Recent Publications

BOOK REVIEWS

A List of the Original Appearances of Dashiell Hammett's Magazine Work. By E. H. Mundell. Kent, Ohio: Kent State University Press, 1968. (The Serif Series: Bibliographies and Checklists, 13) \$5.00. (75-97620).

Mr. Mundell's assembly is neatly described by its title. It is a commendable class exercise which has climbed its way into expensive print with a reasonably meticulous sense of separate facts and no sense at all of why they should have been assembled. It has knowledge of its subject but no understanding at all of why the subject need have been approached.

The compiler is careful to note from time to time that, "the original printing has not been examined," but he never tells us why book reviews, unsigned, have been assigned to Hammett. Although he seems never to have read more than the opening sentence of any of the stories, he seems to miss any connection between "The Big Knock-over" and "\$106,000 Blood Money." He has been too little curious to discover the publication date of "It Creeps By Night" (properly "Creeps by Night," 1931).

William F. Nolan's recent "Dashiell Hammett: A Casebook" (McNally & Loftin, 1970, \$6.95) is much more useful and engaged. Mr. Mundell's dreadful little class exercise should have been graded C for sufficiently careful servitude by an instructor who would then have told him that making a bibliography is different than doing fifty push-ups.

The whole proceeding is an example of conspicuous waste and Kent State University Press ought to inquire into the competence of Mr. William White, general editor of the Serif Series. Mr. Mundell gets his C but he has also to be arraigned for committing another nonbook, one more effluence in our affluent society.—James Sandoe, University of Colorado.

The Manual of Psychiatric Television; Theory, Practice, Imagination. By James J. Onder. Ann Arbor, Mich.: Maynard House Pub., 1970. 144p. \$6.25.

Dr. Onder has produced the first comprehensive manual for those interested in television applications to psychiatry. He surveys early uses of television in various institutions, and clearly identifies what television can and cannot do. Uses for teaching, resident supervision, and therapy receive considerable attention. Selfconfrontation is described on both a theoretical and practical basis. Staff uses are described, including long-distance two-way consultations, closed-circuit programs on the ward, and both verbal and nonverbal communication.

The effects of television on therapist and patient receive attention, and there is a section on protecting patient privacy. An excellent chapter on production techniques includes camera work, audio and editing techniques, and the like.

In his conclusions, Dr. Onder discusses a need he identified in the course of his study of the field: that of having a coordinator on the staff who provides the bridge between professional psychiatric personnel and technicians.

Suggestions for research include investigation of how visual materials affect the learning process, how various camera techniques affect what is seen, and what measuring instruments can be developed to determine how much is learned from the teachers' use of videotaped patient material.

Further suggestions include research into the effectiveness of providing therapy by a two-way television system, its use in postgraduate education, and the use of the medium itself as a major tool in psychiatric research.

An extensive bibliography is appended. It is somewhat inaccurate in that certain authors cited in the main body of Onder's work do not appear in the bibliography. The absence of an index makes the book less useful than it might be otherwise. However, it is certainly a valuable addition to any psychiatric department library where television is used and is a must for all health science libraries.—Brigitte L. Kenney, University of Mississippi Medical Center.

Agricultural Sciences Information Network Development Plan: EDUCOM Research Report No. 169. Boston, 1969. 74p. \$6.00.

At the behest of the National Agricultural Library and in accordance with policy guidelines established by an advisory committee representing users of agricultural information, an EDUCOM study team set about developing a network concept and implementation plan. The specific goal which emerged was to devise a "program for mobilizing our proliferating agricultural and biological information resources and sharing them with the landgrant colleges through a formal, NAL-organized network."

The *Report* suggested that the landgrant and National Agricultural Library relationships fall into two categories: (1) the functional systems providing information for intermediate or ultimate users, and (2) the telecommunication system supportive of the information service functioning through rapid distribution of the services of the information network. It was advanced, furthermore, that the basic components providing the responses would be land-grant libraries, information analysis centers, and a telecommunication system.

In the system proposed, the sixty-nine land-grant institutions would be connected to a regional node by a narrow-band teletype line in a regional poll-and-select network. The control of each network would be exercised by a PABDX centrally located at the National Agricultural Library. Interconnection of each regional network within NAL would be by means

of a narrow-band teletype line and voicegrade line. The regional nodes in the National Agricultural Library would send messages to one another through a storeand-forward type of switching machine.

Included in the EDUCOM study was an investigation by the General Electric Company's Communications Products Department. Although the proposal of this group is only illustrative of a telecommunication system, it is an interesting feature of the *Report*. As a supplement to the basic document, it includes the rationale citing the assumptions, the system features, the explanations of the terminals as well as various estimates of costs for equipment and line charges. The GE group concluded that a well-designed communications network can be the difference between success and failure of a nationwide library network.

As the great thinker of modern librarianship, Verner Clapp, has recently said: "There is nothing new about library networks except the name." In considering networks we are in many ways really referring to the ancient concept of cooperation. In the land-grant institution tradition alone, we can look back as far as the Morrill Act in order to identify the genesis of our collaborative efforts in the sharing of information and resources.

What exactly then have the authors Becker, King, and Olsen proposed some 109 vears later which is new and exciting? In the first place, they admit that their plan is a fundamental one and that its functions are not unique. Much of the report is, in fact, old hat in that it emphasizes the elements of interlibrary loan, photocopying service, referral centers, geographical depositories, and the coordination of acquisitions. These are not new concepts and they do not make the Report a landmark study of networks. In fairness to the team, on the other hand, this was not an objective of the EDUCOM investigation.

One missing element, nonetheless, is a sound reference to an acceptable philosophical position about the purpose of a library network. No matter how crude, no matter how sophisticated it is, this proposal can have meaning only in its relevance to the information which is provided with-