

# corrections

Inadvertently, some words were omitted from G.A. Spangler's critical review of Fogelin's **Understanding Arguments** in *ILN*, v. 2. The omission occurs on page 29, the left column, line 5. After the words "a series of statements," the following words should be inserted: "and an argument respectively. A series of statements can be formulated in a list of sentences, i.e., a list of sentences used to express a series of statements. On the other hand..."

Hence the entire paragraph, which begins on page 28, will read as follows:

Fogelin's suggestion about avoiding this difficulty goes as follows: let us speak of lists of sentences and series of statements. Then we can draw a distinction between a series of statements and an argument. "This difference," he writes, "is reflected in the sentences used to express a series of statements and an argument respectively. A series of statements can be formulated in a list of sentences, i.e., a list of sentences can be used to express a series of statements. On the other hand, special markers are needed to indicate that the sentences are being used to express an argument. These markers I call warranting connectives. We employ sentences containing warranting connectives to formulate or express arguments. It is for this reason that warranting connectives indicate the existence of arguments and serve as a guide to the structure of arguments."

We apologize to both Professor Spangler and to Professor Fogelin for this omission.

Also, a bibliographic entry was omitted from James B. Freeman's article, "Logical Form, Probability Interpretations and the Inductive/Deductive Distinction" in the *Informal Logic Newsletter*, v. 2 (June, 1983), p. 10. After entry (8) (right column, line 11), the following should be inserted:

(9) \_\_\_\_\_. "Deduction, Induction and Conduction."  
*ILN* iii.2, (1981), 7-15.

We apologize to Professor Freeman for this omission. ●

from the editors, cont'd.

Fourth, and very far from least, we have been mindful of the many subscribers to the *Informal Logic Newsletter* who have urged us **not** to change it into a journal. They cited the unstuffy informality of the publication, its easy access, its lack of submission-publication lag, the quick publication of "replies", its various departments of service to reader-users. To those readers we say, please keep the faith. With the cooperation of our board of referees, we plan to continue to have a very fast submission-publication interval, and replies from authors criticized will be sought immediately. Also, we see no reason why a journal **has** to become leaden and pretentious. Witness **Teaching Philosophy**, which Arnold Wilson has kept fresh and lively, while maintaining high editorial standards. In effect, the publication we envisage will be a journal-cum-newsletter. If this is a new hybrid, then so much the better: informal logic is once again innovative! The fact is that the field needs both, and this seems the obvious organ to fill both needs.

One final note. Only slight modifications have been made in the format of this journal's predecessor, the *Informal Logic Newsletter*. Time and money were both factors in this decision. However, the situation is temporary. We anticipate changes in format for *Informal Logic* in the not too distant future. ●

## Acknowledgements

For help in the preparation of this issue, the editors would like to acknowledge the assistance of Diane Babcock, our typesetter, and of Professor Peter Wilkinson and Pamela Courtenay Hall for their help in the paste-up. For their help in the preparation of the *Informal Logic Newsletter*, volume v, numbers ii and iii, the editors are indebted to both Kate Parr and Pamela Courtenay Hall for their much appreciated assistance. ●