

Units in Abelian Group Algebras Over Direct Products of Indecomposable Rings

PETER DANCHEV

*13, General Kutuzov Str.
4003 Plovdiv, Bulgaria,
email: pvdanchev@yahoo.com*

ABSTRACT

Let R be a commutative unitary ring of prime characteristic p which is a direct product of indecomposable subrings and let G be a multiplicative Abelian group such that G_0/G_p is finite. We characterize the isomorphism class of the unit group $U(RG)$ of the group algebra RG . This strengthens recent results due to Mollov-Nachev (Commun. Algebra, 2006) and Danchev (Studia Babes Bolyai - Mat., 2011).

RESUMEN

Sea R un anillo conmutativo y unitario de característica prima p , que es producto directo de subanillos indescomponibles y sea G un grupo multiplicativo y abeliano tal que G_0/G_p es finito. Caracterizamos las clases de isomorfismo del grupo unitario $U(RG)$ del álgebra del grupo RG . Estos fuertes y recientes resultados se deben a Mollov-Nachev (Commun. Algebra, 2006) and Danchev (Studia Babes Bolyai - Mat., 2011).

Keywords and Phrases: groups, rings, group rings, indecomposable rings, units, direct decompositions, isomorphisms.

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 16S34, 16U60, 20K21.

1 Introduction

Throughout the current paper, suppose \mathbf{R} is a commutative unitary (i.e., with identity) ring of prime characteristic p and suppose G is a multiplicative Abelian group as is the custom when discussing group rings. For such \mathbf{R} and G , we denote by $\mathbf{R}G$ the group ring of G over \mathbf{R} with unit group $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{R}G)$, normalized subgroup $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{R}G)$ of units (with augmentation 1) and its idempotent subgroup $\text{Id}(\mathbf{R}G)$. Note that the decomposition $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{R}G) = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{R}G) \times \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{R})$ is valid, where $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{R})$ is the unit group of \mathbf{R} . As usual, G_0 is the maximal torsion subgroup of G with p -torsion component G_p , and $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}G) = \mathbf{V}_p(\mathbf{R}G)$ is the p -torsion component of $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{R}G)$. Besides, for any natural number n , ζ_n denotes the primitive n th root of unity and $\mathbf{R}[\zeta_n]$ is the free \mathbf{R} -module, generated algebraically as a ring by ζ_n , with dimension $[\mathbf{R}[\zeta_n] : \mathbf{R}]$. As it is well-known, a ring is said to be *indecomposable* if it cannot be decomposed into a direct sum of two or more non-trivial subrings (ideals), that is, this ring possesses only the trivial idempotents $0, 1$.

The algebraic structures of $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{R}G)$ and $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{R}G)$ have been very intensively explored in the past twenty years (see, e.g., [K]). In this aspect, some isomorphism description results were obtained in [Da] and [MN], respectively. The purpose of this work is to improve considerably one of the central achievements in the second citation by giving a more direct and conceptual proof (some of parts of the proof of the corresponding result in [MN] are unnecessary intricated). Likewise, we generalize the main result in [Dg] to a ring which is an arbitrary direct product of indecomposable rings.

Notice that our method suggested below gives a new perspective for establishing some other results of this form, because it leads the general case to the p -mixed one.

II. Main Results

As noted above, Mollov and Nachev obtained in ([MN], Theorem 5.8) the following statement.

Theorem (2006). *Let \mathbf{R} be a commutative indecomposable ring with identity of prime characteristic p and let G be a splitting Abelian group. Suppose that G_0/G_p is a finite group of exponent n and $n \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{R})$. Then*

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{R}G) \cong \prod_{d/n} \prod_{\lambda(d)} \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{R}[\zeta_d]) \times \prod_{\mathbf{b}} G/G_0 \times \prod_{d/n} \prod_{\lambda(d)} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}[\zeta_d](G_p \times G/G_0))$$

where $\lambda(d) = \frac{(G_0/G_p)(d)}{[\mathbf{R}[\zeta_d]:\mathbf{R}]}$, with $(G_0/G_p)(d)$ the number of elements of G_0/G_p of order d , and $\mathbf{b} = \sum_{d/n} \lambda(d)$.

Note that since $\text{char}(\mathbf{R}) = p$ is a prime integer, it is self-evident that $\exp(G_0/G_p)$ inverts in \mathbf{R} , so that the condition $n \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{R})$ is always fulfilled and hence it is a superfluously stated in the theorem.

In [Dg] we dropped the limitation that G is a splitting group. Specifically, we list the following:

Theorem (2011). *Suppose R is an indecomposable ring of $\text{char}(R) = p$ and G is a group for which G_0/G_p is finite. Then the following isomorphism is true:*

(*)

$$\mathcal{U}(RG) \cong \coprod_{d/\exp(G_0/G_p)} \coprod_{\alpha(d)} [\mathcal{U}(R[\zeta_d]) \times [(G/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q)V_p(R[\zeta_d](G/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q))]]$$

where $\alpha(d) = \frac{|\{g \in G_0/G_p : \text{order}(g) = d\}|}{|[R[\zeta_d]:R]|}$.

In particular:

(1) if G is p -splitting, then

$$\mathcal{U}(RG) \cong \coprod_{d/\exp(G_0/G_p)} \coprod_{\alpha(d)} [\mathcal{U}(R[\zeta_d]) \times V_p(R[\zeta_d](G/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q))] \times \prod_{\sum_{d/\exp(G_0/G_p)} \alpha(d)} G/G_0.$$

(2) if G_p is a direct sum of cyclic groups, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}(RG) \cong & \coprod_{d/\exp(G_0/G_p)} \coprod_{\alpha(d)} [\mathcal{U}(R[\zeta_d]) \times (V_p(R[\zeta_d](G/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q))/(G/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q)_p)] \times \\ & \times \prod_{\sum_{d/\exp(G_0/G_p)} \alpha(d)} G/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the quotient $V_p(R[\zeta_d](G/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q))/(G/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q)_p$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups by [D] and can be characterized via the Ulm-Kaplansky invariants calculated in [Df].

Before stating and proving our chief attainment, we need two more preliminaries.

Proposition 1. *Let $R = \prod_{i \in I} R_i$ be a direct product of subrings R_i where I is an index set, and F is a finite abelian group. Then the following isomorphism holds:*

$$RF \cong \prod_{i \in I} R_i F.$$

Proof. It is straightforward and we leave it to the reader. \triangle

Lemma 2. *Suppose G_0/G_p is bounded. Then the following decomposition is true:*

$$G = M \times B$$

where $M \cong G/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q$ is p -mixed and $B \cong \prod_{q \neq p} G_q \cong G_0/G_p$ is bounded.

Proof. Since $\prod_{q \neq p} G_q$ is bounded and is pure in G_0 as its direct factor, whence pure in G , it follows that $\prod_{q \neq p} G_q$ is a direct factor of G as well. Denoting $B = \prod_{q \neq p} G_q$, one may write $G = B \times M$ where $M \cong G/B$. It is obvious that M is p -mixed, i.e., $M_0 = M_p$. \triangle

So, we come to our main achievement.

Theorem 3. *Let R be a ring of prime characteristic p which is a direct product of indecomposable rings R_i for some index set I , and let G be an abelian group such that G_0/G_p is finite. Then the following isomorphism formula is fulfilled:*

(*)

$$\mathbf{U}(RG) \cong \left[\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}(R_i(G_0/G_p)) \right] \times [\text{Id}(LM)V_p(LM)]$$

for some commutative unitary ring L of prime characteristic p which is a direct product of indecomposable rings, and where, for all indices $i \in I$,

$$\mathbf{U}(R_i(G_0/G_p)) \cong \prod_{d/\exp(G_0/G_p)} \prod_{\alpha_i(d)} \mathbf{U}(R_i[\zeta_d])$$

with $\alpha_i(d) = \frac{|\{g \in G_0/G_p : \text{order}(g) = d\}|}{|R_i[\zeta_d] : R_i|}$.

In particular, the maximal divisible subgroup $d\mathbf{U}(RG)$ of $\mathbf{U}(RG)$ is completely described up to isomorphism.

Proof. According to Lemma 2 one may write $G = F \times M$ where $F \cong \prod_{q \neq p} G_q$ is finite and M is p -mixed. Thus $RG = (RF)M = LM$ where we put $RF = L$. Therefore, $\mathbf{U}(RG) = \mathbf{U}(LM) = \mathbf{U}(RF) \times V(LM)$. Concerning $V(LM)$ we may write $V(LM) = \text{Id}(LM)V_p(LM)$ (see, e.g., [Dd] or [De]).

On the other hand, owing to Proposition 1, $L = RF = (\prod_{i \in I} R_i)F \cong \prod_{i \in I} R_i F$ where each R_i is

an indecomposable ring of characteristic p . Furthermore, since F is finite of exponent that inverts in R , and hence it inverts in each R_i , appealing to Theorem 4.4 and Remark 4.5 of [MN], every $R_i F$ is a finite direct sum of indecomposable subrings. Consequently, L is a commutative unitary ring of prime characteristic p which can be interpreted as a ring that is a direct product of indecomposable subrings. Moreover, $U(RF) \cong \prod_{i \in I} U(R_i F)$, where $U(R_i F)$ has an explicit description for any index i . Thus formula (*) is deduced.

Finally, observe that $dU(RG) = dU(RF) \times dV(LM) \cong \prod_{i \in I} dU(R_i F) \times dV(LM)$. Since $U(R_i F)$, and hence $dU(R_i F)$, is already characterized above, and $dV(LM)$ is classified in [Dd] and [De], we infer that the same can be said of $dU(RG)$. \triangle

Remark. The proof of Theorem 2.7 from [MMN] contains a gap and so it is uncomplete. In fact, the authors claimed that they will assume that the splitting group is p -mixed. The reason is that the K -algebras isomorphism $KG \cong KH$ yields that $K(G/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q) \cong K(H/\prod_{q \neq p} H_q)$ whenever K is a field of $\text{char}(K) = p$. But they need to show that G being splitting ensures that so is $G/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q$. However, this was already done in [Db].

We close the work with the following problem.

Conjecture. Suppose R is an indecomposable ring and G is a finite group of exponent which inverts in R . Then $RG \cong RH$ for some group H if, and only if, H is finite with the same exponent as that of G and $RG_p \cong RH_p$ for each prime number p .

Notice that the sufficiency is trivial, because G and H being both bounded implies that $G = \prod_p G_p$ and $H = \prod_p H_p$, whence $RG \cong \otimes_R RG_p$ and $RH \cong \otimes_R RH_p$. Thus $RG_p \cong RH_p$ forces that $RG \cong RH$, as desired.

Received: October 2010. Revised: March 2011.

References

- [D] P. V. Danchev, *Commutative group algebras of σ -summable abelian groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (9) **125** (1997), 2559-2564.
- [Da] P. V. Danchev, *Normed units in abelian group rings*, Glasgow Math. J. (3) **43** (2001), 365-373.
- [Db] P. V. Danchev, *Notes on the isomorphism and splitting problems for commutative modular group algebras*, Cubo Math. J. (1) **9** (2007), 39-45.
- [Dc] P. V. Danchev, *Warfield invariants in commutative group rings*, J. Algebra Appl. (6) **8** (2009), 829-836.

- [Dd] P. V. Danchev, *Maximal divisible subgroups in \mathfrak{p} -mixed modular abelian group rings*, Commun. Algebra (6) **39** (2011), 2210-2215.
- [De] P. V. Danchev, *Maximal divisible subgroups in modular group rings of \mathfrak{p} -mixed abelian groups*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (1) **41** (2010), 63-72.
- [Df] P. V. Danchev, *Ulm-Kaplansky invariants in commutative modular group rings*, J. Algebra Number Theory Academia (2) **1** (2011), 127-134.
- [Dg] P. V. Danchev, *Units in abelian group algebras over indecomposable rings*, Studia "Babes Bolyai" - Mat. (4) **56** (2011), 3-6.
- [K] G. Karpilovsky, *Units of commutative group algebras*, Expo. Math. **8** (1990), 247-287.
- [M] W. L. May, *Group algebras over finitely generated rings*, J. Algebra **39** (1976), 483-511.
- [MMN] W. L. May, T. Zh. Mollov, N. A. Nachev, *Isomorphism of modular group algebras of \mathfrak{p} -mixed abelian groups*, Commun. Algebra **38** (2010), 1988-1999.
- [MN] T. Zh. Mollov and N. A. Nachev, *Unit groups of commutative group rings*, Commun. Algebra **34** (2006), 3835-3857.