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#### Abstract

Despite the fact that explicit teaching of vocabulary is often practised in English as a Second Language (ESL) classrooms, it has been proven to be rather ineffective, largely because words are not taught in context. This has prompted the increasing use of incidental vocabulary learning approach, which emphasises on repeated readings as a source for vocabulary learning. By adopting this approach, this study aims to investigate students' ability in learning vocabulary incidentally via verbal dramatization of written texts. In this case, readers' theatre (RT) is used as a way to allow learners to engage in active reading so as to promote vocabulary learning. A total of 160 diploma students participated in this case study and they were divided equally into two groups, namely classroom reading (CR) and RT groups. A proficiency test was first conducted to determine their vocabulary levels. Based on the test results, a story was selected as the reading material in the two groups. The CR group read the story through a normal reading lesson in class while the RT group was required to verbally dramatize the text through readers' theatre activity. Then, a posttest based on vocabulary levels was carried out and the results were compared. The findings revealed that incidental learning was more apparent in the RT group and their ability to learn words from the higher levels was noticeable through higher accuracy scores. Although not conclusive, this study has demonstrated the potential of using readers' theatre as a form of incidental vocabulary learning activity in ESL settings. Keywords: incidental vocabulary learning, readers' theatre, language learning


## INTRODUCTION

In a traditional classroom of English as a Second or Foreign Language, it is common for teachers to adopt a translation approach in teaching vocabulary to the learners. Translation is seen as a direct and explicit approach in introducing more words to novice learners. However, problems often exist in this method of teaching since translation may lead to problems in miscommunication (Meidasari, 2014). Despite that, more implicit approaches in teaching vocabulary are introduced in
order to facilitate the comprehension of words, one of which is repetitive reading. Research in second language learning has indicated that repetitive reading is responsible for vocabulary learning. Repetitive reading would encourage the exposure of the vocabulary that would then enhance the word recognition (Elis, 1995; Schmidt 1993, 1995, 2001) of the targeted language for language learning. Apart from that, it also exposes the use of vocabulary in context as to assist learners to get the meaning across. Indirectly learners pick up the
vocabulary from the written text. This incidental vocabulary learning (Dowhower, 1994) is best achieved when learners read aloud (Fisher, Flood, Lapp, \& Frey, 2004; Hickman, PollardDurodola,\& Vaughn, 2004; Santoro, Chard, Howar\& Baker, 2008) story based text (Mason, 2004; McMaster, 1998; Rashidi\&Ganbari Adivi, 2010). In short, repeated oral reading of story based text in particular is highly favourable in driving learners to learn the language via incidental vocabulary learning. Therefore, Readers' Theatre (RT) would be the best way to achieve this as it certainly fulfil all the criteria mentioned above for language learning, particularly in terms incidental vocabulary learning.

Based on the review of previous studies, RT has shown to give impacts towards the improvement of learners' fluency, reading motivation, reading attitude and reading comprehension. However, the impact of RT towards vocabulary learning in the language classroom has yet to be explored, albeit the pivotal role of vocabulary in oral reading has been recognized as lexical knowledge (Srichamnong, 2009) and critical in language mastery (Schmidt, 2008).

Despite that, previous research has pointed the significant impact of RT in terms of language learning, they are mainly focused on the impact of RT towards young children, elementary students and students with learning disabilities. Not many studies have been dwelled into the possible students' educational level differences in explaining vocabulary learning via RT in the light of the second language classrooms among the university learners.

In addition, without a satisfactory account of the vocabulary learning in the higher learning institution, the impacts
of incidental vocabulary learning among the university students is "bound to end up stranded in an explanatory void" (Cameron, 1997: 59). Studying the vocabulary learning of the university students offers empirically-driven explanations that would inform the status of syllabus planning and would assist in the syllabus planning in the future, apart from enhancing the teaching and learning experience in the classrooms. Eventually, incidental vocabulary acquisition via RT may also be applied across discipline which is not merely confined in language learning.

Studies also indicated that students who have poor academic performances particularly in English language learning is largely resulted by the fact that they are lacked in the interest in reading, poor reading habit and negative attitudes towards reading (Akabuike \& Asika, 2012). The key for students not to read is perhaps they are demotivated by the challenges of decoding the meaning of vocabulary while reading. Researches shown that oral reading and story based reading may assist vocabulary learning and comprehension, nevertheless, few studies explore the impact of RT in offering rooms for them to achieve better learning of vocabulary and comprehension orally, especially for students who are lacked of confidence and are have weak command of English. Besides that, Mraz et al. (2013) conducted a study on struggling readers by using RT in enhancing their fluency. The results were very encouraging that the leaners also showed greater interest in reading since they can inject some elements of drama in their RT.

Relevant studies in the field also inform that read-aloud narrative or story based text and repeat reading would be fundamental for incidental vocabulary
learning and comprehension. Nevertheless, Jenkins, Stein and Wysocki (1984) found that vocabulary is not usually taught in the classroom nowadays even though learning vocabulary helps in the comprehension of the reading texts. They also found that more frequent presentation in context increased the learning of vocabulary. Therefore, it is hypothesised that RT can really help in vocabulary learning as compared to classroom reading as the exposure to the vocabulary in context is repeated; and students in the RT group should learn better from the students in the classroom reading group who are only exposed to the text once, regardless of whether they have any prior knowledge of the vocabulary.

This study aims to investigate the use of RT (verbal dramatization of written texts) in promoting incidental vocabulary learning as compared to conventional reading lessons in the ESL classrooms. The study was conducted to answer the following research questions:
(1) What are the differences in the students' accuracy scores between the use of conventional reading lessons and readers' theatre? (2). To what extent readers' theatre can promote students' incidental vocabulary learning?

In second language learning, research indicates that there is a strong bond between language learning and vocabulary learning. Vocabulary learning enhances vocabulary knowledge and thus enables language use for the performance of language skills such as reading and speaking by the learners (Nation \&Waring, 1997).

On the other hand, learners' vocabulary learning starts from 'notice' the vocabulary (Elis, 1995; Schmidt 1993, 1995,2001 ). The more exposure given to learners to 'notice' new words would
better enhance their vocabulary learning capability. Since this word repetition is a favourable condition for vocabulary learning especially it is in contextualised manner (Cain, 2007; Jenkins, Stein, \&Wysocki, 1984) and also playing a very important role in promoting incidental vocabulary learning (Matsuoka \& Hirsh, 2010) thus, reading is accepted as a rich source for vocabulary learning. It is mainly because it provides learners the opportunity to 'notice' the words used in the different context repetitively in the reading text. It is also said the learning of vocabulary is incidental when they read extensively as the learners learnt the vocabulary that appear in the text even though the main purpose of reading is not to learn the vocabulary (Swanborn \&de Glopper, 2002). Incidental vocabulary learning is essential particularly in tertiary education. Studies from Koda (1989) shown that, an increase of reading proficiency is strongly attributed to increased proficiency in vocabulary (in Matsuoka \& Hirsh, 2010). Therefore, learners need to attain an adequate amount of vocabulary in assisting reading comprehension (Hwang \& Nation, 1995; Laufer 1991), in which it is one of the basic skills in academic settings for them to attain the world knowledge. Another research done by Rashidi and Ganbari Adivi (2010), also agrees with the notion of when the students get into the habit of learning words incidentally, wide range of genre may be incorporated in maximizing students' interest in reading. Apart from that, Cameron (1997) explains the vocabulary learning in the light of the second language classrooms among the university learners have been rudimentary. Without a satisfactory account of the vocabulary learning in the
higher learning institution, the impacts of incidental vocabulary learning among the university students is "bound to end up stranded in an explanatory void" ( p . 59).Therefore, in short, vocabulary knowledge is strongly related with reading comprehension especially when the knowledge of vocabulary is acquired incidentally.

Studies have shown that, in most cases, oral reading is recognised to be more effective in terms of promoting reading comprehension and improving incidental vocabulary learning. Recent studies have established that effective read-aloud contributes to students' comprehension development (Fisher, Flood, Lapp, \& Frey, 2004; Hickman, Pollard-Durodola,\& Vaughn, 2004). Meanwhile Beck, Mckeown, Hamilton, and Kucan (1997), suggests that textbased discussions as part of read-aloud may increase vocabulary learning besides improving comprehension particularly for students who struggle with decoding skills or who are just learning to read fluently, it would seem reasonable that comprehension strategies be taught through oral language opportunities (e.g. read-aloud). In the other studies, learners seem to have better incidental vocabulary learning and comprehension via oral reading when the genre of text is story based. Rashidi and Ganbari Adivi (2010) reported from their study on the incidental vocabulary learning through reading short stories that the high school students demonstrated positive vocabulary learning when they were engaged in extensive reading. Leaners' interest grows greater when they are involved in various oral activities, such as storytelling (Mason, 2004) and dramatic play (McMaster, 1998). Results from the studies done by Santoro, Chard,

Howard and Baker (2008) strengthen the point that vocal reading enhances incidental vocabulary learning in assisting students to comprehend the text, narratives or story based texts. Their studies reveal that students who gone through the read-aloud lessons are able to make a longer retellings specifically in narrative texts as compared to those who did not. Besides, they also demonstrated a depth of text comprehension in their retellings and vocabulary knowledge, even though the main purpose of their readings are not learning the vocabulary. Thus, it would be true that Silent Reading, which is commonly practised in most of the classroom reading lessons might not be able to help students to achieve good comprehension as it leaves no space for them to express what they have read and understood orally (Young \& Rasinski, 2009). With that said, when comprehension is challenging normal reading classrooms, it also means it would be difficult for learners to learn the vocabulary incidentally in this context too.

Hence, it is believed that utilising Readers Theatre (RT) in the reading classroom would encourage incidental vocabulary learning and thus promotes better comprehension as learners need to go through repeated readings (Dowhower, 1994) which facilitates the recognition skills of the words in the context of a narrative and enable them to express their reading vocally. RT is an approach that has been extensively used in the language classrooms, especially in the ESL/EFL classrooms, and it successfully worked on the young children, elementary students and students with learning disabilities. Recent studies suggest that RT gives a great impact towards language learning
in various areas. It contributes towards the improvement of learners' fluency, reading motivation, reading attitude and reading comprehension. For example, among the middle school students, Allinder, Dunse, Brunken and Obermiller-Krolikowki (2001) found that the comprehension scores for students (including students with learning disability) who were exposed to daily oral reading increased. Similarly, Chard, Vaughn, and Tyler (2002) found that the reading fluency of the elementary students with learning disability significantly improved after repeated reading interventions. A lot more studies have proved the success of RT in improving the attitudes (Morris, 2011; Rees, 2005; Smith, 2011), confidence, word recognition (Rinehart, 1999), fluency (Rasinki, 2006) and motivation to read (Carrick, 2001; Rinehart, 1999). These researches have consistently shown that RT is a successful approach for language learning.

RT is able to link reading comprehension and vocabulary learning as it improves reading fluency, accuracy, automaticity, prosody which further leads to good comprehension (Rasinski, 2006). Through RT, students are able to recognize the words and pronounce it without error (accuracy), and this is the first step of language learning. When students have the ability to read the words correctly, effortlessly and meaningfully via their finite cognitive resources (automaticity), they are able to perform a successful RT. On top of that, RT requires students not only merely read their script but with the ability to render the text with appropriate expressions and phrasing (prosody). This allows the readers to reflect their understanding of the script semantically and syntactically (comprehension).

Lastly, RT also helps students to become a more fluent speaker (fluency). Since the key step to language mastery would simply start from vocabulary learning, reading is accepted as a rich source for vocabulary learning in a contextualized manner; and RT is much encouraging, especially for students who are lacked of confidence and are of lower proficiency in English.

## METHOD

The study involved 160 diploma students of various disciplines in a public university. They were divided equally into two groups - classroom reading (CR) group and Readers' Theatre (RT) group. Only those who have given their consent to participate in the study were selected for the study as the willingness of the participants were valued in order to avoid dishonest responses for the data collected.

A proficiency test was conducted on both groups to determine their vocabulary level. The test items were adopted from Laufer and Nation (1999) database. Based on the results, a suitable story was selected as their reading material.

The CR group read the story through a normal reading lesson in class while the RT group was required to verbally dramatize the text through readers' theatre activity. Then, a posttest based on vocabulary levels (Vocabulary Test) was carried out. In general, Laufer and Nation (1999) have categorised words from frequently seen to least encountered into 5 categories ( 1 K word level, 2 K word level, 3 K word level, 5 K word level,10k word level and academic words level).

Thus, this test adopted 10 question items for each category of word level making it a total of 50 question items
from the database of Laufer and Nation (1999),
http://www.er.uqam.ca/nobel/r21270/levels/ $2 k c . h t m l$. Participants were requested to only complete the spelling of the word
instead of filling in the blank as the main purpose of the test is to examine the participants' familiarity of the words of different levels instead of the proficiency of the language as a whole.

The procedures in collecting the data needed for this study is illustrated in Figure 1.

|  | Proficiency Test Laufer\& Nation (1999) (to measure current vocabulary proficiency level) | Readers' <br> Theater (RT) | Vocabulary Test Selected words from text by 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classroom Reading group (CR grp) <br> (80 students) | (before reading activity) | X | (To measure the effects of classroom reading in ESL incidental vocabulary learning) |
| Readers' Theatre Group (RT grp) <br> (80 students) | (before RT performances) | $\checkmark$ | (To measure the effects of readers' theatre in ESL incidental vocabulary learning) |

Figure 1.Data collection procedures.

The results from the proficiency test for all 160 participants revealed the following accuracy percentage for each level ( 10 words for each level) as shown in Table 1.

Table 1.Accuracy percentage of each word level

|  | $(\mathrm{N}=160)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Levels | Accuracy |
| 1 K | $92 \%$ |
| 2 K | $66 \%$ |
| 3 K | $56 \%$ |
| 5 K | $35 \%$ |
| 10 K | $27 \%$ |

In general, the proficiency level of the participants were largely between 1 K to 3K word levels. Only a small number of them were able to identified words beyond 3 K level and the accuracy was also very low. Based on this, it has provided a good indicator on the choice of texts to be used in this study.

The most frequently encountered words in the story are to be chosen from the texts upon the majority agreement of the researchers as to enhance the common agreement of the most frequently encountered words in the story. The word form of the selected words from the story were ensured to be the same in the Vocabulary Test as a means to fulfil the criteria of contextualisation. For instance, the chosen word "pursue" is a Verb in the written text, its word form remained in the Vocabulary Test while constructing the question items. The amount of words or test items for the Test is not limited, all majority agreed words chosen are to be taken into the Test.

A frequency count on the correct items for the Vocabulary Test was done for both CR and RT groups. During the marking, minor spelling and grammatical mistakes are ignored as the
main purpose of both Tests is to test their familiarity of the words instead of the proficiency of their language as a
whole. The total scores of the Vocabulary Test of both CR and RT groups were then compared.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2. Overall performance of the two groups

| Scores | Non-RT Group $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{8 0})$ | RT Group $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{8 0})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 933 | 1544 |
| Average | 12.4 | 19.3 |

Table 2 shows the scores obtained by the two groups of students. For the non-RT group, the total score obtained is 933 with an average of 12.4 for each student. As for the RT group, the total score obtained is higher with 1544 and an average of 19.3 per student. It means that out of 30 words in the vocabulary
test, the Non-RT group only managed to correctly use 12 words ( $40 \%$ accuracy) while the RT group managed to get 19 words ( $63 \%$ accuracy). The difference in the scores obtained by the two groups provides an initial positive outcome on the use of RT as a technique to increase vocabulary learning.

Table 3.Students' performance byWord levels

| $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ word family lists | Categories | No. of words used <br> in the test | No. of words Non-RT group <br> scored higher than RT group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{k}-3 \mathrm{k}$ | High-frequency | 16 words | 0 |
| $4 \mathrm{k}-10 \mathrm{k}$ | Low-frequency | 14 words | 1 |

The 5 word levels were grouped into two main categories: highfrequency and low-frequency to allow clearer comparisons since only 30 words are used in the vocabulary test. For the high-frequency level ( 1 k - 3 k words), the RT group outperformed the non-RT group in all 16 words while they only scored lower for 1 word in the lowfrequency level ( $3 \mathrm{k}-10 \mathrm{k}$ ).

The clearer breakdown of the scores obtained by the two groups are shown in Table 4.

The RT group managed to score significantly higher for low-frequency words such as eerie, snarled and quiver. Clearly, verbal dramatization of the words during RT actually promote learning of words in context since most of the low-frequency words related to the similar "family" seemed to be retained by the participants in the RT
group more than those in the non-RT group. This finding echoed the outcomes reported Schmidt (2001) and Rashidi and GanbariAdivi (2010) in which repetitive readings allows better attainments of vocabulary and RT allows a greater active reading process since the learners need to learn to verbally dramatize it as well (Rinehart, 1999). However, the word that the RT group scored lower than non-RT group is the word "scared". 38 students from non-RT group managed to answer the word correctly as compared to 27 students from the RT group. Interestingly, both groups scored lower than expected for the word. Upon close checking, the participants used mostly "scary" which gives a different meaning. This somehow indicates the common confusion that students have in relation to the use of "scary" and "scared".

Based on the scores reported earlier, students in the RT group has shown greater grasp of the words in context. The accuracy scores of the RT group are also higher. This indicated the usefulness of readers' theatre in
encouraging learners to be more aware of the words they read. They do not merely memorise the words but the verbal dramatization of the words actually assist them in understanding the usage of the words.

Table 4.Total cores obtained by the participants for each word

| Words | Scores |  | Scores |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-RT Group | RT Group | Differences |
| Shake | 9 | 48 |  |
| Shadows | 25 | 42 | 17 |
| Blood | 57 | 79 | 22 |
| Pointy | 10 | 21 | 11 |
| Dark | 75 | 78 | 3 |
| Midnight | 53 | 67 | 14 |
| Died | 70 | 77 | 7 |
| Frightened | 44 | 55 | 11 |
| Roared | 55 | 77 | 22 |
| Fright | 12 | 23 | 11 |
| Thundered | 11 | 20 |  |
| Spell | 55 | 21 |  |
| Tombstones | 26 | 31 |  |
| Graveyard | 24 | 76 | 36 |
| Haunted | 71 | 9 | 9 |
| Willies | 57 | 67 | 13 |
| Giant | 12 | 60 | 8 |
| Creaked | 30 | 80 | 23 |
| Groaned | 28 | 70 | 35 |
| Howled | 9 | 20 | 27 |
| Scared | 38 | 53 | -11 |
| Skull | 17 | 63 | 17 |
| Shiver | 42 | 36 | 23 |
| Piercing | 3 | 27 | 19 |
| Eerie | 16 | 34 | 50 |
| Snarled | 9 | 65 | 43 |
| Skeleton | 53 | 22 | 10 |
| Quiver | 13 | 66 | 36 |
| Quake | 0 | 52 | 33 |
| Gripped | 2 | 63 | 14 |

* Negative sign indicates non-RT group did better.


## CONCLUSION

Thus far, vocabulary learning has been explored using different methods. However, little research has been done on using RT for vocabulary learning in the ESL context. The findings revealed that incidental learning was more apparent in the RT group and their ability to learn words from the higher
levels was noticeable through higher accuracy scores. This study has highlighted the potentials of RT to be included as part of the teaching pedagogy in order to enhance incidental vocabulary learning for beginning level students, comprehension for intermediate students and promoting language mastery for intermediate and
advance level students. The results may not be conclusive since it only involved the use of a small set of words, but it provides an apparent glimpse on the usefulness of verbal dramatization in the form of RT. It not only enables students to engage in active reading and learn new words, but also increase their motivation in learning the English language. Further research can be done by including more reading texts of different genres to check on RT's significance in promoting incidental vocabulary learning in a contextualised manner.
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