Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*: an underestimated risk at pet clinic

Staphylococcus pseudintermedius meticilina-resistente: um risco subestimado na clínica de animais de companhia

Thérèsse Camille Nascimento Holmström¹ (10), Luria Adib David² (10), Cássia Couto da Motta³ (10), Thomas Hebert dos Santos² (10), Irene da Silva Coelho⁴ (10), Shana de Mattos de Oliveira Coelho⁵ (10), Dayanne Araújo de Melo⁶ (10) & Miliane Moreira Soares de Souza⁷* (10)

¹Animal Science, MSc, Doctorate. Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciências – PPGCV, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro – UFRRJ, Seropédica, RJ, Brasil

²Veterinary, Graduation student. Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro – UFRRJ, Seropédica, RJ, Brasil ³Veterinary, Dr, Autonomus. Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

⁴Agronomist, Dr. Professor. Departamento de Microbiologia e Imunologia Veterinária, Instituto de Veterinária, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro – UFRRJ, Seropédica, RJ, Brasil

⁵Biologist, Dr, Professor. Departamento de Microbiologia e Imunologia Veterinária, Instituto de Veterinária, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro – UFRRJ, Seropédica, RJ, Brasil

⁶Veterinary, Dr. Post doctorate. Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciências, Tecnologia e Inovação em Agropecuária - PPGCTIA, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro - UFRRJ, Seropédica, RJ, Brasil

⁷Veterinary, PhD, Professor. Departamento de Microbiologia e Imunologia Veterinária, Instituto de Veterinária, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro – UFRRJ, Seropédica, RJ, Brasil

Abstract

The prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* (MRSP) as a cause of infectious disease in companion animals remains unknown. The emergence of MRSP is a challenge in veterinary medicine as multidrug-resistant strains began to emerge, resulting in treatment failures. This study provides an overview of the characterization of *S. pseudintermedius* strains from clinical pet samples and the prevalence of MRSP strains. A total of 123 *S. pseudintermedius* strains were characterized by phenotypic testing and the MALDI-TOF technique and evaluated for susceptibility to methicillin and the presence of the *mecA* gene. Of these, 49 (39.8%) were identified as MRSP. The results confirm the importance of monitoring resistant pathogens and the need for further studies to determine the prevalence of MRSP in companion animals. The prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* (MRSP) as a cause of infectious disease in companion animals remains unknown. This study provides an overview of the characterization of *S. pseudintermedius* strains from clinical pet samples and the prevalence of MRSP in companion animals. A total of 123 *S. pseudintermedius* strains from clinical pet samples and the prevalence of MRSP strains. A total of 123 *S. pseudintermedius* strains were characterized by phenotypic testing and the MALDI-TOF technique and evaluated for susceptibility to methicillin and the prevalence of MRSP strains. A total of 123 *S. pseudintermedius* strains were characterized by phenotypic testing and the MALDI-TOF technique and evaluated for susceptibility to methicillin and the presence of the *mecA* gene. Of these, 49 (39.8%) were identified as MRSP. The results confirm the importance of monitoring resistant pathogens and the need for further studies to determine the prevalence of MRSP in companion animals.

Keywords: Staphylococcus pseudintermedius, methicillin resistance, mecA gene, companion animals.

Resumo

A prevalência de *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* (MRSP) resistente à meticilina como causadores de doenças infecciosas em animais de companhia permanece desconhecida. O surgimento de MRSP é um desafio na medicina veterinária, já que cepas resistentes a múltiplas drogas começaram a surgir, resultando em falhas no tratamento. Este estudo fornece uma visão geral sobre a caracterização de cepas de *S. pseudintermedius* oriundas de amostras clínicas de animais de companhia e a prevalência de cepas de MRSP. Um total de 123 cepas de *S. pseudintermedius* foram caracterização stravés de provas fenotípicas e pela técnica de MALDI-TOF e avaliadas quanto à suscetibilidade à meticilina e à presença do gene *mec*A. Destas, 49 (39,8%) foram identificados como MRSP. Os resultados confirmam a importância do monitoramento de patógenos resistentes e a necessidade de mais estudos para determinar a prevalência de MRSP em animais de companhia.

Palavras-chave: Staphylococcus pseudintermedius, resistência à meticilina, gene mecA, animais de companhia.



ටි

How to cite: Holmström, T. C. N., David, L. A., Motta, C. C., Santos, T. H., Coelho, I. S., Coelho, S. M. O., Melo, D. A., & Souza, M. M. S. (2020). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*: an underestimated risk at pet clinic. *Brazilian Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, *42*, e107420. doi: 10.29374/2527-2179.bjvm107420

Financial support: The Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES), Technological Development (CNPq) supported this work and Foundation of Support for Research in the State of Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ).

Conflict of interests: No conflict of interests declared concerning the publication of this article.

Received: September 05, 2019. Accepted: February 27, 2020.

The study was carried out at Laboratório de Bacteriologia Veterinária, Microbiologia e Imunologia Veterinária, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro – UFRRJ, Seropédica, RJ, Brasil.

*Correspondence

Miliane Moreira Soares de Souza Departamento de Microbiologia e Imunologia Veterinária, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro – UFRRJ Campus Seropédica, Rodovia BR 465, Km 07, s/n Zona Rural CEP 23890-000 - Seropedica (RJ), Brasil

E-mail: milianemss@gmail.com

Copyright Holmström et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

The antimicrobial-resistant superbugs are an emerging global disease, and one of the three most significant threats to public health in the 21st century (Courvalin, 2016; Holmes et al., 2016). Gram-positive bacterial strains classified as a high priority, level 2, by the World Health Organization include methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* with intermediate resistance or resistance to vancomycin.

The emergence of multiresistant Gram-positive bacterial strains is a serious challenge for small animals medicine. *Staphylococcus* species distribution and the prevalence of multidrug-resistant strains in clinical specimens are still relatively unknown. Also, the presence and circulation of *mecA* gene implicated in methicillin resistance at *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* population is still a big concern. Besides, *S. aureus* is no longer considered the only species of clinical importance since the critical review published by Devriese et al. (2005) that highlighted underestimated species such as *S. pseudintermedius*.

This relatively recent classification, based on molecular characteristics, has transfigured the diagnosis of several infections in companion animals. It also emphasized the need for a better understanding of resistance identification and characterization methods in *Staphylococcus* species, given their impact as a potential reservoir of resistance genes that can be disseminated to other species, including *S. aureus* (Devriese et al., 2009).

The last decade has been quite prolific in this subject, and LABAC-VET/UFRRJ has had the opportunity to contribute significantly to the advance in the state of the art of this theme (Motta et al., 2014; Melo et al., 2014). The present work aimed to evaluate the occurrence and resistance profile of *S. pseudintermedius* in clinical samples from infectious processes of companion animals.

Material and methods

Sampling

The isolates of *S. pseudintermedius* studied were obtained from clinical samples sent to Veterinary Microbiological Diagnosis from different infectious processes in dogs and cats. The characterization of *S. pseudintermedius* strains was performed by biochemical tests (Table 1) and by the MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry technique. The present study was submitted to the Animal Experimentation and Use Committee (protocol number: CEUA 1652171215).

Coagulase	Bacitracina	Polimixina B	VP	Manitol	Manose	Maltose	ID
+	R	S	-	(+)	+	+/-	S. pseudintermedius
+	R	S	low	ND	ND	-/low	S. intermedius
+	R	ND	-	+	+	+	S. delphini
+	R	R	+	+	+	+	S. aureus
+	R	ND	-	-	-	+	S. aureus subsp. anaerobius
+	R	ND	+	+	+	-	S. schleiferi subsp. coagulans
+/-	R	R	-	+	+	-	S. hyicus

Table 1. Results in phenotypic identification of Staphylococcus coagulase-positive species.

VP: Voges-Proskauer. ND: Not determinated; R: Resistant; S: Suscetible; (+) 11-89% of the isolates are positives; ID: Identification.

Isolation and presumptive identification of coagulase-positive Staphylococcus (SCP)

Clinical samples were submitted to routine phenotypic identification, which consisted of primary isolation on Blood Base Agar (KASVI[®]) plus 5% defibrinated sheep blood. The plates were incubated at 35 ± 2 °C for 24 hours. After presumptive identification of the colonies, they were submitted to the Gram staining method to confirm their morphotintorial characteristics and catalase test. Catalase-positive Gram-positive cocci were then picked on Mannitol Salt Agar (microMED, ISOFAR[®]) and incubated 35 ± 2 °C for 24 hours to obtain cultures of *Staphylococcus* spp.

After incubation, the characteristics of the colonies and the fermentation or not of mannitol were observed (Koneman et al., 2008).

Coagulase

Coagulase detection test was performed using bacterial growth obtained in 1 mL Brain Heart Infusion broth (HIMEDIA) incubated at 35 ± 2 °C for 24 hours. An aliquot of 200 µL of each sample was added to 200 µL of rabbit plasma (Larboclin), followed by incubation at 35 ± 2 °C for 6 hours for clot visualization (Koneman et al., 2008). The strain *Staphylococcus aureus* subsp. *aureus* ATCC 25923TM was used as a control (positive coagulase).

Bacitracin and polymyxin susceptibility test

A direct suspension of the McFarland 0.5 equivalent colony was distributed over the surface of a Müeller Hinton Agar (KASVI) Petri plate using a swab. The disk of 0.04 IU bacitracin and 300 µg polymyxin (Sensifar, Cefar) were deposited on the medium surface containing the inoculum. After incubation for 24 hours at 35 ± 2 °C, the zone of inhibition around the disk was observed and measured. *Staphylococcus* spp. are resistant to bacitracin (Koneman et al., 2008; Markey et al., 2013), and the species *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* is susceptible to polymyxin, presenting a halo ≥ 10 mm (Markey et al., 2013). The strain *Staphylococcus aureus* subsp. *aureus* ATCC 25923TM was used as a control (bacitracin and polymyxin resistant).

Voges-Proskauer and fermentation of mannose and maltose

SCP isolates were identified by Voges-Proskauer (VP), maltose, and mannose fermentation tests (Koneman et al., 2008). The *S. pseudintermedius* CD93 and *Staphylococcus aureus* subsp. *aureus* ATCC 25923TM were used as controls. The Voges-Proskauer test was performed using 1 mL of MR-VP Broth (Vetec Química Fina). The use of production of acetoin as a final product of glucose fermentation is indicated by the pink coloration after the addition of 100 μ L of 5% α -naphthol and 300 μ L of KOH (40%) in the broth containing the inoculum incubated for 24 hours at 35 °C. \pm 2 °C. The fermentation of mannose and maltose sugars was evaluated using base broth containing phenol red pH indicative (microMED, ISOFAR) and 1% sugar. Acid production, indicated by pH decrease and consequent color change, was evaluated after 24 hours at 35 \pm 2 °C.

Proteomic analysis by MALDI-TOF MS

Samples were inoculated in BHI agar at 37 °C for 24 h. Each culture was transferred to a microplate (96 MSP, Bruker - Billerica, USA). Each bacterial sediment was covered by a lysis solution (70% formic acid; Sigma-Aldrich). Additionally, a 1- μ L aliquot of matrix solution (alpha-ciano-4-hidroxicinamic acid diluted in 50% acetonitrile and 2.5% trifluoracetic acid, Sigma-Aldrich) was added to each sediment. The spectra of each sample were generated in a mass spectrometer (MALDI-TOF LT Microflex, Bruker) equipped with a 337 nm nitrogen laser in a linear path, controlled by the FlexControl 3.3 (Bruker) program. The spectra were collected in a mass range between 2,000-20,000 m/s, and then were analyzed by the MALDI Biotyper 2.0 (Bruker) program, using the standard configuration for bacteria identification, by which the spectrum of the sample is compared with the references in the database. The results vary on a 0-3 scale, where the highest value means a more precise match and reliable identification.

Phenotypic detection of methicillin-resistance

Methicillin-resistance detection in *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* was performed according to the recommendations of the Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (2018). The strains were screened by oxacillin disk-diffusion test considering an inhibition zone diameter \leq 17 mm as resistant*S. pseudintermedius* CD93 standard strain were used as control.

Detection of mecA gene by PCR

A 1.5 mL overnight culture of a single *Staphylococcus* colony was centrifuged (three times) and the cell pellet was suspended in 600 μ L of lysis solution (200 mM TrisHCl, 25 mM EDTA, 25 mM NaCl, 1% SDS, pH8.0) at 65 °C for 30 min. The DNA was extracted with Chloroform: Isoamyl

Alcohol 25:24:1 twice and precipitated by ice-cold ethanol two volumes. DNA pellet was washed with 70% ethanol and resuspended in 30 µL of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH8.0) and stored at -20 °C until used. PCR for *mec*A gene was initially carried out using the primers and methodology outlined by Murakami et al. (1991): 5'-AAA ATC GAT GGT AAA GGT TGG C-3' and 5'-AGT TCT GCA GTA CCG GAT TTGC-3'. Amplicons were detected by 1.5% agarose gel, stained with SYBR Green (Invitrogen) and examined under UV transilluminator (UvTrans).

Results

One hundred and twenty-three strains were characterized as *S. pseudintermedius* at phenotypic analyses. Most of the samples evaluated were of canine origin (115/123) and the main sources were cutaneous swab (35/123), urine (29/123) and otologic swab (12/123). Most feline samples positive for this pathogen were from infection in urinary tract (7/8).

The MALDI-TOF technique presented results ranging from *S. pseudintermedius* (38/123), *S. intermedius* (13/123), *S. pseudintermedius/S. intermedius* (70/123), *Staphylococcus* belonging to the SIG group (2/123) (*S. intermedius, S. pseudintermedius* and *S. delphini*).

Phenotypic screening of MRSP strains by the oxacillin disk-diffusion test revealed 43.9% (54/123) of resistant strains. The *mec*A gene was found in 39.8% (49/123) isolates, of which 65.3% (32/49) were phenotypically resistant to methicillin.

Discussion

Most *S. pseudintermedius* positive from canine samples were obtained from infectious processes on the skin, ear and urinary tract in agreement with reports that point to these as the main sites of *S. pseudintermedius* infection (Weese & Van Duijkeren, 2010; Bannoehr & Guardabassi, 2012), whereas in cats there was a prevalence of this pathogen in urinary tract infections, this difference may be explained by the fact that infectious processes in the skin and otitis are more frequent in dogs than in cats (Morris et al., 2006). These results corroborate the findings in the literature that point out that the occurrence of *S. pseudintermedius* in dogs is more frequent (Worthing et al. 2018).

Given the difficulty of discrimination by biochemical identification in routine laboratories, new technologies have been employed to overcome these limitations and thus enable better species differentiation. MALDI-TOF MS mass spectrometry has been introduced in human and animal diagnostic laboratories due to its cost-effectiveness and fast and accurate approach (Bannoehr & Guardabassi, 2012).

Identification results obtained by the MALDI-TOF technique may vary due to characteristics of the database used for interpretation. A database that aimed primarily at identifying strains from infectious processes in humans may present a misidentification to analyze spectra generated from animal samples, showing different results for SIG group members. Thus, it is important to note that this identification depends on the standardization and regular updating of the database (Guardabassi et al., 2017), especially for the discrimination of members of the SIG group (Decristophoris et al., 2011; Murugaiyan et al., 2014).

Correct identification of the *Staphylococcus* species involved in the infectious process is crucial for detection of methicillin resistance, once a specific phenotypic marker, oxacillin or cefoxitin should be used depends on the staphylococci species (Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute, 2018). Phenotypic methicillin screening tests including cefoxitin disk diffusion for *S. aureus, S. lugdunensis* and Coagulase-negative staphylococci, the isolates are resistant if zone diameter \leq 21 mm by a mechanism *mec*A mediated, and to identify methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* and *S. schleiferi* is preconized oxacillin disk diffusion, and the isolates are resistant if zone diameter \leq 17 mm (resistance *mec*A mediated) according CLSI. However, phenotypic expression of beta-lactam resistance in *Staphylococcus* isolates is usually heterogeneous, and the amplification of *mec*A gene is prescribed as a gold standard method (Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute, 2018).

The results revealed a significant percent of MRSP in the isolates analyzed. The worldwide emergence of MRSP has become one of the main problems for veterinary medicine (Pomba et al., 2017) and the infections caused by this agent, a challenge apart.

Studies have described that MRSP strains may exhibit resistance to other classes of antimicrobials, resulting in an even greater therapeutic difficulty than the challenge already discovered, beta-lactam resistance (Santos et al., 2016). These findings are of concern because they show the spread of MRSP in companion animals, especially dogs, dramatically reducing the therapeutic options available for treating infections.

Conclusion

The wide spread of *S. pseudintermedius* at veterinary clinic requires accurate protocols for its identification, as well as a specific analysis of the resistance profile, since the occurrence of multiresistant MRSP strains dramatically reduces the therapeutic options available for the treatment of these infections.

Acknowledgements

The Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPE S), Technological Development (CNPq) supported this work and Foundation of Support for Research in the State of Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ).

References

- Bannoehr, J., & Guardabassi, L. (2012). Staphylococcus pseudintermedius in the dog: taxonomy, diagnostics, ecology, epidemiology and pathogenicity. Veterinary Dermatology, 23(4), 253-e52. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3164.2012.01046.x. PMid:22515504.
- Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute CLSI. (2018). M31-A3: performance standarts for antmicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals (3rd ed.). Wayne: CLSI.
- Courvalin, P. (2016). Why is antibiotic resistance a deadly emerging disease? *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 22(5), 405-407. PMid:26806259.
- Decristophoris, P., Fasola, A., Benagli, C., Tonolla, M., & Petrini, O. (2011). Identification of *Staphylococcus intermedius* Group by MALDI-TOF MS. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, *34*(1), 45-51. PMid:21300509.
- Devriese, L. A., Hermans, K., Baele, M., & Haesebrouck, F. (2009). *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* versus *Staphylococcus intermedius*. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 133(1-2), 206-207. PMid:18760884.
- Devriese, L., Vancanneyt, M., Baele, M., Vaneechoutte, M., De Graef, E., Snauwaert, C., Cleenwerck, I., Dawyndt, P., Swings, J., Decostere, A., & Haesebrouck, F. (2005). *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* sp. nov., a coagulasepositive species from animals. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 55(Pt 4), 1569-1573. PMid:16014483.
- Guardabassi, L., Damborg, P., Stamm, I., Kopp, P. A., Broens, E. M., & Toutain, P.-L. (2017). Diagnostic microbiology in veterinary dermatology: present and future. *Veterinary Dermatology*, 28(1), 146-e30. PMid:28133869.
- Holmes, A. H., Moore, L. S., Sundsfjord, A., Steinbakk, M., Regmi, S., Karkey, A., Guerin, P. J., & Piddock, L. J. (2016). Understanding the mechanisms and drivers of antimicrobial resistance. *Lancet*, 387(10014), 176-187. PMid:26603922.
- Koneman, E. W., Allen, S. D., Janda, W. M., Schreckenberger, P. C., & Winn, J. R. (2008). *Diagnóstico microbiológico* (6. ed.). Rio de Janeiro: MEDS.
- Markey, B., Leonard, F., Archambault, M., Cullinane, A., & Maguire, D. (2013). *Clinical veterinary microbiology* (2nd ed., pp. 105-119). London: Mosby Elsevier.
- Melo, D. A., Coelho, I. S., Motta, C. C., Rojas, A. C., Dubenczuk, F. C., Coelho, SDE. M., & Souza, M. M. (2014). Impairments of *mecA* gene detection in bovine *Staphylococcus* spp. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 45(3), 1075-1082. PMid:25477945.
- Morris, D. O., Rook, K. A., Shofer, F. S., & Rankin, S. C. (2006). Screening of Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus intermedius and Staphylococcus schleiferi isolates obtained from small companion animals for antimicrobial resistance: a retrospective review of 749 isolates (2003–04). Veterinary Dermatology, 17(5), 332-337. PMid:16961819.
- Motta, C. C., Rojas, A. C. C. M., Dubenczuk, F. C., Botelho, L. A. B., Moreira, B. M., Coelho, S. M. O., Coelho, I. S., & Souza, M. M. S. (2014). Verification of molecular characterization of coagulase positive Staphylococcus from bovine mastitis with matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization, time-of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) mass spectrometry. *African Journal of Microbiological Research*, 8(48), 3861-3866.
- Murakami, K., Minamide, W., Wada, K., Nakamura, E., Teraoka, H., & Watanabe, S. (1991). Identification of methicillin resistant strains of staphylococci by Polymerase Chain Reaction. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 29(10), 2240-2244. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.29.10.2240-2244.1991. PMid:1939577.

Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius: an underestimated risk at pet clinic

- Murugaiyan, J., Walther, B., Stamm, I., Abou-Elnaga, Y., Brueggemann-Schwarze, S., Vincze, S., Wieler, L. H., Lübke-Becker, A., Semmler, T., & Roesler, U. (2014). Species differentiation within the *Staphylococcus intermedius* group using a refined MALDI-TOF MS database. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 20(10), 1007-1015. PMid:24807701.
- Pomba, C., Rantala, M., Greko, C., Baptiste, K. E., Catry, B., Van Duijkeren, E., Mateus, A., Moreno, M. A., Pyörälä, S., Ružauskas, M., Sanders, P., Teale, C., Threlfall, E. J., Kunsagi, Z., Torrenedo, J., Jukes, H., & Törneke, K. (2017). Public Health Risk of Antimicrobial Resistance Transfer from Companion Animals. *The Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 72(4), 957-968. PMid:27999066.
- Santos, T. P., Damborg, P., Moodley, A., & Guardabassi, L. (2016). Systematic review on global epidemiology of methicillin-resistant staphylococcus pseudintermedius: inference of population structure from multilocus sequence typing data. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7, 1599. PMid:27803691.
- Weese, J. S., & Van Duijkeren, E. (2010). Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus pseudintermedius in veterinary medicine. Veterinary Microbiology, 140(3-4), 418-429. PMid:19246166.
- Worthing, K. A., Schwendener, S., Perreten, V., Saputra, S., Coombs, G. W., Pang, S., Davies, M. R., Abraham, S., Trott, D. J., & Norris, J. M. (2018). Characterization of staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec elements from methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius infections in Australian animals. *MSphere*, 3(6), e00491-18. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00491-18. PMid:30404937.