

ANALYSIS OF FORMED CHIPS IN THE CASE OF TURNING DIFFERENT POLYMER MATERIALS

ÁDÁM SARANKÓ^{*1}, GÁBOR KALÁCSKA¹, AND RÓBERT ZSOLT KERESZTES¹

¹Institute of Technology, Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Páter Károly u. 1, Gödöllő, 2100, HUNGARY

In this paper, turning input parameters were defined for 12 different polymer materials that can be used in technical practices. Our goal was to determine turning input parameters where chip formation is favourable or continuous chips do not cause any problems. Our tests included the examination of detached chips and the values of the average surface roughness of the machined surfaces.

Keywords: chip formation, polymer materials, turning

1. Introduction

Nowadays, in addition to the 3D printing of polymers, the machining of polymer and polymer composite materials still plays an important role. Turning is one of the most productive machining technologies used to make cylindrical products. Polymers that exhibit good levels of machinability favour the development of chip formation. However, technical practices often use polymer materials that exhibit favourable properties (hardness, toughness, flexibility) but are difficult to machine. The most common problem is continuously flowing chips. In this case, rather than the chip being moved away from the material, it is pushed in front of the tool. As a result, the heat cannot dissipate from the chips and the detached chips are in constant contact with the tool, which generates additional heat. Since polymers tend to withstand high temperatures less than metals, their machined surfaces melt and their surface roughness becomes unacceptable.

Researchers have already studied the shape of detached chips. The literature is mainly concerned with the study of types of chips generated during the machining of metallic materials such as C45 [1, 2], Al/SiCp [3] and AISI D2 tool steel [4]. Kharlevich and Venuvinod analysed the formation of 3D chips in general as a result of metal cutting [5,6], while others have researched methods of chip breaking for machining tools [7,8].

Although chip detachment and formation are very important aspects in machining, in the case of polymers, this area has not yet been investigated.

2. Experimental methods

12 different polymer materials were tested, namely PA6 G-H, PA66 GF30, PET TF, PET, POM-C, POM-GF25, POM-H, PP,

PTFE, PVC, textile bakelite and UHMWPE. According to a manufacturer of polymer materials, the aforementioned materials are the most commonly used, excluding the so-called high-performance ones.

In this research, turning tests were performed to determine input parameters that can be used in practice to avoid such problems, e.g. melted surfaces, caused by continuously flowing chips. The turning tests were run on an E 400 conventional lathe machine and an NCT EUROturn-12B CNC lathe machine. Both devices are located in the workshop of the Institute of Technology. In all the experiments, a SCLCR 20.20 K09 tool shank and a CCGT 09T304-AS IC20 polished turning insert were used. After each test, a close-up photo of the surface was taken and the average surface roughness measured. For surface measurements, a Mitutoyo Surftest SJ-201P surface roughness tester was used. Furthermore, the formed chips were collected before being analysed.

The input parameters of all the tests are shown in Table 1. In the case of roughing, the depth of cut was 3 mm, while a depth of cut of 0.25 mm was used for finishing.

The air-cooled solution was mainly suitable for blowing off the detached chips. At first, all the experiments were tried without implementing any cooling methods. However, in the case of certain materials, flowing chips were formed in all cases, so chip blowing was used for these materials.

^{*}Correspondence: saranko.adam@uni-mate.hu

Input parameters for roughing on a CNC lathe machine (NCT EUROturn-12B)						
	PA6 G-H	PA66 GF30	PET TF	PET	POM C	POM GF25
vc (m/min)	250	250	250	250	250	250
f (mm/rot.)	0.2	0.2	0.15	0.15	0.2	0.2
Cooling	-	-	-	-	-	-
	POM H	PP	PTFE	PVC	Textile bakelite	UHMW-PE
vc (m/min)	250	250	250	250	250	250
f (mm/rot.)	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.15
Cooling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Input parameters for finishing on a CNC lathe machine (NCT EUROturn-12B)						
	PA-6 G-H	PA-66 GF-30	PET TF	PET	POM C	POM GF-25
vc (m/min)	300	300	300	300	300	300
f (mm/rot.)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Cooling	air	air	air	air	air	air
	POM H	PP	PTFE	PVC	Textile bakelite	UHMW-PE
vc (m/min)	300	300	300	300	300	300
f (mm/rot.)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.08	0.1
Cooling	air	air	air	air	-	air
Inp	Input parameters for roughing on a conventional lathe machine (E 400)					
	PA-6 G-H	PA-66 GF-30	PET TF	PET	POM C	POM GF-25
vc (m/min)	PA-6 G-H 61	PA-66 GF-30 52	PET TF 59	PET 75	POM C 58	POM GF-25 52
vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.)	PA-6 G-H 61 0.75	PA-66 GF-30 52 0.75	PET TF 59 0.4	PET 75 0.4	POM C 58 0.6	POM GF-25 52 0.6
vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling	PA-6 G-H 61 0.75 -	PA-66 GF-30 52 0.75 -	PET TF 59 0.4 -	PET 75 0.4 -	POM C 58 0.6 -	POM GF-25 52 0.6 -
vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling	PA-6 G-H 61 0.75 - POM H	PA-66 GF-30 52 0.75 - PP	PET TF 59 0.4 - PTFE	PET 75 0.4 - PVC	POM C 58 0.6 - Textile bakelite	POM GF-25 52 0.6 - UHMW-PE
vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling vc (m/min)	PA-6 G-H 61 0.75 - POM H 66	PA-66 GF-30 52 0.75 - PP 76	PET TF 59 0.4 - PTFE 58	PET 75 0.4 - PVC 58	POM C 58 0.6 - Textile bakelite 59	POM GF-25 52 0.6 - UHMW-PE 58
vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.)	PA-6 G-H 61 0.75 - POM H 66 0.6	PA-66 GF-30 52 0.75 - PP 76 0.75	PET TF 59 0.4 - PTFE 58 0.6	PET 75 0.4 - PVC 58 0.2	POM C 58 0.6 - Textile bakelite 59 0.75	POM GF-25 52 0.6 - UHMW-PE 58 0.4
vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling	PA-6 G-H 61 0.75 - POM H 66 0.6	PA-66 GF-30 52 0.75 - PP 76 0.75 -	PET TF 59 0.4 - PTFE 58 0.6 -	PET 75 0.4 - PVC 58 0.2 -	POM C 58 0.6 - Textile bakelite 59 0.75 -	POM GF-25 52 0.6 - UHMW-PE 58 0.4 -
vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling	PA-6 G-H 61 0.75 - POM H 66 0.6 - put parameter	PA-66 GF-30 52 0.75 - PP 76 0.75 - ers for finishing	PET TF 59 0.4 - PTFE 58 0.6 - on a conve	PET 75 0.4 - PVC 58 0.2 - ntional	POM C 58 0.6 - Textile bakelite 59 0.75 - lathe machine (E 4	POM GF-25 52 0.6 - UHMW-PE 58 0.4 - 400)
vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling In	PA-6 G-H 61 0.75 - POM H 66 0.6 - put parameter PA-6 G-H	PA-66 GF-30 52 0.75 - PP 76 0.75 - ers for finishing PA-66 GF-30	PET TF 59 0.4 - PTFE 58 0.6 - on a conve PET TF	PET 75 0.4 - PVC 58 0.2 - ntional PET	POM C 58 0.6 - Textile bakelite 59 0.75 - lathe machine (E 4 POM C	POM GF-25 52 0.6 - UHMW-PE 58 0.4 - 400) POM GF-25
vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling Inj vc (m/min)	PA-6 G-H 61 0.75 - POM H 66 0.6 - put paramete PA-6 G-H 65	PA-66 GF-30 52 0.75 - PP 76 0.75 - ers for finishing PA-66 GF-30 62	PET TF 59 0.4 - PTFE 58 0.6 - 0.6 - 0.6 PET TF 63	PET 75 0.4 - PVC 58 0.2 - ntional PET 79	POM C 58 0.6 - Textile bakelite 59 0.75 - lathe machine (E 4 POM C 62	POM GF-25 52 0.6 - UHMW-PE 58 0.4 - 400) POM GF-25 62
vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling Inj vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.)	PA-6 G-H 61 0.75 - POM H 66 0.6 - put paramete PA-6 G-H 65 0.1	PA-66 GF-30 52 0.75 - PP 76 0.75 - ers for finishing PA-66 GF-30 62 0.1	PET TF 59 0.4 - PTFE 58 0.6 - on a conve PET TF 63 0.1	PET 75 0.4 - PVC 58 0.2 - ntional PET 79 0.1	POM C 58 0.6 - Textile bakelite 59 0.75 - lathe machine (E 4 POM C 62 0.1	POM GF-25 52 0.6 - UHMW-PE 58 0.4 - 400) POM GF-25 62 0.1
vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling Inj vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling	PA-6 G-H 61 0.75 - POM H 66 0.6 - put paramete PA-6 G-H 65 0.1 -	PA-66 GF-30 52 0.75 - PP 76 0.75 - ers for finishing PA-66 GF-30 62 0.1 air	PET TF 59 0.4 - PTFE 58 0.6 - on a conve PET TF 63 0.1 air	PET 75 0.4 - PVC 58 0.2 - ntional PET 79 0.1 air	POM C 58 0.6 - Textile bakelite 59 0.75 - lathe machine (E 4 POM C 62 0.1 -	POM GF-25 52 0.6 - UHMW-PE 58 0.4 - 400) POM GF-25 62 0.1 air
vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling Inj vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling	PA-6 G-H 61 0.75 - POM H 66 0.6 - PA-6 G-H 65 0.1 - POM H	PA-66 GF-30 52 0.75 - PP 76 0.75 - ers for finishing PA-66 GF-30 62 0.1 air PP	PET TF 59 0.4 - PTFE 58 0.6 - on a conve PET TF 63 0.1 air PTFE	PET 75 0.4 - PVC 58 0.2 - ntional PET 79 0.1 air PVC	POM C 58 0.6 - Textile bakelite 59 0.75 - lathe machine (E 4 POM C 62 0.1 - Textile bakelite	POM GF-25 52 0.6 - UHMW-PE 58 0.4 - 400) POM GF-25 62 0.1 air UHMW-PE
vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling Ing vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling vc (m/min)	PA-6 G-H 61 0.75 - POM H 66 0.6 - put paramete PA-6 G-H 65 0.1 - POM H 71	PA-66 GF-30 52 0.75 - PP 76 0.75 - ers for finishing PA-66 GF-30 62 0.1 air PP 80	PET TF 59 0.4 - PTFE 58 0.6 - on a conve PET TF 63 0.1 air PTFE 57	PET 75 0.4 - PVC 58 0.2 - ntional PET 79 0.1 air PVC 63	POM C 58 0.6 - Textile bakelite 59 0.75 - lathe machine (E 4 POM C 62 0.1 - Textile bakelite 63	POM GF-25 52 0.6 - UHMW-PE 58 0.4 - 400) POM GF-25 62 0.1 air UHMW-PE 62
vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.) Cooling vc (m/min) f (mm/rot.)	PA-6 G-H 61 0.75 - POM H 66 0.6 - PA-6 G-H 65 0.1 - POM H 71 0.1	PA-66 GF-30 52 0.75 - PP 76 0.75 - ers for finishing PA-66 GF-30 62 0.1 air PP 80 0.1	PET TF 59 0.4 - PTFE 58 0.6 - on a conve PET TF 63 0.1 air PTFE 57 0.1	PET 75 0.4 - PVC 58 0.2 - ntional PET 79 0.1 air PVC 63 0.1	POM C 58 0.6 - Textile bakelite 59 0.75 - lathe machine (E 4 POM C 62 0.1 - Textile bakelite 63 0.1	POM GF-25 52 0.6 - UHMW-PE 58 0.4 - 400) POM GF-25 62 0.1 air UHMW-PE 62 0.1

Table 1: Input parameters of all tests

3. Results

The input parameters shown in Table 1 can also be considered as results. These parameters were determined experimentally.

In other aspects, however, the main results are the average surface roughness of the machined surfaces and the properties of the detached chips.

Table 2 shows the results of the average surface roughness measurements.

Although other setups can be used, by applying the parameters described above, safe and productive machining can be achieved by avoiding problems caused by continuously flowing chips.

The detached chips were examined subjectively rather than by making specific measurements. However, the results obtained in this way can be used in practice and provide a suitable point of reference.

Photos of the detached chips in all cases are presented in Fig. 1, while the properties of these chips are shown in Table 3.

4. Conclusions

In general, the turning of tough polymeric materials results in the formation of continuous chips. Due to the big

Material	Average surface roughness (µm)				
	NCT EUR	Oturn-12B	E 400		
	Roughing	Finishing	Roughing	Finishing	
PA6 G-H	4.06	1.18	12.95	1.58	
PA66 GF30	3.12	1.27	12.32	1.55	
PET TF	1.73	0.95	5.24	1.47	
PET	1.75	0.9	5.18	1.13	
POM-C	3.48	0.66	8.62	1.14	
POM-GF25	3.75	1.2	8.56	1.43	
РОМ-Н	3.44	0.68	8.72	1.14	
PP	3.38	0.89	12.72	1.45	
PTFE	5.01	1.77	18.44	1.93	
PVC	1.01	0.78	2.35	0.87	
Textile bakelite	6.81	3.15	10.30	1.59	
UHMWPE	2.53	1.81	11.27	1.67	

Table 2: Average surface roughness values

	Properties of the detached chips			
	NCT EUROturn-12B		E 400	
	Roughing	Finishing	Roughing	Finishing
PA6 G-H	5, 6, 7, 8	5, 6, 9	5, 6, 8	3, 4, 7
PA66 GF30	3, 4	4, 5	3, 4	3, 4
PET TF	4, 5	4, 5	3, 10	4,5
PET	4, 5	4, 5	5, 10	4,5
POM-C	1, 2	3, 4	1, 2	3, 4
POM-GF25	1, 2	1, 2	1, 2	3, 4
POM-H	1, 2	3, 4	1, 2	3, 4
PP	4, 5, 6	4, 5	3, 8	4,5
PTFE	3, 4	3, 4	3, 4	3, 4
PVC	4, 5	4,5	4, 5	3, 4
Textile bakelite	4,11	11	1, 4, 11	11
UHMWPE	3, 6, 7, 10	3, 6, 9	3, 6, 7, 8	3, 6, 7

1: elemental, 2: rigid, 3: curved, continuously flowing, 4: easy to tear, 5: straight, continuously flowing, 6: tough, 7: stretches, 8: does not tear, 9: tears after being stretched, 10: hard to tear, 11: dust-like

cross-section of chips during the roughing operation, the mass of individual detached chips influences in which direction they start to flow. This is less of a problem because the ventilation effect of the chuck does not adversely affect the cooling of the chips.

In the case of the finishing operation, the cooling of the chips is critical. It is recommended to use air cooling, although not specifically for the purpose of cooling, in order to blow chips away from the workpiece and tool. Even though the quality of the machined surfaces can be improved by tools with bigger radius, chips can still cause problems. During the experiments, chip blowing was worthwhile in all cases, especially during smoothing operations.

Future research can be conducted by precisely defining the air-cooling method, applying other tools and investigating high-performance polymeric materials. In the case of chip blowing, the distance between the workpiece and the chuck, the weight of the detached chips as well as the ventilation effect of the chuck must be taken into account. The direction and velocity of the air is also significant. It was found that a strong airflow is not always the

		Photos of the	letached chips		
	NCT EUROturn 12-B		E 400		
	Roughing	Finishing	Roughing	Finishing	
PA 6 G-H					
PA 66 GF 30					
PET TF					
PET					
POM C					
POM GF 25					
POM H			4		
РР					
PTFE					
PVC				Q	
Textile bakelite					
UHMW PE					

Figure 1: Photos of the detached chips

most desirable. The distance between the nozzle and the tool is limited by the geometries of the machine as well as the workpiece. By precisely defining these parameters, more practical information can be provided.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Quattroplast Kft. for providing the engineering polymeric materials.

Nomenclature

$v_{\rm c}$	cutting speed (m/min)
f	feed rate (mm/rotation)
Ra	average surface roughness (μ m)
PA6 G-H	cast polyamide 6, Hungarian version
PA66 GF30	polyamide 66 with 30 m/m% glass fiber
PET TF	polyethylene terephthalate with the ad-
	dition of PTFE

74

polytetrafluoroethylene
polyethylene terephthalate
polyoxymethylene with 25 m/m% glass
fiber
polyoxymethylene copolymer
polyoxymethylene homopolymer
polypropylene
polyvinyl chloride
ultra-high molecular weight polyethy-
lene

REFERENCES

- Segreto, T.; Simeone, A.; Teti, R.: Chip form Classification in Carbon Steel Turning through Cutting Force Measurement and Principal Component Analysis *Procedia CIRP*, 2012, 2, 49–54 DOI: 10.1016/j.procir.2012.05.038
- [2] Segreto, T.; Simeone, A.; Teti, R.: Principal component analysis for feature extraction and NN pattern recognition in sensor monitoring of chip form during turning. *CIRP J. Manuf. Sci. Technol.* 2014, 7(3), 202–209 DOI: 10.1016/j.cirpj.2014.04.005
- [3] Sun, W.; Duan, C.; Yin, W.: Chip formation mechanism in machining of Al/SiCp composites based on

analysis of particle damage. *J. Manuf. Proc.*. 2021, **64**, 861–877 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmapro.2021.02.032

- [4] Mohan, R.; Harshavardhana, N.; Chaudhari, M.; Jeyanthi, S.; Abimannan, G.: Analysis on surface finish and chip morphology during dry turning process. *Mat. Today: Proc.* 2021, **46**(2), 999–1002 DOI: 10.1016/j.matpr.2021.01.137
- [5] Kharkevich, A.; Venuvinod, P. K.: Basic geometric analysis of 3-D chip forms in metal cutting.: Part 2: implications. *Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf.* 1999, 39(6), 965–983 DOI: 10.1016/S0890-6955(98)00066-2
- [6] Kharkevich, A.; Venuvinod, P. K.: Extension of basic geometric analysis of 3-D chip forms in metal cutting to chips with obstacle-induced deformation. *Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf.* 2002, **42**(2), 201–213 DOI: 10.1016/S0890-6955(01)00115-8
- [7] Wu, M.; Yu, A.; Chen, Q.; Wang, Y.; Yuan, J.; Sun, L.; Chi, J.: Design of adjustable chip breaker for PCD turning tools. *Int. J. Mech. Sci.*. 2020, (172), 105411 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijmecsci.2019.105411
- [8] Yilmaz, B.; Karabulut, S.; Güllü, A.: A review of the chip breaking methods for continuous chips in turning. J. Manuf. Proc. 2020, 49, 50–69 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmapro.2019.10.026