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#### Abstract

This paper studies the equivalence problem for cyclic codes of length $p^{r}$ and quasi-cyclic codes of length $p^{r} l$. In particular, we generalize the results of Huffman, Job, and Pless (J. Combin. Theory. A, 62, 183-215, 1993), who considered the special case $p^{2}$. This is achieved by explicitly giving the permutations by which two cyclic codes of prime power length are equivalent. This allows us to obtain an algorithm which solves the problem of equivalency for cyclic codes of length $p^{r}$ in polynomial time. Further, we characterize the set by which two quasi-cyclic codes of length $p^{r} l$ can be equivalent, and prove that the affine group is one of its subsets.
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## 1. Introduction

The equivalence problem for codes has many practical applications such as code-based cryptography $[8,9,12,13]$. As a consequence, this problem has received considerable attention in the literature $[2,3,6,11,12]$. However, progress in obtaining results has been slow. Brand ([3]) characterized the set of permutations by which two combinatorial cyclic objects on $p^{r}$ elements are equivalent. Using these results, Huffman et al. ([6]) explicitly gave this set for the case $p^{2}$, and constructed algorithms to find the equivalence between cyclic objects and extended cyclic objects. In [6], a negative answer was given to the generalization of their results to the case $p^{r}, r>2$. This is due to the fact that the permutations of Brand that are crucial to the proofs do not generate a Sylow subgroup of $S_{p^{r}}$. Babai et al. ([2]) gave an exponential time algorithm for determining the equivalence of codes. Sendrier ([11]) proposed the support splitting algorithm to solve the problem of code equivalence in the binary case. However, in [12]

[^0]the authors showed that extending the support splitting algorithm to $q \geq 5$ results in an exponential growth in complexity, which makes this approach impractical.

In this paper, we study the equivalence problem for cyclic codes of length $p^{r}$ and quasi-cyclic codes of length $p^{r} l$ over finite fields. We generalize the results of [6] (which are only for the special case $p^{2}$ ), by explicitly giving the permutations by which two cyclic codes of prime power length are equivalent. Further, the set of Brand is extended to the class of quasi-cyclic codes of length $p^{r} l$.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminary results are presented. Section 3 considers the equivalence of cyclic codes, in particular cyclic codes of length $p^{r}$. Then in Section 4, the equivalence of quasi-cyclic codes of length $p^{r} l$ is investigated.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $C$ be a linear code of length $n$ over the finite field of $q$ elements, $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and $\sigma$ a permutation of the symmetric group $S_{n}$, acting on $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. For a code $C$, we associate another linear code $\sigma(C)$ defined by

$$
\sigma(C)=\left\{\left(x_{\sigma^{-1}(0)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(n-1)}\right) ;\left(x_{0}, \ldots x_{n-1}\right) \in C\right\}
$$

We say that the codes $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are permutation equivalent if there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$ such that $C^{\prime}=\sigma(C)$. The automorphism group of $C$ is the subgroup of $S_{n}$ given by

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(C)=\left\{\sigma \in S_{n} ; \sigma(C)=C\right\}
$$

A linear code $C$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is called quasi-cyclic of index $l$ or an $l$-quasi-cyclic code if its automorphism group contains the permutation $T^{l}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{l}: \mathbb{Z}_{n} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n}  \tag{1}\\
i & \longmapsto i+l \bmod n,
\end{align*}
$$

where $T: i \mapsto i+1$ is the cyclic shift. This definition is equivalent to saying that for all $c \in C$ we have $T^{l}(c) \in C$. The index $l$ of $C$ is the smallest integer satisfying this property. It can easily be proven that $l$ is a divisor of $n$. In the case $l=1$, the code is called a cyclic code. This is a code with an automorphism group that contains the cyclic shift $T$.

## 3. Equivalence of cyclic codes

In this section, we consider the permutation equivalence of cyclic codes. Later we will show that there is a very close link between the equivalence of some quasi-cyclic codes and cyclic codes. This provides further motivation to study the equivalence of cyclic codes.

We begin with some well known results. Let $n$ be a positive integer. The set of permutations $A G(n)=\left\{\tau_{a, b}: a \neq 0,(a, n)=1, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$ is the subgroup of $S_{n}$ formed by the permutations defined as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{a, b}: \mathbb{Z}_{n} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n} \\
x & \longmapsto(a x+b) \bmod n . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The group $A G(n)$ is called the group of affine transformations. The affine transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{a}=\tau_{a, 0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are called multipliers. The affine group $A G L(1, p)$ is the group of affine transformations over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
For $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$, the generalized multiplier $\mu_{d} \in S_{p^{2}}$ was defined in [6] as follows. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{2}}$ and $k=i+j p$ for some $0 \leq i, j \leq p-1$, so that $k \mu_{d}=(i d) \bmod p+p j$. Then from Palfy ([10]) and Alspach and Parson ([1]), we have the following results.

Theorem 3.1. [6, Theorem 1] Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ be cyclic codes of length $n$ over a finite field. Suppose one of the following holds for $n$ :
(i) $\operatorname{gcd}(n, \phi(n))=1$ or $n=4$, or
(ii) $n=p r, p>r$ are primes and the $p$-Sylow subgroup of the automorphism group of $C$ has order $p$.

Then $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are equivalent by a multiplier.
In the case $n=p^{2}$, Huffman et al. ([6]) gave the following result.
Theorem 3.2. [6, Theorem 3.1] Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ be cyclic codes of length $p^{2}$ with $p$ an odd prime, where $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(C)$ and $T \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. Then if $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are equivalent, they are equivalent by multiplier or a generalized multiplier times a multiplier.

For $n=p^{r}, r>2$ the equivalence of cyclic codes of length $n$ is very complex, but in the next section this problem is partially solved.

### 3.1. Equivalence of cyclic codes of length $p^{r}$

Let $C$ be a cyclic code of length $p^{r}, p$ an odd prime and $r>1$. Further let $T$ be the cyclic shift modulo $p^{r}$ and $P$ a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$. The following subset of $S_{p^{r}}$ was introduced by Brand ([3])

$$
H(P)=\left\{\sigma \in S_{p^{r}} \mid \sigma^{-1} T \sigma \in P\right\}
$$

The set $H(P)$ is well defined since $\langle T\rangle$ is a subgroup of $A u t(C)$ of order $p^{r}$, so it is a $p$-group of $A u t(C)$. From Sylow's Theorem, there exists a $p$-Sylow subgroup $P$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ such that $\langle T\rangle \leq P$. Furthermore, in some cases the set $H(P)$ is a group.

Lemma 3.3. [3, Lemma 3.1] Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ be cyclic codes of length $p^{r}$, and $P$ be a p-Sylow subgroup of Aut $(C)$ which contains $T$. Then $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are equivalent if and only if $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are equivalent by an element of $H(P)$.

Lemma 3.3 shows the importance of having information on the $p$-Sylow subgroup of $A u t(C)$. The following results provide some of this information.

Proposition 3.4. [4, Proposition 9] Let $C$ be a cyclic code of length $p^{r}$ with $r>1$, and $M_{q}$ be the multiplier defined by $M_{q}(i)=i q \bmod p^{r}$. Then the group Aut $(C)$ contains the subgroup $K=\left\langle T, M_{q}\right\rangle$ of order $p^{r} \operatorname{ord}_{p^{r}}(q)$. Let $p^{l}, l \geq r$, be the p-part of the order of $K$. Then a $p$-Sylow subgroup $P$ of $A u t(C)$ has order $p^{s}$ such that

$$
l \leq s \leq p^{r-1}+p^{r-2}+\cdots+1
$$

Now we define the sets of Brand. Let $p$ be an odd prime. For $n<p$, we define the following subsets of $S_{p^{r}}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q^{n}=\left\{f: \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}} \mid f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} x^{i}, a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}} \text { for each } i,\left(p, a_{1}\right)=1,\right. \\
\text { and } \left.p^{r-1} \text { divides } a_{i} \text { for } i=2,3, \ldots, n\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
Q_{1}^{n}=\left\{f \in Q^{n} \mid f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} x^{i}, \text { with } a_{1} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{r-1}\right\}
$$

The sets $Q^{n}$ and $Q_{1}^{n}$ are subgroups of $S_{p^{r}}\left[3\right.$, Lemma 2.1]. Note that $Q^{1}=A G\left(p^{r}\right)$.
Lemma 3.5. Let $C$ be a cyclic code of length $p^{r}$ where $p$ is odd and $m>1$. Let $P$ be a p-Sylow subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ which contains $T$. If $1 \leq n<p$, then:
(i) $\left|Q^{n}\right|=(p-1) p^{2 r+n-2}$ and $\left|Q_{1}^{n}\right|=p^{r+n}$,
(ii) $A G\left(p^{r}\right)=N_{S_{p^{r}}}(\langle T\rangle) \subset H(P)$,
(iii) $Q^{n+1}=H\left(Q_{1}^{n}\right)$,
(iv) $N_{S_{p^{r}}}\left(Q_{1}^{n}\right)=Q^{n+1}$.

Proof. For part (i), from [3, Lemma 3.2] we have the map $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \longrightarrow f$ where $f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} x^{i}$ is injective if $n<p-1$. Thus in $Q^{n}$, the coefficient $a_{0}$ can take $p^{r}$ different values, and $a_{1}$ can take $p^{r-1}(p-1)$ values. For $2 \leq i \leq n, a_{i}$ can take $p$ values. From these results we have $\left|Q^{n}\right|=p^{2 r+n-2}(p-1)$. For $Q_{1}^{n}$, the coefficient of $a_{0}$ can take $p^{r}$ different values, and $a_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ can take $p$ values, so that $\left|Q_{1}^{n}\right|=p^{r+n}$.

Now we prove that $A G\left(p^{r}\right)=N_{S_{p^{r}}}(\langle T\rangle)$. Let $\sigma$ be an element of $N_{S_{p^{r}}}(\langle T\rangle)$. Then there is a $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\sigma T \sigma^{-1}=T^{j}$, or equivalently $\sigma T=T^{j} \sigma$. Hence $\sigma T(0)=\sigma(1)=T^{j} \sigma(0)=\sigma(0)+j$ and $\sigma T(1)=\sigma(1)+j=\sigma(0)+2 j$, so that $\sigma(k)=\sigma(0)+k j$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. Then $(j, n)=1$ follows from the fact that the order of $T$ equals the order of $T^{j}$. The last inclusion is obvious.

Part (iii) follows from [3, Lemma 3.7].
For part (iv), we begin with the $\leq$ condition. Let $h \in N_{S_{p^{r}}}\left(Q_{1}^{n}\right)$ and $g=h^{-1} T h$. As $T \in Q_{1}^{n}$, it must be that $g \in Q_{1}^{n}$. Since the order of $g$ is equal to the order of $T$ (which is $p^{r}$ ), from [3, Lemma 3.6] there exists $f \in Q^{n+1}$ such that $f^{-1} g f=T$, so then $f^{-1} h^{-1} T h f=T$. The only elements of $S_{p^{r}}$ which commute with $T$ (a complete cycle of length $p^{r}$ ), are the powers of $T$. Thus $h f=T^{j}$ for some $j$. Since $Q^{n+1}$ is a subgroup of $S_{p^{r}}$ and $\langle T\rangle \leq Q^{n+1}, h \in Q^{n+1}$, and hence $N_{S_{p^{r}}}\left(Q_{1}^{n}\right) \leq Q^{n+1}$.

Now consider the $\geq$ condition. Let $g \in Q^{n+1}$ where $g(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} g_{i} x^{i}$ with $p \nmid g_{1}$ and $p^{r-1} \mid g_{i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Further, let $h \in Q_{1}^{n}$, where $h(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} h_{i} x^{i}$ with $h_{1} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{r-1}$ and $p^{r-1} \mid h_{i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. We have

$$
h g(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} h_{i}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} g_{j} x^{j}\right)^{i}=h_{0}+h_{1} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} g_{j} x^{j}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} h_{i}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} g_{j} x^{j}\right)^{i}
$$

Since $p^{r-1} \mid h_{i}$, for $i \geq 2$ and $p^{r-1} \mid g_{j}$ for $j \geq 2$, any terms in $\sum_{i=2}^{n} h_{i}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} g_{j} x^{j}\right)^{i}$ involving $g_{j}$ for $j \geq 2$ vanish modulo $p^{r}$, so that

$$
h g(x)=h_{0}+h_{1} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} g_{j} x^{j}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} h_{i}\left(g_{0}+g_{1} x\right)^{i} .
$$

By [3, Lemma 2.1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{-1}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_{i} x^{i}, \text { with } b_{1}=g_{1}^{-1} \text { and } b_{i}=-g_{i} g_{1}^{-(i+1)} \text { for } 2 \leq j \leq n+1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now determine $g^{-1} h g$ in order to prove that it is in $Q_{1}^{n}$. This is given by

$$
g^{-1} h g(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} b_{k}\left(h_{0}+h_{1} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} g_{j} x^{j}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} h_{i}\left(g_{0}+g_{1} x\right)^{i}-g_{0}\right)^{k}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & b_{1}\left(h_{0}+h_{1} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} g_{j} x^{j}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} h_{i}\left(g_{0}+g_{1} x\right)^{i}-g_{0}\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=2}^{n+1} b_{k}\left(h_{0}+h_{1} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} g_{j} x^{j}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} h_{i}\left(g_{0}+g_{1} x\right)^{i}-g_{0}\right)^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $p^{r-1} \mid g_{j}$ for $j \geq 2$, hence $p^{r-1} \mid b_{k}$ for $k \geq 2$. Furthermore, we have $p^{r-1} \mid h_{i}$ for $i \geq 2$, and thus

$$
g^{-1} h g(x)=b_{1}\left(h_{0}+h_{1} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} g_{j} x^{j}+\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} h_{i}\left(g_{0}+g_{1} x\right)^{i}-g_{0}\right)+\sum_{k=2}^{n+1} b_{k}\left(h_{0}+h_{1}\left(g_{0}+g_{1} x\right)-g_{0}\right)^{k}
$$

Let $g^{-1} h g(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{n+1} c_{r} x^{r}$, and note that $c_{n+1}=b_{1} h_{1} g_{n+1}+b_{n+1}\left(h_{1} g_{1}\right) n+1$. Then replacing the $b_{i}$ with their values from (4), we obtain

$$
c_{n+1}=g_{1}^{-1} h_{1} g_{n+1}-g_{n+1} g_{1}^{-(n+2)} h_{1}^{n+1} g_{1}^{n+1}=g_{1}^{-1} h_{1}\left(g_{n+1}-g_{n+1} h_{1}^{n}\right)
$$

As $h_{1} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{r-1}$, we have that $h_{1}^{n} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{r-1}$. In addition, as $p^{r-1} \mid g_{n+1}$, it must be that $g_{n+1} h_{1}^{n} \equiv$ $g_{n+1} \bmod p^{r}$. Therefore, $c_{n+1}=0$, and $p^{r-1} \mid c_{i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Then we only need to show that $c_{1} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{r-1}$. As $g_{j} \equiv 0 \bmod p^{r-1}$ for $j \geq 2, h_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod p^{r-1}$ for $i \geq 2$, and $b_{k} \equiv 0 \bmod p^{r-1}$ for $k \geq 2$, so then $c_{1} \equiv b_{1} h_{1} g_{1} \bmod p^{r-1}$. Finally, since $b_{1}=g_{1}^{-1}$, we have that $c_{1} \equiv h_{1} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{r-1}$.

Next, we require the following theorems which characterize some $p$ subgroups of $S_{p^{r}}$.
Theorem 3.6. [4, Theorem 10] Let $n$ be a positive integer less than $p-1$. If $P$ is a $p$ subgroup of $S_{p^{r}}$ with $Q_{1}^{n} \lesseqgtr P \leq Q^{n+1}$, then $P=Q_{1}^{n+1}$. Further, the group $Q_{1}^{1}$ is a normal subgroup of $Q^{1}$ and is the unique $p$ subgroup of $S_{p^{r}}$ of order $p^{r+1}$ which contains $T$.
Theorem 3.7. [4, Theorem 11] Let $G$ be a subgroup of $S_{p^{r}}$ and $P$ a p-Sylow subgroup of $G$ of order $p^{s}$ such that $T \in P$. Then the following hold:
(i) if $s=r$, then $P=\langle T\rangle$,
(ii) if $r<s \leq p+r-1$, then we have $P=Q_{1}^{s-r}$.

Corollary 3.8. Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ be two cyclic codes of length $p^{r}$, and let $P$ be a p-Sylow subgroup of $A u t(C)$ such that $T \in P$. If $|P|=p^{s}$ and $s \leq p+r-1$, then $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ can be equivalent only under the action of a permutation of the following subgroups of $S_{p^{r}}$ :
(i) $A G\left(p^{r}\right)$ if $s=r$,
(ii) $Q^{s-r+1}$ if $s>r$.

Proof. The result follows from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5, and Theorem 3.7.
Remark 3.9. Since each affine transformation can be written as the product of a power of $T$ and a multiplier, and $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(C)$, we must have $\tau_{a, b} \in C$ whenever $M_{a} \in C$. Hence from Corollary 3.8, if $s=r$ then two cyclic codes of length $p^{r}$ are equivalent if and only if they are equivalent by multiplier.

In order to solve the equivalence problem for cyclic codes, we need the $p$-Sylow subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$. To determine this, for $i \leq i \leq p-1$ consider the polynomial $f_{i} \in Q_{1}^{i}$ defined by

$$
f_{i}(x)=x+p^{r-1}\left(x+x^{2}+\ldots+x^{i}\right) .
$$

Theorem 3.10. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $S_{p^{r}}$ with a p-Sylow subgroup $P$ which contains $T$. Then the following hold:
(i) if there is no $f_{i} \in G$, then $P=\langle T\rangle$,
(ii) if $I$ is the largest value of $i$ such that $f_{i} \in G$ and $I \leq p-2$, then $P=Q_{1}^{I}$.

Proof. If there is no $f_{i} \in G$, then there is no $f_{i}$ in $P$. If $|P|=p^{r}$, we can take $P=\langle T\rangle$, but then from Theorem 3.6 any $p$-Sylow subgroup of $p^{s}, s>r$, must contain $Q_{1}^{1}$, which is impossible. Assume that $I$ is the largest $i$ such that $f_{i} \in G$ and $I \leq p-2$. Let $P$ be a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $G$ of order $s$, and $s$ be such that $I+r \leq s<p+r-1$. From Theorem 3.7, we have that a $p$-Sylow subgroup of any subgroup of $G \leq S_{p^{r}}$ which contains $T$ has order $p^{s}$ with $m<s \leq p+r-1$. Then we have $P=Q_{1}^{s-r}$, so that $s-r=I$. Now if $s \leq I+r \leq p+r-1$, we have from Theorem 3.7 that $P=Q_{1}^{s-r}$, so $Q_{1}^{I} \cap G \leq Q_{1}^{s-r}$. The assumption on $\bar{I}$ gives $I=s-r$.

Assume now that $s>p+r-1$. Since $I \leq p-2$, we have that $s>p+r-1>r+I$. We will prove that this case cannot occur. Further, as $T=f_{1} \in Q_{1}^{1}$, from Theorem 3.6 $Q_{1}^{1}$ is the unique subgroup of $S_{p^{r}}$ of order $p^{r+1}$ which contains $T$, so that $Q_{1}^{1} \leq P$. Since $Q_{1}^{1} \leq Q_{1}^{2}$, it must be that $Q_{1}^{1} \leq Q_{1}^{2} \cap P \leq Q^{2}$. Hence we have that $Q_{1}^{2} \cap P=Q_{1}^{2}$, which gives $Q_{1}^{2} \leq P$. Using the same approach for $2 \leq i \leq I$, we obtain $Q_{I} \leq P$. The assumption on $s$ gives that $Q_{I} \lesseqgtr P$, so $Q_{1}^{I} \lesseqgtr Q_{1}^{I+1} \cap P \leq Q^{I+1}\left(Q^{I+1}\right.$ can be considered as it was assumed that $I \leq p-2$ ). Hence from Theorem 3.6, we obtain that $Q_{1}^{I+1} \cap P=Q_{1}^{I+1}$, which contradicts the assumption on $I$.

This theorem suggests the following algorithm for $I \leq p-2$.
Algorithm A: Let $p$ be an odd prime and $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ be two cyclic codes of length $p^{m}$. Then the equivalence of $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ can be determined as follows.

Step 1: Find the order of the $p$-Sylow subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ as follows. Find the largest $I$ such that $f_{I} \in \operatorname{Aut}(C)$, and set $s=I+r$.
Step 2: Find $f \in Q^{I+1}$ such that $C^{\prime}=f C$.
Remark 3.11. To find the required I in Algorithm A we can use (for example), a binary search which requires checking at most $\left\lceil\log _{2}(p-1)\right\rceil+1$ of the $f_{i}$. Furthermore, the cardinality of $Q^{I+1}$ is $(p-1) p^{2 r+I-2}$. This proves that the algorithm has polynomial time complexity.

## 4. Equivalence of quasi-cyclic codes

In this section, we characterize the equivalence problem for quasi-cyclic codes. Consider the cycles $\sigma_{i}=(i, i+l, i+2 l, \ldots, i+(m-1) l)$ for $0 \leq i \leq l-1$. The cycles $\sigma_{i}$ have order $m$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{l}=\sigma_{0} \ldots \sigma_{l-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives that $\left|\left(T^{l}\right)\right|=\operatorname{lcm}\left(\left|\sigma_{0}\right|, \ldots,\left|\sigma_{l-1}\right|\right)=m$.
Proposition 4.1. Let $n=l m$ with $(m, l)=1$, and $\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle$ be the subgroup of $S_{n}$ generated by the permutation $T^{l}$. Therefore the normalizer of $\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle$ in $S_{n}$ contains the following groups:
(i) $Q=\left\langle\sigma_{0}, \ldots, \sigma_{l-1}, T\right\rangle$,
(ii) $A G(n)$.

Proof. It is obvious that $T \in N_{S_{n}}\left(\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle\right)$. As the cycles in (5) are pairwise disjoint, it must be that $\sigma_{0} \ldots \sigma_{l-1}=T^{l}$. Furthermore, as the cycles $\sigma_{i}$ are disjoint, we have that $\sigma_{i}^{-1} T^{l} \sigma_{i}=T^{l}$.

We consider the affine transformation $\tau_{a, b} \in A G(n)$, which shows that $\tau_{a, b} \in N_{S_{n}}\left(\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle\right)$ is equivalent to proving the existence of an $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that

$$
\tau_{a, b} T^{l} \tau_{a, b}^{-1}=T^{l \alpha}
$$

The permutation $\tau_{a, b}$ can be decomposed as $\tau_{a, b}=\tau_{1, b} \tau_{a, 0}$. Then combining this decomposition with (5), we obtain the following equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{a, b} T^{l} \tau_{a, b}^{-1}=\tau_{1, b} \tau_{a, 0} \sigma_{0} \ldots \sigma_{l-1} \tau_{a, 0}^{-1} \tau_{1, b}^{-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A well known result [5, Lemma 5.1] gives that if $\sigma=\sigma_{0} \ldots \sigma_{l-1}$ is a product of disjoint cycles and $S$ is a permutation from $S_{n}$, then $S \sigma S^{-1}=S\left(\sigma_{0}\right) S\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \ldots S\left(\sigma_{l-1}\right)$, where $S\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\left(S\left(\sigma_{1 i}\right), \ldots, S\left(\sigma_{m i}\right)\right)$ for the cycle $\sigma_{i}=\left(\sigma_{1 i}, \ldots, \sigma_{m i}\right)$. For $r_{a}=a \bmod l$, we obtain that $\tau_{a, 0}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\sigma_{i r_{a}}^{a}$. This gives

$$
\tau_{a, 0}\left(\sigma_{0}\right) \tau_{a, 0}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \ldots \tau_{a, 0}\left(\sigma_{l-1}\right)=\sigma_{0}^{a} \sigma_{r a}^{a} \ldots \sigma_{r a(l-1)}^{a}=T^{l a}
$$

For $r_{b}=b \bmod l$, we obtain

$$
\tau_{1, b} \sigma_{i} \tau_{1, b}^{-1}=\tau_{i+r_{b}}
$$

and hence

$$
\tau_{1, b} T^{l} \tau_{1, b}^{-1}=\prod_{i=0}^{l-1} \sigma_{i+r_{b}}=T^{l}
$$

Finally, we obtain

$$
\tau_{a, b} T^{l} \tau_{a, b}^{-1}=\tau_{1, b} \tau_{a, 0} T^{l} \tau_{a, 0}^{-1} \tau_{1, b}^{-1}=\tau_{1, b} T^{l a} \tau_{1, b}^{-1}=T^{l a}
$$

This gives $\alpha=a$, so that $\tau_{a, b} \in N_{S_{n}}\left(<T^{l}>\right)$.

### 4.1. Quasi-cyclic codes of length $p^{r} l$

We now consider quasi-cyclic codes of length $n=p^{r} l$ with $p$ a prime number such that $(p, l)=$ $(p, q)=1$. In this case, $\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle \leq \operatorname{Aut}(C)$ is a subgroup of order $p^{r}$. Hence it is contained in a $p$-Sylow subgroup $P$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ be two quasi-cyclic codes of length $n=p^{r} l$, and $P$ be a p-Sylow subgroup of Aut $(C)$ such that $T^{l} \in P$. Then $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are equivalent only if they are equivalent by the elements of the set

$$
H^{\prime}(P)=\left\{\sigma \in S_{n} \mid \sigma^{-1} T^{l} \sigma \in P\right\} .
$$

Proof. Since $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are equivalent, there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$ such that $C^{\prime}=\sigma(C)$. This gives the following relationship between the automorphism groups $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u t\left(C^{\prime}\right)=\sigma A u t(C) \sigma^{-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P$ be a Sylow subgroup of $A u t(C)$. Then from (7) we have that $\sigma P \sigma^{-1}=P^{\prime \prime}$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. From Sylow's Theorem, there exists $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\tau P^{\prime} \tau^{-1}=P^{\prime \prime}$. We can assume that $\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle \leq P^{\prime}$ since $\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle$ is a $p$-group. Let $\gamma=\tau^{-1} \sigma$, then $\gamma$ is an isomorphism between $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ because $\gamma(C)=\tau^{-1} \sigma(C)=\tau^{-1} C^{\prime}=C^{\prime}$. Then $\gamma^{-1} T^{l} \gamma=\sigma^{-1} \tau T^{l} \tau^{-1} \sigma \in \sigma^{-1} P^{\prime \prime} \sigma=P$ as $\tau T^{l} \tau^{-1} \in \tau P^{\prime} \tau^{-1}$, and hence $\gamma \in H^{\prime}(P)$.

It is obvious that if $P=\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle$, then we have

$$
N_{S_{n}}\left(\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle\right)=H^{\prime}\left(\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle\right) .
$$

The following proposition gives other properties of $H^{\prime}(P)$.

Proposition 4.3. Let $P$ be a Sylow p-subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$. Then the group $H^{\prime}(P)$ has the following properties:
(i) if $P=\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle$, then $N_{S_{n}}\left(\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle\right)=H^{\prime}\left(\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle\right)$,
(ii) $N_{S_{n}}\left(\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle\right) \subset H^{\prime}(P)$,
(iii) $N_{S_{n}}(P) \subset H^{\prime}(P)$.

Proof. The first property is obtained from the definition of $H^{\prime}(P)$. To prove the second property we consider a permutation $\sigma$ in $N_{S_{n}}\left(\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle\right)$, the normalizer of $\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle$ in $S_{n}$. Then permutation $\sigma$ satisfies $\sigma^{-1}\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle \sigma=\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle \subset P$. Hence, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{S_{n}}\left(\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle\right) \subset H^{\prime}(P) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider $N_{S_{n}}(P)$, the normalizer of $P$ in $S_{n}$. The permutation $\sigma \in N_{S_{n}}(P)$ shows that $\sigma^{-1} P \sigma=P$. Thus for $T^{l} \in P$ we have $\sigma^{-1} T^{l} \sigma \in P$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{S_{n}}(P) \subset H^{\prime}(P) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 4.4. The set $H^{\prime}(P)$ satisfies $A G(n) \subset H^{\prime}(P)$.
Proof. From Proposition 4.1 we have $A G(n) \leq N_{S_{n}}\left(\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle\right)$. Furthermore, Proposition 4.3 gives that $N_{S_{n}}\left(\left\langle T^{l}\right\rangle\right) \subset H^{\prime}(P)$, which completes the proof.

From Corollary 4.4, we have $A G(n) \subset H^{\prime}(P)$. As the multipliers are elements of $A G(n)$, this proves that two quasi-cyclic codes of length $n$ can be equivalent by a multiplier. This extends the results on 1-generator quasi-cyclic codes in [7].
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