

Yaşartürk, F., & Akay, B. (2023). Examination of the relationship between leisure satisfaction and empathic tendency levels in pre-service physical education and sports teachers. *International Online Journal of Education and Teaching (IOJET)*, 10(3). 2136-2153.

Received : 18.02.2023 Revised version received : 13.04.2023 Accepted : 19.04.2023

EXAMINATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LEISURE SATISFACTION AND EMPATHIC TENDENCY LEVELS IN PRE-SERVICE PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND SPORTS TEACHERS

Research article

Fatih Yaşartürk (Corresponding author) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4934-101X
Bartın University, Turkey

fatihyasarturk@gmail.com

Buğra Akay https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2567-6544

Kırıkkale University, Turkey

bugraakay@hotmail.com

Biodatas:

Fatih Yaşartürk is a full time Associate Professor at Bartın University Faculty of Sport Sciences, Department of Recreation. His research interests are Sports Sociology, Recreational Education, Sportive Recreation, Leisure Satisfaction and Leisure Management.

Buğra Akay is a full time Res. Asst. at Kırıkkale University Faculty of Sport Sciences. His research interests are Physical Education, Recreation, Leisure Attitude and Satisfaction.

Copyright © 2014 by International Online Journal of Education and Teaching (IOJET). ISSN: 2148-225X.

Material published and so copyrighted may not be published elsewhere without written permission of IOJET.

AN EXAMINATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LEISURE SATISFACTION AND EMPATHIC TENDENCY LEVELS IN PRE-SERVICE PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND SPORTS TEACHERS

Fatih Yaşartürk

fatihyasarturk@gmail.com

Buğra Akay

bugraakay@hotmail.com

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to examine the relationship between leisure satisfaction and empathic tendency levels by certain demographic variables in university students. The study was conducted using a relational screening model. The study was carried out with 180 preservice physical education and sports teachers selected using a convenient sampling method. In analyzing the data, descriptive statistics, t-Test, ANOVA and Pearson correlation analyses were performed using the SPSS 26.0 package program. The findings of the study revealed that the difference between the participant gender and the Leisure Satisfaction Scale (LSS) was not significant, while there was a significant difference with the Empathic Tendency Scale (ETS) in women participants. There was no significant difference between the year in school variable of the participants and the LSS and ETS. A positive and low-level significant relationship was found between the participants' year of sports variable and LSS and ETS. A positive and moderately significant relationship was found between the mean scores of pre-service physical education and sports teacher in the leisure satisfaction scale and the empathic tendency scale. As a result, it can be said that the increase in the leisure satisfaction level of pre-service physical education and sports teachers positively affects their empathic behaviors. It is thought that planning activities that will increase the leisure satisfaction levels of pre-service physical education and sports teachers will contribute to their empathic behaviors.

Keywords: Pre-service physical education and sports teachers, leisure satisfaction, empathic tendency

1. Introduction

Many roles and responsibilities are expected particularly from physical education and sports teachers in the education process. Communication skills are very important in fulfilling these roles and responsibilities. Therefore, physical education and sports teachers need effective communication and empathy skills. In acquiring this skill, knowing themselves and others, and establishing social relationships are very important. Koçyiğit et al. (2018) stated that free time activities are at the forefront of the elements that enable the individual to acquire the skills of recognizing and managing their own emotions through socialization. As a result, it is believed that the level of satisfaction obtained by physical education and sports teachers as a result of leisure activities will have an impact on their professional and social lives.



Free time is defined as the time period left over from the work that the individuals has to do to continue their life (Mull et al., 1997), as the time when the individuals are free to do what they choose in their out-of-work time (Tezcan, 1994), and as the time when individuals participate in activities for the purposes of resting, having fun, increasing their knowledge or skills, participating in social life, etc. after their social duties are fulfilled (Altunay, 2015).

University years are one of the periods that have a great impact on students' education processes and when students try to be accepted by expressing themselves in the social environment. During this period, the skills and habits acquired in their free time have positive or negative effects in the later process, and with whom they spend time is important. Arslan (2011) highlighted that today, everyone has more free time than in the past, and emphasized the need to make the right choice among the diverse set of activities. When free time is not spent with the right activities, it can lead to outcomes such as depression, alcohol, television and (Sivan & Ruskin, 2000) technology addiction (Siddiqi & Memon, 2016). When spent with positive activities, it improves the self-esteem and skills of the individual (McAvoy, 2001), it can prevent the formation of undesirable student behaviors (Ayhan et al., 2022), and it can enable students to develop identity and socialize (Büküşoğlu & Bayturan, 2005). Because of these risks and opportunities, Karaküçük (2014) makes a double-edged sword analogy regarding free time. Planned free time activities support the process of developing desired behaviors by ensuring students' harmony with the society.

Mahoney (2000) stated that extracurricular activities reduce students' dropout and crime rates. In addition, young people who cannot socialize may have tendencies towards being offensive, aggressive and violent (Tuncay, 2000). The active use of free time has an impact on many important factors including work life, family, friends, and social environment (Yaşartürk & Yılmaz, 2019). According to Büküşolu and Bayturan (2005), participating in free time activities can reduce the likelihood of youth joining risky groups by satisfying the need for self-realization and belonging to a group. According to Yaşartürk et al. (2021), students who have a positive attitude towards free time experience lower test anxiety. We can emphasize that it is critical for teachers to have a wide repertoire of leisure activities, to plan activities and to ensure the participation of students in these activities, to prevent negative behaviors of students at school and to prevent them from being included in the wrong social environments.

Although people participate in leisure activities for a variety of reasons, the main purpose is to enjoy the activities and thus achieve satisfaction (Çelik, 2011; Yaşartürk, 2013; Gürkan et. al., 2021). With the positive use of free time, people get satisfaction and develop a desire to participate more in activities (Cengiz & Yaşartürk, 2020). Leisure satisfaction can also be evaluated as the level of meeting the free time needs of individuals (Demir, Yeşiltepe & Demir, 2013). Beard & Ragheb (1980) define leisure satisfaction as positive emotions obtained by individuals participating in activities. In addition to the positive effects that free time activities have on students, leisure satisfaction obtained by participating in activities, and teachers having a positive relationship with teacher's self-efficacy beliefs (Akay & Yaşartürk 2023), life (Sevin & Şen, 2019) and job satisfaction (Pearson, 1998) makes these activities important for teachers. Therefore, the leisure satisfaction level of physical education and sports teachers also affects the quality of education, and establishing social relationships will help with developing the habit of empathizing which would contribute to their communication skills.

Empathy is the efforts made by an individual in communication by putting themselves in the other person's shoes, looking at events from their perspective, and trying to understand their feelings and thoughts (Pala, 2008). Dökmen (1988) described empathy as the individual's



understanding, feeling, and transferring of a situation to the other person by looking at the events from the other person's perspective. As evident in the definitions, the understanding of the individual in the communication process indicates the cognitive aspect of empathy while feeling like the other person indicates the emotional side empathy. Empathic tendency not only creates the emotional aspect of empathy, but also shows the potential to empathize (Saygili, Kırıktaş & Gülsoy, 2015). The educational process begins with establishing communication. In this process, teachers are in constant communication with their colleagues, administrators, students, and parents. Therefore, they are expected to have strong communication skills. It was reported that empathizing is important in communication skills and that teachers should make empathic communication an attitude to establish healthy communication with students (Pala, 2008). The fact that physical education and sports teachers are generally in contact with students and parents reveals the importance of empathy skills.

Teachers with a high level of empathy can be more successful in recognizing and solving students' problems. Findlay et al. (2006) stated that people with empathy skills are more successful compared to others in understanding the underlying causes of others' behaviors. Furthermore, students are more likely to open up to teachers who understand their problems and do not judge them. Because students feel more respect and love for their teachers when they believe they understand them (Kuzgun, 2006). It has been found that people with high empathy skills have more positive social adaptation, low aggression tendencies (Sergeant et al., 2006) and show more prosocial behavior characteristics (McMahon et al., 2006) than those with low empathy skills. As shown in studies, empathy improves individuals' social aspects. It is very important for the young people who constitute our future to acquire empathy skills, socialize, and adapt to the society. Physical education and sports lessons in schools contribute to the acquisition of these skills.

Individuals learn to do activities together, to win and lose, to share, to cooperate, and to be respectful of other people's opinions and thoughts through sports (Şahan, 2008). Thanks to sports, individuals can have healthy lifestyle, develop social identity and increase physical well-being (Sarol et. al., 2022). Additionally, individuals develop the characteristics of knowing the society they live in, learning traditions and customs, being aware of right and wrong, and being respectful to other people through sports (Yetim, 2000). Sports can be said to be effective in the socialization of individuals in these aspects. Considering the effect of sports on socialization, physical education and sports lessons in schools enable students to establish social relationships in controlled environments, to complete an activity in cooperation by following the rules, and to create a sense of unity with their friends, thus improving their empathy skills. Therefore, it is important to determine the empathic tendency levels of preservice physical education and sports teachers. It is expected that physical education and sports teachers, who are satisfied with their participation in free time activities, establish social relations and develop emphatic tendencies as a result. From this point of view, the aim of the study is to examine the relationship between leisure satisfaction and emphatic tendencies of pre-service physical education and sports teachers.



2. Method

The study was conducted using a relational screening model. The screening model is used to obtain general information from a large group. Relational screening is a research model in which the covariance of variables are examined (Büyüköztürk et al., 2018).

2.1. Study Group

The population of the study consists of 190 pre-service teachers studying at Kırıkkale University, Physical Education and Sports Teaching department between 2021-2022. The sample of the study consists of 180 pre-service physical education and sports teachers selected from the population using the convenient sampling method.

2.2. Data Collection Tool

The data collection tools consist of 3 sections. The first section consists of a personal information form developed by the authors that includes demographic information about the participants (gender, year in school, age, and year of sports).

In the second section, the Leisure Satisfaction Scale (LSS) developed by Beard & Ragheb (1980) to determine the satisfaction levels of pre-service physical education and sports teachers. The adaptation of the scale to Turkish, and the validity and reliability studies of the scale were performed by Karlı et al. (2008). The scale consisting of 6 sub-factors contains a total of 39 items. Items in the scale are rated on a 5-point Likert scale (1=Almost never true of me, 5=Almost always true of me). The high scores obtained from the scale indicate that the participants' leisure satisfaction levels are high. In the Turkish adaptation study, the internal consistency coefficient of the scale was determined as 0.92. In our study, the Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient was calculated as 0.97.

In the last section of the survey, the Emphatic Tendency Scale (ETS) developed by Dökmen (1988) was used to measure the participants' potential to empathize. The scale is a 5-point likert scale consisting of 20 items. The items 3,6,7,8,11,12,13 and 15 are reverse-coded in the scale. A minimum of 20 and a maximum of 100 points are obtained from the scale. High scores obtained from the scale indicate that participants have high emphatic tendencies, and low scores indicate low emphatic tendencies. Dökmen (1988) implemented the scale to a group of 70 people twice, with an interval of 3 weeks, using the test-retest method. As a result, the reliability coefficient of the scale was found to be 0.82. Afterwards, the split-half method was performed and the correlation coefficient of odd and even items was calculated as 0.86. Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient of the scale was measured as 0.79 in our study.

2.3. Data Analysis

Kurtosis and skewness values were examined to determine the tests to be used in the data analysis. George & Mallery (2019) accept the range of ± 2 to be acceptable for the assumption of normality. When the kurtosis and skewness values in our study are examined, it is seen that



they support the normality assumption (Table 1). In the analyses, t-Test for pairwise comparisons, ANOVA and Pearson Correlation for multiple comparisons were performed using the SPSS 26.0 program. The significance level was accepted as p<0.05 in the ANOVA and t-Test analyses, and p<0.05 and p<0.01 were accepted in the Pearson Correlation analysis.

Table 1. LSS and ETS value table

Scales	Item Number	N	$\bar{\mathbf{X}}$	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis	Cronbach's Alpha
LSS	39	192	3.46	0.84	-0.022	-0.756	0.97
ETS	20	192	3.25	0.31	0.476	0.868	0.79

When table 1 is examined, it is seen that the mean score of LSS is 3.46, the standard deviation is 0.84; and the mean score of ETS is 3.25 and the standard deviation is 0.31. When the LSS skewness (-0.022) and kurtosis (-0.756) values and the ETS skewness (0.476) and kurtosis (0.868) values are examined, it is seen that the assumption of normality is met. The internal consistency of the LSS (39 items) was 0.97 and the internal consistency of ETS (20 items) was calculated as 0.79.

3. Findings

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of participants

Variables		n	%	$\overline{\overline{X}}$	S
Candan	Male	100	55.5		
Gender	Female	80	45.5	55.5 45.5 20.0 17.3 31.1 31.6 22.62 2.0	
	Freshmen	36	20.0		
	Sophomore	31	17.3	_	
Year in School	Junior	56	31.1	_	
	Senior	57	31.6	_	
Age		180		22.62	2.02
Year of Sports		180		7.60	4.28

According to table 2, 55.5% of the participants are male while 45.5% are female. It was determined that 20% of the participants are freshmen, 17.3% are sophomore, 31.1% are junior, and 31.6% are Senior students. The mean age of pre-service physical education and sports teachers was 22.62 and the year of sports was determined to be 7.60.



Table 3. The t-test results for LSS and ETS by the gender variable of university students

Female	e (n=80) Male (n		Male (n=100)		n	
M	SD	M	SD	ι	p	
3.31	1.03	3.39	.94	.562	.575	
3.42	.98	3.38	.87	316	.753	
3.50	.98	3.48	.80	212	.833	
3.54	.90	3.43	1.03	800	.425	
3.40	.93	3.48	.94	.566	.572	
3.50	.98	3.45	1.00	366	.715	
3.43	.84	3.43	.85	022	.983	
3.31	.34	3.19	.28	-2.436	.016*	
	M 3.31 3.42 3.50 3.54 3.40 3.50 3.43	3.31 1.03 3.42 .98 3.50 .98 3.54 .90 3.40 .93 3.50 .98 3.43 .84	M SD M 3.31 1.03 3.39 3.42 .98 3.38 3.50 .98 3.48 3.54 .90 3.43 3.40 .93 3.48 3.50 .98 3.45 3.43 .84 3.43	M SD M SD 3.31 1.03 3.39 .94 3.42 .98 3.38 .87 3.50 .98 3.48 .80 3.54 .90 3.43 1.03 3.40 .93 3.48 .94 3.50 .98 3.45 1.00 3.43 .84 3.43 .85	M SD M SD 3.31 1.03 3.39 .94 .562 3.42 .98 3.38 .87 316 3.50 .98 3.48 .80 212 3.54 .90 3.43 1.03 800 3.40 .93 3.48 .94 .566 3.50 .98 3.45 1.00 366 3.43 .84 3.43 .85 022	

(p<0.05)*

Table 3 shows, the results of the t-test examining the effect of gender on students' leisure satisfaction and emphatic education levels. According to the results of the analysis, there was no significant difference between the gender variable and LSS and its sub-dimensions, while a significant difference was found between the gender variable and ETS [$t(_{178})$ =-2.436; p<0.05]. It is seen that female students have emphatic tendency scores of (\overline{X} =3.31) and male students (\overline{X} =3.19). This shows that female pre-service teachers have a higher empathy potential than male pre-service teachers.

Table 4. ANOVA results for LSS and ETS by the year in school variable of university students

Sub Dimensions	Year in school	$\bar{\mathrm{X}}$	N	F	P	Significant Difference
	Freshmen	3.27	36	_		
Davahalagia	Sophomore	3.30	31	520	657	
Psychologic	Junior	3.42	56	.556	.037	
	Senior	3.52	57			
	Freshmen	3.30	36 31 56 57 1.133 .337			
Education	Sophomore	3.34	31	1 122	227	
Education	Junior	3.53	56	1.133	.337	
	Senior	3.58	57	-		Difference 557 337 309
	Freshmen	3.42	36	_		
Social	Sophomore	3.48	31	222	900	
Social	Junior	3.60	56	.323	.809	
	Senior	3.54	57	Difference 538 .657 1.133 .337 323 .809 127 .944 360 .782		
	Freshmen	3.43	36	_		
Relaxation	Sophomore	3.48	31	127	044	
Keiaxation	Junior	3.49	56	.12/	.944	
	Senior	3.57	57	-		
	Freshmen	3.38	36	_		
Dhygiologia	Sophomore	3.40	31	260	792	
Physiologic	Junior	3.46	56	.300	.102	
	Senior	3.59	57	-		



	Freshmen	3.37	36			
A a a41 a4: a	Sophomore	3.41	31	0.41	472	
Aesthetic	Junior	3.66	56	.841	.473	
	Senior	3.58	57			
	Freshmen	3.36	36	_	.663	
LSS	Sophomore	3.40	31	.528		
LSS	Junior	3.52	56			
	Senior	3.56	57			
	Freshmen	3.21	36	_		
ETS	Sophomore	3.26	31	1.048	.372	
E13	Junior	3.32	56	1.048	.312	
	Senior	3.33	57	-		

Table 6 shows, the results of the ANOVA test for the year in school variable of the participants showed that there was no significant difference between the year in school variable and the LSS (p>0.05). In other words, it can be said that the year in school variable does not have a significant effect on students' leisure satisfaction. There was no significant difference between the year in school variable of participants and the ETS (p>0.05). Although it is not significant, it is seen that as the year in school the participants increases, their emphatic education and leisure satisfaction increase.

Table 5. Correlation table results for the age variable of the participants and LSS and ETS

		Psychologic	Education	Social	Relaxation	Physiologic	Aesthetic	LSS	ETS
Age	r	035	104	.026	.004	004	046	.034	.041
	p	.632	.149	.718	.959	.952	.525	.644	.576

Table 5 shows, the results of the correlation analysis performed to determine the relationship between the age variable and LSS and ETS showed no significant relationship between the age variable of the participants and LSS and ETS (p>0.05).

Table 6. Correlation results for the participants' years of sport variable and LSS and ETS

		Psychologic	Education	Social	Relaxation	Physiologic	Aesthetic	LSS	ETS
Year	r	.274**	.169*	.187*	.194**	.290**	.196**	.244**	.203**
of Sports	p	.000	.015	.012	.006	.000	.005	.000	004
(p<0.01)** (p<0.05)*									

Table 6 shows, the results of the correlation analysis conducted to determine the relationship between the year in sports of pre-service physical education and sports teachers and LSS and ETS. The analysis results revealed a positive and low-level significant relationship between the variable of the year in sports and the LSS and ETS. It can be said that as the year in sports increase, the leisure satisfaction and empathetic tendency levels of the participants who do sports increase.



Table 7. Correlation Results of LSS and ETS

	Scales		Psychologic	Education	Social	Relaxation	Physiologic	Aesthetic	LSS
	ETC	r	.310**	.325**	.308**	308** .300** .20		.214**	.372**
	ETS	p	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
_	.0.01**								

(p<0.01)**

Table 7 shows, the results of the analysis conducted to determine the relationship between the leisure satisfaction and empathic tendencies of physical education and sports teachers are presented. The analysis revealed a moderately positive and significant relationship between the mean scores of LSS and ETS. In other words, the increase in the leisure satisfaction level of the participants also increases their tendency to engage in empathetic behavior.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

This study aims to examine the relationship between university students' leisure satisfaction and emphatic tendency levels. The data collected for this purpose are discussed in line with the literature.

In our study, no significant difference was found between LSS and its sub-factors by the gender variable of the participants. Ngai (2005) and Misra & McKean (2000) found in their study that male participants had a significantly higher leisure satisfaction. However, similar to the studies by Siegenthaler & O'Dell (2000), Lu & Hu (2005), Ardahan & Yerlisu Lapa (2010), Yaşartürk et al., (2018) and Ayhan & Özel (2020), our study results showed that gender does not significantly affect leisure satisfaction. When the literature on the effects of gender on empathic tendency is examined, some studies showed no difference (Akçakaya, 2021; Yılmaz & Akyel, 2008), while others found that the difference was significant and in favor of women (Ekinci & Aybek, 2010; Mellor & Fung, 2012). Arnocky & Stroink (2010) stated that gender had a significant effect on empathy and women had higher emotional empathy. Gender roles suggest that women are raised to value and empathize more with the needs of others than men (Stern, Dietz, & Kalof, 1993). Our study results support the literature.

No significant difference was found between the year in school variable of pre-service physical education and sports teachers and LSS and its sub-dimensions. However, although it is not significant, as the year in school of the participants increases, the mean score they get from the leisure satisfaction scale increases. Similarly, Kaya (2019), in their study conducted with high school students, found that although there was no significant difference between the year in school variable and leisure satisfaction, senior year students' leisure satisfaction was higher. Kocaer & Yaşartürk (2022) found in their study that as the year in school the participants increased, the level of benefits obtained from recreational activities increased. In their study, Çavuşoğlu (2021) and Yıldırım & Latifoğlu (2020) examined the effect of year in school on leisure satisfaction, and determined that there was a significant difference between the leisure satisfaction of the freshmen participants and the leisure satisfaction of the other year in school, and that they were at a lower level. When the literature on the subject is examined, it is seen that the senior in the year in school have higher leisure satisfaction. According to



Uğurlu (2021), the loneliness levels of the university students in their first year in university were quite high and their loneliness levels decreased in the following years. Karaca & Yerlisu Lapa (2016) consider leisure activities as an effective factor for the socialization of the individual, but state that the lack of friends creates an obstacle to participation in activities. Therefore, the feeling of loneliness of students trying to gain a new social environment in the first years of university may have negatively affected their participation in activities and their leisure satisfaction. There was no significant difference between the year in school variable and empathic tendencies of the participants. It is seen that the mean scores of pre-service physical education and sports teachers obtained from the emphatic tendency scale increase as the grade level increases. Similarly, in the study conducted by Yurttaş & Yetkin (2003) with freshmen and senior university students, although no significant difference was found in empathy skills, it was found that senior students mean scores were higher. Alver (2005) found in their study that the empathy skills of the junior and senior students were at a significant level and had a higher mean score. When the literature is examined, it is seen that the students who are in their last year of university education have higher empathy skills. Findings of our study support the literature. The fact that pre-service physical education and sports teachers take courses that will improve their empathy skills during their undergraduate education process (drama in physical education, human relations and communication, drama in education) may have improved their empathy skills.

In our study, a positive and low level significant relationship was found between the year in sports of pre-service physical education and sports teachers and LSS and its sub-dimensions. Our study results are in alignment with the results of other studies conducted on leisure satisfaction. Yazgeç (2019), in their study on individuals participating in recreational activities, found that those who exercise regularly have a significantly higher leisure satisfaction. In their study conducted with physical education and sports teachers, Akay (2023) found that teachers who exercise regularly had significantly higher levels of leisure satisfaction. Lu & Hu (2005) found that individuals participating in recreational activities involving physical activity had higher levels of leisure satisfaction. In a longitudinal study examining the effect of leisure activities on leisure satisfaction, Shin & You (2013) found a positive and significant effect on leisure satisfaction of adolescents who spend their free time by participating in sports activities. Siegenthaler & O'Dell (2000), and Ahn & Song, (2021) stated that sports leisure activities increase leisure satisfaction more than other types of activities. Sports, regular exercise, and participation in physical activity are shown to have a positive effect on leisure satisfaction in the literature. Therefore, the high level of leisure satisfaction of pre-service physical education and sports teachers who have more years of sports in our study overlaps with the literature.

A positive and low level significant relationship was found between the year in sports variable of pre-service physical education and sports teachers and ETS and its sub-dimensions. Eraslan (2015) found the emphatic tendency levels of the participants who are licensed and engaged in sports activities were higher than those who did not. Yılmaz & Akyel (2008) found that the emphatic tendency levels of those who do sports professionally were higher and Gençoğlu & Namlı (2020) found that the cognitive empathy levels of university students who do sports professionally were higher. In their study on team sports and individual sports



athletes, Aslan & Çoknaz (2016) found that the emphatic tendencies of national athletes were significantly higher than other athletes and attributed the reason for this to the fact that the sports ethics and character of national athletes are established as they have been in sports for longer. There are also research results showing that sports do not have a significant effect on empathy, which do not overlap with our findings (Karabulut & Bahadır, 2013; Tozoğlu, Dursun & Şebin 2020).

In our study, no significant relationship was found between the age variable and the leisure satisfaction levels of pre-service physical education and sports teachers. Çakır (2017), Riddick (1986), Demiral (2018), Yaşartürk & Bilgin (2018) found that age did not significantly affect leisure satisfaction. Ayyıldız (2015), Broughten & Beggs (2008) found that age had a significant effect on leisure satisfaction. In their study on leisure satisfaction, Brown & Frankel (1993) found that leisure satisfaction decreased depending on age. Similarly, Yerlisu Lapa (2013) concluded that psychologic and physiologic physiologic leisure satisfaction of the participants decreased as the age increased. In our study, no significant difference was found between the age variable and emphatic tendencies of pre-service physical education and sports teachers. When the literature on the subject is examined, it has been determined that some studies did not show significant difference (Mutlu, Şentürk & Zorba, 2014; Çelik & Çağdaş, 2010; İnan & Kartal, 2018) which is aligned with our study, while there are other studies showing significant differences (Kılıç, 2005; Biçer & Başer, 2019) and that the emphatic tendency increases depending on age. Lennon & Eisenberg (1990) argue that empathy skills is most developed in adults. In our study, the reason why age did not have a significant effect on pre-service teachers' emphatic tendencies and leisure satisfaction can be attributed to the ages of participants were relatively close to each other since all participants in the sample group were students.

A positive and moderately significant relationship was found between leisure satisfaction and emphatic tendency. According to the findings, when the leisure satisfaction levels of preservice physical education and sports teachers increases, their empathy skills also increase. Koçyiğit & Özel (2018) stated that recreational activities play an important role in individuals' understanding of others, establishing empathy with them and developing communication skills, and as a result, empathic tendency levels of individuals participating in recreational activities will increase. Yiğiter (2013) found that recreational activities improve students' empathic skills. The findings of our study overlap with the literature.

As a result, it can be said that leisure activities contribute to the socialization and adaptation of individuals to society and ultimately increase the empathic tendencies of individuals. According to the findings we obtained from our study, the empathy skills of pre-service physical education and sports teachers are not sufficient and should be improved. It reveals the necessity of developing empathic tendency levels that will determine the communication of teachers with the elements of education and the quality of education.



5. Suggestions

Since empathy is a teachable skill, preparation of in-service programs for teachers is recommended. With drama technique and role playing method used in education faculties, students can be provided with the ability to look at events from someone else's perspective and to develop their understanding of the other person. Based on our study results, planning free time activities that will enable pre-service physical education and sports teachers to establish social relations can also contribute to their empathy skills.



References

- Ahn, B. W., & Song, W. I. (2021). A study of differences in leisure satisfaction of leisure activity patterns for South Korean adults. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(20), 10790. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182010790
- Akay, B., & Yaşartürk, F. (2023). Investigation of the relationship between leisure time satisfaction and self-efficacy belief of physical education teachers. *Trakya Journal of Education*, *13*(1), 318-330. https://doi.org/10.24315/tred.1032955
- Akçakaya, F. (2021). *Investigation of the sports coaches empathic tendency and cognitive flexibility levels*. Master Thesis. Erciyes University, Institute of Medical Sciences, Kayseri.
- Altunay, B. R. (2015). The motivation of free time activities participation of the teachers working in the institutions of primary education in Keçiören province of Ankara. Ankara University, Institute of Medical Sciences, Ankara.
- Alver, B. (2005). The emphatic skills and decision-making strategies of the students of the department of guidance and psychological counseling, faculty of education were studied. *Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Researches*, 14, 19-34.
- Ardahan, F., & Lapa, T. Y. (2010). An examination of leisure satisfaction level of university students with regard to gender and income. *Hacettepe Journal of Sport Sciences*, 21(4), 129-136.
- Arnocky, S., & Stroink, M. (2010). Gender differences in environmentalism: The mediating role of emotional empathy. *Current Research in Social Psychology*, 16(9), 1-14.
- Arslan, S. (2011). The use of Free time: activities of serious leisure and casual leisure. *Erzincan University Journal Education Faculty*, 13(2), 1-10.
- Aslan, S. F., & Çoknaz, H. (2016). Comparision of emphatic tendency scores of the athletes exercising team and individual sports. *Marmara University Journal of Sport Science*, *1*(1), 97-106. https://doi.org/10.22396/sbd.2016.9
- Ayhan, B., & Özel, B. (2020). Examining the relationship between leisure attitude and life satisfaction levels of university students. *International Journal of Sport Culture and Science*, 8(3), 154-166. https://doi.org/10.14486/IntJSCS.2020.605
- Ayhan, R., Akay, B., Öçalan, M., & Orhan, R. (2022). Investigation of recreation benefit levels and academic self-efficacy of university students. *Gaziantep University Journal of Sport Science*, 7(3), 258-275. https://doi.org/10.31680/gaunjss.1146884
- Ayyıldız, T. (2015). Examination of leisure satisfaction levels of individuals participating in recreative dance activities. Master Thesis. Gazi University, Institute of Medical Sciences, Ankara.
- Beard, J. G., & Ragheb, M. G. (1980). Measuring leisure satisfaction. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 12(1), 20-33. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222216.1980.11969416
- Biçer, B., & Başer, E. H. (2019). Examining predictive role of preservice teachers'empathic tendency on social entrepreneurship characteristics and factors affecting empathic



- tendencies and social entrepreneurship characteristics. *Journal of Social Sciences of Muş Alparslan University*, 7(5), 235-243. https://doi.org/10.18506/anemon.520986
- Broughten, K., & Beggs, A. (2008). Leisure satisfaction of older adults. *Activities, Adaptation & Aging, 31*(1), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1300/J016v31n01_01
- Brown, A. B., & Frankel, B. G. (1993). Activity through the years: Leisure, leisure satisfaction, and life satisfaction. *Sociology of Sport Journal*, 10(1), 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1123/ssj.10.1.1.
- Büküşoğlu, N., & Bayturan, A. F. (2005). The role of free time activities on the concept related to the psycho-social development of the youth. *Ege Journal of Medicine*, *44*(3), 173-177.
- Büyüköztürk, Ş., Çakmak, E. K., Akgün, Ö. E., Karadeniz, Ş., & Demiral, F. (2018). *Eğitimde bilimsel araştırma yöntemleri*. Ankara: Pegem Academy.
- Çakır, V. O. (2017). The relationship between university students' leisure satisfaction levels and leisure management. *Gaziantep University Journal of Sport Science*, 2(3), 17-27.
- Çavuşoğlu, G. Analysis of leisure satisfaction levels of university students [Full Texts Book]. 2. Pearson Journal International Conference on Social Sciences & Humanities, Izmir, Turkey. 28-29 June 2021; pp. 90-97.
- Çelik, E., & Çağdaş, A. (2010). A research regarding the emphatic tendencies of preschool education teachers in terms of some variables. *The Journal of Selcuk University Social Sciences Institute*, 23, 23-38.
- Çelik, G. (2011). Analyse leisure constraints and satisfaction of disabled people working in public sector (Antalya city center). Master Thesis. Akdeniz University, Social Sciences Institute, Antalya.
- Cengiz, R., & Yaşartürk, F. (2020). Examination of the relationship between fitness participants' service quality and leisure satisfaction levels in gyms. *International Journal of Contemporary Educational Studies*, 6(1), 48-62.
- Demir, Ş. Ş., Yeşiltepe, B., & Demir, M. (2013). The comparison of free time evaluation and perceptions of hotel managers and public institutions' managers. *Academic Perspective Journal*, 39, 1-17.
- Demiral, S. (2018). Examination of leisure satisfaction levels of individuals participating in outdoor recreation activities: the case of şavşat–karagöl. Master Thesis. Batman University, Social Sciences Institute, Batman.
- Dökmen, Ü. (1988). Measuring empathy based on a model and developing it with psychodrama. *Ankara University Journal of Faculty of Educational Sciences*, 62(21), 155-190. https://doi.org/10.1501/Egifak_0000000999
- Ekinci, Ö., & Aybek, B. (2010). Analysis of the empathy and the critical thinking disposition of the teacher candidates. *Elementary Education Online*, 9(2), 816-827.
- Eraslan, M. (2015). The analysis of emotional intelligence and emphatic tendencey levels of youth according to varibales of age, gender and doing sports. *Kastamonu Education Journal*, 24(4), 1839-1852.



- Findlay, L. C., Girardi, A., & Coplan, R. J. (2006). Links between empathy, social behavior, and social understanding in early childhood. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 21(3), 347-359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2006.07.009
- Gençoğlu, C., & Namlı, S. (2020). Psychological resilience and empathy levels of faculty of sports science Erzurum technical university example. *Sport Sciences*, *15*(3), 33-43. https://doi.org/10.12739/NWSA.2020.15.3.2B0125
- George, D., & Mallery, P. (2019). *IBM SPSS statistics 25 step by step: A simple guide and reference*. Routledge.
- Gürkan, R. K., Koçak, F., & Başar A. (2021). Investigation on the relationship between the leisure satisfaction and psychological well-being in disabled athletes. *International Journal of Sport, Exercise* & *Training Sciences*, 7(2), 73-83. https://doi.org/10.18826/useeabd.890800
- İnan, H., & Kartal, M. (2018). Examining of the emphatic tendency levels of amateur soccer players in terms of some variables (sample of Adıyaman province). *Journal of Institute of Economic Development and Social Researches*, 4(14), 691-696.
- Karabulut, E. O., & Bahadır, Z. (2013). Assessment of fear of negative evaluation levels and empathic tendency levels of national junior judo team. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport Sciences*, 7(2), 108-115.
- Karaca, A. A., & Lapa, T. Y. (2016). Examining of free time participation, psychological well-being and leisure negotiation of university students. *Journal of Human Sciences*, *13*(2), 3293-3304. https://doi.org/10.14687/jhs.v13i2.3778
- Karaküçük, S. (2014). Rekreasyon: Boş Zamanları Değerlendirme. Gazi Kitapevi. Ankara.
- Karlı, Ü., Polat, E., Yılmaz, B., & Koçak, S. (2008). Reliability and validity study of leisure satisfaction scale (lss-long version). *Hacettepe Journal of Sport Sciences*, 19(2), 80-91.
- Kaya, T. (2019). *Investigation of the relationship between free time satisfaction and social physical anxiety levels of karate athletes in secondary education*. Master Thesis. Bartın University, Institute of Educational Sciences, Bartın.
- Kılıç, S. (2005). Studying the emphatic skills of pre-school teachers in Istanbul in relation to some variables. Master Thesis. Marmara University, Institute of Educational Sciences, Istanbul.
- Kocaer, G., & Yaşartürk, F. (2022). Investigation of the relationship between physical education and sports teachers' attitudes towards the profession, leisure time interest and benefit levels for recreation activities (Bartın province example). *International Journal of Contemporary Educational Studies (IntJCES)*, 8(2), 608-623.
- Koçyiğit, M., & Özel, G. (2018). The relationship between socialization and empathy tendency in the context of recreational activities: a research on university students. *The Journal of Turkish Sport Science*, *1*(2), 49-57.
- Koçyiğit, M., Erdoğdu, M., Uyar, M., & Çınar, M. (2018). The effect of socialization levels of university students with recreational activities on their communication skills and



- emotional intelligence. *Gümüşhane University e-Journal of Faculty of Communication*, 6(2), 966-988. https://doi.org/10.19145/e-gifder.427422
- Kuzgun, Y. (2006). İlköğretimde rehberlik. Ankara: Nobel Yayın Dağıtım.
- Lapa, T. Y. (2013). Life satisfaction, leisure satisfaction and perceived freedom of park recreation participants. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *93*, 1985-1993. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.10.153
- Lennon, R., & Eisenberg, N. (1990). *Gender and age differences in empathy and sympathy, empathy and it's development*. New York: Cambridge University Pres.
- Lu, L., & Hu, C. (2005). Personality leisure experiences and happiness. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 6(3), 325–342. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-005-8628-3
- Mahoney, J. L. (2000). School extracurricular activity participation as a moderator in the development of antisocial patterns. *Child Development*, 71(2), 502-516. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00160
- McAvoy, L. (2001). Outdoors for everyone: Opportunities that include people with disabilities. *Parks and Recreation*, *36*(8), 24–36.
- McMahon, S. D., Wernsman, J., & Parnes A. L. (2006). Understanding prosocial behaviour: The impact of empathy and gender among African American adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *39*(1), 135–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2005.10.008
- Mellor, D., & Fung, S. W. T. (2012). Forgiveness, empathy and gender—A Malaysian perspective. *Sex roles*, 67(1), 98-107. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-012-0144-4
- Misra, R., & McKean, M. (2000). College students' academic stress and its relation to their anxiety, time management, and leisure satisfaction. *American journal of Health studies*, 16(1), 41-51.
- Mull, R. F., Bayless, K.G., Ross, C. M., & Jamieson, L. M. (1997). *Recreational sport management* (3th ed.). Human Kinetics. USA.
- Mutlu, O., Şentürk, E., & Zorba, E. (2014). Empathic tendency of university students in tennis and communication skills. *International Journal of Sport Culture and Science*, 2(1), 129-137. https://doi.org/10.14486/IJSCS85
- Ngai, V. T. (2005). Leisure satisfaction and quality of life in Macao, China. *Leisure Studies*. 24(2), 195-207. https://doi.org/10.1080/02614360412331313502
- Pala, A. (2008). A research on the levels of empathy of prospective teachers. *Pamukkale University Journal of Education*, 23(23), 13-23.
- Pearson, Q. M. (1998). Job satisfaction, leisure satisfaction, and psychological health. *The Career Development Quarterly*, 46(4), 416-426. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2161-0045.1998.tb00718.x
- Riddick, C. C. (1986). Leisure satisfaction precursors. *Journal of Leisure Research*, *18*, 259-265. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222216.1986.11969664
- Şahan, H. (2008). The role of sports activities in the socialization process of university students. KMU Journal of Social and Economic Research, 10(15), 260-278.



- Sarol, H., Gürkan, R. K., & Gürbüz, B. (2022). The road to championship: An example of an individual with autism spectrum disorder. *Baltic Journal of Health and Physical Activity*, *14*(3), 1-17. https://doi.org/10.29359/BJHPA.14.3.02
- Saygili, G., Kırıktaş, H., & Gülsoy, H. T. (2015). The level of empathic tendencies of teachers according to different varibles. *Bartın University Journal of Faculty of Education*, *4*(1), 73-82. https://doi.org/10.14686/BUEFAD.2015111016
- Sergeant, M. J., Dickins, T. E., Davies, M. N., & Griffiths, M. D. (2006). Aggression, empathy and sexual orientation in males. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 40(3), 475-486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2005.07.002
- Sevin, D., & Şen, K. (2019). The relationship between teachers' level of participation in recreation activities and life happiness, job performances. *Religious Studies*, 22(55), 213-232. https://doi.org/10.21325/jotags.2019.461
- Shin, K., & You, S. (2013). Leisure type, leisure satisfaction and adolescents' psychological wellbeing. *Journal of Pacific Rim Psychology*, 7(2), 53-62. https://doi.org/10.1017/prp.2013.6
- Siddiqi, S., & Memon, Z. A. Internet addiction impacts on time management that results in poor academic performance. International Conference on Frontiers of Information Technology (FIT). 19-21 December 2016; pp. 63-68.
- Siegenthaler, K. L., & O'Dell, I. (2000). Leisure attitude, leisure satisfaction, and perceived freedom in leisure within family dyads. *Leisure sciences*, 22(4), 281-296. https://doi.org/10.1080/01490409950202302
- Sivan, A., & H. Ruskin. (2000). Leisure education, community development and populations with special needs. New York: CABI Publishing.
- Stern, P., Dietz, T., & Kalof, L. (1993). Value orientations, gender, and environmental concern. *Environment and Behavior*, 25, 322–348. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916593255002
- Tezcan, M. (1994). Boş zamanların değerlendirilmesi sosyolojisi. Ankara: Atilla Kitabevi.
- Tozoğlu, E., Dursun, M., & Şebin, K. (2020). Investigation of empathy levels of nurses for sportive activity and different variables. *Sport Sciences*, *15*(3), 23-32. http://doi.org/10.12739/NWSA.2020.15.3.2B0124
- Tuncay, S. (2000). Psychological dimension of youth problems in Turkey. *Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Researches*, 1(1), 231–257.
- Uğurlu, A. (2021). Investigating the relationship between loneliness and alienation levels of university students according to some variables. *Akdeniz Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 4(2), 308-319. https://doi.org/10.38021/asbid.976884
- Yaşartürk, F., & Yılmaz, H. (2019). The investigation of the relationship between the business stress and the perceived freedom levels at the free time of the civil servants participated in recreational activities. *MANAS Journal of Social Studies*, 8(1), 1325-1335. https://doi.org/10.33206/mjss.499731



- Yaşartürk, F. (2013). Determination of the recreational trends of high school and university students (example of Bartın province). Master Thesis. Gazi University, Institute of Health Sciences. Ankara.
- Yaşartürk, F., & Bilgin, B. (2018). Investigation of free time satisfaction and life satisfaction levels of handball studying in university. *International Journal of Contemporary Educational Studies*, 4(2), 50-60.
- Yaşartürk, F., Akay, B., & Ayhan, B. (2021). The relationship between leisure management and exam anxiety levels of university students. *Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences*, 15(10), 2915-2921. https://doi.org/10.53350/pjmhs2115102915
- Yaşartürk, F., Akyüz, H., Karatas, I., & Türkmen, M. (2018). The relationship between free time satisfaction and stress levels of elite-level student-wrestlers. *Education Sciences*, 8(3), 133-144. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci8030133
- Yazgeç, G. (2019). Analysis of leisure satisfaction and happiness levels of individuals participating in nature and adventure recreation: Sample of Fethiye destination. Master Thesis. Manisa Celal Bayar University, Social Sciences Institute. Manisa.
- Yetim, A. (2000). Social aspects of sport. *Gazi Journal of Physical Education and Sport Sciences*, 5(1), 63-72.
- Yiğiter, K. (2013). Improving the empaty ability of university students by participating in recreational activities as group. *American Journal of Scientific Research*, 92, 45-50.
- Yıldırım, B., & Latifoğlu, G. The relationship between leisure satisfaction, life satisfaction and emotional intelligence. [Oral Presentation]. 2st International Conference on Interdisciplinary Educational Reflections, Nicosia, Cyprus. 19-20 June 2020; pp. 69-87.
- Yılmaz, İ., & Akyel, Y. (2008). Examination of empatic tendency levels of physical education candidates teacher in terms of different variables. *Ahi Evran University Journal of Kurşehir Education Faculty (JKEF)*, 9(3), 27-33.
- Yurttaş, A., & Yetkin, A. (2003). Comprasion of the problem solving abilities and empathetic abilities of the students of the school of health. *Atatürk University Journal of Nursology*, 6(1), 1-13.

