioControl 63: 61-9.
- Wilson JJ, Lakshmi MP, Sivakumar T, Ponmanickam P, Sevarkodiyone SP. 2022. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using *Bacillus subtilis* (P3) and its larvicidal, histopathological and biotoxicity efficacy. South African Journal of Botany 151(B): 309-18.
- Zeigler DR, Perkins JB. 2015. *The Genus Bacillus*. CRC Press. Taylor and Franciss Goup. New York.
- Zhang DF, Xiong XL, Wang YJ, Gao YX, Ren Y, Wang Q, Shi CB. 2021. *Bacillus velezensis* WLYS23 strain possesses antagonistic activity against hybrid snakehead bacterial pathogens. J Appl Microb 131(6): 3056-68.
- Zhao P, Quan C, Wang Y, Wang J, Fan S. 2014. Bacillus amyloliquefaciens Q-426 as a potential biocontrol agent against Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. spinaciae. J Bas Microbiol 54: 448-56.