### Journal of Algebra Combinatorics Discrete Structures and Applications

# Coretractable modules relative to a submodule

Research Article

Received: 9 December 2018

Accepted: 16 April 2019

Ali Reza Moniri Hamzekolaee, Yahya Talebi

**Abstract:** Let R be a ring and M a right R-module. Let N be a proper submodule of M. We say that M is N-coretractable (or M is coretractable relative to N) provided that, for every proper submodule Kof M containing N, there is a nonzero homomorphism  $f: M/K \to M$ . We present some conditions that a module M is coretractable if and only if M is coretractable relative to a submodule N. We also provide some examples to illustrate special cases.

2010 MSC: 16D10, 16D40, 16D80

**Keywords:** Coretractable module, N-coretractable module

#### Introduction 1.

Throughout this paper R will denote an arbitrary associative ring with identity and all modules will be unitary right R-modules unless stated otherwise. Let M be an R-module. We use  $End_R(M)$ ,  $ann_{r}(M)$  (in the case M is a right R-module),  $ann_{l}(M)$  (in the case M is a left R-module) to denote the ring of endomorphisms of M, the right annihilator in R of M and the left annihilator in R of M, respectively. Let M be a module and K a submodule of M. Then K is essential in M denoted by  $K \leq_e M$ , if  $L \cap K \neq 0$  for every nonzero submodule L of M. Dually, K is small in M ( $K \ll M$ ), in case M=K+L implies that L=M. A submodule N of M is called *supplement*, if there is a submodule K of M such that M = N + K and  $N \cap K \ll N$ . A module M is called *supplemented* if every submodule of M has a supplement in M. For any unexplained terminology we refer to [3], [9] and [11].

Khuri in [5] introduced the concept of a retractable module. Let M be a module. Then M is retractable in case for every nonzero submodule N of M, there is a nonzero homomorphism  $f: M \to N$ , i.e  $Hom_R(M,N) \neq 0$ . In the literature, there are some works about retractable modules (see [6, 12, 14]). Amini, Ershad and Sharif in [2] defined a dual notation namely coretractable modules. A module M is coretractable provided that,  $Hom_R(M/N, M) \neq 0$  for every proper submodule N of M. There are also some papers whose main subject is to study and investigate coretractable modules. We refer readers to [1, 4, 13] for more information about coretractable modules.

Ali Reza Moniri Hamzekolaee (Corresponding Author), Yahya Talebi; Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran (email: a.monirih@umz.ac.ir, talebi@umz.ac.ir).

In [10], the author introduced a generalization of coretractable modules via the cosingular submodule. Following [10], a module M is called  $\overline{Z}(M)$ -coretractable in case, for every proper submodule N of M containing  $\overline{Z}(M)$ , there is a nonzero homomorphism  $f: M/N \to M$ . It is proved in [10, Theorem 2.11] that a ring R is  $\overline{Z}(R_R)$ -coretractable if and only if every finitely generated free right R-module F is Z(F)-coretractable. Also, a characterization of commutative semiperfect Kasch rings is presented via  $\overline{Z}$ -coretractablity ([10, Corollary 2.14]). Inspiring by [10], we are interested to study coretractablity of modules relative to their submodules. If in the definition of a coretractable module M, we fix a submodule N and focus just on nonzero homomorphisms from M/K to M where  $K \neq M$  contains N, we have a special generalization of coretractable modules. We may choose special submodules of a module M such as Soc(M), Rad(M) and some others. We present some necessary conditions to prove that when two concepts coretractable and coretractable relative to a submodule coincide. Among them, we show that for a small or a semisimple submodule N of M, M is coretractable if and only if M is N-coretractable. It is also shown that if M is N-coretractable and N is coretractable, then M is coretractable. For a right ideal I of R, we show that  $R_R$  is I-coretractable if and only if every simple right R-module that is annihilated by I, can be embedded in  $R_R$ . As a consequence,  $R_R$  is coretractable if and only if R is right Kasch.

## 2. Coretractable modules relative to a submodule

In this section we introduce a new generalization of coretractable modules via submodules.

Recall that a module M is coretractable, in case for every proper submodule N of M, there exists a nonzero homomorphism  $f: M/N \to M$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let M be a module and N a proper submodule of M. We say M is N-coretractable in case for every proper submodule K of M containing N, there is a nonzero homomorphism  $f: M/K \to M$ . Note that a module M is coretractable if and only if M is  $\{0\}$ -coretractable.

Let M be a module and N a proper submodule of M. It is not hard to verify that M is N-coretractable if and only if for every proper essential submodule K of M containing N, there is a nonzero homomorphism from M/K to M.

Note that if a module M is N-coretractable, then for every submodule  $T \subseteq N$ , there is a nonzero homomorphism  $g: M/T \to M$ . In fact, if M is N-coretractable, then for every submodule T of M, either contained in N or containing N, there will be a nonzero homomorphism from M/T to M.

Recall from [7], a ring R is right (left) Kasch in case every simple right (left) R-module can be embedded in  $R_R$  (R). In [2, Theorem 2.14], the authors proved that R is right Kasch if and only if  $R_R$  is coretractable.

Let R be a right Kasch ring which is not left perfect. Then by [4, Proposition 2.9], there is a right ideal I of R such that R/I is not coretractable while  $R_R$  is coretractable as R is a Kasch ring (see also [4, Example 2.10]).

- **Lemma 2.2.** (1) Let  $N, K, N_i < M$ . Let M be N-coretractable. If  $K \supseteq N$ , then M is K-coretractable. In particular, if M is  $N_i$ -coretractable for each  $i \in I$ , then M is  $(\sum_{i \in I} N_i)$ -coretractable.
- (2) Let M be N-coretractable. If  $K \leq N$  such that K contains no nonzero image of any endomorphism of M, then M/K is N/K-coretractable. In a special case, if M is N-coretractable such that for every  $f \in End(M)$ ,  $Imf \not\subseteq N$ , then M/N is coretractable (see [4, Proposition 2.11]).
- **Proof.** (1) This is straightforward.
- (2) Let T/K be a proper submodule of M/K containing N/K. Then  $N \subseteq T \subset M$ . Since M is N-coretractable, there exists a nonzero homomorphism  $g: M/T \to M$ . Now define  $h: \frac{M/K}{T/K} \to M/K$  by  $h(x+K+\frac{T}{K})=g(x+T)+K$  for every  $x\in M$ . If Imh=0, then  $Img\subseteq K$ . Now, K contains

the image of the endomorphism  $go\pi$  of M where  $\pi: M \to M/T$  is the natural epimorphism, this gives a contradiction. Therefore, M/K is N/K-coretractable.

Let R be a right Noetherian ring and M be a N-coretractable module where N is a finitely generated proper submodule of M. Then by Lemma 2.2(2), M/N is coretractable (see [4, Corollary 2.13]).

**Proposition 2.3.** Let M be a module and  $K \leq N < M$ . If M/K is N/K-coretractable and M/K can be embedded in M, then M is N-coretractable. In particular, if  $M = K \oplus K'$  and N is any submodule of M such that K' is  $(N \cap K')$ -coretractable, then M is N-coretractable.

**Proof.** Let T be a proper submodule of M containing N. Then T/K is a proper submodule of M/K containing N/K. By assumption, there is a nonzero homomorphism  $g: \frac{M/K}{T/K} \cong M/T \to M/K$ . There also exists a monomorphism  $h: M/K \to M$ . Now, the homomorphism  $hog: M/T \to M$  is the required one.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let M be a module and N < M such that M/N is coretractable. If M/N can be embedded in M, then M is N-coretractable. In particular, if M is supplemented with Rad(M) a direct summand of M, then M is Rad(M)-coretractable.

**Proof.** This is a special case of Proposition 2.3. The last part follows from the fact that for a supplemented module M, the module M/Rad(M) is coretractable since M/Rad(M) is semisimple. In this case M is Rad(M)-coretractable.

**Example 2.5.** (1) Let M be a coretractable module and N < M. Then M is N-coretractable. In particular, every cogenerator M in the category of right R-modules is coretractable relative to every N < M.

- (2) Let M be a module such that for every submodule K of M we have  $M/K \cong M$ . Then M is coretractable relative to each N < M.
- (3) Let M be a module and N < M. If every proper submodule of M containing N, is contained in a proper summand of M, then M is N-coretractable.
- (4) Let M be an uniserial module. If M is coretractable relative to a proper submodule N, then M is coretractable.

The following introduces a N-coretractable module which is not coretractable. In fact, the class of relative coretractable modules properly contains the class of coretractable modules.

**Example 2.6.** Let P be the set of all prime numbers and  $M = \prod_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}_p$  as an  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Take  $N = \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \ldots$  which is a maximal submodule of M, since  $M/N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Consider  $g : \mathbb{Z}_2 \to M$  defined by  $g(x) = (x, 0, 0, \ldots)$ . Then g is a nonzero homomorphism indicating that M is N-coretractable. Note that by  $[2, Example \ 2.9]$ , M is not a coretractable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

**Remark 2.7.** Let M be a module and N < M. If there is not a nonzero homomorphism from M/N to M, then M is not N-coretractable. For example, let M be a nonsingular module and N be a proper submodule of M such that M/N is singular. So there does not exist any nonzero homomorphism from M/N to M. Now, M is not N-coretractable (for example,  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  can not be  $n\mathbb{Z}$ -coretractable).

We shall consider some conditions under which the two concepts core tractable and N-coretractable coincide.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let M be a module and N < M. In each of the following cases M is N-coretractable if and only if M is coretractable.

- (1) N is a small submodule of M.
- (2) N is a coretractable module.

- **Proof.** (1) Let M be N-coretractable where  $N \ll M$  and K be a proper submodule of M. Since N is small in M, we have  $N+K \neq M$ . Now since M is N-coretractable, then there is a nonzero homomorphism  $f: M/(N+K) \to M$ . So that  $Hom_R(M/K, M) \neq 0$ . It follows that M is coretractable. The converse is clear.
- (2) Let K be a proper submodule of M. Then either  $K+N\neq M$  or K+N=M. If  $K+N\neq M$ , then similarly to (1) we have  $Hom_R(M/K,M)\neq 0$ . Now suppose that K+N=M. Then there is an isomorphism  $h:M/K\to N/(N\cap K)$  induced from M=N+K. Since N is coretractable, there is a nonzero homomorphism  $g:N/(N\cap K)\to N$ . Therefore,  $jogoh:M/K\to M$  is a nonzero homomorphism where  $j:N\to M$  is the inclusion.

Recall that a module M is hollow, provided every proper submodule of M is small in M.

- Corollary 2.9. (1) Let M be a hollow module and N < M. Then M is N-coretractable if and only if M is coretractable.
- (2) Let M be a finitely generated module. Then M is Rad(M)-coretractable if and only if M is coretractable.
- (3) Let N be a semisimple submodule of M. Then M is N-coretractable if and only if M is core-tractable.
  - (4) Let M be a module. Then M is Soc(M)-coretractable if and only if M is coretractable.
- Let M be a module and N a submodule of M. Following [15], N is  $\delta$ -small in M (denoted by  $N \ll_{\delta} M$ ), in case M = N + K with M/K singular implies that M = K. Note that by definitions, every small submodule of M is  $\delta$ -small in M. The sum of all  $\delta$ -small submodules of M is denoted by  $\delta(M)$ . Also  $\delta(M)$  is the reject of the class of all simple singular modules in M.
- **Proposition 2.10.** Let M be a module and N be a proper  $\delta$ -small submodule of M. Then M is N-coretractable if and only if M is coretractable.
- **Proof.** Let M be N-coretractable and K be a proper submodule of M. Suppose that  $M \neq N+K$ . Since M is N-coretractable, there is a nonzero homomorphism  $f: M/(N+K) \to M$ . So that  $fo\pi: M/K \to M$  is the required homomorphism where  $\pi: M/K \to M/(N+K)$  is natural epimorphism. Otherwise, M=N+K. Now from [15, Lemma 1.2], there is a decomposition  $M=Y \oplus K$  where Y is a semisimple projective submodule of N. Therefore, there is a monomorphism from M/K to M since K is a direct summand of M. It follows that M is coretractable.
- **Proposition 2.11.** Let M be a module and N be a proper submodule of M. If M is N-coretractable and M/N has a maximal submodule, then  $Soc(M) \neq 0$ . In particular, if M is finitely generated and N-coretractable, then  $Soc(M) \neq 0$ .
- **Proof.** Let K/N be a maximal submodule of M/N. Then K is a maximal submodule of M. So there is a nonzero homomorphism  $h: M/K \to M$ . It follows that Imh is a simple submodule of M. This completes the proof.

The following is an immediate consequence of last proposition.

Corollary 2.12. Let R be a ring such that every cyclic right R-module is coretractable relative to at least one of its submodules. Then R is semi-Artinian.

Let R be a ring. Then R is called a right V-ring in case every simple right R-module is injective. As a generalization of V-rings, R is a right generalized V-ring (GV-ring for short), if every simple singular right R-module is injective ([11]).

**Proposition 2.13.** Let R be a ring and M be an indecomposable right R-module with  $Rad(M) \neq M$ . If each of the following statements holds, then M is Rad(M)-coretractable if and only if M is simple.

- (1) R is a right GV-ring.
- (2) M is noncosingular.
- **Proof.** (1) Let M be Rad(M)-coretractable. Then for each maximal submodule K of M there is a monomorphism  $g: M/K \to M$ . It follows that Img is a simple submodule of M. Then Img is either singular or projective. If Img is projective, then K is a direct summand of M and hence K=0 or K=M. So that K=0. If Img is singular, it will be injective as R is right GV. Therefore, Img is a summand of M and since  $g \neq 0$  we conclude that Img=M. In both cases, M is simple. The converse is obvious.
  - (2) It follows from (1) and the fact that every homomorphic image of M is noncosingular.  $\Box$

Corollary 2.14. Let R be a right V-ring and M an indecomposable right R-module. Then M is coretractable if and only if M is simple.

Following [8], a module M is dual Rickart provided that for every  $f \in End(M)$ , Imf is a direct summand of M.

**Remark 2.15.** Let M be an indecomposable dual Rickart module with  $Rad(M) \neq M$ . Then M is (Rad(M)-)-coretractable if and only if M is simple. Let K be a maximal submodule of M. Then there is a monomorphism  $g: M/K \to M$ . Consider the endomorphism  $h = go\pi: M \to M$  where  $\pi: M \to M/K$  is the natural epimorphism. Then Imh = Img is a summand of M. So Img = M as M is indecomposable. It follows that M is simple.

**Proposition 2.16.** Let M be a module and L a proper submodule of M such that L has a supplement K in M. If M is L-coretractable and K is fully invariant in M, then K is coretractable.

**Proof.** Let K be a supplement of L in M. Then M=K+L and  $K\cap L\ll K$ . Let N be a proper submodule of K. Then N+L is a proper submodule of M. For if, N+L=M, by modular law  $N+(K\cap L)=K$ , which implies that N=K, a contradiction. Since M is L-coretractable, there is a nonzero homomorphism  $f:M/(N+L)\to M$ . Since K is a fully invariant submodule of M, we have  $f\circ\pi(K)\subseteq K$  where  $\pi:M\to M/(N+L)$  is the natural epimorphism. Now consider  $h:K/N\to K$  by h(x+N)=f(x+N+L) for every  $x\in K$ . It is not hard to verify that h is well-defined. Now, there is  $y\in M$  such that  $y\notin N+L$  and  $f(y+N+L)\neq 0$ . Now there exists  $k\in K$  and  $l\in L$  such that y=k+l. It is easy to see that  $h(k+N)=f(k+l+N+L)=f(k+L)\neq 0$ . It follows that h is nonzero.  $\square$ 

Corollary 2.17. ([2, Proposition 2.5]) Every fully invariant direct summand of a coretractable module is coretractable.

Let M be a module. Then M is called a duo module provided every submodule of M is fully invariant.

Corollary 2.18. Let M be a duo module. If M is coretractable relative to each direct summand of M, then every direct summand of M is coretractable.

**Proposition 2.19.** Let  $M = M_1 \oplus ... \oplus M_n$  and N < M. If each  $M_i$  is  $N \cap M_i$ -coretractable, then M is N-coretractable. Especially a finite direct sum of coretractable modules is coretractable.

| Proof. | The proof is exactly | similar to proof of [2] | Proposition 2.6]. |  |
|--------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
|--------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|

**Proposition 2.20.** Let R be a right max ring and  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  be a direct sum of  $N \cap M_i$ -coretractable right R-modules where N < M. Then M is N-coretractable. In particular, an arbitrary direct sum of coretractable right R-modules is coretractable.

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of [2, Proposition 2.7].  $\Box$ 

Let M be an R-module. A submodule K of M is said to be dense in M if, for any  $y \in M$  and  $0 \neq x \in M$ , there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $xr \neq 0$  and  $yr \in K$ . Obviously, any dense submodule of M is essential in M. From [7, Proposition 8.6], K is dense in M if and only if  $Hom_R(P/K, M) = 0$  for every submodule  $P \supseteq K$ .

**Remark 2.21.** Let M be a module and N < M. If N is dense in M, then M is not N-coretractable. In fact for a N-coretractable module M, we have N is not dense in M. This follows from the fact that if M is N-coretractable, then there is a nonzero homomorphism from M/N to M.

**Proposition 2.22.** Let M be a module and N a proper submodule of M. If M is quasi-injective or every proper submodule of M is contained in a maximal submodule, then M is N-coretractable if and only if every proper submodule of M containing N is not dense in M.

- **Proof.** (1) Let M be a quasi-injective module such that every proper submodule of M containing N is not dense in M. Suppose that K is a proper submodule of M containing N. Since K is not dense in M, there is a  $f: P/K \to M$  where P is a submodule of M containing K. It follows that  $fo\pi: P \to M$  is a nonzero homomorphism where  $\pi: P \to P/K$  is the natural epimorphism. Consider the inclusion homomorphism  $j: P \to M$ . Since M is quasi-injective, there exists  $h: M \to M$  such that  $hoj = fo\pi$ . By defining  $\overline{h}: M/K \to M$  with  $\overline{h}(m+K) = h(m)$  we conclude that M is N-coretractable. Note that  $\overline{h}$  is nonzero. Conversely, if M is N-coretractable and  $N \subseteq K < M$ , then there is a homomorphism  $g: M/K \to M$  which shows that K is not dense in M.
- (2) Suppose that every submodule of M is contained in a maximal submodule of M. Let  $N \subseteq K < M$ . Then there is a maximal submodule L of M such that  $K \le L$ . Since L is not dense in M, there is a nonzero homomorphism  $h: M/L \to M$ . As  $f: M/K \to M/L$  with f(x+K) = x+L is a nonzero homomorphism, then hof is nonzero. It follows that M is N-coretractable. The converse is the same as (1).

The following presents a characterization of I-coretractable rings.

**Theorem 2.23.** Let R be a ring and I be a proper right ideal of R. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $R_R$  is *I-coretractable*;
- (2) Every n-generated free right R-module is  $I^{(n)}$ -coretractable;
- (3) For every right ideal  $T \supseteq I$ ,  $ann_l(T) \neq 0$ .

**Proof.**  $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2)$  Follows from Proposition 2.19.

- $(1)\Rightarrow (3)$  Let T be a right ideal of R containing I. Since  $R_R$  is I-coretractable, there is a nonzero homomorphism  $f:R/T\to R$ . Consider the endomorphism  $g=fo\pi:R\to R$  where  $\pi$  is the natural epimorphism from R to R/T. Then there is an element  $0\neq a\in R$  such that g(x)=ax. Let  $y\in T$ . Then g(y)=ay=0 as  $T\subseteq Kerg$ . This shows that  $0\neq a\in ann_l(T)$ .
- (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let T be a right ideal of R containing I. Since  $ann_l(T) \neq 0$ , there exists an element of R such as a that aT = 0 and  $a \neq 0$ . Define  $f: R/T \to R$  by f(x+T) = ax. It is easy to check that f is an R-homomorphism and in particular  $f \neq 0$ .
- Remark 2.24. Let R be a ring and  $I \leq R_R$  with  $ann_l(I) = 0$ . Then  $R_R$  is not I-coretractable. For example, let  $R = \begin{bmatrix} K & K \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}$  be the ring of  $2 \times 2$  upper triangular matrices over a field K. Let  $I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & K \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}$  which is a right ideal of R. Then  $ann_l(I) = 0$ . Hence,  $R_R$  is not I-coretractable. In other words, R/J(R) is coretractable relative to each of its ideals as R/J(R) is a semisimple ring. Note that  $J(R) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & K \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Theorem 2.25.** Let R be a ring and I be a proper two-sided ideal of R. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $R_R$  is *I-coretractable*;
- (2) Every simple right R-module that is annihilated by I can be embedded in R<sub>R</sub>.

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $M \cong R/K$  be a simple right R-module such that MI = 0. It follows that  $I \subseteq K$ . Since  $R_R$  is I-coretractable, there is a nonzero homomorphism  $f: R/K \to R$ .

 $(2)\Rightarrow (1)$  Let T be a right ideal of R containing I. Now there exists a right maximal ideal K of R such that  $I\subseteq T\subseteq K$ . Consider the simple right R-module M=R/K. Since MI=0, there is a nonzero homomorphism  $g:R/K\to R$  by assumption. As T is a submodule of K, there exists  $f:R/T\to R/K$  defined by f(x+T)=x+K. Hence gof is the desired homomorphism.  $\square$ 

For a ring R, Theorem 2.25 implies that  $R_R$  is (J(R)-)coretractable if and only if R is a right Kasch ring.

In [2, Proposition 4.4], it is shown that if R is a von Neumann regular ring then R is right Kasch if and only if R is semisimple. In the following we shall investigate a more general version.

**Proposition 2.26.** Let R be a right GV-ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is right Kasch;
- (2) R is semisimple.

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let R be right Kasch. So  $R_R$  is J(R)-coretractable. Now suppose that K is an arbitrary maximal right ideal of R. Then there is a monomorphism  $g: R/K \to R$ . It follows that  $R/K \cong Img$  is a simple right R-module. So, Img is either singular or projective. In first case Img should be injective as R is right GV. Therefore, Img is a direct summand of  $R_R$ . Now Img is singular projective which implies that Img = 0, a contradiction. So that Img and hence every simple right R-module will be projective. This shows that R is semisimple.

$$(2) \Rightarrow (1)$$
 It is obvious.

Corollary 2.27. Let R be a right V-ring. Then R is a Kasch ring if and only if R is semisimple.

**Example 2.28.** (1) Let  $R = \begin{bmatrix} K & K \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}$  where K is a field. Then  $J(R) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & K \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . It is easy to check that R is a semilocal ring as  $R/J(R) \cong K \times K$  which is a semisimple ring. Now by [3], Exercise 10, Page 113],  $Soc(RR) = \begin{bmatrix} K & K \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . However,  $Soc(R_R) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & K \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}$ . Set  $m_1 = Soc(R_R)$  and  $m_2 = Soc(R_R)$ . Then both  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are maximal left and right ideals of R. A quick calculation shows that  $ann_l(m_1) = m_2$ ,  $ann_l(m_2) = 0$ ,  $ann_r(m_1) = 0$  and  $ann_r(m_2) = m_1$ . Now by Theorem 2.23,  $R_R$  is  $m_1$ -coretractable while  $R_R$  is not  $m_2$ -coretractable. Also left version of Theorem 2.23, implies that  $R_R$  is  $m_2$ -coretractable but it is not  $m_1$ -coretractable. Since the simple right R-module  $R/m_2$  can not be embedded in  $R_R$  and the simple left R-module  $R/m_1$  can not be embedded in  $R_R$ , the ring R is neither right Kasch nor left Kasch (note that since R is right GV which is not a V-ring, it can not be Kasch from Proposition 2.26).

 $R \mid a=0=e\}$ ,  $Soc(R_R)=ann_l(J(R))=\{A\in R\mid a=0\}$ ,  $Soc(_RR)=ann_r(J(R))=J(R)$ . Since  $R/J(R)\cong K\times K$ , R is a semilocal ring. Now  $Soc(R_R)=\{A\in R\mid a=0\}$  and  $Soc(_RR)=J(R)$ . From [7, Example 8.29],  $Soc(R_R)$  is a left and right maximal ideal of R. Since  $ann_r(Soc(R_R))=\{A\in R\mid a=e=0\}=J(R)\neq 0$ , it follows from [7, Corollary 8.28],  $R/Soc(R_R)$  can be embedded in  $_RR$  (see also Theorem 2.23). Therefore,  $_RR$  is  $Soc(R_R)$ -coretractable while  $_RR$  is not  $Soc(_RR)$ -coretractable (see also Corollary 2.9). Now an easy computation shows that  $ann_l(Soc(R_R))=\{A\in R\mid a=c=d=e=0\}\neq 0$ . So  $R/Soc(R_R)$  can be embedded in  $R_R$  by [7, Corollary 8.28]. As  $Soc(R_R)$  is a maximal right ideal of R, then  $R_R$  is  $Soc(R_R)$ -coretractable. Also from [7, Example 8.29], R is a right Kasch ring while it is not a left Kasch ring.

(3) Let K be a field and  $R = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} K$ . It is well-known that R is a Von Neumann regular V-ring. Consider the ideal  $T_i = K \times K \times \ldots \times K \times 0 \times K \times K \times \ldots$ . It is clear that  $T_i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  is a maximal

ideal of R. It is easy to see that  $ann(T_i) = 0 \times 0 \times \ldots \times 0 \times K \times 0 \times \ldots$  which is nonzero. Therefore, from Theorem 2.23, R is I-coretractable for each  $I \subseteq T_i$ . Now consider the ideal  $L = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} K$  of R. Then ann(L) = 0 and of course ann(m) = 0 for every maximal ideal m of R containing L. Hence the simple R-module R/m can not be embedded in R (see [7, Corollary 8.28]). Therefore, R is not coretractable relative to L. This means that R is not a Kasch ring.

**Proposition 2.29.** Let R be a ring and I a right ideal of R such that every free right R-module  $R^{(A)}$  is  $(I^{(A)})$ -coretractable. Then for every right R-module M with  $I \subseteq ann_r(M)$ ,  $Hom_R(M,R) \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** Let M be a right R-module such that  $I \subseteq ann_r(M)$ . Then there is a free right R-module F and a submodule K of F such that  $M \cong F/K$ . Since MI = 0, we have  $I^{(A)} \subseteq K$  where A is an indexed set. By assumption, there is a nonzero homomorphism  $f: F/K \to F$ . Then the homomorphism  $\pi \circ f: M \to R$  is the required one where  $\pi: F \to R$  is the natural epimorphism.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 2.30.** Let R be a ring having a radical right R-module M with  $MI \neq M$  where  $I \leq R_R$ . If for every right ideal T of R,  $Rad(T) \neq T$ , then there is a free right R-module  $R^{(A)}$  which is not  $I^{(A)}$ -coretractable.

**Proof.** Let Rad(M) = M such that MI is a proper submodule of M. There exists a free right R-module  $F = R^{(A)}$  and a submodule K of F such that  $M/MI \cong F/K$ . Being M radical implies that M/MI is radical. So,  $Hom_R(M/MI, R) = 0$ . Since (F/K)I = 0,  $I^{(A)} \subseteq K$ . It follows that  $Hom_R(F/K, F) = 0$  which implies F is not  $I^{(A)}$ -coretractable.

**Proposition 2.31.** Let R be a right max ring and  $I \leq R_R$  such that every cyclic R-module N is NI-coretractable. Then every right R-module M is MI-coretractable. In particular, if R is a (semiperfect) right perfect ring with all cyclic right R-modules coretractable, then every (finitely generated) right R-module is coretractable.

**Proof.** Let M be a right R-module. Suppose that K is a proper submodule of M containing MI. Since R is a right max ring, K is contained in a maximal submodule L of M. For every  $x \in M \setminus L$ , we know  $M/L \cong xR/(xR \cap L)$  as xR + L = M. Note that  $MI \subseteq L$ . So that  $(xR/(xR \cap L))I = 0$ . It follows that  $(xR)I \subseteq xR \cap L$ . Being xR a (xR)I-coretractable module implies that  $Hom_R(xR/(xR \cap L), xR) \neq 0$ . Hence there is a nonzero homomorphism  $f: M/L \to M$ . Therefore,  $Hom_R(M/K, M) \neq 0$  as  $K \subseteq L$ .  $\square$ 

### References

- [1] A. N. Abyzov, A. A. Tuganbaev, Retractable and coretractable modules, J. Math. Sci. 213(2) (2016) 132–142.
- [2] B. Amini, M. Ershad, H. Sharif, Coretractable modules, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 86(3) (2009) 289–304.
- [3] F. W. Anderson, K. R. Fuller, Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer-Verlog, New York, 1992.
- [4] N. O. Ertaş, D. K. Tütüncü, R. Tribak, A variation of coretractable modules, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 41(3) (2018) 1275–1291.
- [5] S. M. Khuri, Endomorphism rings and lattice isomorphisms, J. Algebra 56(2) (1979) 401–408.
- [6] S. M. Khuri, Nonsingular retractable modules and their endomorphism rings, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 43(1) (1991) 63–71.
- [7] T. Y. Lam, Lectures on Modules and Rings, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [8] G. Lee, S. T. Rizvi, C. S. Roman, Dual Rickart modules, Comm. Algebra 39(11) (2011) 4036-4058.
- [9] S. H. Mohamed, B. J. Müller, Continuous and Discrete Modules, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 147, Cambridge, University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [10] A. R. M. Hamzekolaee, A generalization of coretractable modules, J. Algebraic Syst. 5(2) (2017) 163–176.

- [11] R. Wisbauer, Foundations of Module and Ring Theory, Gordon and Breach, Philadelphia, 1991.
- [12] J. M. Zelmanowitz, Correspondences of closed submodules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124(10) (1996) 2955–2960.
- [13] J. Žemlička, Completely coretractable rings, Bull. Iranian Math. 39(3) (2013) 523–528.
- [14] Z. Zhengping, A lattice isomorphism theorem for nonsingular retractable modules, Canad. Math. Bull. 37(1) (1994) 140–144.
- [15] Y. Zhou, Generalizations of perfect, semiperfect, and semiregular rings, Algebra Colloq. 7(3) (2000) 305-318.