# Size optimization of shoulder filleted shafts with relief grooves for improving their fatigue life

# Optimización de tamaño de flechas escalonadas con ranuras de alivio para mejorar su vida a la fatiga

Juan M. González-Mendoza<sup>1</sup>, Samuel Alcántara-Montes<sup>2</sup>, José de Jesús Silva-Lomelí<sup>3</sup>, Carlos de la Cruz-Alejo<sup>4</sup>, and Arturo Ocampo-Ramírez<sup>5</sup>

# ABSTRACT

Although in scientific literature there are studies regarding the inclusion of relief grooves in order to diminish the amount of stress concentration in stepped shafts, the incorporation of optimization algorithms capable of parametrically determining their geometry remains unexplored. In this paper, an approach to the problem of size optimization of shoulder filleted shafts with relief grooves and subject to axial loads is presented. The objective of the optimization is to minimize the maximum value of stress at both, the root of the shoulder fillet, and the root of the groove, thus minimizing stress concentration and improving fatigue life of such elements. Under this methodology, different percentages of reduction of stress are achieved for the shafts with relief grooves, in comparison with the shafts without relief grooves. The novelty of this approach lies in the incorporation of an algorithm for the determination of the optimum geometry of the grooves.

Keywords: Size optimization, stress concentration, relief groove

#### RESUMEN

Aun cuando en la literatura científica se puede hallar artículos sobre la inclusión de ranuras de alivio para disminuir el nivel de concentración de esfuerzo en flechas escalonadas, la incorporación de algoritmos de optimización capaces de determinar su geometría de manera paramétrica permanece sin explorarse. En este artículo se presenta un enfoque al problema de optimización de tamaño de flechas escalonadas con ranuras de alivio, sujetas a cargas axiales. El objetivo de la optimización es minimizar el valor máximo del esfuerzo, tanto en la raíz del escalón como en la raíz de la ranura, disminuyendo la concentración de esfuerzo y mejorando la vida a la fatiga de tales elementos mecánicos. Bajo esta metodología, se alcanzan diversos porcentajes de reducción del esfuerzo al comparar las flechas ranuradas con las flechas no ranuradas. El enfoque aporta la incorporación de un algoritmo para la determinación de la geometría óptima de las ranuras de alivio.

Palabras clave: Optimización de tamaño, concentración de esfuerzo, ranura de alivio

Received: June 9th 2016 Accepted: July 31st 2017

# Introduction

Most machine parts have varying cross sections, and shafts often include steps or shoulders to accommodate bearings, gears, and other mechanical elements. These changes in cross – sectional geometry cause local stress concentration and, while it is not practical to eliminate such features, it is necessary to minimize their effects, given that the degree of stress concentration is a factor in the evaluation of fatigue strength and fatigue life.

Authors like Norton (2006) and Stephens *et al.* (1980) suggest the use of design modifications such as relief grooves to qualitatively reduce the stress concentration in stepped shafts, without giving quantitative details on the geometry of such grooves. Other authors have studied their effects with and without optimization algorithms, on mechanical structures as vessels or bars.

Shin *et al.* (2014) pointed out the effectiveness of relief grooves as a mean to reduce stress concentration on

notched shafts, while they also mentioned that the detailed geometry of such grooves is still subject to discussion.

<sup>5</sup> Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering, S.E.P.I. Zacatenco, I.P.N., Mexico. Affiliation: S.E.P.I. Zacatenco, I.P.N., Mexico. E-mail: ingaor@hotmail.com

How to cite: González-Mendoza, J.M., Alcántara-Montes, S., Silva-Lomelí, J.J., De La Cruz-Alejo, C., Ocampo-Ramírez, A., (2017). Size Optimization of shoulder filleted shafts with relief grooves for improving their fatigue lives. *Ingeniería e Investigación*, 37(3), 85-91. DOI: 10.15446/ing.investig.v37n3.57957



Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) Share - Adapt

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering, S.E.P.I. Zacatenco, I.P.N., Mexico. Affiliation: S.E.P.I. Zacatenco, I.P.N., Mexico. E-mail: johann009@yahoo.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ph.D. in Physics, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium. Affiliation: S.E.P.I. Zacatenco, I.P.N., Mexico. E-mail: sammotion@yahoo.com.mx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering, S.E.P.I. Zacatenco, I.P.N., Mexico. Affiliation: U.P.I.I.T.A., I.P.N., Mexico. E-mail: jsilval@ipn.mx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> M.Sc. in Mechanical Engineering, S.E.P.I. Zacatenco, I.P.N., Mexico. Affiliation: S.E.P.I. Zacatenco, I.P.N., Mexico. E-mail: alejo\_10b10@yahoo.com.mx

They used finite element analysis to evaluate the stress distribution in the vicinity of a notch that caused a high stress concentration on an axle shaft, and compared this distribution with that of a shaft where two relief grooves were modeled at both sides of the notch, finding an increase of the fatigue life due to their application. In a similar manner, Robles *et al.* (2013) proved the beneficial effect of relief grooves on stepped shafts using finite element analysis.

Otsuka *et al.* (2012) introduced relief grooves in the contact area of lever and lever guide of a sealing mechanism for ultra-high pressure processing equipment, achieving a decrease of stress levels at the zone of stress concentration.

Koh *et al.* (1997) used stress constraints in the parametric optimization of the size and number of the external grooves of a thick walled vessel under pulsating pressure, in order to minimize the stress concentration at the grooves, thus increasing the fatigue life of the vessel.

Ghelichi et al. (2011) optimized the shape of the notch geometry of components subject to multiaxial cyclic loads, using the Liu – Zenner criterion, decreasing the stress concentration at the notch. Sonmez (2009) used optimization to find the best shape for fillets in stepped bars, in order to minimize the value of the maximum equivalent stress, when such elements are subject to axial, bending, torsional, or combined loads.

Although studies can be found regarding shape optimization of fillets, size optimization of grooves at vessels, as well as studies on the effect of relief grooves in the vicinity of notches, the study of the optimum geometry of relief grooves in filleted shafts using optimization algorithms is still lacking.

Thus, the main problem to be addressed in this paper is to present an approach to the size optimization of shoulder filleted shafts, including relief grooves as a mean to reduce the stress concentration, while the shafts under consideration are subject to axial loads.

#### Description of geometry and stresses

The objective of the optimization is to minimize the maximum stress at two points, i.e., the root of the shoulder fillet and the root of the groove on a stepped shaft subjected to an axial load, and in which, a relief groove has been introduced. A comparison of stresses and fatigue lives on the shaft before and after the application of such design modification is made.

Thus, it is required to describe the geometry of the shafts, as well as loads being applied and expected stresses.

Consider a shoulder filleted shaft, with maximum diameter  $d_{i}$ , minimum diameter  $d_{i}$ , radius of fillet *r*, subject to an axial

load as in Figure 1. The load causes an axial stress,  $\sigma_{22'}$  to develop in the shaft. The abrupt change in geometry creates a stress concentration, and the maximum value of stress,  $\sigma_{22 max'}$  is expected to occur at the root of the shoulder fillet.



Figure 1. Scheme of shaft without relief groove. Source: Authors

A model of this stepped shaft without relief groove is created using a student version of the ANSYS Parametric Design Language (APDL), Ansys, Inc., (2016), so that stresses at the aforementioned point can be found for various loading cases, i.e., various values of the ratio  $d_o/d_i$ . A comparison is performed between the FEM – based value of the stress concentration factor and its theoretical value given after Equation (1) to (5), according to Pilkey (1997) for validation purposes.

$$K_{t} = C_{1} + C_{2} \left( 2t / d_{o} \right) + C_{3} \left( 2t / d_{o} \right)^{2} + C_{4} \left( 2t / d_{o} \right)^{3}$$
(1)

$$C_{1} = 1,200 + 0,860(t / r)^{1/2} - 0,022(t / r)$$
(2)

$$C_{2} = -1,805 - 0,346(t/r)^{1/2} - 0,038(t/r)$$
(3)

$$C_{3} = 2,198 - 0,486(t / r)^{1/2} + 0,165(t / r)$$
(4)

$$C_{4} = -0.593 - 0.028 (t / r)^{\nu_{2}} - 0.106 (t / r)$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

Next, another model of the stepped shaft is created using the APDL, this time including a relief groove, as shown in Figure 2. The radius of the groove and its position with respect to a specified minimum distance from the shoulder, are identified as  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$ , respectively. The introduction of this feature is expected to diminish the stress at the root of the fillet, and to improve fatigue life, with optimum values of the geometry.



Figure 2. Scheme of shaft with relief groove. Source: Authors

#### Formulation of the optimization problem

The size optimization problem can be expressed as finding the set of design variables {u} that minimize the objective function, i. e., the maximum axial stress at both, the root of the shoulder fillet and the root of the relief groove, Equations (6), (7), (8), while subjected to the constraints given by Equation (9), Pérez López (2002).

$$\min_{u} \max_{i} \left\{ F_{i}(u) \right\}$$
(6)

$$F_1(u) = \sigma_{22}$$
 (at root of the fillet) (7)

$$F_2(u) = \sigma_{22}$$
 (at root of the groove) (8)

$$lb \le u \le ub$$
 (upper, lower bounds) (9)

The optimization problem is solved using an in – house tool, developed in MATLAB®, applying the available optimization function *fminimax*, which minimizes the largest value of a set of multivariable functions, also known as the *minimax* problem, through the Sequential Quadratic Programming (SQP) algorithm.

Stress values to be minimized are not explicit functions of the design variables,  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$ ; instead, stresses are parametrically calculated by the models built using the ANSYS Parametric Design Language, and their values are retrieved and updated by the optimization function. A proper interface between MATLAB® and ANSYS is established, following a procedure set in Gauchía *et al.* (2014).

The flowchart of the implemented optimization approach is illustrated in Figure 3. MATLAB® sets initial values for {u}, stores these values in an input file (\*.inp), and requests ANSYS to run in batch mode. The APDL model reads the input file, calculates values of stress at specified nodes, i.e., at the root of the shoulder fillet, and at the root of the groove, and stores these values in a text file (\*.txt), which the optimization function *fminimax* can invoke. New values for the design variables,  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$ , are set, and stresses are updated until their maximum value at the aforementioned locations is minimized, and stresses at both locations reach the same value.

#### Criterion for calculation of fatigue life

Upon completion of the optimization process and obtainment of values of stress at both, the root of the shoulder fillet and the root of the groove, the fatigue life of the stepped shaft with and without relief groove is calculated, and their values are compared. To this purpose, the fatigue criterion of Dang Van has been selected, given that it shows good agreement with experimental results. A complete explanation of this criterion can be found in Dang Van *et al.* (1989).

$$\sigma_{H}(t) = \left[\sigma_{1}(t) + \sigma_{2}(t) + \sigma_{3}(t)\right]/3 \tag{10}$$

$$S_{ij}(t) = \sigma_{ij}(t) - \sigma_H(t)\sigma_{ij}$$
(11)



Figure 3. Flowchart of the implemented optimization approach. Source: Authors

The calculation of the deviatoric local residual stress tensor  $\varrho_{ij}^*$ , is not a trivial task when dealing with multiaxial or non – proportional loads, although for uniaxial loads evaluation of Equations (12) and (13) simplifies. The value of  $\overline{\alpha}$ , is as in Equation (15),  $\overline{\beta} = t_{-1}$ .

$$s_{ij}(t) = S_{ij}(t) + dev \,\varrho_{ij}^* \tag{12}$$

$$\tau\left(t\right) = \left[s_{1}\left(t\right) - s_{3}\left(t\right)\right] / 2 \tag{13}$$

$$Max\left[\tau\left(t\right) + \overline{\alpha}\sigma_{H}\left(t\right)\right] \leq \overline{\beta} \tag{14}$$

$$\overline{\alpha} = \left[ 3t_{-1} / f_{-1} \right] - 3 / 2 \tag{15}$$

An in – house tool has been developed in MATLAB®, for the calculation of fatigue life using this criterion and Basquin's equation (16). Stress components for calculation of fatigue life are taken from the value of  $\sigma_{max} = \sigma_{22max'}$  assuming a ratio  $R = \sigma_{min} / \sigma_{max} = -1$ .

$$\overline{\beta} = \tau_f' \left( 2N_f \right)^b \tag{16}$$

#### Numerical examples

As a demonstration of the size optimization approach presented in this work, two parametric models of a stepped shaft subjected to an axial load were built. These models were both designed using the ANSYS Parametric Design Language as axisymmetric parts, using the element plane 183 and a mapped mesh. By using axisymmetry, only half the model was built, see Figures 4 a), 4 b). The models shown in these figures represent the shoulder filleted shaft without and with relief groove, respectively. The boundary conditions were, for both of them, left side,  $u_x = 0$ , bottom side,  $u_y = 0$ , top side, applied surface load. The number of elements, as well as their size, changed parametrically.



Figure 4. a) Axisymmetric model of the shouldered shaft, without relief groove. b) Axisymmetric model of the shouldered shaft, with relief groove. **Source:** Authors

Both of them were subjected to eight loading cases, varying the maximum diameter,  $d_{o'}$  while keeping constant the values of the minimum diameter,  $d_{i'}$  fillet radius, r, and applied axial stress of 120MPa, thus creating various levels of stress concentration in the vicinity of the root of the shoulder fillet, see Table 1.

The material properties assigned for stress and fatigue life calculations were taken from 10HNAP steel, as referenced in Kluger *et al.* (2004), and they are listed in Table 2.

**Table 1.** Loading cases for eight values of the ratio  $d_i/d_i$ 

Load case	$d_{_o}(\mathbf{mm})$	$d_i$ (mm)	r (mm)	t (mm)	$d_o/d_i$	Axial stress (MPa)
1	27,0	25	0,3	1,00	1,08	120
2	27,5	25	0,3	1,25	1,10	120
3	28,0	25	0,3	1,50	1,12	120
Load case	d <sub>o</sub> (mm)	d <sub>i</sub> (mm)	<i>r</i> (mm)	t (mm)	$d_{o}^{\prime}/d_{i}^{\prime}$	Axial stress (MPa)
4	28,5	25	0,3	1,75	1,14	120
5	29,0	25	0,3	2,00	1,16	120
6	29,5	25	0,3	2,25	1,18	120
7	30,0	25	0,3	2,50	1,20	120
8	30,5	25	0,3	2,75	1,22	120
Commence A state and						

Source: Authors

**Table 2.**Properties of 10HNAP steel

Property	Value	Units
S <sub>u</sub>	556	MPa
$S_{y}$	414	MPa
Ε	215	GPa
V	0,29	-
$\sigma_{i}$	1 136	MPa
$ au_i$	655	MPa
Ь	-0.105	-
f1	252	MPa
t_1	145	MPa

Source: Kluger, et al. (2004)

#### **Results and discussion**

The model without relief groove in Figure 4 a) is loaded according with the cases listed in Table 1. Values of the stress concentration factor, defined as the ratio between the maximum stress at the root of the shoulder fillet and the nominal applied stress, are obtained using the finite element method through the APDL model. These values appear in Table 3, column 3, while the theoretical value of the stress

concentration factor, by Equation (1), appears in Table 3, column 2. A comparison between finite element method based and theoretical values of the stress concentration factor is shown in Figure 5. The maximum axial stress at the root of the shoulder fillet and the fatigue life for this model, are seen in Table 3, columns 4 and 5.

**Table 3.** Maximum stress at the root of the shoulder fillet and fatiguelife for the model without relief groove

Load case	Theoretical Kt	FEM-based Kt	σ <sub>22</sub> (MPa)	N <sub>f</sub> (Cycles)
1	2,52	2,66	318,73	92 103
2	2,64	2,81	337,16	53 913
3	2,74	2,94	352,67	35 130
4	2,82	3,05	366,02	24 661
5	2,90	3,15	378,10	18 103
6	2,97	3,24	388,73	13 899
7	3,03	3,32	398,19	11 056
8	3,09	3,39	406,65	9 050

Source: Authors



Figure 5. Theoretical and FEM – based values of the stress concentration factor for shafts without relief grooves. Source: Authors

The model with relief groove in Figure 4 b) is also loaded according with the cases listed in Table 1. Optimum values of  $\{u\}$  that minimize the maximum stress at both, the root of the shoulder fillet and the root of the groove, are found for each loading case. Minimized values of stress are listed in Table 4, column 5.

In loading case 1, a percentage of reduction of stress of 13,07% is achieved, for the model with relief groove, when compared against the model without relief groove. These percentages of reduction of stress achieved thanks to the effect of the relief grooves are reported in Table 4, column 6, for each one of the eight loading cases.

**Table 4.** Maximum stress at the root of the shoulder fillet and fatiguelife for model with relief groove

Load case	u, optimum, mm	u22 optimum, mm	Kt Relief Groove	σ <sub>22 max</sub> (MPa)	% of red. of stress	N <sub>f</sub> (Cycles)
1	1,03	0,75	2,31	277,06	13,07	349 765
2	1,35	0,75	2,30	276,57	17,97	355 684
3	1,66	0,75	2,29	274,65	22,12	380 029
4	1,98	0,75	2,27	272,41	25,58	410 945
5	2,29	0,75	2,25	270,21	28,54	443 962
6	2,61	0,75	2,24	268,22	31,00	476 217
7	2,92	0,75	2,22	266,63	33,04	504 041
8	3,24	0,75	2,21	265,18	34,79	530 973

Source: Authors

As for the improvement in fatigue life due to this design modification, in Table 4, column 7, values of  $N_f$  are listed for each loading case, considering a fully reversible load, using the Dang Van criterion. Figures 6 – 9 show comparisons between the stress distributions for the two models, loading case one.

According with Table 4, column 6, percentages of reduction of stress between 13% and 34% are achieved thanks to the introduction of the optimized relief groove. Fatigue life according with the Dang Van criterion and Basquin's equation has been calculated, for the stepped shaft with and without relief groove. These results are reported in Table 3, column 5 and Table 4, column 7. An improvement in fatigue life of the shaft is observed for the eight loading cases, ranging from a factor of 3,7 in loading case 1 up to a factor of 58,6 in loading case 8. This variation is due to the fact that the number of cycles to failure is calculated using a linear relationship on a log-log scale.

Optimum values of the design variables are shown in Table 4, columns 2, 3. Figure 10 would suggest a relationship between *t* (see Figure 1), and the optimum value of  $u_i$  (radius of groove), for values of  $d_o/d_i$  from 1,08 to 1,22, and for the applied loads and materials. This relationship could be expressed by Equation (17). Nevertheless, in order to express a general relationship between *t* and  $u_i$  for design purposes, a broader set of data ought to be analyzed.

$$u_1 = 1,2635t - 0,2337 \tag{17}$$



Figure 6. Stress distribution for model without relief groove. Loading case 1.





Figure 7. Model without relief groove, case 1. Stress at the root of the fillet: 318,72 MPa.





Figure 8. Stress distribution for model with relief groove. Loading case 1. Source: Authors, using Ansys Academic.

-7,74081 23,9036 55,5481 87,1925 118,837 150,481 182,126 213,77 245,415 277,059

**Figure 9.** Model with relief groove, case 1. Stress at the root of the fillet and root of the groove: 277,05 MPa. **Source:** Authors, using Ansys Academic.

#### Conclusions

Few studies have been devoted to the definition of the optimum geometry of relief grooves, when applied to shoulder filleted shafts in order to improve fatigue lives; therefore, an approach has been presented, aimed at minimizing the maximum axial stress at two points: the root of the shoulder fillet and the root of the relief groove on a stepped shaft subject to axial stress.

This approach is based on the use of an in – house developed tool working with the SQP optimization algorithm within the *fminimax* function, and which in turn, interfaces with a parametric model for stress calculations. Finally, fatigue lives of the shaft with and without relief grooves are calculated and compared.



**Figure 10.**Relationship between *t* and  $u_i$  for values of  $d_o/d_i$  from 1,08 to 1,22. **Source:** Authors

Percentages of reduction of stress in the range of 13% to 34% are achieved for values of  $d_a/d_i$  from 1,08 to 1,22.

This slight change in design also causes an important improvement in fatigue life.

The novelty of the approach lies in the inclusion of an optimization algorithm for the determination of the optimum geometry of relief grooves, using an interface between MATLAB® and ANSYS.

Results are encouraging since this approach can lead to the optimization of shafts featuring various stress relieving methods, or subjected to multiaxial loads. Further developments and the application of this methodology to practical design cases must include the appropriate safety factors.

### Nomenclature

 $u_1, u_2$  - Design variables

- *K*<sub>t</sub> Stress concentration factor
- $\overline{\beta}$  Equivalent stress, Dang Van criterion
- $\tau_{f}$  Fatigue strength coefficient in shear
- *b* Fatigue strength exponent
- $S_{ii}$  Ultimate strength
- $f_{-1}$  Fatigue limit in fully reverse bending
- $t_{-1}$  Fatigue limit in fully reverse torsion
- $\sigma_{ii}$  Macroscopic stress tensor
- $\sigma_{H}$  Hydrostatic stress
- $S_{ii}$  Macroscopic deviatoric stress tenso
- $dev \varrho_{ii}^{*}$  Deviatoric local residual stress tensor
- $S_{ii}$  Mesoscopic deviatoric stress tensor
- *N<sub>f</sub>* Fatigue life, number of cycles
- R Stress ratio

#### References

- Ansys, Inc., (2016). Ansys Student retrieved from the website: http://www.ansys.com/Products/Academic/ANSYS-Student
- Dang Van, K. Griveau, B., Message, O., (1989). On a new multiaxial fatigue limit criterion: theory and applications, Biaxial and Multiaxial Fatigue, EGF 3 (Edited by M. W. Brown and K. J. Miller). Mechanical Engineering Publications, London, 479 – 496.
- Gauchía, A., Boada, B.L., Boada, M.J.L., Diaz, V., (2014). *MATLAB applications for the practical engineer*, Chapter 7: Integration of MATLAB and ANSYS for advanced analysis of vehicle structures. INTECH.
- Ghelichi, R., Bernasconi, A., Guagliano, M., (2011). Geometrical optimization of notches under multiaxial fatigue loading. International Journal of Fatigue, 33, 985–991.
- Kluger, K., Lagoda, T., (2004). Application of the Dang Van criterion for life determination under uniaxial random tension – compression with different mean values, Fatigue and Fracture of Engineering Materials and Structures, 27, 505 – 512.
- Koh, S. K., Lee, S. I., Chung, S. H., Lee, K. Y., (1997). *Fatigue design of an autofrettaged thick-walled pressure vessel using CAE techniques*. International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping, 74, 19 32
- Otsuka, Y., Bin Baron, H., Mutoh, Y., (2012). *Design optimization of stress relief grooves in lever guide of pressure vessel for food processing*, Open Journal of Safety Science and Technology, 2, 1 7.
- Robles, S. I., et al., (2013). Diseño de concentradores de tensiones en elementos mecánicos, mediante las tensiones y desplazamientos superficiales, Mecánica Computacional Vol. XXXII, 3639 3650, C. G. García Garino, A. E. Mirasso, M. A. Storti, M. E. Tornello (Eds.) Mendoza, Argentina, 19 22.
- Shin, J.M., Han, D.S., Lee, K.H., Han, S.H., (2014). Using stress relief grooves to reduce stress concentration on axle drive shaft, Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology, 28 (6), 2121 – 2127.
- Norton, R. L., (2006). *Machine design: an integrated approach*. Pearson Prentice Hall. 3rd edition.
- Pérez López, C., (2002). *MATLAB y sus aplicaciones en las ciencias y la ingeniería*, Pearson, Madrid.
- Pilkey, W. D., (1997). *Peterson's stress concentration factors*, Wiley. 2nd edition.
- Stephens, R.I., Fatemi, A., Stephens, R.R., Fuchs, H.O., (1980). Metal fatigue in engineering, Wiley. 2nd edition.
- Sonmez, F.O., (2009). *Optimal shape design of shoulder fillets for flat and round bars under various loadings,* Proceedings IMechE Vol. 223 Part C: J. Mechanical Engineering Science, 1741 1754.