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#### Abstract

We prove the non-existence of $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ codes for $d=2 q^{3}-r q^{2}-2 q+1$ for $3 \leq r \leq(q+1) / 2$, $q \geq 5 ; d=2 q^{3}-3 q^{2}-3 q+1$ for $q \geq 9 ; d=2 q^{3}-4 q^{2}-3 q+1$ for $q \geq 9$; and $d=q^{3}-q^{2}-r q-2$ with $r=4,5$ or 6 for $q \geq 9$, where $g_{q}(4, d)=\sum_{i=0}^{3}\left\lceil d / q^{i}\right\rceil$. This yields that $n_{q}(4, d)=g_{q}(4, d)+1$ for $2 q^{3}-3 q^{2}-3 q+1 \leq d \leq 2 q^{3}-3 q^{2}, 2 q^{3}-5 q^{2}-2 q+1 \leq d \leq 2 q^{3}-5 q^{2}$ and $q^{3}-q^{2}-r q-2 \leq d \leq q^{3}-q^{2}-r q$ with $4 \leq r \leq 6$ for $q \geq 9$ and that $n_{q}(4, d) \geq g_{q}(4, d)+1$ for $2 q^{3}-r q^{2}-2 q+1 \leq d \leq 2 q^{3}-r q^{2}-q$ for $3 \leq r \leq(q+1) / 2, q \geq 5$ and $2 q^{3}-4 q^{2}-3 q+1 \leq d \leq 2 q^{3}-4 q^{2}-2 q$ for $q \geq 9$, where $n_{q}(4, d)$ denotes the minimum length $n$ for which an $[n, 4, d]_{q}$ code exists.
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## 1. Introduction

An $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code $\mathcal{C}$ is a linear code of length $n$, dimension $k$ and minimum Hamming weight $d$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, the field of $q$ elements. A fundamental problem in coding theory is to find $n_{q}(k, d)$, the minimum length $n$ for which an $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code exists. The Griesmer bound gives a lower bound on $n_{q}(k, d)$ as

$$
n_{q}(k, d) \geq g_{q}(k, d)=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\left\lceil d / q^{i}\right\rceil
$$

where $\lceil x\rceil$ denotes the smallest integer $\geq x$. An $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code is called Griesmer if $n=g_{q}(k, d)$. The values of $n_{q}(k, d)$ are determined for all $d$ only for some small values of $q$ and $k$, see [22]. For $k=4$,

[^0]the exact value of $n_{q}(4, d)$ is known for all $d$ only for $q=2,3,4$. Recently, one of the open cases for $(q, k)=(5,4)$ was solved in [16]. For general $q$, see [18] and [11] for known results on $n_{q}(4, d)$. We have recently proved the following.

Theorem 1.1 ([12]). There exists no $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ code for
(1) $d=q^{3} / 2-q^{2}-2 q+1$ for $q=2^{h}, h \geq 3$,
(2) $d=2 q^{3}-3 q^{2}-2 q+1$ for $q \geq 5$,
(3) $d=2 q^{3}-r q^{2}-q+1$ for $3 \leq r \leq q-q / p, q=p^{h}$ with $p$ prime.

As a continuation on the non-existence of Griesmer codes for $k=4$, we prove the following four theorems.

Theorem 1.2. There exists no $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=2 q^{3}-r q^{2}-2 q+1$ for $3 \leq r \leq(q+1) / 2$, $q \geq 5$.
Theorem 1.3. There exists no $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=2 q^{3}-3 q^{2}-3 q+1$ for $q \geq 9$.
Theorem 1.4. There exists no $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=2 q^{3}-4 q^{2}-3 q+1$ for $q \geq 9$.
Theorem 1.5. There exists no $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=q^{3}-q^{2}-r q-2$ with $4 \leq r \leq 6$ for $q \geq 9$.
Theorem 1.2 is a generalization of the non-existence of Griesmer $[209,4,166]_{5}$ codes. We note that the existence of a $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=2 q^{3}-3 q^{2}-3 q+1$ is known for $q=4$ but unknown and still open for $q=5,7,8$. The existence of a $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=2 q^{3}-4 q^{2}-3 q+1$ is known for $q=5$ but unknown and still open for $q=7,8$. For the non-existence of $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ codes for $q^{3}-q^{2}-4 q+1 \leq d \leq q^{3}-q^{2}-q$, see [23]. The non-existence of $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ codes for $d=q^{3}-q^{2}-r q-2$ is known for $(q, r)=(8,4)$ but unknown and still open for $(q, r)=(8,5)$ and $(q, r)=(8,6)$.

While the existence of a $\left[g_{q}(4, d)+1,4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=2 q^{3}-r q^{2}-q$ with $4 \leq r \leq q-1$ and for $d=2 q^{3}-4 q^{2}-2 q$ is unknown in general, such a code exists for $d=2 q^{3}-5 q^{2}-s q$ with $0 \leq s \leq q-4$, $q \geq 7$ [21]. The existence of a $\left[g_{q}(4, d)+1,4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=2 q^{3}-3 q^{2}-2 q$ and for $d=\bar{q}^{3}-q^{2}-r q$ with $1 \leq r \leq q-1$ follows from the recent result from [10]. It is also known that $n_{q}(4, d)=g_{q}(4, d)$ for $d \geq 2 q^{3}-3 q^{2}+1$ for all $q$ and that $n_{q}(4, d)=g_{q}(4, d)+1$ for $2 q^{3}-3 q^{2}-2 q+1 \leq d \leq 2 q^{3}-3 q^{2}$ for $q \geq 5$ [12]. Since the existence of an $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code implies the existence of an $[n-1, k, \bar{d}-1]_{q}$ code by puncturing, we get the following results from Theorems 1.2-1.5.

Corollary 1.6. $n_{q}(4, d)=g_{q}(4, d)+1$ for
(1) $2 q^{3}-3 q^{2}-3 q+1 \leq d \leq 2 q^{3}-3 q^{2}$ for $q \geq 9$,
(2) $2 q^{3}-5 q^{2}-2 q+1 \leq d \leq 2 q^{3}-5 q^{2}$ for $q \geq 9$,
(3) $q^{3}-q^{2}-r q-2 \leq d \leq q^{3}-q^{2}-r q$ with $4 \leq r \leq 6$ for $q \geq 9$.

Corollary 1.7. $n_{q}(4, d) \geq g_{q}(4, d)+1$ for
(1) $2 q^{3}-r q^{2}-2 q+1 \leq d \leq 2 q^{3}-r q^{2}-q$ for $4 \leq r \leq(q+1) / 2, q \geq 7$,
(2) $2 q^{3}-4 q^{2}-3 q+1 \leq d \leq 2 q^{3}-4 q^{2}-2 q$ for $q \geq 9$.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the geometric preliminaries and some results on linear codes of dimension 3. We prove Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.5 in Sections 3, 4 and 5 , respectively. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is similar to that of Theorem 1.3 and therefore skipped. We give some remarks in Section 6 as Conclusion.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give the geometric method and preliminary results to prove the non-existence of some Griesmer codes. We denote by $\operatorname{PG}(r, q)$ the projective geometry of dimension $r$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. The 0 -flats, 1-flats, 2-flats, $(r-2)$-flats and ( $r-1$ )-flats in $\mathrm{PG}(r, q)$ are called points, lines, planes, secundums and hyperplanes, respectively.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code having no coordinate which is identically zero. The columns of a generator matrix of $\mathcal{C}$ can be considered as a multiset of $n$ points in $\Sigma=\operatorname{PG}(k-1, q)$, denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$. An $i$-point is a point of $\Sigma$ which has multiplicity $i$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Denote by $\gamma_{0}$ the maximum multiplicity of a point from $\Sigma$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and let $C_{i}$ be the set of $i$-points in $\Sigma, 0 \leq i \leq \gamma_{0}$. For any subset $S$ of $\Sigma$, the multiplicity of $S$ with respect to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$, denoted by $m_{\mathcal{C}}(S)$, is defined as $m_{\mathcal{C}}(S)=\sum_{i=1}^{\gamma_{0}} i \cdot\left|S \cap C_{i}\right|$, where $|T|$ denotes the number of elements in a set $T$. A line $l$ with $t=m_{\mathcal{C}}(l)$ is called a $t$-line. A $t$-plane and so on are defined similarly. Then we obtain the partition $\Sigma=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\gamma_{0}} C_{i}$ such that $n=m_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma)$ and

$$
n-d=\max \left\{m_{\mathcal{C}}(\pi) \mid \pi \in \mathcal{F}_{k-2}\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{j}$ denotes the set of $j$-flats of $\Sigma$. Conversely, such a partition $\Sigma=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\gamma_{0}} C_{i}$ as above gives an $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code in the natural manner. For an $m$-flat $\Pi$ in $\Sigma$, we define

$$
\gamma_{j}(\Pi)=\max \left\{m_{\mathcal{C}}(\Delta) \mid \Delta \subset \Pi, \Delta \in \mathcal{F}_{j}\right\} \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq k-2
$$

We denote simply by $\gamma_{j}$ instead of $\gamma_{j}(\Sigma)$. Then $\gamma_{k-2}=n-d, \gamma_{k-1}=n$. For a Griesmer $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code, it is known (see [19]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{j}=\sum_{u=0}^{j}\left\lceil\frac{d}{q^{k-1-u}}\right] \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq k-1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, every Griesmer $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code is projective if $d \leq q^{k-1}$. We denote by $\lambda_{s}$ the number of $s$-points in $\Sigma$. Note that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{0}+n-\theta_{k-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\gamma_{0}=2$. Denote by $a_{i}$ the number of $i$-hyperplanes in $\Sigma$. The list of $a_{i}$ 's is called the spectrum of $\mathcal{C}$. We usually use $\tau_{j}$ 's for the spectrum of a hyperplane of $\Sigma$ to distinguish from the spectrum of $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\theta_{j}$ be the number of points in a $j$-flat, i.e., $\theta_{j}=\left(q^{j+1}-1\right) /(q-1)$. Simple counting arguments yield the following.

Lemma 2.1 ([15]). (1) $\sum_{i=0}^{n-d} a_{i}=\theta_{k-1} . \quad$ (2) $\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} i a_{i}=n \theta_{k-2}$.
(3) $\sum_{i=2}^{n-d} i(i-1) a_{i}=n(n-1) \theta_{k-3}+q^{k-2} \sum_{s=2}^{\gamma_{0}} s(s-1) \lambda_{s}$.

When $\gamma_{0} \leq 2$, the above three equalities yield the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n-d-2}\binom{n-d-i}{2} a_{i}=\binom{n-d}{2} \theta_{k-1}-n(n-d-1) \theta_{k-2}+\binom{n}{2} \theta_{k-3}+q^{k-2} \lambda_{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a_{i}=0$ for all $i<n-d$, then every point in $\Sigma$ is an $s$-point for some integer $s$. This fact is known as follows.

Lemma 2.2 ([2]). Any linear code over a finite field with constant Hamming weight is a replication of simplex (i.e., dual Hamming) codes.

Lemma 2.3 ([27]). Let $\Pi$ be an $w$-hyperplane through at-secundum $\delta$. Then
(1) $t \leq \gamma_{k-2}-(n-w) / q=\left(w+q \gamma_{k-2}-n\right) / q$.
(2) $a_{w}=0$ if $a\left[w, k-1, d_{0}\right]_{q}$ code with $d_{0} \geq w-\left\lfloor\frac{w+q \gamma_{k-2}-n}{q}\right\rfloor$ does not exist, where $\lfloor x\rfloor$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to $x$.
(3) $\gamma_{k-3}(\Pi)=\left\lfloor\frac{w+q \gamma_{k-2}-n}{q}\right\rfloor$ if $a\left[w, k-1, d_{1}\right]_{q}$ code with $d_{1} \geq w-\left\lfloor\frac{w+q \gamma_{k-2}-n}{q}\right\rfloor+1$ does not exist.
(4) Let $c_{j}$ be the number of $j$-hyperplanes through $\delta$ other than $\Pi$. Then $\sum_{j} c_{j}=q$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j}\left(\gamma_{k-2}-j\right) c_{j}=w+q \gamma_{k-2}-n-q t \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5) For a $\gamma_{k-2}$-hyperplane $\Pi_{0}$ with spectrum $\left(\tau_{0}, \cdots, \tau_{\gamma_{k-3}}\right)$, $\tau_{t}>0$ holds if $w+q \gamma_{k-2}-n-q t<q$.

The next two lemmas are needed to prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.
Lemma 2.4 ([11]). The spectrum of $a\left[2 q^{2}-2 q-4,3,2 q^{2}-4 q-2\right]_{q}$ code with $q \geq 8$ is one of the followings:
(a) $\left(a_{q-4}, a_{q-2}, a_{2 q-3}, a_{2 q-2}\right)=\left(1,3,2 q, q^{2}-q-3\right)$,
(b) $\left(a_{q-3}, a_{q-2}, a_{2 q-4}, a_{2 q-3}, a_{2 q-2}\right)=\left(2,2,1,2 q-2, q^{2}-q-2\right)$,
(c) $\left(a_{q-3}, a_{q-2}, a_{2 q-4}, a_{2 q-3}, a_{2 q-2}\right)=\left(1,3,1,2 q-1, q^{2}-q-3\right)$,
(d) $\left(a_{q-2}, a_{2 q-4}, a_{2 q-3}, a_{2 q-2}\right)=\left(4,1,2 q, q^{2}-q-4\right)$ or
(e) $\left(a_{q-2}, a_{2 q-4}, a_{2 q-2}\right)=\left(4, q+1, q^{2}-4\right)$.

Lemma 2.5 ([11]). The spectrum of $a\left[2 q^{2}-q-3,3,2 q^{2}-3 q-2\right]_{q}$ code with $q \geq 7$ is one of the followings:
(a) $\left(a_{q-3}, a_{q-1}, a_{2 q-2}, a_{2 q-1}\right)=\left(1,2,2 q, q^{2}-q-2\right)$,
(b) $\left(a_{q-2}, a_{q-1}, a_{2 q-3}, a_{2 q-2}, a_{2 q-1}\right)=\left(2,1,1,2 q-2, q^{2}-q-1\right)$,
(c) $\left(a_{q-2}, a_{q-1}, a_{2 q-3}, a_{2 q-2}, a_{2 q-1}\right)=\left(1,2,1,2 q-1, q^{2}-q-2\right)$,
(d) $\left(a_{q-1}, a_{2 q-3}, a_{2 q-2}, a_{2 q-1}\right)=\left(3,1,2 q, q^{2}-q-3\right)$ or
(e) $\left(a_{q-1}, a_{2 q-3}, a_{2 q-1}\right)=\left(3, q+1, q^{2}-3\right)$.

An $n$-set $K$ in $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$ is an $(n, r)$-arc if every line meets $K$ in at most $r$ points and if some line meets $K$ in exactly $r$ points. Let $m_{r}(2, q)$ denote the largest value of $n$ for which an $(n, r)$-arc exists in $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$. See Table 1 for the known values and bounds on $m_{r}(2, q)$ for $3 \leq q \leq 13$ [1]. An $(n, 2)$-arc is simply called an $n$-arc in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$, see [8]. A set $\mathcal{L}$ of $s$ lines in $\Sigma=\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ is called an s-arc of lines in $\Sigma$ if $\mathcal{L}$ forms an $s$-arc in the dual space $\Sigma^{*}$ of $\Sigma$, that is, no three lines of $\mathcal{L}$ are concurrent.
Lemma 2.6 ([9]). (1) $m_{r}(2, q) \leq(r-1) q+r$.
(2) $m_{r}(2, q) \leq(r-1) q+r-3$ for $4 \leq r<q$ with $r \nmid q$.
(3) $m_{r}(2, q) \leq(r-1) q+r-4$ for $9 \leq r<q$ with $r \not \chi q$.
(4) $m_{q-2}(2, q)=q^{2}-2 q-3 \sqrt{q}-2$ for odd square $q>11^{2}$.
(5) $m_{q-2}(2, q) \leq q^{2}-2 q-p^{e}\left\lceil\frac{p^{e+1}+1}{p^{e}+1}\right\rceil-2$ for $q=p^{2 e+1}>17$.

Table 1. The known values and bounds on $m_{r}(2, q)$.

| $q$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 13 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 14 |
| 3 |  | 9 | 11 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 21 | 23 |
| 4 |  |  | 16 | 22 | 28 | 28 | 32 | $38-40$ |
| 5 |  |  |  | 29 | 33 | 37 | $43-45$ | $49-53$ |
| 6 |  |  |  | 36 | 42 | 48 | 56 | $64-66$ |
| 7 |  |  |  | 49 | 55 | 67 | 79 |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 65 | 78 | 92 |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  | $89-90$ | 105 |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  | $100-102$ | $118-119$ |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $132-133$ |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $145-147$ |  |

(6) $m_{q-2}(2, q) \leq q^{2}-2 q-2 \sqrt{q}-2$ for $q=2^{2 e}>4$ or $q \in\left\{5^{2}, 7^{2}, 9^{2}, 11^{2}\right\}$.
(7) $m_{q-1}(2, q)=q^{2}-q-2 \sqrt{q}-1$ for square $q>4$.
(8) $m_{q-1}(2, q) \leq q^{2}-q-p^{e}\left\lceil\frac{p^{e+1}+1}{p^{e}+1}\right\rceil-1$ for $q=p^{2 e+1}>19$.

Lemma 2.7 ([12]). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be $a\left[g_{q}(3, d), 3, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=2 q^{2}-r q, 3 \leq r \leq q-q / p, q=p^{h}$ with $p$ prime. Then,
(1) the multiset $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$ consists of two copies of the plane with an r-arc of lines deleted,
(2) $\mathcal{C}$ has spectrum $\left(a_{q-r+2}, a_{2 q-r+2}\right)=\left(r, \theta_{2}-r\right)$.

Lemma 2.8 ([25]). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code and let $\cup_{i=0}^{\gamma_{0}} C_{i}$ be the partition of $\Sigma=\operatorname{PG}(k-1, q)$ obtained from $\mathcal{C}$. If $\cup_{i \geq 1} C_{i}$ contains a $t$-flat $\Delta$ and if $d>q^{t}$, then there exists an $\left[n-\theta_{t}, k, d^{\prime}\right]_{q}$ code $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ with $d^{\prime} \geq d-q^{t}$.

The punctured code $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ in Lemma 2.8 can be constructed from $\mathcal{C}$ by removing the $t$-flat $\Delta$ from the multiset $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$. The method to construct new codes from a given $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code by deleting the coordinates corresponding to some geometric object in $\operatorname{PG}(k-1, q)$ is called geometric puncturing, see [21].

An $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code with generator matrix $G$ is called extendable if there exists a vector $h \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$ such that the extended matrix $\left[G, h^{\mathrm{T}}\right]$ generates an $[n+1, k, d+1]_{q}$ code. The following theorems will be applied to prove that a $\left[g_{q}(3, d), 3, d=2 q^{2}-r q-1\right]_{q}$ code is extendable in Lemma 2.12.
Theorem $2.9([6,7])$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code with $d \equiv-1(\bmod q), k \geq 3$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is extendable if $A_{i}=0$ for all $i \not \equiv 0,-1(\bmod q)$.

Theorem $2.10([20,28])$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code with $d \equiv-2(\bmod q), k \geq 3, q \geq 5$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is extendable if $A_{i}=0$ for all $i \not \equiv 0,-1,-2(\bmod q)$.
Theorem 2.11 ([26]). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code with $\operatorname{gcd}(d, q)=1$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is extendable if $\sum_{i \neq n, n-d(\bmod q)} a_{i}<q^{k-2}$.
Lemma 2.12. The spectrum of a $\left.2 q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r-1), 3,2 q^{2}-r q-1\right]_{q}$ code for $3 \leq r \leq \frac{q+1}{2}, q=p^{h}$ with $p$ prime is $\left(a_{q-r+1}, a_{q-r+2}, a_{2 q-r+1}, a_{2 q-r+2}\right)=\left(1, r-1, q, q^{2}-r+1\right)$ or $\left(a_{q-r+2}, a_{2 q-r+1}, a_{2 q-r+2}\right)=$ $\left(r, q+1, q^{2}-r\right)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\left[n=2 q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r-1), 3, d=2 q^{2}-r q-1\right]_{q}$ code for $3 \leq r \leq \frac{q+1}{2}, q=p^{h}$ with $p$ prime. Note that $\mathcal{C}$ is extended to the code in Lemma 2.7 if $\mathcal{C}$ is extendable. From (1), we have $\gamma_{0}=2$ and $\gamma_{1}=2 q-(r-2)$. Since $\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{0}\right) \theta_{1}+\gamma_{0}-1=n$, the lines through a fixed 2-point is one $\left(\gamma_{1}-1\right)$-line and $q \gamma_{1}$-lines. Hence $a_{i}=0$ for $\theta_{1}+1 \leq i \leq \gamma_{1}-2$. Let $l$ be a $t$-line containing a 1-point $P$. Considering the lines through $P$, we get $n=2 q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r-1) \leq\left(\gamma_{1}-1\right) q+t$, giving $q-(r-1) \leq t$. So, $a_{i}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq q-r$.

Suppose $a_{\theta_{1}}>0$. Then, $\mathcal{C}$ is not extendable by Lemma 2.7. Let $l$ be a $\theta_{1}$-line. Since $n=\left(\gamma_{1}-1\right) q+$ $\theta_{1}-r$, the lines $(\neq l)$ through a fixed 1-point on $l$ are $r\left(\gamma_{1}-1\right)$-lines and $(q-r) \gamma_{1}$-lines if $q \geq 2 r$. Then, $\mathcal{C}$ is extendable from Theorem 2.11, a contradiction. When $q=2 r-1$, the lines $(\neq l)$ through a fixed 1 -point on $l$ are either "one $\theta_{1}$-line and $(q-1) \gamma_{1}$-lines" or " $r\left(\gamma_{1}-1\right)$-lines and $(q-r) \gamma_{1}$-lines". If a 0 -point exists, we have $n \geq\left(\gamma_{1}-1\right) \theta_{1}=n+q$, a contradiction. Hence $\left[q^{2}-(r-1) q-r, 3, q^{2}-r q-1\right]_{q}$ code exists by Lemma 2.8. However, there exists no $\left(q^{2}-(r-1) q-r, q-(r-1)\right)$-arc from Lemma 2.6 (2) when $q=2 r-1 \geq 7$ and from Table 1 when $(q, r)=(5,3)$, a contradiction. Thus $a_{\theta_{1}}=0$. Next, suppose $a_{0}>0$. Then, $\mathcal{C}$ is not extendable by Lemma 2.7. Let $l$ be a 0 -line. Since $n=\gamma_{1} q+0-(r-1)$ and $\gamma_{1}-(r-1)>\theta_{1}$, the lines $(\neq l)$ through a fixed 0 -point on $l$ are $\left(\gamma_{1}-1\right)$-lines or $\gamma_{1}$-lines. Hence $a_{j}>0$ implies $j \in\left\{0, \gamma_{1}-1, \gamma_{1}\right\}$ and $a_{0}=1$. Then, $\mathcal{C}$ is extendable by Theorem 2.11, a contradiction. Hence $a_{0}=0$. Finally, suppose $a_{i}>0$ for some $q-r+3 \leq i \leq q$. Then, $\mathcal{C}$ is not extendable by Lemma 2.7. Let $l$ be a $(q-e)$-line with $0 \leq e \leq r-3$ and let $Q$ be a 0 -point on $l$. If four of the lines through $Q$ have multiplicities at most $q$, then we have $n \leq 4 q+(q-3) \gamma_{1}=n-2 q+4(r-2)<n$, a contradiction. So, at most two of the lines $(\neq l)$ through $Q$ have no 2-point and

$$
\sum_{i \neq n, n-d} a_{i} \leq 2(e+1)+1 \leq 2 r-3<2 r-1 \leq q .
$$

Then, applying Theorem 2.11, $\mathcal{C}$ is extendable, a contradiction. Hence $a_{i}=0$ for all $i \notin\{q-r+1, q-$ $r+2,2 q-r+1,2 q-r+2\}$. Applying Theorem $2.9, \mathcal{C}$ is extendable. Hence $\mathcal{C}$ can be obtained from a $\left[2 q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r-2), 3,2 q^{2}-r q\right]_{q}$ code $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ by removing one coordinate. Let $R$ be the point corresponding to the coordinate. There are two possible spectra $\left(a_{q-r+1}, a_{q-r+2}, a_{2 q-r+1}, a_{2 q-r+2}\right)=\left(1, r-1, q, q^{2}-r+1\right)$ or $\left(a_{q-r+2}, a_{2 q-r+1}, a_{2 q-r+2}\right)=\left(r, q+1, q^{2}-r\right)$, according to the cases $R$ is a 1-point or a 2-point, respectively.

Lemma 2.13. The spectrum of $a\left[q^{2}-r, 3, q^{2}-q-r\right]_{q}$ code with $1 \leq r \leq q-2$ satisfies $a_{i}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq q-r-1$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $\left[q^{2}-r, 3, q^{2}-q-r\right]_{q}$ code, which is Griesmer. From (1), we have $\gamma_{0}=1$ and $\gamma_{1}=q$. Let $l$ be an $i$-line with $i>0$ containing a 1-point $P$. Counting the 1-points on the lines through $P$, we get $n=q^{2}-r \leq(q-1) q+i$, whence $q-r \leq i$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We assume $q \geq 7$ since the theorem is already known for $(r, q)=(3,5)$ [14]. We first prove the non-existence of $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=2 q^{3}-r q^{2}-2 q+2$.
Lemma 3.1. There exists no $\left[n=2 \theta_{3}-r \theta_{2}-2 \theta_{1}+3,4, d=2 q^{3}-r q^{2}-2 q+2\right]_{q}$ code for $3 \leq r \leq \frac{q+1}{2}$, $q=p^{h} \geq 7$ with $p$ prime.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a putative $\left[n=2 q^{3}-(r-2) q^{2}-r q-(r-3), 4, d=2 q^{3}-r q^{2}-2 q+2\right]_{q}$ code with $3 \leq r \leq(q+1) / 2, q \geq 5$. Note that $n=g_{q}(4, d)$ and hence $\gamma_{0}=2, \gamma_{1}=2 \theta_{1}-r, \gamma_{2}=n-d=2 \theta_{2}-r \theta_{1}-1$ from (1). Let $\Delta$ be a $\gamma_{2}$-plane. Since $\gamma_{2}=\left(\gamma_{1}-2\right)(q+1)+2-1$ and $n=\left(\gamma_{1}-2\right) \theta_{2}+2-(2 q-1)$, every line on $\Delta$ through a 2 -point is a $\gamma_{1}$-line or a $\left(\gamma_{1}-1\right)$-line, and any $i$-plane through a 2 -point satisfies $\left(\gamma_{1}-2\right)(q+1)+2-(2 q-1)=\gamma_{2}-2(q-1) \leq i \leq \gamma_{2}$. By Lemma $2.3(1)$, any $t$-line in an $i$-plane satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \leq \frac{i+r-3}{q}+1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spectrum of $\Delta$ is either (A) $\left(\tau_{q-r+1}, \tau_{q-r+2}, \tau_{2 q-r+1}, \tau_{2 q-r+2}\right)=\left(1, r-1, q, q^{2}-r+1\right)$ or (B) $\left(\tau_{q-r+2}, \tau_{2 q-r+1}, \tau_{2 q-r+2}\right)=\left(r, q+1, q^{2}-r\right)$ by Lemma 2.12.

Let $\delta$ be an $i$-plane. It follows from (5) and $\Delta$ 's possible spectra that $q-r+1 \leq \frac{i+q+r-3}{q}$, i.e., $q^{2}-r q-(r-3) \leq i$. Assume $i \leq \theta_{2}$. Since $\delta$ has no 2-point, $\delta \cap \Delta$ is a $(q-r+1)$-line or a $(q-r+2)$-line. So, $i \leq \theta_{2}-r+1$. Now, let $i=q^{2}-u q-(r-3)+s$ with $0 \leq u \leq r-2,0 \leq s \leq q-1$. From (5), we have $t \leq q-u+1$. If $t=q-u+1$, then $i+q+r-3-q t=s \leq q-1$, and the $\gamma_{2}$-plane $\Delta$ contains a $t$-line by Lemma 2.3 (5), a contradiction. Hence $t \leq q-u$. Considering the lines in $\delta$ through a fixed 1-point of $\delta \cap \Delta, i \leq(q-u-1) q+(q-r+2)=q^{2}-u q-(r-2)<i$, a contradiction. Thus, $a_{i}=0$ for $q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r-3) \leq i \leq \theta_{2}$, and $a_{i}>0$ implies

$$
q^{2}-r q-(r-3) \leq i \leq q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r-2) \text { or } \gamma_{2}-2 q+2 \leq i \leq \gamma_{2}
$$

From (3), we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{i}\binom{\gamma_{2}-i}{2} a_{i}=q^{2} \lambda_{2}-q^{5}+\frac{3 r-2}{2} q^{4}-\frac{r^{2}-3 r-4}{2} q^{3} \\
-\frac{r^{2}+6}{2} q^{2}-2 q+3 \tag{6}
\end{array}
$$

For any $w$-plane through a $t$-line, (4) gives $\sum_{j} c_{j}=q$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j}\left(2 q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r-1)-j\right) c_{j}=w+q+r-3-q t . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $a_{i}>0$ for $i=q^{2}-r q-(r-3)+e$ with $0 \leq e \leq q-1$. Since $\delta \cap \Delta$ is a $(q-r+1)$-line by (5), $\Delta$ has spectrum (A). If $a_{i}>0$, the RHS of (7) is $q^{2}-(r-1) q+e-q t \leq q^{2}-(r-2) q-1$. Since the coefficient of $c_{q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r-2)}$ in (7) is $q^{2}-1>q^{2}-(r-2) q-1$, we get $a_{i}=1$ and $a_{j}=0$ for $q^{2}-r q-(r-3) \leq j \leq q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r-2)$ with $j \neq i$. Setting $w=n-d$, the maximum possible contributions of $c_{j}$ 's in (7) to the LHS of (6) on $\Delta$ are $\left(c_{q^{2}-r q-(r-3)+e}, c_{n-d-e}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1,1, q-2)$ for $t=q-r+1 ;\left(c_{\gamma_{2}-2(q-1)}, c_{\gamma_{2}-(q-1)}, c_{n-d}\right)=\left(\frac{q+1}{2}, 1, \frac{q-3}{2}\right)$ if $q$ is odd and $\left(c_{\gamma_{2}-2(q-1)}, c_{n-d}\right)=\left(\frac{q}{2}+1, \frac{q}{2}-1\right)$ if $q$ is even for $t=q-r+2 ;\left(c_{\gamma_{2}-2(q-1)}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1, q-1)$ for $t=2 q-r+1 ;\left(c_{\gamma_{2}-(q-2)}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1, q-1)$ for $t=2 q-r+2$. Hence, when $q$ is odd, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { LHS of }(6)) \leq & \left(\binom{q^{2}+2 q-2-e}{2}+\binom{e}{2}\right) \tau_{q-r+1}+\left(\frac{q+1}{2}\binom{2(q-1)}{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\binom{q-1}{2}\right) \tau_{q-r+2}+\binom{2(q-1)}{2} \tau_{2 q-r+1}+\binom{q-2}{2} \tau_{2 q-r+2} \\
\leq & \binom{q^{2}+2 q-2}{2} \tau_{q-r+1}+\left(\frac{q+1}{2}\binom{2(q-1)}{2}+\binom{q-1}{2}\right) \tau_{q-r+2} \\
& +\binom{2(q-1)}{2} \tau_{2 q-r+1}+\binom{q-2}{2} \tau_{2 q-r+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

giving

$$
\lambda_{2}<q^{3}-\frac{3 r-4}{2} q^{2}+\frac{r^{2}-r-3}{2} q+\frac{r^{2}-3 r+4}{2}
$$

When $q$ is even, we can similarly obtain

$$
\lambda_{2}<q^{3}-\frac{3 r-4}{2} q^{2}+\frac{r^{2}-r-3}{2} q+\frac{r^{2}-2 r+3}{2} .
$$

On the other hand, since $\lambda_{0} \geq\left|\delta \cap C_{0}\right|$, we have

$$
\lambda_{2}=n-\theta_{3}+\lambda_{0} \geq n-\theta_{3}+\theta_{2}-\left(q^{2}-r q-(r-3)+e\right) \geq q^{3}-(r-1) q^{2}-q+1
$$

giving a contradiction for $q \geq 2 r-1$ with $q \geq 7$ and $r \geq 3$. Thus, $a_{i}=0$ for $q^{2}-r q-(r-3) \leq i \leq$ $q^{2}-(r-1) q-(r-2)$.

By a similar argument using Lemma 2.3, (6) and (7), we can get $a_{i}=0$ for all $q^{2}-(r-1) q-(r-3) \leq$ $i \leq q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r-2)$. Hence, $a_{i}>0$ implies $\gamma_{2}-2 q+2 \leq i \leq \gamma_{2}$.

Finally, we investigate (6) and (7) with $i=n-d$ again. We only give the proof when $\Delta$ has spectrum (A) since one can prove similarly for spectrum (B). Assume $q$ is odd. The maximum possible contributions of $c_{j}$ 's in (7) to the LHS of (6) on $\Delta$ are $\left(c_{\gamma_{2}-2(q-1)}, c_{n-d-1}, c_{n-d}\right)=\left(\frac{q+3}{2}, 1, \frac{q-5}{2}\right)$ for $t=q-r+1 ;\left(c_{\gamma_{2}-2(q-1)}, c_{\gamma_{2}-(q-1)}, c_{n-d}\right)=\left(\frac{q+1}{2}, 1, \frac{q-3}{2}\right)$ for $t=q-r+2 ;\left(c_{\gamma_{2}-2(q-1)}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1, q-1)$ for $t=2 q-r+1 ;\left(c_{\gamma_{2}-(q-2)}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1, q-1)$ for $t=2 q-r+2$. Hence we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { LHS of }(6)) \leq & \frac{q+3}{2}\binom{2(q-1)}{2} \tau_{q-r+1}+\left(\frac{q+1}{2}\binom{2(q-1)}{2}+\binom{q-1}{2}\right) \tau_{q-r+2} \\
& +\binom{2(q-1)}{2} \tau_{2 q-r+1}+\binom{q-2}{2} \tau_{2 q-r+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

giving

$$
\lambda_{2}<q^{3}-\frac{3 r-3}{2} q^{2}+\frac{r^{2}-r-5}{2} q+\frac{r^{2}-3 r+6}{2} .
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\lambda_{2}=n-\theta_{3}+\lambda_{0} \geq\left(2 \theta_{3}-r \theta_{2}-2 \theta_{1}+3\right)-\theta_{3}=q^{3}-(r-1) q^{2}-(r+1) q-(r-2)
$$

giving a contradiction for $q \geq 2 r-1$. One can get a contradiction similarly when $q$ is even. This completes the proof.

In the above proof, we often obtain a contradiction to rule out the existence of some $i$-plane by eliminating the value of $\lambda_{2}$ using (4), (3) and the possible spectra for a fixed $w$-plane. We refer to this proof technique as " $\left(\lambda_{2}, w\right)$-ruling out method $\left(\left(\lambda_{2}, w\right)\right.$-ROM)" in what follows.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a putative $\left[n=2 q^{3}-(r-2) q^{2}-r q-(r-2), 4, d=2 q^{3}-r q^{2}-2 q+1\right]_{q}$ code with $3 \leq r \leq(q+1) / 2, q \geq 5$. By Lemma $1, \gamma_{0}=2, \gamma_{1}=2 q-(r-2), \gamma_{2}=n-d=2 \theta_{2}-r \theta_{1}-1$. By Lemma 2.12, the spectrum of a $\gamma_{2}$-plane $\Delta$ is (A) $\left(\tau_{q-r+1}, \tau_{q-r+2}, \tau_{2 q-r+1}, \tau_{2 q-r+2}\right)=\left(1, r-1, q, q^{2}-r+1\right)$ or (B) $\left(\tau_{q-r+2}, \tau_{2 q-r+1}, \tau_{2 q-r+2}\right)=\left(r, q+1, q^{2}-r\right)$. So a $j$-line on $\Delta$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \in\{q-r+1, q-r+2,2 q-r+1,2 q-r+2\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.3, an $i$-plane satisfies $i \geq(q-r+1) q-(q+r-2)=q^{2}-r q-(r-2)$. Hence $a_{i}=0$ for any $i<q^{2}-r q-(r-2)$. Assume that an $i$-plane contains a 2 -point. Since $\left(\gamma_{1}-2\right) \theta_{2}+2=n+2 q$, we have

$$
i \geq\left(\gamma_{1}-2\right) \theta_{1}+2-2 q=(2 q-r) \theta_{1}+2-2 q=2 q^{2}-r q-(r-2)>\theta_{2}
$$

for $q \geq 2 r-1$. Hence an $i$-plane with $i \leq \theta_{2}=q^{2}+q+1$ has no 2-point. Thus $a_{i}=0$ if $i<q^{2}-r q-(r-2)$ or $\theta_{2}<i<2 q^{2}-r q-(r-2)$.

Let $\delta$ be a $i$-plane, $s=\gamma_{1}(\delta)$. Then, $\delta \cap \mathcal{C}$ is an $(i, s)$-arc, corresponding to an $[i, 3, i-s]_{q}$ code. By Lemma 2.3(1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \leq \frac{i+r-2}{q}+1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.3 (5), $\delta$ contains a $t$-line if

$$
\begin{equation*}
i+q+(r-2)-q t<q \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Case 1) Assume $q^{2}-r q-(r-2) \leq i<q^{2}-(r-1) q-(r-2)$.
We have $s \leq q-(r-1)$ by (9). Since $\delta \cap \Delta$ is a $j$-plane satisfying (8), we get $s=q-(r-1)$. By Lemma
$2.6(2), i \leq(q-r) q+(q-r+1)-3=q^{2}-(r-1) q-(r+2)$.
(Case 2) Assume $q^{2}-(r-1) q-(r-2) \leq i<q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r-2)$.
By (9), $s \leq q-(r-2)$. It follows from (Case 1) that $s=q-(r-2)$. By Lemma 2.6 (2), we get $i \leq q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r+1)$.
(Case 3) Assume $q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r-2) \leq i<q^{2}-(r-3) q-(r-2)$.
By (9), $s \leq q-(r-3)$. It follows from (Case 2) that $s=q-(r-3)$. Then, by Lemma 2.3 (5), $\Delta$ has a $(q-(r-3))$-line, a contradiction. Hence $a_{i}=0$.
(Case 4) Assume $q^{2}-u q-(r-2) \leq i<q^{2}-(u-1) q-(r-2), 0 \leq u \leq r-3$.
By (9), $s \leq q-u+1$. If $s=q-u+1$, then $\Delta$ contains a $(q-u+1)$-line by Lemma 2.3 (5), a contradiction. Hence $s \leq q-u$, and $\delta \cap \Delta$ is a $(q-r+1)$-line or a $(q-r+2)$-line. Considering the lines in $\delta$ through a fixed 1-point on $\delta \cap \Delta$, we have $i \leq(q-u-1) q+q-r+2=q^{2}-u q-(r-2)$. Hence $i=q^{2}-u q-(r-2)$, and $\delta \cap \Delta$ is a $(q-r+2)$-line. Let $P$ be any 1-point in $\delta$. Then, there exists a $\gamma_{2}$-plane through $P$ meeting $\delta$ in a $(q-r+2)$-line. Otherwise, one can get an $[n+1,4, d+1]_{q}$ code by adding $P$ to the multiset for $\mathcal{C}$, which contradicts Theorem 3.1. Thus, the lines through $P$ in $\delta$ are one $(q-r+2)$-line and $q(q-u)$-lines, and other possible lines in $\delta$ are 0 -lines. Let $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ be the code corresponding to $\delta$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ is an $\left[i, 3, i-(q-u)=q^{2}-(u+1) q-(r-2-u)\right]_{q}$ code with spectrum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{0}, \mu_{q-r+2}, \mu_{q-u}\right)=\left(q\left(\frac{r-2}{q-u}-\frac{r-u-3}{q-r+2}\right), \frac{i}{q-r+2}, \frac{i q}{q-u}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{j}$ is the number of $j$-lines in $\delta$. Since $(q-u)(q-1)<i$ from the assumption $q \geq 2 r-1$, we get $\mu_{0}=0$ or 1 . Take a 0 -point $Q$ not on a 0 -line in $\delta$. It follows from $(q-u) q+q-r+2=i+q$ that $r-2-u$ divides $q$. So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r-2-u=p^{m} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some integer $m \geq 0$. If $m=0$, then $u=r-3$ and $i=q^{2}-(r-3) q-(r-2)$. Since $g c d(q-r+2, q-r+3)=$ $1,(q-r+2) \mid i$ implies $(q-r+3) \mid q$. From (11), $\mu_{0}=\frac{q}{q-r+3}(r-2) \neq 0$. Hence $\mu_{0}=1, r=3, u=0$, and $i=q^{2}-1$. Assume $m>0$. Then $h \geq 2$ and $1 \leq m \leq h-1$, for $r-2 \leq(q-3) / 2$.
Suppose $\mu_{0}=0$. From (11) and (12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u+1) q=p^{2 m}+u(r-1) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h \leq 2 m$, then, from (12) and (13), $q$ divides either $u$ or $r-1$, a contradiction. Hence $2 m \leq h-1$. From (13), we get

$$
q=\frac{p^{2 m}}{u+1}+\frac{u(r-1)}{u+1}<p^{h-1}+r-1 \leq \frac{q}{2}+\frac{q-1}{2}<q
$$

a contradiction. Hence $\mu_{0}=1$. Since $(q-u)(q-1)+q-r+2=i+u$, the number of $(q-r+2)$-lines through a fixed 0 -point on the 0 -line in $\delta$ is $1+u /(r-2-u)$. So, $p^{m}$ divides $u$ and $r-2$ also from (12). From $\mu_{0}=1$ and (11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(q-u)(q-r+2)}{q}=q(u+1)-u(r-1)-p^{2 m} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $h \leq 2 m$. Then, from (14), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r-2)((1-u) q-u) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod q^{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $q$ divides $u(r-2)$, (15) yields $(r-2)(q-u) \equiv 0(\bmod q)$, a contradiction. Hence $2 m \leq h-1$. If $u=0$, then (14) gives $r-2=p^{2 m}$, which contradicts (12). Thus, $u>0$. Then, from (14), we have $q(u+1)-u(r-1)-p^{2 m}<q-r+2$, giving $q u<u(r-1)-(r-1)+1+p^{2 m}$, i.e.,

$$
q \leq \frac{(u-1)(r-1)}{u}+\frac{p^{2 m}}{u}<p^{m}+r-1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}+\frac{q-1}{2}<q
$$

a contradiction. Hence $a_{i}=0$ except for the case $(r, u)=(3,0)$.
(Case 5) Assume $q^{2}+q-(r-2) \leq i \leq \theta_{2}$.
By (9), $s \leq q+2$. If $s=q+2$, then $\Delta$ contains a $(q+2)$-line by Lemma 2.3 (5), a contradiction. Hence $s \leq q+1$. So, $\delta \cap \Delta$ is a $(q-r+1)$-line or a $(q-r+2)$-line. Considering the lines through a fixed 1 -point on $\delta \cap \Delta$, we get $i \leq q \cdot q+(q-r+2)=q^{2}+q-(r-2)$. Hence $i=q^{2}+q-(r-2)$. Since $\theta_{2}-\left(q^{2}+q-(r-2)\right)=r-1$ and $\theta_{1}-(r-1)=q-r+2$, a $t$-line on $\delta$ satisfies $\theta_{1} \geq t \geq q-r+2$. So, $\delta \cap \Delta$ is a $(q-r+2)$-line.
Hence, the spectrum of $\delta$ is $\left(\tau_{q-r+2}, \tau_{q}, \tau_{q+1}\right)=(1,(r-1) q,(q-r+2) q)$. Then any point of $\delta \backslash \Delta$ is not contained in a $\gamma_{2}$-plane, and $\mathcal{C}$ is extendable, which contradicts Lemma 2.11. Hence $a_{i}=0$.

From the above (Case 1) - (Case 5), $a_{i}>0$ implies

$$
\begin{gathered}
i \in\left\{q^{2}-r q-(r-2), \cdots, q^{2}-(r-1) q-(r+2), q^{2}-(r-1) q-(r-2), \cdots,\right. \\
\left.\quad q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r+1), 2 q^{2}-r q-(r-2), \cdots, 2 q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r-1)\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

or $i=q^{2}-1$ when $r=3$. By (3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j}\binom{\gamma_{2}-j}{2}=q^{2} \lambda_{2}-q^{5}+\frac{3 r-2}{2} q^{4}-\frac{r^{2}-3 r-4}{2} q^{3}-\frac{r^{2}+2}{2} q^{2}-2 q+1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the LHS of (16) contains the term $\binom{q^{2}-q-1}{2} a_{q^{2}-1}$ only for $r=3$. For any $w$-plane through a $t$-line, (4) gives $\sum_{j} c_{j}=q$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j}\left(2 q^{2}-(r-2) q-(r-1)-j\right) c_{j}=w+q+(r-2)-q t \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we rule out the possible $i$-planes for $q^{2}-r q-(r-2) \leq i \leq q^{2}-(r-1) q-r-2$ by $\left(\lambda_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)$-ROM. Suppose $a_{i}>0$ for $i=q^{2}-r q-(r-2)+e$ with $0 \leq e \leq q-4$ and let $\delta$ be an $i$-plane. We may assume that $\Delta$ has spectrum (A) since $\delta \cap \Delta$ is a $(q-r+1)$-line. It follows from (4) that $a_{i}=1$ and that $a_{j}=0$ for $q^{2}-r q-(r-2) \leq j \leq q^{2}+q-(r-2)$ with $j \neq i$. Assume $q$ is odd. Setting $w=n-d$, the maximum possible contributions of $c_{j}$ 's in (17) to the LHS of (16) are ( $\left.c_{q^{2}-r q-(r-2)+e}, c_{n-d-e}, c_{n-d}\right)=$ $(1,1, q-2)$ for $t=q-r+1 ;\left(c_{2 q^{2}-r q-(r-2)}, c_{2 q^{2}-\left(r-\frac{3}{2}\right) q-\left(r-\frac{3}{2}\right)}, c_{n-d}\right)=\left(\frac{q+1}{2}, 1, \frac{q-3}{2}\right)$ for $t=q-r+2$; $\left(c_{2 q^{2}-r q-(r-2)}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1, q-1)$ for $t=2 q-r+1 ;\left(c_{2 q^{2}-(r-1) q-(r-2)}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1, q-1)$ for $t=2 q-r+2$. Hence we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { LHS of }(16)) \leq & \left(\binom{q^{2}+2 q-1-e}{2}+\binom{e}{2} \tau_{q-r+1}+\left(\frac{q+1}{2}\binom{2 q-1}{2}+\binom{\frac{q-1}{2}}{2}\right) \tau_{q-r+2}\right. \\
& +\binom{2 q-1}{2} \tau_{2 q-r+1}+\binom{q-1}{2} \tau_{2 q-r+2} \\
\leq & \binom{q^{2}+2 q-1}{2} \tau_{q-r+1}+\left(\frac{q+1}{2}\binom{2 q-1}{2}+\binom{\frac{q-1}{2}}{2}\right) \tau_{q-r+2} \\
& +\binom{2 q-1}{2} \tau_{2 q-r+1}+\binom{q-1}{2} \tau_{2 q-r+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

giving

$$
\lambda_{2}<q^{3}+\frac{4-3 r}{2} q^{2}+\frac{r^{2}-r-1}{2} q+\frac{4 r^{2}-7 r+3}{8} .
$$

On the other hand, since $\lambda_{0} \geq\left|\delta \cap C_{0}\right|=\theta_{2}-i$, we have

$$
\lambda_{2}=n-\theta_{3}+\lambda_{0} \geq n-\theta_{3}+\left(\theta_{2}-\left(q^{2}-(r-1) q-(r+2)\right)\right)=q^{3}+(1-r) q^{2}-q+4
$$

giving a contradiction. One can get a contradiction similarly when $q$ is even. Hence $a_{i}=0$.
One can also rule out possible $i$-planes for $i=q^{2}-(r-1) q-(r-2)+e$ with $0 \leq e \leq q-3$ by $\left(\lambda_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)$-ROM.

Next, we rule out the possible $\left(q^{2}-1\right)$-plane by $\left(\lambda_{2}, q^{2}-1\right)$-ROM. Suppose $a_{q^{2}-1}>0$ for $r=3$. The spectrum of a $\left(q^{2}-1\right)$-plane is $\left(\tau_{0}, \tau_{q-1}, \tau_{q}\right)=\left(1, q+1, q^{2}-1\right)$ since it corresponds to a $\left[q^{2}-1,3, q^{2}-q-1\right]_{q}$ code. From (17) we have $a_{q^{2}-1}=1$ and $a_{j}=0$ for $q^{2}-2 q-1 \leq j \leq q^{2}-q-5$. Then, the maximum possible contributions of $c_{j}$ 's in (17) with $w=q^{2}-1$ to the LHS of (16) are $\left(c_{i}, c_{2 q^{2}-2 q-5}, c_{n-d-1}\right)=(1,1, q-2)$ for $t=0 ;\left(c_{2 q^{2}-3 q-1}, c_{n-d-1}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1,1, q-2)$ for $t=q-1 ; c_{2 q^{2}-q-3}=q$ for $t=q$. Hence we get

$$
(\text { LHS of }(16)) \leq\binom{ q^{2}-q-1}{2}+\left(\binom{q^{2}-q-1}{2}+\binom{q+3}{2}\right) \tau_{0}+\binom{2 q-1}{2} \tau_{q-1}+0 \cdot \tau_{q}
$$

giving $\lambda_{2}<q^{3}-5 q^{2} / 2-2 q+4$. On the other hand, since $\lambda_{0} \geq \theta_{2}-i$, we have

$$
\lambda_{2}=n-\theta_{3}+\lambda_{0} \geq 2 q^{3}-q^{2}-3 q-1-\theta_{3}+\theta_{2}-\left(q^{2}-1\right)=q^{3}-2 q^{2}-3 q
$$

giving a contradiction. Hence $a_{q^{2}-1}=0$.
Finally, we apply $\left(\lambda_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)$-ROM for $i=\gamma_{2}$ to get a contradiction. We only give the proof when $\Delta$ has spectrum (A) since one can prove similarly for spectrum (B). Assume $q$ is odd. The maximum possible contributions of $c_{j}$ 's in (17) to the LHS of (16) on $\Delta$ are $\left(c_{2 q^{2}-r q-(r-2)}, c_{2 q^{2}-\left(r-\frac{1}{2}\right) q-\left(r-\frac{3}{2}\right)}, c_{n-d}\right)=$ $\left(\frac{q+1}{2}, 1, \frac{q-3}{2}\right)$ for $t=q-r+1 ;\left(c_{2 q^{2}-r q-(r-2)}, c_{2 q^{2}-\left(r-\frac{3}{2}\right) q-\left(r-\frac{3}{2}\right)}, c_{n-d}\right)=\left(\frac{q+1}{2}, 1, \frac{q-3}{2}\right)$ for $t=q-r+2$; $\left(c_{2 q^{2}-r q-(r-2)}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1, q-1)$ for $t=2 q-r+1 ;\left(c_{2 q^{2}-(r-1) q-(r-2)}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1, q-1)$ for $t=2 q-r+2$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { LHS of }(16)) \leq & \left(\frac{q+1}{2}\binom{2 q-1}{2}+\binom{\frac{3 q-1}{2}}{2}\right) \tau_{q-r+1}+\left(\frac{q+1}{2}\binom{2 q-1}{2}+\binom{\frac{q-1}{2}}{2}\right) \tau_{q-r+2} \\
& +\binom{2 q-1}{2} \tau_{2 q-r+1}+\binom{q-1}{2} \tau_{2 q-r+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

giving

$$
\lambda_{2}<q^{3}+\frac{3-3 r}{2} q^{2}+\frac{r^{2}-r-3}{2} q+\frac{4 r^{2}-7 r+4}{4}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from $\lambda_{0} \geq \theta_{2}-i$ that

$$
\lambda_{2}=n-\theta_{3}+\lambda_{0} \geq n-\theta_{3}=q^{3}-(r-1) q^{2}-(r+1) q-(r-1)
$$

giving a contradiction. One can get a contradiction similarly when $q$ is even. This completes the proof.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

To prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, the possible spectra of some 3-dimensional codes in Table 2 are needed. We omit the proof of Theorem 1.4 as noted in Section 1.

See [13] for the proof of Theorem 1.3 for $q=9$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a putative $\left[n=2 q^{3}-q^{2}-4 q-2,4, d=2 q^{3}-\right.$ $\left.3 q^{2}-3 q+1\right]_{q}$ code for $q \geq 11$. It follows from (1) that $\gamma_{0}=2, \gamma_{1}=2 q-1, \gamma_{2}=2 q^{2}-q-3$. The spectrum of a $\gamma_{2}$-plane $\Delta$ is one of the followings by Lemma 2.5: (A) $\left(\tau_{q-3}, \tau_{q-1}, \tau_{2 q-2}, \tau_{2 q-1}\right)=\left(1,2,2 q, q^{2}-q-2\right)$, (B) $\left(\tau_{q-2}, \tau_{q-1}, \tau_{2 q-3}, \tau_{2 q-2}, \tau_{2 q-1}\right)=\left(2,1,1,2 q-2, q^{2}-q-1\right),(\mathrm{C})\left(\tau_{q-2}, \tau_{q-1}, \tau_{2 q-3}, \tau_{2 q-2}, \tau_{2 q-1}\right)=$ $\left(1,2,1,2 q-1, q^{2}-q-2\right),(\mathrm{D})\left(\tau_{q-1}, \tau_{2 q-3}, \tau_{2 q-2}, \tau_{2 q-1}\right)=\left(3,1,2 q, q^{2}-q-3\right)$, or $(\mathrm{E})\left(\tau_{q-1}, \tau_{2 q-3}, \tau_{2 q-1}\right)=$ $\left(3, q+1, q^{2}-3\right)$. Hence, a $j$-line on $\Delta$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \in\{q-3, q-2, q-1,2 q-3,2 q-2,2 q-1\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 2. The spectra of some $[n, 3, d]_{q}$ codes for $q \geq 9$ ([5, 8]).

| parameters | possible spectra |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\left[q^{2}-3,3, q^{2}-q-3\right]_{q}, q \geq 11$ | $\left(a_{0}, a_{q-3}, a_{q-1}, a_{q}\right)=\left(1,1,3 q, q^{2}-2 q-1\right)$ |
|  | $\left(a_{0}, a_{q-2}, a_{q-1}, a_{q}\right)=\left(1,3,3 q-3, q^{2}-2 q\right)$ |
| $\left[q^{2}-3,3, q^{2}-q-3\right]_{q}, q=9$ | $\left(a_{0}, a_{6}, a_{8}, a_{9}\right)=(1,1,27,62)$ |
|  | $\left(a_{0}, a_{7}, a_{8}, a_{9}\right)=(1,3,24,63)$ |
|  | $\left(a_{6}, a_{9}\right)=(13,78)$ |
| $\left[q^{2}-2,3, q^{2}-q-2\right]_{q}$ | $\left(a_{0}, a_{q-2}, a_{q-1}, a_{q}\right)=\left(1,1,2 q, q^{2}-q-1\right)$ |
| $\left[q^{2}-1,3, q^{2}-q-1\right]_{q}$ | $\left(a_{0}, a_{q-1}, a_{q}\right)=\left(1, q+1, q^{2}-1\right)$ |
| $\left[q^{2}, 3, q^{2}-q\right]_{q}$ | $\left(a_{0}, a_{q}\right)=\left(1, q^{2}+q\right)$ |
| $\left[q^{2}+q-3,3, q^{2}-4\right]_{q}$ | $\left(a_{q-3}, a_{q}, a_{q+1}\right)=\left(1,4 q, q^{2}-3 q\right)$ |
|  | $\left(a_{q-2}, a_{q-1}, a_{q}, a_{q+1}\right)=\left(1,3,4 q-5, q^{2}-3 q+2\right)$ |
|  | $\left(a_{q-1}, a_{q}, a_{q+1}\right)=\left(6,4 q-8, q^{2}-3 q+3\right)$ |
| $\left[q^{2}+q-2,3, q^{2}-3\right]_{q}$ | $\left(a_{q-2}, a_{q}, a_{q+1}\right)=\left(1,3 q, q^{2}-2 q\right)$ |
|  | $\left(a_{q-1}, a_{q}, a_{q+1}\right)=\left(3,3 q-3, q^{2}-2 q+1\right)$ |
| $\left[q^{2}+q-1,3, q^{2}-2\right]_{q}$ | $\left(a_{q-1}, a_{q}, a_{q+1}\right)=\left(1,2 q, q^{2}-q\right)$ |
| $\left[q^{2}+q, 3, q^{2}-1\right]_{q}$ | $\left(a_{q}, a_{q+1}\right)=\left(q+1, q^{2}\right)$ |
| $\left[q^{2}+q+1,3, q^{2}\right]_{q}$ | $a_{q+1}=q^{2}+q+1$ |

From Lemma 2.1 (3), we have $\lambda_{0}(\Delta)=5,5,4,3,4$ for the cases A,B,C,D,E, respectively. By Lemma 2.3, an $i$-plane satisfies $i \geq q(q-3)-(q+2)=q^{2}-4 q-2$. Hence $a_{i}=0$ for any $i<q^{2}-4 q-2$. Assume that an $i$-plane contains a 2 -point. Since $\left(\gamma_{1}-2\right) \theta_{2}+2=n+3 q+1$, we have $i \geq\left(\gamma_{1}-2\right) \theta_{1}+2-(3 q+1)=2 q^{2}-4 q-2$. Let $\delta$ be an $i$-plane, $r=\gamma_{1}(\delta)$. Then, $\delta \cap \mathcal{C}$ is an $(i, r)$-arc, corresponding to an $[i, 3, i-r]_{q}$ code. Lemma 2.3 (1) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \leq \frac{i+2}{q}+1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $w$-plane through a $t$-line, (4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j}\left(\gamma_{2}-j\right) c_{j}=w+q+2-q t \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\sum_{j} c_{j}=q$. The equality (2) yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{2}=q^{3}-2 q^{2}-5 q-3+\lambda_{0} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $q^{2}-4 q-2 \leq i<q^{2}-3 q-2$. From (19), and (18) we have $r=q-3$. Then, $i \leq(q-4) q+(q-3)-4=$ $q^{2}-3 q-7$ for $q \geq 13$ by Lemma 2.6 (3) and $i \leq 78$ for $q=11$ by Table 1 . We also have that $q^{2}-3 q-2 \leq i<q^{2}-2 q-2$ implies $r=q-2$ and $i \leq(q-3) q+(q-2)-4=q^{2}-2 q-6$ and that $q^{2}-2 q-2 \leq i<q^{2}-q-2$ implies $r=q-1$ and $i \leq(q-2) q+(q-1)-4=q^{2}-q-5$. Hence, $i>q^{2}-q-5$ implies $r \geq q$. Assume $q^{2}-q-2 \leq i<q^{2}-2$. By (19), $r=q$. (20) with $(w, t)=(i, q)$ yields that $c_{\gamma_{2}}>0$, which contradicts that a $\gamma_{2}$-plane has no $q$-line. Hence $a_{i}=0$. Similarly, $q^{2}-2 \leq i<q^{2}+q-2$ implies $r=q$ and $i \leq q^{2}$. The spectrum of a $q^{2}$-plane is $\left(\tau_{0}, \tau_{q}\right)=\left(1, q^{2}+q\right)$ from Table 2, which contradicts (18). Hence $q_{q^{2}}=0$. We have $a_{q^{2}+q}=a_{\theta_{2}}=0$ similarly. Thus, we have $a_{i}=0$ for all

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i \notin\left\{q^{2}-4 q-2, \ldots, q^{2}-3 q-7, q^{2}-3 q-2, \ldots, q^{2}-2 q-6, q^{2}-2 q-2, \ldots,\right. \\
& \left.q^{2}-q-5, q^{2}-2, q^{2}-1, q^{2}+q-2, q^{2}+q-1,2 q^{2}-4 q-2, \ldots, 2 q^{2}-q-3\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $a_{79}=a_{80}=a_{81}=0$ for $q=11$. From (3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{\gamma_{2}-2}\binom{\gamma_{2}-i}{2} a_{i}=q^{2} \lambda_{2}-\left(q^{5}-\frac{7}{2} q^{4}-\frac{7}{2} q^{3}+\frac{13}{2} q^{2}+\frac{7}{2} q-1\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first rule out possible $\left(q^{2}+q-2\right)$-planes by $\left(\lambda_{2}, q^{2}+q-2\right)$-ROM. Suppose $a_{q^{2}+q-2}>0$. The spectrum of a $\left[q^{2}+q-2,3, q^{2}-3\right]_{q}$ code is $(\mathrm{X})\left(\tau_{q-2}, \tau_{q}, \tau_{q+1}\right)=\left(1,3 q, q^{2}-2 q\right)$ or $(\mathrm{Y})\left(\tau_{q-1}, \tau_{q}, \tau_{q+1}\right)=\left(3,3 q-3, q^{2}-\right.$ $2 q+1$ ) from Table 2. Setting $w=q^{2}+q-2$ in (20), the maximum possible contributions of $c_{j}$ 's to the LHS of (22) are $\left(c_{2 q^{2}-4 q-2}, c_{2 q^{2}-2 q-4}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1,1, q-2)$ for $t=q-2 ;\left(c_{2 q^{2}-4 q-2}, c_{n-d-1}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1,1, q-2)$ for $t=q-1 ;\left(c_{2 q^{2}-2 q-4}, c_{n-d-1}\right)=(1, q-1)$ for $t=q ; c_{n-d-1}=q$ for $t=q+1$. Estimating the LHS of (22) for spectrum (X), we get

$$
(\text { LHS of }(22)) \leq\binom{ q^{2}-2 q-1}{2}+\left(\binom{3 q-1}{2}+\binom{q+1}{2}\right) \tau_{q-2}+\binom{3 q-1}{2} \tau_{q-1}+\binom{q+1}{2} \tau_{q}
$$

giving $\lambda_{2} \leq\left(2 q^{3}-6 q^{2}-8 q+27\right) / 2$. On the other hand, (21) gives $\lambda_{2} \geq q^{3}-2 q^{2}-5 q$, a contradiction. We also get a contradiction similarly for spectrum (Y). Hence $a_{q^{2}+q-2}=0$. One can prove $a_{q^{2}+q-1}=$ $a_{q^{2}-2}=a_{q^{2}-1}=0$ for $q \geq 11$ using the spectra in Table 2, similarly.

Next, we rule out the possible $i$-planes for $q^{2}-4 q-2 \leq i \leq q^{2}-3 q-7$ for $q \geq 13$ and for $75 \leq i \leq 78$ for $q \geq 11$ by $\left(\lambda_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)$-ROM. Suppose $a_{i}>0$ for $i=q^{2}-4 q-2+e$ with $0 \leq e \leq q-5, q \geq 13$. Then, we may assume that $\Delta$ has spectrum (A). Note that RHS of (20) is at most $q^{2}-3 q+e-q t \leq q^{2}-4 q-5$. Since $\Delta$ has no 0 -line and the coefficient of $c_{q^{2}-q-5}$ in (20) is $q^{2}+2$, we get $a_{i}=1$ and $a_{j}=0$ for $j \leq q^{2}-q-5$ with $j \neq i$. Setting $w=n-d$ in (20), the maximum possible contributions of $c_{j}$ 's to the LHS of (22) are $\left(c_{i}, c_{n-d-e}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1,1, q-2)$ for $t=q-3 ;\left(c_{2 q^{2}-4 q-2}, c_{n-d-y}, c_{n-d}\right)=(x, 1, q-x-1)$ for $t=q-1 ;\left(c_{2 q^{2}-3 q-2}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1, q-1)$ for $t=2 q-2 ;\left(c_{2 q^{2}-2 q-2}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1, q-1)$ for $t=2 q-1$, where $(x, y)=(q / 3,4 q / 3-1),(x, y)=((q-1) / 3,(7 q-4) / 3),(x, y)=((q+1) / 3,(q-2) / 3)$ if $q \equiv 0,1,2$ $(\bmod 3)$, respectively. Estimating the LHS of $(22)$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\text { LHS of }(22)) \leq\left(\binom{q^{2}+3 q-1-e}{2}+\binom{e}{2}\right) \tau_{q-3}+\left(\binom{3 q-1}{2} x+\binom{y}{2}\right) \tau_{q-1} \\
\\
+\binom{2 q-1}{2} \tau_{2 q-2}+\binom{q-1}{2} \tau_{2 q-1} \\
\leq\binom{ q^{2}+3 q-1}{2} \tau_{q-3}+\left(\binom{3 q-1}{2} \frac{q+1}{3}+\binom{\frac{q-2}{3}}{2}\right) \tau_{q-1}+\binom{2 q-1}{2} \tau_{2 q-2}+\binom{q-1}{2} \tau_{2 q-1},
\end{gathered}
$$

giving $\lambda_{2} \leq\left(18 q^{3}-45 q^{2}+81 q+92\right) / 18$. On the other hand, since $\Delta$ has five 0-points and one $(q-3)$-line, say $l, \Delta \backslash l$ has one 0 -point. Since $c_{n-d} \geq q-e-1 \geq 4$ for $t=q-3$, there are at least four $\gamma_{2}$-planes with spectrum (A) through $l$ and (21) yields

$$
\lambda_{2} \geq q^{3}-2 q^{2}-5 q-3+\left(\theta_{2}-\left(q^{2}-3 q-7\right)\right)+4=q^{3}-2 q^{2}-q+5,
$$

giving a contradiction for $q \geq 13$. For $q=11$, we consider a putative $i$-plane with $i=q^{2}-4 q-2+e$ with $0 \leq e \leq 3$ in the same way. Since $c_{n-d} \geq q-e-1 \geq 7$ for $t=q-3$, we can get a contradiction as above. Hence $a_{i}=0$ for $q^{2}-4 q-2 \leq i \leq q^{2}-3 q-7$. One can similarly prove that $a_{i}=0$ for $q^{2}-3 q-2 \leq i \leq q^{2}-2 q-6$ and for $q^{2}-2 q-2 \leq i \leq q^{2}-q-5$ by $\left(\lambda_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)$-ROM.

Thus, we have proved that $a_{i}=0$ for all $i<2 q^{2}-4 q-2$. Finally, applying $\left(\lambda_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)$-ROM for $i=\lambda_{2}$, we get a contradiction as follows. Setting $w=n-d$, the maximum possible contributions of $c_{j}$ 's in (20) to the LHS of (22) are $\left(c_{2 q^{2}-4 q-2}, c_{n-d-w}, c_{n-d}\right)=(z, 1, q-z-1)$ for $t=q-3 ;\left(c_{2 q^{2}-4 q-2}, c_{n-d-b}, c_{n-d}\right)=$ $(a, 1, q-a-1)$ for $t=q-2 ;\left(c_{2 q^{2}-4 q-2}, c_{n-d-y}, c_{n-d}\right)=(x, 1, q-x-1)$ for $t=q-1 ;\left(c_{2 q^{2}-4 q-2}, c_{n-d}\right)=$ $(1, q-1)$ for $t=2 q-3 ;\left(c_{2 q^{2}-3 q-2}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1, q-1)$ for $t=2 q-2 ;\left(c_{2 q^{2}-2 q-2}, c_{n-d}\right)=(1, q-1)$ for $t=2 q-1$, where $(a, b, x, y, z, w)=(q / 3,7 q / 3-1, q / 3,4 q / 3-1, q / 3+1, q / 3),((q+2) / 3,(q-1) / 3,(q-$ $1) / 3,(7 q-4) / 3,(q+2) / 3,(4 q-1) / 3),((q+1) / 3,(4 q-2) / 3,(q+1) / 3,(q-2) / 3,(q+1) / 3,(7 q-2) / 3)$ if $q \equiv 0,1,2(\bmod 3)$, respectively. Estimating the LHS of (22), we get
$($ LHS of $(22)) \leq\left(\binom{3 q-1}{2} z+\binom{w}{2}\right) \tau_{q-3}+\left(\binom{3 q-1}{2} a+\binom{b}{2}\right) \tau_{q-2}$

$$
+\left(\binom{3 q-1}{2} x+\binom{y}{2}\right) \tau_{q-1}+\binom{3 q-1}{2} \tau_{2 q-3}+\binom{2 q-1}{2} \tau_{2 q-2}+\binom{q-1}{2} \tau_{2 q-1}
$$

giving $\lambda_{2} \leq\left(6 q^{3}-18 q^{2}+24 q+37\right) / 6$ if $\Delta$ has spectrum (D) and if $q \equiv 2 \bmod 3$. On the other hand, (21) yields $\lambda_{2} \geq q^{3}-2 q^{2}-5 q-3$, giving a contradiction for $q \geq 11$. One can get a contradiction similarly for the other cases. This completes the proof.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Lemma 5.1. There exists no $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=q^{3}-q^{2}-4 q-2$ for $q \geq 9$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a putative $\left[n=q^{3}-4 q-6,4, d=q^{3}-q^{2}-4 q-2\right]_{q}$ code. Note that $n=g_{q}(4, d)$ and hence $\gamma_{0}=1, \gamma_{1}=q, \gamma_{2}=n-d=q^{2}-4$ from (1). Let $\Delta$ be a $\gamma_{2}$-plane and let $\delta$ be an $i$-plane. By Lemma 2.13, the spectrum of $\Delta$ satisfies $\tau_{j}=0$ for $1 \leq j \leq q-5$. Since a $t$-line in $\delta$ satisfies $t \leq(i+6) / q$, we have $a_{i}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq q-7$. Assume $i=s q-6+e$ with $0 \leq e \leq q-1$. For $2 \leq s \leq q-5$, we have $\gamma_{1}(\delta) \leq s-1$ by Lemma 2.3 (5). Then, it follows from Lemma 2.6 (1) that $i \leq(s-2) q+s-1<s q-6+e$, a contradiction. For $s=q-4$, from Lemma 2.6 (2), we have $i \leq(q-5) q+q-4-3<i$, a contradiction again. Similarly, using Lemma 2.6 and Table 1, we can deduce that $a_{i}=0$ for all $i \notin\left\{0, q^{2}-6, q^{2}-5, q^{2}-4\right\}$ for $q \geq 11$ and that $a_{i}=0$ for all $i \notin\{0,48,75,76,77\}$ for $q=9$. For $q=9$, a 48 -plane has a 0 -line [24], but the equation (4) with $(i, t)=(48,0)$ has no solution. Hence $a_{48}=0$. From (4), we have $a_{0}=0$ or 1 . The equality (3) gives

$$
a_{q^{2}-6}=\left(q^{4}+4 q^{3}-9 q^{2}+14 q+2\right) / 2-\binom{q^{2}-4}{2} a_{0} \geq 2 q^{3}+7 q-9>\theta_{3},
$$

a contradiction.
Lemma 5.2. There exists no $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=q^{3}-q^{2}-6 q$ for $q \geq 9$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a putative $\left[n=q^{3}-6 q-6,4, d=q^{3}-q^{2}-6 q\right]_{q}$ code. Then, $n=g_{q}(4, d)$ and $\gamma_{0}=1$, $\gamma_{1}=q, \gamma_{2}=n-d=q^{2}-6$ from (1). Let $\Delta$ be a $\gamma_{2}$-plane and let $\delta$ be an $i$-plane. By Lemma 2.13, the spectrum of $\Delta$ satisfies $\tau_{j}=0$ for $1 \leq j \leq q-7$. Since a $t$-line in $\delta$ satisfies $t \leq(i+6) / q$, we have $a_{i}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq q-7$. Using Lemmas 2.3, 2.6 and Table 1 similarly to the proof of Lemma 5.1, we can deduce that $a_{i}=0$ for all $i \notin\left\{0, q^{2}-6\right\}$ for $q \geq 11$ and that $a_{i}=0$ for all $i \notin\{0,48,75\}$ for $q=9$. Since the equation (4) with $(i, t)=(0,0)$ has no solution for $q \geq 9$, we obtain $a_{0}=0$. Then, the three equations in Lemma 2.1 have no solution for $q=9$, a contradiction. We also get a contradiction for $q \geq 11$ from Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 5.3. There exists no $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=q^{3}-q^{2}-6 q-1$ for $q \geq 9$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a putative $\left[n=q^{3}-6 q-7,4, d=q^{3}-q^{2}-6 q-1\right]_{q}$ code. Then, $n=g_{q}(4, d), \gamma_{0}=1$, $\gamma_{1}=q, \gamma_{2}=n-d=q^{2}-6$ from (1). Let $\Delta$ be a $\gamma_{2}$-plane and let $\delta$ be an $i$-plane. By Lemma 2.13, the spectrum of $\Delta$ satisfies $\tau_{j}=0$ for $1 \leq j \leq q-7$. Since a $t$-line in $\delta$ satisfies $t \leq(i+7) / q$, we have $a_{i}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq q-8$. Using Lemmas 2.3, 2.6 and Table 1, it can be shown that $a_{i}=0$ for all $i \notin\left\{0, q^{2}-3 q-7, q^{2}-7, q^{2}-6\right\}$ for $q \geq 11$ and that $a_{i}=0$ for all $i \notin\{0,47,48,65,74,75\}$ for $q=9$.

Suppose $a_{0}>0$. It follows from (4) that $a_{0}=1$ and that $a_{j}=0$ for $0<j<q^{2}-7$. Then, $\mathcal{C}$ is extendable by Theorem 2.11, contradicting Lemma 5.2. Hence $a_{0}=0$. Then, $\mathcal{C}$ is extendable by Theorem 2.9, a contradiction again.

Lemma 5.4. There exists no $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=q^{3}-q^{2}-6 q-2$ for $q \geq 9$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a putative $\left[n=q^{3}-6 q-8,4, d=q^{3}-q^{2}-6 q-2\right]_{q}$ code. Then, $n=g_{q}(4, d), \gamma_{0}=1$, $\gamma_{1}=q, \gamma_{2}=n-d=q^{2}-6$ from (1). Let $\Delta$ be a $\gamma_{2}$-plane and let $\delta$ be an $i$-plane. By Lemma 2.13 , the spectrum of $\Delta$ satisfies $\tau_{j}=0$ for $1 \leq j \leq q-7$. Since a $t$-line in $\delta$ satisfies $t \leq(i+8) / q$, we have $a_{i}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq q-9$ for $q \geq 11$. Using Lemmas 2.3, 2.6 and Table 1 , it can be shown that
$a_{i}=0$ for all $i \notin\left\{0, q^{2}-4 q-8, q^{2}-3 q-8, q^{2}-3 q-7, q^{2}-8, q^{2}-7, q^{2}-6\right\}$ for $q \geq 13$,
$a_{i}=0$ for all $i \notin\{0,102,113,114,115\}$ for $q=11$,
$a_{i}=0$ for all $i \notin\{0,28,37,46,47,48,55,64,65,73,74,75\}$ for $q=9$.
Suppose $a_{0}>0$. It follows from (4) that $a_{0}=1$ and that $a_{j}=0$ for $0<j<q^{2}-8$ for $q \geq 9$. Then, the equality (3) gives $a_{q^{2}-8}=3 q^{3}+10 q-20>\theta_{3}$, a contradiction. Hence $a_{0}=0$. Then, $\mathcal{C}$ is extendable by Theorem 2.10, a contradiction again.

The following three lemmas can be proved similarly to Lemmas $5.2,5.3,5.4$, respectively.
Lemma 5.5. There exists no $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=q^{3}-q^{2}-5 q$ for $q \geq 9$.
Lemma 5.6. There exists no $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=q^{3}-q^{2}-5 q-1$ for $q \geq 9$.
Lemma 5.7. There exists no $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ code for $d=q^{3}-q^{2}-5 q-2$ for $q \geq 9$.
Now, Theorem 1.5 follows from Lemmas 5.1, 5.4, 5.7. This completes the proof.

## 6. Conclusion

To solve the problem finding the exact values of $n_{q}(k, d)$ for all $d$ for fixed $q$ and $k$, it is sufficient to determine $n_{q}(k, d)$ for finite values of $d$ since $n_{q}(k, d)=g_{q}(k, d)$ for all $d \geq(k-2) q^{k-1}-(k-1) q^{k-2}+1$, $k \geq 3$ for all $q$ [17]. For $k=4$, it is known that $n_{q}(4, d)=g_{q}(4, d)$ for $q^{3}-q^{2}-q+1 \leq d \leq q^{3}+q^{2}+q$, $d \geq 2 q^{3}-3 q^{2}+1$ for all $q$ and for $2 q^{3}-5 q^{2}+1 \leq d \leq 2 q^{3}-5 q^{2}+3 q$ for $q \geq 7$ ([18, 21]). The key contribution here is showing the non-existence of $\left[g_{q}(4, d), 4, d\right]_{q}$ codes for many values of $d$ close to these "Griesmer area", and it seems reasonable to seek a generalization for larger $k$. To this direction, see [3] and [4].
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