# POLLEN MORPHOLOGY OF TWENTY THREE SPECIES OF ALLIUM L. (AMARYLLIDACEAE) FROM TURKEY

Ümmügülsün Yildiz\*

Republic of Turkey, Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, General Directorate of Nature, Conservation and Nationals Parks, Karşıyaka-İzmir-Turkey

Keywords: Allium; Amaryllidaceae; Pollen morphology; LM; SEM; TEM; Flora of Turkey.

#### Abstract

The pollen morphology of 23 Allium L. species, grown in Turkey, belonging to the sections; *Rhizirideum, Schoenoprasum, Cepa, Molium, Brevispatha, Scorodon, Acanthoprason* and *Melanocrommyum*, were investigated by LM (light microscopy), TEM (transmission electron microscope), and SEM (scanning electron microscopy). This paper presents detailed pollen morphological features are given for these taxa. Common characteristics of pollen of *Allium* species investigated are ellipsoidal and heteropolar, bilateral symmetry; pollen grains are shed as monads. They are monosulcate (extended sulcate types) and monosulcate-operculate. The sculpturing of the exine, pollen membrane ornamentation, lumina shape, and sulcus membrane with a fragmented operculum are found to be characteristic features for separating species. Based on these properties three main pollen types were determined with three different exine sculpturing. The characteristic structures of pollen ornamentation, observed in SEM micrographs, are striate-perforate, striate-regulate-perforate, rugulate and regulate-perforate. The exine is semitectate and the tectum perforate. Columellae were found to be simplicolumellate.

# Introduction

The genus Allium L. is one of the most diverse and taxonomically difficult groups of the monocots. The most recent classifications are based on morphological characters and molecular data, recognized more than 920 species in 15 subgenera and 80 sections (Friesen et al., 2006). Allium is a taxonomically quite complex and has unresolved nomenclatural problems. In the last decades, many Allium taxa were newly described for Turkey and the certain number of Allium species and subspecies raised the number to about 220, classified into 15 sections, ca. one-third of which are endemic to this territory, demonstrating that Turkey is a prominent part of the southeastern Asian center of Allium diversity (Kollmann, 1984; Davis, 1984, 1988; Güner et al., 2000; Friesen et al., 2006; Koyuncu and Eker 2011; Celep et al., 2012; Güner et al. 2012; Özhatay and Genc, 2013 and Govaerts et al., 2013; Eksi et al., 2015, 2016; Duman et al., 2017; Fırat et al., 2018; Govaerts et al., 2019; Özdöl et al., 2022). Cytological and data on pollen morphology of representatives of Allium genus has been subjected to earlier investigations (Nair and Sharma, 1965; Kuprianova, 1967; Radulescu, 1973; Kuprianova and Aliev, 1979; Schulze, 1980, 1980a; Pastor, 1981; Diez et al., 1987; El-Sadek et al., 1994; Kosenko and Kudryashova, 1995; Tolgor, 1995; Hosseinzadeh et al., 2009; Namin et al., 2009; Neshati et al., 2009, Maassoumi et al., 2014; Wrońska-Pilarek et al., 2016; Perveen and Qaiser, 2015; Hosseini, 2018). Palynological studies on Allium in Turkey; detailed studies of the pollen morphology of 14 species of Allium were made by Güler and Pehlivan (2006), 23 species of Allium were examined by Özhatay and Koçyiğit

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: ugyildizz@gmail.com; ummugulsun.yildiz@tarimorman.gov.tr

(2009) and 16 species of *Allium* were examined by Özler and Pehlivan (2010), 12 taxa belonging to Sect. Codonoprasum were examined by Koçyiğit (2014) and later, 10 species *Allium* L. taxa, 6 of which are endemic to Turkey, were examined by Başer *et al.* (2019), respectively. In literature on the *Allium* genus, the following pollen grain features are considered as having the highest diagnostic value. Turkey *Allium* an extremely polymorphous and taxonomically difficult genus species show a great morphological diversity and has unresolved nomenclatural problems therefore many taxonomical problems remain unsolved (Kollmann, 1984; Gurushidze *et al.*, 2007). To solve the systematic problems of these polymorphic groups further cytological, anatomical and palynological studies are urgently needed.

In order to obtain more morphological data to solve taxonomical problems, detailed study of pollen morphology in Alliaceae family always has been suggested and pollen structure of some species of the genus *Allium* has been subjected to earlier investigations. In literature on the *Allium* genus, the following pollen grain features are considered as having the highest diagnostic value. Pollen information of some species from Turkey Güler and Pehlivan (2006) and Özler and Pehlivan (2010) suggested the usefulness of pollen characteristics for the systematics of the genus, and observed the possible use of these characters for solving certain taxonomical problems as well. Güler and Pehlivan (2006) recognized the sulcus type, sulcus structure, exine ornamentation, ekzin structure, presence or absence of operculum and operculum type, presence or absence of perforations on the pollen surface, density of perforations, size of perforations, and size of the pollen grains characteristics, which are also important for separating taxa at different taxonomic ranks.

The present study adds pollen morphological data for a further 23 species (including 14 sections and to compare the details of sculpturing), again using light, scanning electron microscopy and transmission electron microscope. An overview of pollen characteristics for all the Turkish representatives of the genus *Allium*, thus concluding a series of studies aimed at describing the characteristics of all the Turkish *Allium* genera, and enabling an overall review of the pollen data both from this study and from our previously published studies (Güler and Pehlivan 2006).

## **Material and Methods**

The pollen grains were obtained from the Faculty of Pharmacy of Ankara University (AEF) herbarium. The complete list of the investigated taxa with sample provenance is reported in Table 1. Pollen morphological terminology of Walker (1974a, 1974b), Faegri and Iversen (1989), Punt *et al.* (1994, 2007); El-Sadek *et al.* (1994) and Hesse *et al.* (2009) was followed.

## LM investigation

Samples were taken from herbarium specimens. For LM observations, the pollen was first treated with 70% ethyl alcohol to remove oily substances, and then embedded in glycerine-jelly, stained with safranin. For morphological analysis, pollen grains of specimens for LM investigations were prepared according to the methods of Wodehouse (1935) and Erdtman (1960). Pollen dimensions of all species were measured in such amounts that the resulting data followed Gaussian curves these measurements which were made in acetolysed, nonacetolysed pollen. In each sample, 50 pollen grains were measured in order to obtain the maximum and average value of the size. LM studies were made using a Nikon Alphaphot-2 YS2 microscope, under (E40, 0.65) and oil immersion (E100, 1.25), using 15 eye piece (ocular) and the following paremeters, as which pollen size i.e. long axis (LA) and short axis (SA), length of the sulcus (slg), width of the sulcus (slt) sulcus width for all types and operculum type, exine and intine thickness for all types.

The used eyepiece and lens scales require a conversion of the measurement results to micrometers ( $\mu$ m). These measurements which were made in acetolysed, nonacetolysed pollen and on LM micrographs are given in (Figs. 67-98). The LM photomicrographs were taken with an Orthomatw camera connected to a Carl Zeiss-photo binocular light microscope.

# SEM investigation

For SEM investigations, the pollen was first treated with 70% ethyl alcohol then air-dried before being mounting on SEM specimen stubs subsequently coated with gold plate, and examined under a Jeol JSM-840A (Turkish Petroleum Corporation, TPAO, Turkey) scanning electron microscope. The clearest SEM photographs representing each pollen type and the main pollen features were selected for this paper (Figs 1-24, Figs 25-48, Figs 49-56). SEM micrographs were used mainly for studying the overall shape, type of sculpturing, and more detailed information on the sculptures.



Figs 1-12: SEM photographs of the pollen grains of *Allium* species, showing pollen grain in distal view. 1. *A. scabriscapum*: Pollen grain in distal view, SEM x 2500; 2. *A. szovitsii*: Pollen grain in distal view, SEM x 1500; 3. *A. cepa*: Pollen grain in distal view with operculum, SEM x 2500; 5. *A. cassium*: Pollen grain in distal view, SEM x 2000; 6. *A. zebdananse*: Pollen grain in distal view, SEM x 2000; 7. *A. subhirsutum*: Pollen grain in distal view, perforate-striate ornamentation, SEM x 2200; 8. *A. subhirsutum*: Pollen grain in distal view, SEM x 1800; 9. *A. cupani* subsp. *hirtovaginatum*: Pollen grain in distal view with rugulate-perforate of the sulcus membrane, SEM x 1800; 9. *A. cupani* subsp. *hirtovaginatum*: Pollen grain in distal view, SEM x 2500; 10. *A. longisepalum*: Pollen grain in distal view, SEM x 2000; 11. *A. callidictyon*: Pollen grain in distal view, SEM x 2500; 12. *A. callimischon subsp. haemostictum* Pollen grain in distal view, SEM x 1500; Scale bar = 10 µm (1,2,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12); 1 µm (3).



Figs 13-24: 13. A. frigidum: Pollen grain in distal view with psilate-perforate of the sulcus membrane, SEM x 2500; 14. A. kossoricum: Pollen grain in distal view with psilate ornamentation of the sulcus membrane, SEM x 2700; 15. A. akaka: Pollen grain in proximal view with sulcus extending to the proximal face and with broad and rounded ends view with rugulate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 2000; (16) A. akaka: Pollen grain in distal view SEM x 1900; 17. A. akaka: Pollen grain in distal view with striate-rugulate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 2500; 19. A. chrysanterum: Pollen grain in distal view, SEM x 2500; 19. A. cardiostemon: Pollen grain in distal view with operculum, SEM x 2500; 20. A. colchicifolium: Pollen grain in distal view, SEM x 2500; 21. A. decipiens: Pollen grain in distal view with psilate ornamentation of the sulcus membrane SEM x 3500; 22. A. harputense: Pollen grain in distal view, sEM x 2500; 23. A. noëanum: Pollen grain in distal view with rugulate ornamentation of the sulcus membrane SEM x 2500; 24. A. lycaonicum: Pollen grain in lateral view, SEM x 2500 with rugulate ornamentation. Scale bar = 10 μm.

# **TEM** investigation

Acetolyzed pollen grains were stained with 2% OsO<sub>4</sub> and uranyl acetate, dehydrated and embedded in epon araldite according to the method described by Skvarla and Turner (1966). Ulthrathin sections of the pollen grains were obtained with a glass knife in a Reichert Supernova microtome (Gazi University, Faculty of Medicine, Ankara Turkey). Post-staining was done with lead citrate for 5 minutes (Reynolds, 1963), and the sections were examined under a Zeiss EM9 (Figs 57-66). TEM micrographs were used mainly for studying the overall shape, pollen walls type of sculpturing, and more detailed information on the sculptures.



Figs 25-39: Ornamentation of Allium pollen grains. 25. A. scabriscapum: Striate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000;
26. A. szovitsii: Striate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 27. A. shoenoprasum: Striate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 28. A. subhirsutum: Striate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 29. A. cassium: Striate-rugulate-perforate ornamentation SEM x 10000; 30. A. cupani subsp. hirtovaginatum: Striate-rugulate-perforate ornamentation SEM x 10000; 31. A. zebdananse: Striate-rugulate-perforate ornamentation SEM x 10000; 32. A. longisepalum: Distal face showing perforate-rugulate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 33. A. longisepalum: Proximal face showing perforate-rugulate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 33. A. longisepalum: Proximal face showing perforate-rugulate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 35. A. callimischon subsp. haemostictum: Perforate-striate-rugulate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 36. A. frigidum: Rugulate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 38. A. akaka: Striate-rugulate-perforate ornamentation SEM x 10000; 39. A. cardiostemon: Perforate-striate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 38. A. akaka: Striate-rugulate-perforate ornamentation SEM x 10000; 39. A. cardiostemon: Perforate-striate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 38. A. akaka: Striate-rugulate-perforate ornamentation SEM x 10000; 39. A. cardiostemon: Perforate-striate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 38. A. akaka: Striate-rugulate-perforate ornamentation SEM x 10000; 39. A. cardiostemon: Perforate-striate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 38. A. akaka: Striate-rugulate-perforate ornamentation SEM x 10000; 39. A. cardiostemon: Perforate-striate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 38. A. akaka: Striate-rugulate-perforate ornamentation SEM x 10000; 39. A. cardiostemon: Perforate-striate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 38. A. akaka: Striate-rugulate-perforate ornamentation SEM x 10000; 39. A. cardiostemon: Perforate-striate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 30. Striate-rugulate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 39. A. cardiostemon: Perforate-striate ornamen



Figs 40-48: Ornamentation of Allium pollen grains. 40. A. colchicifolium: Striate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 41. A. decipiens: Rugulate-perforate ornamentation SEM x 10000; 42. A. orientale: Distal face showing Striaterugulate-perforate ornamentation SEM x 3500; 43. A. orientale: Proximal face showing Striate-rugulate-perforate ornamentation SEM x 3500; 44. A. kharputense: Striate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 45. A. noëanum: Striate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 46. A. lycaonicum: Proximal face showing perforate-rugulate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 47. A. lycaonicum: Distal face showing sitriate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 48. A. hirtifolium: Distal face showing sitriate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 47. A. lycaonicum: Distal face showing sitriate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 47. A. lycaonicum: Distal face showing sitriate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 47. A. lycaonicum: Distal face showing sitriate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 48. A. hirtifolium: Distal face showing sitriate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 48. A. hirtifolium: Distal face showing sitriate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 48. A. hirtifolium: Distal face showing sitriate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 48. A. hirtifolium: Distal face showing sitriate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 48. A. hirtifolium: Distal face showing sitriate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; 48. A. hirtifolium: Distal face showing sitriate-perforate ornamentation, SEM x 10000; Scale bar = 10 μm.

#### **Results and Discussion**

All studied pollen grains are medium-sized (25-50  $\mu$ m) with more or less bilateral symmetry. The sulcus is either as long as the half of the circumference of the pollen grain (longest axis), or much longer and extends to the proximal face.

The main palynogical features of Turkish *Allium* taxa (and specimens) examined are summarized in Table 2. According to LM and SEM investigations, the pollen grains are monad, monosulcate, monosulcate-operculate, ellipsoidal, heteropolar with more or less bilateral symmetry; 25.98 to 50.32  $\mu$ m long axis (LA) and 16.26 to 32.10  $\mu$ m short axis (SA), the form was prolate (mean of LA/SA ratio 1.12 to 1.69). The pollen shapes (based on long axis (LA)/ short axis (SA) ratio) prolate or subprolate, outline more or less circular in polar view and boat-shaped. Pollen morphological parameters are given in Table 2. Medium to large in size with LA 25-50  $\mu$ m and SA 17-36  $\mu$ m. The sulcus extends from distal to proximal in all species. Sulcus ends are sharp, blunt, broad and rounded. Sulcus membrane ornamentations were rugulate or psilate. In most of the species with operculum, there are fragmented operculum within the sulcus of *A. szovitsii*, *A. shoenoprasum* (Fig. 69), *A. cupani* subsp. *hirtovaginatum* (Fig. 80), *A. callidictyon* (Fig. 82), *A.* 

callimischon (Fig. 86), A. akaka (Fig. 89), A. chrysantherum (Fig. 91), A. cardiostemon (Fig. 19), A. decipiens (Fig. 94), A. orientale (Figs 95-96), A. kharputense (Fig. 22), A. lycaonicum (Fig. 97), and A. hirtifolium (Fig. 98). Sulcus membrane ornamentations are psilate, psilate-perforate, rugulate and and rugulate-perforate (Figs 49-56).



Figs 49-56: Ornamentation of the sulcus membrane of *Allium* pollen grains. SEM photographs of the pollen grains of *Allium* species, showing pollen grain in distal view. 49. *A. szovitsii*: rugulate-perforate of the sulcus membrane SEM x 7000; 50. *A. subhirsutum*: Psilate-perforate of the sulcus membrane, SEM x 5000; 51. *A. zebdananse*: Rugulate-perforate ornamentation of the sulcus membrane, SEM x 5000; 52. *A. longisepalum*: Psilate-perforate of the sulcus membrane, SEM x 5000; 53. *A. cardiostemon*: Rugulate-perforate ornamentation of the sulcus membrane, SEM x 8000; 54. *A. colchicifolium*: Rugulate-perforate of the sulcus membrane, SEM x 8000; 55. *A. kharputense*: Psilate of the sulcus membrane, SEM x 6500; 56. *A. lycaonicum*: Rugulate-perforate ornamentation of the sulcus membrane, SEM x 6500; 56. *A. subharputense*: Psilate of the sulcus membrane, SEM x 6000 Scale bar = 1 μm.



Figs 57-66: TEM photomicrographs of exine structure (Pollen wall stratification and morphology of *Allium* pollen grains). 57. *A. scabriscapum*: TEM x 10000; 58. *A. schoenoprasum*: TEM x 30000; 59. *A. cepa*: TEM x 10000; 60. *A. subhirsutum*: TEM x 20000; 61. *A. cupani* subsp. *hirtovaginatum*: TEM x 20000; 62. *A. callidictyon*: TEM x 20000; 63. *A.*: TEM x 20000; 64. *A. frigidum*: TEM x 12000; 65. *A. cardiostemon*: TEM x 30000; 66. *A. decipiens*: TEM x 20000.



Figs 67-82: LM photographs of selected *Allium* species. 67. *A. szovitsii*: Proximal view with ornamentation LM x 1000 (A); 68. *A. szovitsii*: oblique polar proximal view, showing sulcus border, LM x 1000 (A); 69. *A. schoenoprasum*: oblique polar proximal view, showing operculum, LM x 1000 (A); 70. *A. schoenoprasum*: Pollen grain in proximal view, Striate-perforate ornamentation, LM x 1000 (A); 71. *A. cepa*: Pollen grain Equatorial distal view LM x 1000 (A); 72. *A. cepa*: Proximal view LM x 1000 (A); 73. *A. cepa*: oblique polar proximal view, LM x 1000 (N); 74. *A. subhirsutum*: Proximal view with ornamentation LM x 1000 (A); 75. *A. cassium*: Pollen grain Equatorial distal view LM x 1000 (N); 76. *A. cassium*: Pollen grain in distal view, striate-rugulate-perforate ornamentation LM x 1000 (A); 77. *A. cassium*: oblique polar proximal view, LM x 1000 (A); 77. *A. cassium*: oblique polar proximal view, LM x 1000 (A); 77. *A. cassium*: Pollen grain in distal view, striate-rugulate-perforate ornamentation LM x 1000 (A); 79. *A. cassium*: oblique polar proximal view, LM x 1000 (A); 78. *A. cassium*: Equatorial distal view LM x 1000 (A); 79. *A. zebdananse*: oblique polar proximal view, LM x 1000 (N); 80. *A. cupani* subsp. *hirtovaginatum*: Pollen grain in distal view with sulcus extending to the proximal face, LM x 1000 (A); 81. *A. cupani* subsp. *hirtovaginatum*: Pollen grain in distal view with fragmented operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 82. *A. callidictyon*: Pollen grain in distal view with fragmented operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 82. *A. callidictyon*: Pollen grain in distal view with fragmented operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 82. *A. callidictyon*: Pollen grain in distal view with fragmented operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 82. *A. callidictyon*: Pollen grain in distal view with fragmented operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 82. *A. callidictyon*: Pollen grain in distal view with fragmented operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 82. *A. callidictyon*: Pollen grain in distal view with fragmented operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 82. *A. callidic* 



Figs 83-98: LM photographs of selected Allium species. 83. A. callidictyon: pollen grain in proximal view with sulcus extending to the proximal face, LM x 1000 (N); 84. A. callidictyon: oblique polar proximal view, LM x 1000 (N); 85. A. callimischon subsp. haemostictum: Pollen grain in distal view LM x 1000 (N); 86. A. callimischon subsp. haemostictum: Pollen grain in distal view with fragmented operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 87. A. callimischon subsp. haemostictum: Equatorial distal view with operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 88. A. frigidum: Proximal view with ornamentation LM x 1000 (A); 89. A. akaka: Pollen grain in distal view with fragmented operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 91. A. chrysantherum: oblique polar proximal view, view showing operculum, LMx1000 (N); 92. A. cardiostemon: oblique polar proximal view, LMx1000 (N); 93. A. decipiens: Pollen grain in equatorial distal view. LMx1000 (N); 94. A. decipiens: Pollen grain in distal view with fragmented operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 97. A. locations: Pollen grain in distal view with operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 91. A. chrysantherum: oblique polar proximal view, view showing operculum, LMx1000 (N); 92. A. cardiostemon: oblique polar proximal view, LMx1000 (N); 93. A. decipiens: Pollen grain in equatorial distal view with fragmented operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 94. A. decipiens: Pollen grain in distal view with operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 95. A. orientale: Pollen grain in distal view with operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 97. A. lycaonicum: Pollen grain in distal view with operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 98. A. hirtifolium: Pollen grain in distal view with operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 98. A. hirtifolium: Pollen grain in distal view with operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 98. A. hirtifolium: Pollen grain in distal view with operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 98. A. hirtifolium: Pollen grain in distal view with operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 98. A. hirtifolium: Pollen grain in distal view with operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 98. A. hirtifolium: Pollen grain in distal view with operculum, LM x 1000 (N); 98. A. hirtifolium: Pollen gra

The exine, is mainly composed of columellae covered by the tectum which contains perforations (eutectate and microperforate pollen grains). These microperforations were only visible on SEM micrographs. There are also differences in the number of perforation, diameter of perforation and thickness of lirae. Fine perforation is getting bigger through sulcus side (Figs 13, 14, 21). (The perforations small ca. 0.08-0.35 um the width of the intervening tectum). The most typical (separate species) have structured muri, simplicolumellate muri and were formed by lirae. The lumina are almost circular in Allium species (Figs 25-48). Schulze (1980) and Pinar et al. (2009) have shown that muri and lumen shapes of the pollen are taxonomically important characters. Small convex sculpture elements (supratectal muri) on the surface are more or less irregularely arranged and short (rugulate to rugulate-perforate pattern, sometimes muri very shallow) with perforations of variable diameter in between, rarely long and parallel (transitions to striate condition) These were formed by striae of different length and orientation and detail of the exine showing irregular striate-perforate ectexine (perforation only on the outside of the tectum) are presented. The number of perforation in 1µm<sup>2</sup> is 4-14, the diameter of perforation on average is 0.08-0.35 µm and the thickness of lira on average is 0.16-0.33µm (Figs. 25-48). The diameter of perforation was observed to be the highest in A. frigidum (Fig. 36). Intine is 0.52-0.96µm thick. The A. longisepalum and A. subhirsutum had thickest intine while A. schoenoprasum, A. kossoricum, had thinnest one (Table 2).

According to SEM survey, exine ornamentation can be described best as the exine sculpture was striate-perforate, striate-rugulate-perforate and rugulate-perforate (Figs 25-56). However, in A. longisepalum, one of the lateral surfaces of the pollens is striate-rugulate-perforate and the other surface of the pollen grains was striate-perforate (Figs 10, 32-33); A. akaka (Figs 15-17, 38), A. orientale (Figs 42-43), A. lycaonicum (Figs 24, 46-47), one of the lateral surfaces of the pollens are striate-perforate and the other surface of the pollen grains was rugulate-perforate Therefore, these pollens grains are para-isopolar. Perforate-striate, perforate-rugulate-perforate and perforatestriate-rugulate exine structure have been reported in previous investigations (Pastor 1981; Diez et al., 1987; El-Sadek et al., 1994; Güler and Pehlivan, 2006; Namin et al., 2009; Neshati et al., 2009; Özhatay and Koçyiğit, 2009; Özler and Pehlivan, 2010; Koçyiğit, 2014; Maassoumi et al., 2014; Wrońska-Pilarek et al., 2016; Hosseini, 2018 and Baser et al., 2019). A rugulate-perforate ornamentation was observed in A. frigidum (Fig. 36) and A. decipiens (Fig. 41), while striaterugulate-perforate ornamentation was seen in A. cepa (Fig. 3), A. cassium, (Fig. 29) and A. zebdananse (Fig. 31), A. longisepalum (Fig. 32) A. cupani subsp. hirtovaginatum (Fig. 30), A. callidictyon (Fig. 34), A. callimischon subsp. haemostictum (Fig. 35), A. akaka, A. orientale (Fig. 42), A. lycaonicum (Fig. 47) Whereas in the other species striate-perforate ornamentation was observed.

The present study revealed that *Allium* taxa possess three types of ornamentational characteristics as follows:

(i) Striate-perforate: A. scabriscapum, A. szovitsii, A. schoenoprasum, A. subhirsutum, A. kossoricum, A. chrysanterum, A. cardiostemon, A. colchicifolium, A. kharputense, A. noëanum, A. hirtifolium.

(ii) Striate-regulateperforate: A. cepa, A. cassium A. zebdananse, A. longisepalum, A. cupani subsp. hirtovaginatum, A. callidictyon, A. callimischon subsp. haemostictum, A. akaka, A. orientale, A. lycaonicum.

(iii) Rugulate-perforate: A. frigidum, A. decipiens.

Taxonomic groups	Taxa	Collection data
Subgenus Rhizirideum Section Rhizirideum G.Don ex W.D.J.Koch	A. scabriscapum Boiss. & Kotschy.	B9 Van, Van-Hakkari castle crossroad, after 3 km from Gürpınar district, Çavuştepe village (Urartu castle), limestone and dry solepes, ca. 1850 m, M. Koyuncu & M. Çoşkun AEF 12585.
	A. szovitsii Regel	A8 Rize, İkizdere district, Anzer plateau, stony slopes, ca. 2200-2300 m, 26.7.1993, M. Koyuncu, T. Ekim, A. Güner AEF 17869.
Subg. <i>Cepa</i> Sect. <i>Schoenoprasum</i> (Kunth) Dumort.	A. schoenoprasum L.	A8 Rize, İkizdere district, Ovit plateau, stony slopes, ca. 2800-3100 m, 21.9.1993, M. Koyuncu AEF 17968
Subg. Cepa Sect. Cepa (Mill.) Prokh.	A. cepa L.	B7 Elazığ, Elazığ-Malatya crossroad, from Elazığ to Malatya 22 km, in a cultivated field, 29.6.1974, M. Koyuncu & K. Karamanoğlu, AEF 02134
Subg. Amerallium Sect. Molium G.Don ex Koch	A. subhirsutum L	C1Mugla, around Marmaris-İçmeler district, ca. 20 m, 17.4.1992, M. Koyuncu AEF 17088.
	A. cassium Boiss.	C4 Konya, Kartalçık neighbourhood, stony slopes, ca. 1550 m, 10.6.1996, M. Koyuncu AEF 12124.
	A. zebdananse Boiss. & Noë	A8 Artvin, rocky region, in crevices of rocks, ca. 1000 m, M. Koyuncu AEF 19480.
	A. longisepalum Bertol.	C9 Siirt, Şirvan district, the highest pass on the road between Cevizli and Siirt district, cultivated in field, ca. 1000 m, M. Koyuncu & A. Güner AEF 12809
Sect. BrevispathaValsecchi.	A. cupani Raf. subsp. hirtovaginatum (Kunth) Stearn	C4 Mersin, around Mut district dry slopes, shrubbery, ca. 100 m, M. Koyuncu & M. Çoşkun, AEF 2142.
	A. callidictyon C.A.Mey. ex Kunth	C5 İçel, Aslanköy Çoçak district, Yıldız Mountain, Gökbel plateau, ca. 2400 m, M. Koyuncu AEF 12818.
	A. callimischon subsp. haemostictum Stearn	C2 Muğla, between Bayır-Tırnaz village ridge, open Pinus burutia forest, limestone rocks, ca. 450 m, M. Koyuncu & A. Güner AEF 4587.

Table 1. List of Allium species investigated with vouchers..

contd.
-
le
9
-00

Table 1 contd.		
Taxonomic groups	Таха	Collection data
Subg. Melanocrommyum Sect. Scorodon W. D. J. Koch	A. frigidum Boiss. & Heldr.	C3 Isparta, Davras Mountain, cultivated in field and stony slopes, ca. 2000 m, M. Koyuncu AEF 5582.
	A. kossoricum Fomin	B9 Van, Başkale district, around Güzeldere crossing, steppe, ca. 2800 m, M. Koyuncu & M. Çoşkun AEF 12716.
Subg. Melanocrommyum Sect. Acanthoprason Wendelbo	A. akaka S. G. Gmelin ex Roem. & Schult. & Schult. f.	C9 Hakkari, Berçelen plateau, ca. 2400 m, 21.7.1994, M. Koyuncu AEF 02117.
Subg. Melanocrommyum Sect. Melanocrommym(Webb & Berthel.) Rouy	A. chrysantherum Boiss. & Reut.	B7 Malatya, between Quercus scrub and Juniperus, ca. 800-2150 m, M. Koyuncu, AEF 19243.
	<ul><li>A. cardiostemon Fisch.</li><li>&amp; C. A. Mey</li></ul>	C6 Adana, between Osmaniye-Fevzipaşa district ridge, Nur Mountain, forest openings Quercus shrub, ca. 1000 m, 5.6.1975, M. Koyuncu AEF 19244.
	A. colchicifolium Boiss.	B7 Elazığ, Yalnız Mountain, near river, steppe, ca. 1650 m, M. Koyuncu AEF 19244.
	A. decipiens Fisch. ex Schult. & Schult. f.	A8 Erzurum, Aşkale Pınar kapanı village, Kop Mountain, stony slopes, ca. 2100 m, 13.6.1998, M. Koyuncu AEF 10037
	A. orientale Boiss.	C1 Mugla, limestone hills and slopes, rocky places vineyards, ca. 600-1870 m, 10.6.1996, M. Koyuncu & T. Ekim AEF 19623.
	A. kharputense Freyn & Sint.	C7 Urfa, Siverek district, Otlu village, in a cultivated field, cultivated in field, ca. 1050 m, 6.5.1995, M. Koyuncu & T. Ekim AEF 19623.
	A. noëanum Reut. ex Regel	C7 Urfa, Siverek district, Karabahçe village, cultivated in field, ca. 1260 m, 3.5.1978, H. Mayer, AEF 19422.
	<i>A. lycaonicum</i> Siehe ex Hayek	C5 Adana, Osmaniye Zorkun plateau, in the meadows, ca. 1540 m, M. Koyuncu & M. Çoşkun AEF 02153
	A. hirtifolium Boiss.	C10 Hakkari, volcanic ash soil, dry slopes, ca. 1580-2600 m, 10.6.1996, M. Koyuncu AEF 12810.

The symbols AEF stand for herbarium sheets from the Faculty of Pharmacy of Ankara University.

pecies	LA (µm) M SD	SA (μm) M SD	LA/SA	Slg (µm)	Slt (µm)	Aperture membrane	Exine thicknes (μm)	Exine Surface	Intine thickness (μm)	Operculum
ect. Rhizirideum										
. scabriscapum (N)	28.72±1.00	19.96+0.56	1.43	40.20±1.46	$4.18\pm 1.19$	bs	$1.71\pm0.11$	St-pf	$0.78 \pm 0.11$	Absent
. szovitsii (N)	41.31±2.17	27.13±2.18	1.12	54.60±2.57	$3.17\pm1.63$	rg-pf	$1.44 \pm 0.13$	St-pf	$0.63 \pm 0.13$	Present
ect. Schoenoprasum										
.schoenoprasum (N)	$28.34\pm1.38$	$20.76\pm1.20$	1.37	43.40±1.61	$4.04 \pm 0.82$	bs	$1.30 \pm 0.11$	St-pf	$0.52 \pm 0.06$	Present
lect. Cepa										
l. cepa (N)	29.61±0.90	$20.7\pm0.64$	1.43	42.30±1.52	$3.75 \pm 0.60$	ps-pf	$1.46\pm 0.11$	St-rg-pf	$0.75 \pm 0.14$	Absent
ect. Molium										
1. subhirsutum (N)	<b>42.10±2.82</b>	$28.00\pm1.90$	1.50	64.30±2.71	7.48±1.64	ps-pf	$1.85 \pm 0.13$	St-pf	$0.86 \pm 0.12$	Absent
4. cassium (N)	39.72±1.74	$26.76 \pm 0.74$	1.48	62.20±2.51	$5.94 \pm 1.30$	ps-pf	$2.00 \pm 0.07$	St-rg-pf	$0.84 \pm 0.11$	Absent
4. zebdananse (N)	37.67±1.00	$25.65 \pm 0.60$	1.47	54.20±1.61	$6.24 \pm 0.79$	rg-pf	$1.49 \pm 0.1$	St-rg-pf	$0.72 \pm 0.08$	Absent
4. longisepalum (N)	50.32±2.34	32.10±1.31	1.57	$74.60 \pm 3.33$	$6.06 \pm 1.11$	ps-pf	$1.96 \pm 0.12$	St-rg-pf	$0.96 \pm 0.1$	Absent
Sect. Brevispatha										
<ol> <li>cupani subsp.</li> </ol>	29.02±1.12	$20.62 \pm 1.05$	1.40	$40.30\pm 1.46$	$3.10\pm1.92$	rg-pf	$1.61 \pm 0.12$	St-rg-pf	$0.68 \pm 0.12$	Present
hirtovaginatum (N)										
4. callidictyon (N)	$28.94\pm1.16$	$21.86 \pm 1.41$	1.32	40.20±1.70	$3.32\pm1.48$	ps-pf	$1.36 \pm 0.23$	St-rg-pf	$0.79 \pm 0.11$	Present
4. callimischon subsp.	$34.10\pm0.98$	23.92±1.15	1.43	<b>46.70±1.41</b>	$3.96 \pm 0.80$	rg-pf	1.71±0.15	St-rg-pf	$0.80 \pm 0.14$	Present
iaemostictum (N)										
Sect. Scorodon										
4. frigidum (N)	32.46±1.76	22.66±2.27	1.43	47.10±2.33	$2.99 \pm 1.02$	ps-pf	$1.20 \pm 0.13$	rg-pf	$0.64 \pm 0.12$	Absent
4. kossoricum (N)	$28.52\pm 2.10$	18.52±1.46	1.54	39.40±2.27	$1.95 \pm 1.01$	sd	$1.07 \pm 0.13$	St-pf	$0.52 \pm 0.07$	Present
Sect. Acanthoprason										
4. akaka (N)	34.60±1.88	$20.48 \pm 1.28$	1.69	50.60±2.87	$3.86 \pm 1.48$	rg-pf	$1.42 \pm 0.21$	St-rg-pf	$0.77 \pm 0.12$	Present
Sect.Melanocrommym										
4. chrysanterum (N)	$27.28\pm1.04$	$16.26 \pm 1.05$	1.68	45.20±1.25	$3.68\pm1.17$	rg	$1.20 \pm 0.18$	St-pf	$0.72 \pm 0.12$	Present
4. cardiostemon (N)	$25.98 \pm 0.96$	$21.11\pm1.76$	1.51	34.60±1.59	$2.24\pm0.85$	rg-pf	$1.24 \pm 0.13$	St-pf	$0.73 \pm 0.09$	Present
4. colchicifolium (N)	27.30±1.56	$17.16\pm 1.16$	1.59	38.10±1.92	$3.92\pm1.11$	ps-pf	$1.19 \pm 0.11$	St-pf	$0.68 \pm 0.12$	Absent
4. decipiens (N)	30.70±1.19	19.96±1.47	1.54	42.80±1.62	2.82±1.45	bs	$1.35 \pm 0.22$	rg-pf	$0.72 \pm 0.1$	Present
4. orientale (N)	$33.40\pm1.48$	$21.54\pm0.83$	1.55	45.40±1.98	$3.92\pm1.11$	bs	$1.75\pm0.12$	St-rg-pf	$0.74 \pm 0.09$	Present
4. kharputense (N)	31.72±1.71	$20.24 \pm 1.60$	1.57	41.70±1.46	3.43±0.75	bs	$1.36 \pm 0.18$	St-pf	$0.75 \pm 0.09$	Present
4. noëanum (N)	30.36±1.39	$18.66 \pm 1.50$	1.63	40.40±1.25	$1.29\pm 1.64$	rg	$1.18 \pm 0.22$	St-pf	$0.70 \pm 0.1$	Present
4. lycaonicum (N)	30.98±1.27	20.00±1.47	1.55	40.90±1.62	4.22±0.78	rg-pf	$1.53 \pm 0.16$	St-rg-pf	$0.73 \pm 0.13$	Present
4. hirtifolium (N)	$29.26 \pm 1.18$	$19.86 \pm 0.82$	1.48	$40.60\pm1.50$	$3.92 \pm 1.00$	rg-pf	$1.52 \pm 0.11$	St-pf	$0.74 \pm 0.13$	Present

Table 2. Characteristic features of the pollen of Turkish Allium species investigated.

Nomenclature: N-Non acetolysed pollen grains (LM); LA-Long axis; SA-Short axis; SD-Standard deviation; M-Mean value; Slg-Length of the sulcus; Slt-Width of the sulcus; St-pf; Sitriate-perforate; St-pf; Sitriate-regulate-perforate; rg-pf; rugulate; rg-pf; rugulate-perforate; ps; Psilate; ps-pf; psilate-perforate.

#### POLLEN MORPHOLOGY OF 23 SPECIES OF ALLIUM

In SEM photomicrographs, sulcus membranes are psilate in *A. scabriscapum*, *A. schoenoprasum*, *A. kossoricum* (Fig. 14), *A. decipiens* (Fig. 21), *A. orientale* and *A. kharputense* (Fig. 55); and psilate - perforate sulcus membrane ornamentation was seen in *A. cepa* (Fig. 3), *A. subhirsutum* (Fig. 50), *A. cassium*, *A. longisepalum* (Fig. 52), *A. callidictyon*, *A. frigidum* (Fig. 13) and *A. colchicifolium* (Figs. 20, 54); Rugulate sulcus membrane ornamentation was found in *A. chrysanterum* and *A. noëanum* (Fig. 23), and rugulate-perforate sulcus membrane ornamentations are seen in *A. szovitsii* (Fig. 49), *A. zebdananse* (Fig. 51), *A. cupani* subsp. *hirtovaginatum* (Fig. 9), *A. callimischon* subsp. *haemostictum*, *A. decipiens* (Fig. 21), *A. akaka* (Figs 15, 16), *A. cardiostemon* (Fig. 53), *A. lycaonicum* (Fig. 56) and *A. hirtifolium* similarly, Güler and Pehlivan (2006); Özler and Pehlivan (2010); Maassoumi *et al.* (2014) and Başer *et al.* (2019) reported that sulcus membrane ornamentations were psilate, striate-reticulate, rugulate and regulate-perforate in *Allium* taxa. Several researchers have emphasized that the sulcus membrane sculpturing may be a taxonomic value in some families (Kosenko, 1999; Güler and Pehlivan, 2006; Özler and Pehlivan, 2010).

The genus studied includes the sections; *Rhizirideum*, *Schoenoprasum*, *Cepa*, *Molium*, *Brevispatha*, *Scorodon*, *Acanthoprason* and *Melanocrommyum*. We aimed to elucidate the infrafamilial positions of the *Allium*. On the basis of the pollen structure of *Allium* within Alliaceae it found to be homogenous genus from the pollen point of view and a heterogenous family from the morphological point of view. Pollen morphology of 23 taxa of *Allium* was investigated under LM (Figs 67-98), SEM (Figs 1-56) and TEM (Figs. 57-66). The common characteristics of pollen grains were monad, monosulcate (extended sulcate types), and monosulcate-operculate, ellipsoidal, heteropolar with bilateral symmetry. However, pollen of *A. longisepalum*, *A. akaka*, *A. orientale* and *A. lycaonicum* are paraisopolar.

This study shows that pollen characters have been significant value in classification of *Allium*. The main palynological differences have been found at the section level, especially in the sulcus membrane and the presence of an operculum. A distal fragmented operculum and extended sulcate type are typical for *Allium* of Alliaceae. Sulcus long, getting the ends of the pollen grain or spreading to the proximal side.

In the present study, the biggest pollen size was found in *A. longisepalum*, whereas the smallest was found in *A. cardiostemon*. There is a decrease in pollen size in the sequence of the subsections *Rhizirideum*, *Schoenoprasum*, *Cepa*, *Molium*, *Brevispatha*, *Scorodon*, *Acanthoprason*, *Melanocrommyum*. The sulcus extends from distal to proximal and the sulcus ends are braod and rounded only in *A. akaka* (Figs 15, 16) while they were sharp in other species such as *A. subhirsutum* (Figs. 8, 74), *A. zebdanense* (Fig. 6), *A. longisepalum* (Fig. 10), *A. cupani* subsp. *hirtovaginatum* (Fig. 80), *A. callidictyon* (Figs. 11, 83), *A. callimischon* (Fig. 87), *A. chrysantherum* (Fig. 18) and *A. orientale* and it's blund in other ones. The longest length dimension of sulcus was seen in in section *Molium* are *A. subhirsutum*, *A. cassium*, *A. zebdanense* and *A. longisepalum*. Figs 5, 6, 8, 10). The widest sulcus dimension was seen in *A. subhirsutum* (Figs 8, 74).

It was recognized that the sulcus extends from distal to proximal end in all the taxa investigated (Table 2). The operculum was found to be fragmented on the sulcus membrane (Figs 69, 81, 82, 86, 87, 89, 90, 91, 94, 95, 96, 97) or sometimes completely covering it. Three are in our previous unreported from this genus, the operculum was determined only in *A. pallens* subsp. *pallens*, *A. bassitense* and *A. hirtovaginum* under the Section *Codonoprasum* (Güler and Pehlivan 2006). In the other studies of this genus, the operculum was determined only in *A. albidum* subsp. *caucasicum* (Section *Rhizirideum*), *A. rupicola* (Section *Codonoprasum*), *A. asperiflorum* under the Section *Allium* (Özler and Pehlivan, 2010), 12 taxa belonging to Section *Codonoprasum* 

(Koçyiğit, 2014) and *A. arlgirdense* under the Section *Scorodon* (Başer *et al.*, 2019). According to Kosenko (1992), a non-operculate exine is a plesiomorphic peculiarity. Several researchers have emphasized that the sulcus features and the presence of operculum may be a taxonomic value in some families (Chanda *et al.*, 1979; Halbritter and Hesse, 1993; Güler and Pehlivan 2006; Özler and Pehlivan, 2010). The advantage of a monosulcate aperture (extended sulcate) in monocotyledons with the inclusion of *Allium*, is underlined by Harley and Zavada (2000); Güler and Pehlivan (2006); Namin *et al.*, 2009; Neshati *et al.* (2009); Özhatay and Kocyigit (2009); Özler and Pehlivan (2007, 2010); Maassoumi *et al.* (2014) and Başer *et al.* (2019).

Palynological data related to exine ornamentation indicate the heterogeneous characters of this genus. In this study we have determined that there are intraspecific variations among studied species as well which are based on exine sculpturing, and sulcus ornamentation. *Allium* species divided into 4 pollen types according to sulcus membrane sculpturing; among *Allium* species a psilate, psilate-perforate, rugulate and rugulate-perforate sulcus membrane is distinctive. In the present investigated taxa such as *A. scabriscapum* (Fig. 57), *A. schoenoprasum*, (Fig. 58) and *A. cepa* (Fig. 59). The exine is semitectate and the tectum perforate while the tectum is with intervals and is formed by simple columella. Exine thickness 1-2  $\mu$ m. Ectexine is thicker than endexine and that endexine exhibits a very thin continuous structure. Tectum is thicker than foot layer with intervals (Figs 57-66).

The results show that there were several pollen characters of taxonomic significance in the genus *Allium*. There are also differences in the size of the pollen, exine sculpturing, ornamentation of sulcus membrane and lumen shape, size and murus size, exine thickness and number of perforation, diameter of perforation and thickness of lira. We recognized 3 main types, distinct by pollen sculpturing, lumina shape and sulcus membrane ornamentation. The main palynological differences have been registered at the section level. These results are similar to the earlier studies (Güler and Pehlivan, 2006; Özler and Pehlivan, 2010; Neshati *et al.*, 2009; Özhatay and Kocyigit, 2009; Başer *et al.*, 2019; Table 2; Figs 25-48).

# Acknowledgements

Special thanks are due the Council of Highre Education (YÖK), the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK). The authors are also grateful to Prof. Dr. Mehmet Koyuncu, Ankara University-Department Biology. We also would like to thank to ANK herbarium for their kind support. I would like to express my gratitude to the Turkish Petroleum Corporation (TPAO) access to their scanning electron microscope and technical support. Special thanks are due to TEM studies Gazi University, Faculty of Medicine, Ankara.

## References

- Başer, B., Fırat, M. and Binzet, R. 2019. Pollen morphological study on some rare Allium L. (Amaryllidaceae) taxa ın Turkey. Bangladesh J. Plant Taxon. 26 (1): 47-55.
- Celep, F., Koyuncu, M., Fritsch, R.M., Kahraman, A. and Doğan, M. 2012. Taxonomic importance of seed morphology in *Allium* (Amaryllidaceae). Syst. Bot. 37: 893-912.
- Chanda, S., Gosh, K. and Nilson, S. 1979. On the polarity and tetrad arrangement in some mono and diaperturate angiosperm pollen grains. Grana 18: 21-31.
- Davis, P.H., Mill, R.R. and Tan, K. (Eds.). 1984-1988. Flora of Turkey and the East Eagean Islands (Supp I.). Edinburg Vol. 8, 10. 98-210. Edinburgh University Press.

- Diez, M.J. 1987. Liliaceae, In: Valdés B., Diez, M.J. and Fernández, I. (Eds.) Atlas polinico de Andalucia Occidental: 379-395. Instituto de Desarrollo Regional, 43. Universidad de Sevilla y Excma. Diputacion de Cadiz, Sevilla, pp. 379-395.
- Duman, H., Ekşi, G. and Özbek, F. 2017. Two new species of Allium L. Sect. Allium (Amaryllidaceae) from Turkey, Plant Systematics and Evolution 303: 1271–1291.
- Ekşi, G., Koyuncu, M. and Bona, M. 2015. Allium phanerantherum subsp. involucratum (Amaryllidaceae), a new subspecies from Turkey. Bangladesh Journal of Plant Taxonomy 22: 143–146.
- Ekşi, G., Koyuncu, M. and Gençler Özkan, A.M. (2016) *Allium ekimianum*: a new species (Amaryllidaceae) from Turkey. PhytoKeys **62**: 83–93.
- El-Sadek, L., El-Gazaly, G. and Ayyad, M. 1994. Cytology and palynology of common monocots in Mariut Egypt 1. Common species of the families Alliaceae and Liliaceae, Qatar University Science Journal 14(2), 270-280.
- Erdtman, G. 1960. The acetolysis method. A revised description. Svensk Botanisk Tidskrift, 54: 561-564.
- Faegri, K. and Iversen, J. 1989. Textbook of Pollen Analysis. **4th** (Ed.) *In*: Faegri, K., Kalland, P.E. and Krzywinski, K. Wiley, J. and Sons, Chichester, New York, Brisbane, Toronto, Singapore.
- Firat, M., Koyuncu, M. and Ekşi, G. 2018 Allium pervariensis, sect. Allium (Amaryllidaceae), a new species from Siirt Turkey. Plant Biosystems 152: 305–310.
- Friesen, N., Fritsch, R.M. and Blattner, F.R. 2006. Phylogeny and new intrageneric classification of Allium (Alliaceae) based on nuclear ribosomal DNA ITS sequences. Aliso: A Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Botany. 22(1): 372-395.
- Govaerts, R., Kington, S., Friesen, N., Fritsch, R., Snijman, D.A., Marcucci, R. Silverstone-Sopkin P.A. and Brullo, S. 2013. World checklist of Amaryllidaceae. Available: http://apps.kew.org/ wcsp/.
- Govaerts, R., Kington, S., Friesen, N., Fritsch, R., Snijman, D.A., Marcucci, R. Silverstone-Sopkin P.A. and Brullo, S. 2019. World checklist of Amaryllidaceae.
- Güner, A., Aslan, S., Ekim, T., Vural, M. and Babaç, M.T. (eds.) 2012. Turkey's Plant List (Vascular Plants) (Türkiye Bitkileri Listesi (Damarlı Bitkiler). Nezahat Gökyiğit Botanic Garden and Flora Research Society Publication, İstanbul (In Turkish).
- Güler, Ü. and Pehlivan, S. 2006. Pollen morphology of some species belonging to *Codonoprasum* and *Allium* sections of *Allium* L. (Liliaceae-Alliaceae) genus. Biologia (Bratislava) **61**: 449-455.
- Güner, A., Özhatay, N., Ekim, T. and Canbaşer, K.H. 2000. Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands (Supplement 2). Edinburgh University Press.
- Gurushidze, M., Mashayekhi, S., Blattner, F.R., Friesen, N. and Fritsch, R.M. 2007. Phylogenetic relationships of wild and cultivated species of *Allium* section *Cepa* inferred by nuclear rDNA ITS sequence analysis. Plant Syst. Evol. **269**: 259-269
- Halbritter, H. and Hesse M. 1993. Sulcus morphology in some monocot families. Grana 32: 87-99.
- Harley, M.M. and Zavada, M.S. 2000. Pollen of the monocotyledons: Selecting characters for cladistic analysis. In: Wilson, K.L. and Morrison, D.A. (Eds), Monocots: Systematic and Evolution, CSIRO, Melbourne. pp. 194-213.
- Hesse, M., Halbritter, H., Zetter, R., Weber, M., Buchner, R., Frosch-Radivo, A. and Ulrich, S. 2009. Pollen terminology. An illustrated handbook. Springer, Vienna.
- Hosseinzadeh, N., Mehrvarz, H. Saeidi, Zarre, S. and Fritsch, R. 2009. Pollen morphology of selected species of *Allium* (Alliaceae) distributed in Iran. Nord. J. Bot. **27**(1): 54-60.
- Hosseini, Sh. 2018. Pollen Morphology of some Allium species (Amaryllidaceae) from Iran, Iranian J. Bot. 24(2) 105-113.
- Koçyiğit, M. 2014. Pollen morphology of some Allium L. Taxa (sect. Codonoprasum/ Alliaceae) in Turkey. Journal Faculty Pharmacy, Istanbul University 44: 79-87.

- Kollmann, F. 1984. *Allium*. In: Davis PH, ed. Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands, Vol. **8** Edinburgh; Edinburgh University Press, pp. 98-211.
- Kosenko, V.N. 1992. Pollen morphology and systematic problems of the Liliaceae family. Bot. Zh. 77: 1-15.
- Kosenko, V.N. and Kudryashova, G.L. 1995. Palynomorphology of the family Alliaceae. Botanicheskii Zhurnal (st. Petersburg). 80(6): 5-17.
- Kosenko, V.N. 1999. Contributions to the pollen morphology and taxonomy of the Liliaceae. Grana **38**: 20-30.
- Koyuncu, M. and Eker, I. 2011. *Allium arsuzense* sp. nov. and *A. roseum* subsp. *gulekense* subsp. nov. from Turkey. Nordic J. Bot. **29**: 391–396.
- Kuprianova, LA 1967. Apertures of pollen grain and their evolution in Angiosperms. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, **3**: 73-80
- Kuprianova, L.A. and Aliev, T.A. 1979. Palynological data in the systematics of the genus Allium L. (Alliaceae JG Agardh). Botanicheskii Zhurnal (St. Petersburg), **64**(9): 1273-1284.
- Maassoumi, S.M., Kiani, S., Bareemizadeh, F., Ghasempour, H., Karimi, N., Shohani, F. and Amiri, S. 2014. Pollen morphology of the genus *Allium* in comparison with genus Calochortus of order Liliales. Int. J. Biosci. 4(12): 237-243.
- Nair, P.K.K. and Sharma, M. 1965. Pollen morphology of Liliaceae. Journal Palynology I: 38-61.
- Namin, H.H. Mehrvarz, S.S. Zarre, S. and Fritsch, R. 2009. Pollen morphology of selected species of Allium (Alliaceae) distributed in Iran. Nord. J. Bot. 27(1): 54-60.
- Neshati, F. Fritsch, R.M. and Zarre, S. 2009. Pollen morphology of some Allium L. species (Alliaceae) from Iran. -Botanische Jahrbücher 127(4): 433-451.
- Özdöl, T., Erdem S. and Yıldırım, H. 2022. *Allium ayhan-toprakii* (Amaryillidaceae), a new species from Turkey. Annales Botanici Fennicia **59** (1): 233-237.
- Özhatay, N. and Genç, İ. 2013. Allium cyrilli complex (sect. Melanocrommyum) in Turkey. Turk. J. Bot. 37: 39-45.
- Özhatay, N. and Koçyiğit, M. 2009. Pollen morphology of *Allium* species (Lilliaceae) in European Turkey and around Istanbul. Phytologia Balcanica. **15**(2): 199- 08.
- Özler, H. and Pehlivan, S. 2010. Pollen morphology of *Allium* L. (Lilliaceae) taxa in Turkey. Bangladesh J. Bot. **39**(1): 37-46.
- Özler, H. and Pehlivan, S. 2007. Comparison of Pollen morphological structures of some taxa belonging to *Asparagus* L. and *Fritillaria* L. (Liliaceae) From Turkey. Bangladesh J. Bot. **36**(2): 111-120.
- Pastor, J. 1981. Estudio Palinológico Del género Allium L. en la península Ibérica y Baleares. Botanica Macaronesica. 8-9: 189-214.
- Perveen, A. and Qaiser, M. 2015. Pollen Flora of Pakistan- Ixviii. Alliaceae. Pakistan J. Bot. 47(1): 263-268.
- Punt, W. Blackmore, S. Nilsson, S. and Le Thomas, A. 1994. Glossary of pollen and spore terminology. LPP Foundation, Utrecht. LPP Contributions Series No 1: 1-71.
- Punt, W., Hoen, P., Blackmore, S., Nilsson, S. and Le Thomas, A. 2007: Glossary of pollen and spore terminology. –Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol. 143: 1-81.
- Pınar, N.M., Duran, A., Çeter, T. and Tuğ, G.N. 2009. Pollen and seed morphology of the genus *Hesperis* L. (Brassicaceae) in Turkey. Turk. J. Bot. 33(2): 83-96.
- Radulescu, D. 1973. Recherches morpho-palynologiques sur la famille Liliaceae. Acta Botanica Horti Bucur. pp. 193-248.
- Reynolds, E.S. 1963. The use of lead citrate at high P<sup>H</sup> as on electron opaque stain in electron microscopy. J. Cell Biol. **17**: 208-212.

- Schulze, W. 1980. Beiträge zur Taxonomie der Liliiflorae, V. Alliaceae. Wiss. Z. Friedrich-Schiller-Univ. Jena, Math. Naturwiss. Reihe **29**: 595-606
- Schulze, W. 1980a. Beiträge zur Taxonomie der Liliifloren, V. Alliaceae. Wiss. Z. Friedrich-Schiller Univ. Jena, Math. Naturw. Reihe **29**: 595-606.
- Skvarla, J.J. and Turner, B.L. 1966. Systematic implications from electron microscopic studies of Compositae pollen. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden. 53: 220-256.
- Tolgor, M. 1995. Pollen morphology of *Allium* and its taxonomic significance. J. Jilin Agricult. Univ., **17**(1): 36-40.
- Walker, J.W. 1974a. Evolution of exine structure in the pollen of primitive angiosperms. Am. J. Bot. **61**(8): 891-902.
- Walker, J.W. 1974b. Aperture evolution in the pollen of primitive angiosperms. Am. J. Bot. **61**(10): 1112-1136.

Wodehouse, R. P. 1935. Pollen grains McGraw-Hill, New York. 439 pp.

Wrońska-Pilarek, D., Halbritter, H., Krzymińska, A., Bednorz, L. and Bocianowski, J. 2016. Pollen Morphology of Selected European Species of the Genus *Allium* L. (Alliaceae) Acta Scientiarum Polonorum Hortorum Cultus, 15(4): 65-84.

(Manuscript received on 3 January 2022; revised on 5 July 2022)