# Original Article Resistance Mechanisms of Anopheles stephensi (Diptera: Culicidae) to Temephos

## Aboozar Soltani<sup>1,3</sup>, \*Hassan Vatandoost<sup>3</sup>, \*Mohammad Ali Oshaghi<sup>3</sup>, Naseh Maleki Ravasan<sup>3</sup>, Ahmad Ali Enayati<sup>2</sup>, Fatemeh Asgarian<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Entomology and vector control, School of Health, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

<sup>2</sup>School of Public Health and Health Research Centre, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Entomology and Vector Control, School of Public Health and National Institute of Health Research, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

#### (Received 9 Apr 2014; accepted 24 May 2014)

#### Abstract

**Background:** Anopheles stephensi is a sub-tropical species and has been considered as one of the most important vector of human malaria throughout the Middle East and South Asian region including the malarious areas of southern Iran. Current reports confirmed *An. stephensi* resistance to temephos in Oman and India. However, there is no comprehensive research on mechanisms of temephos resistance in *An. stephensi* in the literature. This study was designed in order to clarify the enzymatic and molecular mechanisms of temephos resistance in this species.

**Methods:** Profile activities of - and  $\beta$ -esterases, mixed function oxidase (MFO), glutathione-S-transferase (GST), insensitive acetylcholinesterase, and para-nitrophenyl acetate (PNPA)-esterase enzymes were tested for *An. stephensi* strain with resistance ratio of 15.82 to temephos in comparison with susceptible strain.

**Results:** Results showed that the mean activity of -EST, GST and AChE enzymes were classified as altered indicating metabolic mechanisms have considerable role in resistance of *An. stephensi* to temephos. Molecular study using PCR-RFLP method to trace the G119S mutation in ACE-1 gene showed lack of the mutation responsible for organophosphate insecticide resistance in the temephos-selected strain of *An. stephensi*.

**Conclusion:** This study showed that the altered enzymes but not targets site insensitivity of ACE-1 are responsible for temephos resistance in *An. stephensi* in south of Iran.

Keywords: Anopheles stephensi, temephos, mechanisms of resistance, Acetylcholinesterase gene, malaria

#### Introduction

Malaria still remains as a public health problem in the world. Southern parts of Iran are involved with this problem (Vatandoost et al. 2010).

Anopheles stephensi is a sub-tropical species and also an important vector of human malaria throughout the Middle East and South Asian region, including the Indo-Pakistan subcontinent, with a westward extension through Iran and Iraq into the Middle East and Arabian Peninsula. This species is considered to be the main malaria vector in the Persian Gulf area (Oshaghi et al. 2006a and 2006b). Previous studies have shown *An. stephensi* to be the most prevalent anopheline species in the malarious areas of southern Iran (Vatandoost et al. 2004, Hanafi-Bojd et al. 2012).

Temephos, a most widely used organophosphorus insecticide, has been included in the list of World Health Organization (WHO) as a suitable and safe mosquito larvicide that can be used even in drinking water for con-

71

<sup>\*</sup>**Corresponding author:** Prof Hassan Vatandoost, Email: hvatandoost1@yahoo.com, Dr Mohammad Ali Oshaghi, E-mail: oshaghima@yahoo.com

trolling of the most mosquito vectors .The toxicity of this insecticide is low and unlikely to present acute hazard for human (WHO 2006).

Temephos (EC 50%) has been used for some years for larval control program of malaria in Southern Iran (Vatandoost et al. 2006). Many studies on the susceptibility level of An. stephensi to various pesticides have been done in Iran and other countries. Resistance of An. stephensi to different insecticide was reported from around the world (Vatandoost et al. 1996). Different levels of resistance to larvicides were reported in anopheline malaria vectors worldwide. Anopheles stephensi has an extensive resistance comparing to other species and is resistant or tolerant to fenitrothion, temephos and fenthion in India, fenitrothion and pirimiphos-methyl fenitrothion, pirimiphos-methyl, Iraq. in chlorfoxim and foxim in Iran and fenitrothion in Pakistan (Vatandoost and Hanafi-Bojd 2005a). Resistance of other anopheline mosquito such as An. dthali to temephos also was reported (Hanafi-Bojd et al. 2006).

In 2006 for the first time in the Middle East, resistance to temephos was confirmed in *An. stephensi* breeding in water storage tanks in the Al-Dhahira region of Oman (Anderasen 2006). The level of resistance was 2.5 times higher than that of the WHO diagnostic dose (0. 25 mg/l). However, there was no confirmed report of resistance of *An. stephensi* to temephos in Iran. Previous studies in Iran showed that this species was completely susceptible to temephos at the WHO diagnostic dose (Vatandoost et al. 2004, Vatandoost and Hanafi-Bojd 2005a, Vatandoost et al. 2005b, Vatandoost et al. 2006).

One of the most important molecular mechanisms of resistance to organophosphate insecticide in mosquitoes is structural mutations that occur in acetylcholinesterase gene. In mosquitoes two cholinesterase genes are existed (ACE-2 and ACE-1). ACE genes have been cloned from the mosquitoes *Aedes*  *aegypti* and *An. stephensi*, both of these genes are also sex linked (Hemingway and Ranson 2000). The existence of both ACE genes in *An. stephensi* is approved by other researchers (Malcolm and Hall 1990, Weill et al. 2002,). But as yet, there is no recorded ACEbased resistance mechanism in *An. stephensi* (Hemingway and Ranson 2000). It is known that insensitive acetylcholinesterase (AChE) due to a G119S mutation is associated with tolerance to carbamate and organophosphate insecticides in *Anopheles gambiae* and the mutation can be detected using a PCR-RFLP assay (Weill et al. 2004a).

As yet there is no comprehensive research about mechanisms of temephos resistance in *An. stephensi* in the literature. The current study was designed in order to clarify the enzymatic and molecular mechanisms of temephos resistance in this species.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### Study area

Eight different areas in two most important malarious provinces of Iran were considered to collect live wild specimens of *An. stephensi* including: Bandar Abbas Port, Minab County and Hormoodar Village in Hormozgan Province, and Chabahar Port, villages of Bampoor and Abtar from Iranshahr County, villages of Angoori and Machkor from Sarbaz County in Sistan and Baluchistan Province (Fig. 1).

#### Mosquito strains

The field collected strains of *An. stephensi* were reared in the insectarum for further tests.

A susceptible laboratory strain of *An. stephensi* (Beech-Lab from insectarium of department of Medical Entomology and Vector Control group, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences) was used to compare the susceptibility status of the field strains. This strain has been main-

72

tained in the laboratory without exposure to insecticides for 28 years.

#### Insecticide

Technical grade insecticide used in the present study was Temephos 90 % (Batch No: TEM/136-229) which was obtained from Levant Overseas Development Ltd., Argenteuil, France.

Based on pre-tests, five concentrations of the larvicide (0.25, 0.0625, 0.0156, 0.0039 and 0.00195 mg/l) were considered for susceptibility assays. Bioassay consisted of five concentrations resulting 10–90% mortality. Butanone 2% in absolute ethanol was used as a control.

#### Larval bioassays

Susceptibility assays was carried out according to the method described by World Health Organization (WHO 2012). The toxicity of temephos to *An. stephensi*, from field-collected population was determined and compared with laboratory reared susceptible Beech-Lab strain.

Abbott's formula was used to correct the observed mortality of larvae. All the data were corrected if the control mortality is between 5 and 20 % (Abbott 1965). Data were analyzed using probit analysis to determine the 50% lethal concentration values ( $LC_{50}$ ) and 90% lethal concentration values ( $LC_{90}$ ) of the field and Beech-Lab strains (Finney 1971).

#### **Selection process**

The strain which showed the highest resistance ratio (RR) to temephos was preceded for selection pressure. This strain was selected for 5 generations by exposing late third or early fourth instars to the concentrations which produced 50–70 % mortality (Paeporn et al. 2004). Selection was continued as long as a homogenous resistant population with resistance ratio more than 10fold was achieved.

#### **Biochemical assays**

Thirty mosquito larvae from each susceptible and resistant strain were assayed for and *B*-esterases, mixed function oxidase (MFO) and glutathione-S-transferase (GST), insensitive acetylcholinesterase and PNPAesterase enzymes. Each larva was homogenized in 100 µL of potassium phosphate (KPO4) buffer (6.6 g dibasic potassium phosphate/1.7g mono basic potassium phosphate/1000mL distilled water (dH2O), pH 7.2) and then diluted to 2 mL with the same buffer. Each mosquito was analyzed in duplicate with 100 µL of mosquito homogenate transferred to two wells on a 96 well flatbottomed microtitration plate. Absorbance levels were measured spectrophotometrically with a microplate reader (ELX808 Ultra Microplate Reader BIO-TEK ®), at wave lengths indicated for each enzyme, and the mean absorbance calculated based on data for the two replicate wells per mosquito.

Procedures were followed based on slight modifications of a protocol from the Centers of Disease Control (Polson et al. 2011). The activities of all enzymes were evaluated according to this protocol. The details of procedures were described completely in this research (Polson et al. 2011). Reagents and substrates for biochemical assays were provided by Sigma.

#### Data analyses of Biochemical assays

Absorbance values which were obtained for mosquito replicates were corrected in relation to the volume of mosquito homogenates, the enzyme activity unit and the total protein content of each mosquito (Polson et al. 2011). The means of enzyme activities for each *An. stephensi* larval strain were compared with the susceptible (Beech-Lab strain) by Unpaired t-test, Mann-whitney test (P< 0.05).

The Beech-Lab 99th percentile was calculated for each enzyme and the percentage of specimens with enzymatic activity above that of the Beech-Lab 99th percentile was calculated. Enzyme activities were then classified as "altered", "incipiently altered" or "unaltered" if the rate was >50 %, between 15 % and 50 % and <15 %, respectively (Montella et al. 2007).

#### Molecular study of resistance

Mosquito genomic DNA was extracted from triplex homogenate of mosquitoes by QIAamp DNA Mini Kit. DNA was then PCR amplified with the degenerated primers Moustdir1

# 5 CCGGGNGCSACYATGTGGAA3 and Moustrev1

5 ACGATMACGTTCTCYTCCGA3 according to the conditions and thermal cycles already introduced by Weill et al. (2004). The PCR products were digested with *Alu*I restriction enzyme according to the manufacturer's instructions and fractionated on a 2% agarose ethidium bromide gel (Weill et al. 2004a).

The primers created a 194 bp amplicon in both temephos resistance and susceptible strains, however after restriction enzyme digestion, homozygous resistant individuals cut to 120 bp and 74 bp fragments if the G119S mutation was existed.

Representative PCR products of both temephos resistance and susceptible strains of *An. stephensi* were sent for sequencing in order to confirm the PCR-RFLP assays as well as to find other possible mutation on ACE-1 gene except for the G119S mutation.

Bioinformatic softwares such as Clustal W2, Blast, and Mega 5 were used for sequence alignment, homology, and phylogenetic analysis. We also used TranSeq software for translation nucleic acids to amino acids.

### Results

#### Larval bioassays

Considerable variation in temephos resistance ratio of filed strains in comparison with susceptible strain was noticed from all the locations studied. A low level of resistance ratio was observed in the populations of *An. stephensi* except in Chabahar strain. (RR= 4.27 folds) compared to Beech-Lab strain (P< 0.05) (Fig. 2). According to our findings, Chabahar strain of *An. stephensi* with resistance ratio more than 4folds was chosen for selection process as the most tolerant strain.

#### **Selection process**

After larval bioassays, Chabahar strain was established into the insectary for selection process. Selection process was continued for 15 months. After 5th selection a resistant population of *An. stephensi* was achieved with 15.82 and 35.34-folds resistance ratio at  $LC_{50}$  and  $LC_{90}$  level, respectively.

#### **Biochemical assays**

Analyses were conducted through comparing the median value for Beech-Lab strain (S) with those of the temphos selected strain (R), for each enzyme. By Unpaired t-test and Mann-Whitney test, the median activity for all enzymes differed significantly (P< 0.05). According to the classification scheme detailed in method, for each respective enzyme, activities were classified as "unaltered", "incipiently altered" or "altered" if the values were <15%, between15 and 50% and >50%, respectively. Tables 1 and 2 show the number of mosquitoes assessed in each assay, along with the median values and percentage of strains with enzymatic activities in relation to Beech-Lab strain (S).

#### -Esterase

In relation to Beech-Lab strain (S), temephos resistant strain (R) was significantly different in -EST activity levels (P< 0.0001). R strain showed altered activity with >50 % (95%) of individuals recording activity above that of the 99th percentile of the Beech-Lab reference strain (Table 1).

#### **B-Esterase**

The median activity levels of  $\beta$ -EST seen in the resistant strain were significantly different from the Beech-Lab strain (P< 0.0001). Based on the classification of activity profiles, R strain showed unaltered activity with <15 % (8.33%) of individuals recording activity above that of the 99th percentile of the Beech-Lab reference strain (Table 1).

#### **PNPA-Esterase**

There were significant differences observed between the median PNPA-EST activities of the Beech-Lab strain and temephos resistant strain (P= 0.0096). An unaltered profile of PNPA-EST (6.67%) was found in R strain of *An. stephensi* (Table 1).

#### Mixed function oxidase (MFO)

In relation to Beech-Lab strain (S), temephos resistant strain (R) was significantly different in MFO activity levels (P= 0.0002). R strain showed unaltered activity with <15 % (1.67%) of individuals recording activity above that of the 99th percentile of the Beech-Lab reference strain (Table 2).

#### **Glutathione-S-transferase (GST)**

The GST activity in the Beech-Lab strain was significantly different from that of the temephos resistant strain (P< 0.0001). Based on the classification of activity profiles, R strain showed altered activity with >50 % (86.67%) of individuals recording activity above that of the 99th percentile of the Beech-Lab reference strain (Table 2).

#### Insensitive acetylcholinestersase (iAChE)

The rate of activity of AChE in the presence of propoxur for Beech-Lab strain was significantly different from that observed in the temephos resistant strain (P< 0.0001). An altered profile of AChE (90%) was found in the R strain of *An. stephensi* (Table 2).

The activity levels of the enzymes in both strains (R and S) are graphically displayed in scatter plots (Figs. 3–8).

# Molecular study on ACE-1 Resistance in Anopheles stephensi

With Moustdir1 and Moustrev1 primers, a 194 bp amplicon was amplified by PCR. The result of PCR-RFLP with *Alu*I showed that PCR products of both temephos resistance and susceptible strains of *An. stephensi* were remain intact (Fig. 9) indicating lack of the G119S mutation in ACE-1 of resistance strain.

Three specimens from each resistant and susceptible strain of *An. stephensi* to temephos, were sent for sequencing. The sequences were deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) with accession numbers (HG380320-24).

The results of 5 sequencing that were trustable, analyzed with Blast, and Clustal W2 softwares. The Blast analysis revealed that there was no counterpart sequence data of the ACE-1 gene of *An. stephensi* in the genbank database. The most similar sequence data available in genbank database were *Anopheles albimanus* S (Accession number: AJ566402), *An. albimanus* R (AN: AJ566403) and *Anopheles funestus* R (AN: DQ534435) (Fig. 10).

The results showed sequences of ACE-1 for both resistant and susceptible strains were identical and no G119S mutation was observed in resistance strainthat equenced. The Blast analysis of this region of ACE-1 for *An. stephensi*, showed sequence of ACE-1 was more similar to *An. albimanus* than *An. funestus* (Table 3). In comparison with available data in genbank, 2 indels, and 34 substitutions were observed (Fig. 10). Construction of Phylogram was done using Mega 5 for ACE-1 sequences of this study (*An. stephensi* R/S) and other available data in genbank (*An. albimanus* S, *An. albimanus* R and *An. funestus* R) (Fig. 11).

Amino acids sequences of *An. stephensi* ACE-1 gene were compared with other similar amino acid sequences of mosquitoes were available in the genbank (Fig. 12). The results showed lack of Glycine to Serine substitu-

tion at position 119 in the ACE-1 gene that confers high levels of resistance to organophosphate in the resistant (R) strain of *An. stephensi* of this study. This substitution only observed in R strain of *An. albimanus*. Two species specific amino acid sequences in ACE-1 gene of *An. stephensi* were observed in analogy with other sequences. In this species two Arginine have been substituted with Glutamic acid and Aspartic acid. These differences seem to be structural and not related to insecticide resistance property of this species (Fig. 12).



Fig. 1. Location of *Anopheles stephensi* collection sites from malarious areas of Iran, 2011



Fig. 2. Temephos resistance ratio pattern in *Anopheles* stephensi field strains from malarious area of southern Iran



Fig. 3. Activity profile of -esterase enzymes



**Fig. 4.** Activity profile of β-esterase enzymes



Fig. 5. Activity profile of PNPA-esterase enzymes

Strains	-EST (nmol/mg ptn/min)				EST (nmol/m	g ptn/min)	PNPA-EST(\(\triangle abs/mg ptn/min))			
	N a	Median b	р99 с	Ν	Median	p99	Ν	Median	p99	
Beech-Lab	30	0.00006881	0.00010411	30	0.00014011	0.00020971	30	0.04560881	0.07969278	
	Ν	Median	%>p99 d	Ν	Median	%>p99	Ν	Median	%>p99	
Chabahar	30	0.00013654	95	30	0.00017725	8.33	30	0.05132526	6.67	
(Selected with										
Temephos)										

Table 1.	Quantification of enzymatic activity of esterases in two	vo strains (resistant and susceptible) of	of Anopheles
	stephensi		

a Number of mosquitoes tested.

b Median value for each enzymatic activity.

c 99th percentile for Beech-Lab reference strain.

d Percentage of mosquito specimen with activity above 99<sup>th</sup> percentile for Beech-Lab reference strain.

 Table 2. Quantification of enzymatic activity of MFO, GST and iAChE in two strains (resistant and susceptible) of

 Anopheles stephensi

Strains	MFO (nmol cyt/mg ptn)				GST (nmol/mg	ptn/min)	AChE (% activity)				
	N a	Median b	р99 с	Ν	Median	p99	Ν	Median	p99		
Beech-Lab	30	0.00003264	0.00008108	30	00085944	0.00028138	30	1.40618583	5.36517282		
	Ν	Median	%>p99 d	Ν	Median	%>p99	Ν	Median	%>p99		
Chabahar	30	0.00002279	1.67	30	0.00033844	86.67	30	14.16817118	90		
(Selected with											
Temephos)											

a Number of mosquitoes tested.

b Median value for each enzymatic activity.

c 99th percentile for Beech-Lab reference strain.

d Percentage of mosquito specimen with activity above 99<sup>th</sup> percentile for Beech-Lab reference strain.

**Table 3.** Blast analyze of ACE-1 region sequence for temephos-resistant Anopheles stephensi R/S (this study) and other species of mosquitoes (Anopheles albimanus S, Anopheles albimanus R and Anopheles funestus R)

SeqA	Name	Length	SeqB	Name	Length	Score
1	An. stephensi R/S	160	2	AJ566402 An.albimanus S	162	88.75
1	An. stephensi R/S	160	3	AJ566403 An.albimanus R	162	88.12
1	An. stephensi R/S	160	4	DQ534435 An.funestus R	162	83.12
2	AJ566402 An.albimanus S	162	3	AJ566403 An.albimanus R	162	99.38
2	AJ566402 An.albimanus S	162	4	DQ534435 An.funestus R	162	82.72
3	AJ566403 An.albimanus R	162	4	DQ534435 An.funestus R	162	82.1



Fig. 6. Activity profile of MFO enzymes



Fig. 8. Percent remaining activity acetylcholinesterase (AChE)



Fig. 7. Activity profile of GST enzymes



**Fig. 9.** Diagnostic PCR-RFLP to identify G119S mutation in amplified region of ACE-1 (194 bp) in individuals of *Anopheles stephensi*. M: 50 bp ladder (Fermentas), Lane 1–6: temephos-resistant strain (Lane 1–2: 4<sup>th</sup> generation of selected strain with temephos, Lane 3–4: 5<sup>th</sup> generation of selected strain of selected strain with temephos, Lane 5–6: 6<sup>th</sup> generation of selected strain with temephos) CLUSTAL 2.1 multiple sequence alignment

Table 4.	Summary of	fsome	biocl	hemical	studie	s were	done	in or	ler to	o charac	cterizing	g the n	nechar	nisms o	of te	mepł	los
resistance in different vector species																	

Species	Year	Country	insecticide	Main mechanism of resistance	reference
Culex quinquefasciatus	1990	Srilanka	Temephos	General esterase ( and ß)	Peiris and Hemingway 1990
Anopheles albimanus	1998	Mexico	Organophosphate	PNPA-estrase and MFO	Penilla et al. 1998
Aedes aegypti	2003	Thailand	Temephos	General esterase ( and ß	Paeporn et al. 2003
Aedes aegypti	2005	Thailand	Temephos	General esterase ( and $\beta$ )	Saelim et al. 2005
Aedes aegypti	2007	Brazil	Temephos	General esterase ( and ß), PNPA estrase and GST (only in north-east strain of Brazil)	Montella et al. 2007
Aedes aegypti	2010	Brazil	Temephos	General esterase ( $and \beta$ ) and GST	Melo-Santosa et al. 2010
Aedes aegypti	2011	Trinidad	Temephos	General esterase ( and ß), GST, MFO and AChE	Polson 2011
Anopheles stephensi	2013	Iran	Temephos	-EST, GST and AChE	This study

#### CLUSTAL 2.1 multiple sequence alignment

AJ566402	An.albimanus S	TCTCGG <mark>A</mark> GGACTGCCTGT <mark>ACATCAA</mark> CGTGGTGGCGCCG <mark>A</mark> GGCCACGGCCC	50
AJ566403	An.albimanus R	TCTCGGAGGACTGCCTGTACATCAACGTGGTGGCGCCGAGGCCACGGCCC	50
Seql		TCTCGGAGATGTCTGTACATCAACGTGGTAGCACCACGACCCCGTCCC	48
Seq2		TCTCGGAGATGTCTGTACATCAACGTGGTAGCACCACGACCCCGTCCC	48
Seq3		TCTCGGAGATGTCTGTACATCAACGTGGTAGCACCACGACCCCGTCCC	48
Seq4		TCTCGGAGATGTCTGTACATCAACGTGGTAGCACCACGACCCCGTCCC	48
Seq5		TCTCGGAGATGTCTGTACATCAACGTGGTAGCACCACGACCCCGTCCC	48
DQ534435	<i>An.funestus</i> R	TGTCGGAGGACTGTCTGTACATTAATGTGGTAGCACCACGACCGCGACCG	50
		* ****** .** ******* ** *****.**.**.**	
AJ566402	An.albimanus S	AAGAATGCTGCCGTCATGCTGTGGATCTTCGGCGGT <mark>GGC</mark> TTCTACTCCGG	100
AJ566403	An.albimanus R	AAGAATGCTGCCGTCATGCTGTGGATCTTCGGCGGT <mark>AGC</mark> TTCTACTCCGG	100
Seql		AAGAATGCTGCCGTTATGCTGTGGATCTTTGGTGGAGGATTCTACTCCGG	98
Seq2		AAGAATGCTGCCGTTATGCTGTGGATCTTTGGTGGAGGATTCTACTCCGG	98
Seq3		AAGAATGCTGCCGTTATGCTGTGGATCTTTGGTGGAGGATTCTACTCCGG	98
Seq4		AAGAATGCTGCCGTTATGCTGTGGATCTTTGGTGGAGGATTCTACTCCGG	98
Seq5		AAGAATGCTGCCGTTATGCTGTGGATCTTTGGTGGAGGATTCTACTCCGG	98
DQ534435	An.funestus R	AAGAATGCTGCCGTTATGCTGTGGATCTTTGGCGGTGGATTTTACTCCGG *********************************	100
۵.1566402	An albimanus S		150
AU 500402	An albimanus B		150
Seal			148
Seq2			148
Seq3		TACGGCCACACTGCACGTGTACGATCATCGGGCGCCTTGCCTCGGAGGAGA	148
Seq4		TACGGCCACACTGCACGTGTACGATCATCGGGCGCCTTGCCTCGGAGGAGA	148
Seq5		TACGGCCACACTGCACGTGTACGATCATCGGGCGCCTTGCCTCGGAGGAGA	148
D0534435	An funestus R	TACCACTACGCTCGACGTGTACGATCACCGTGCGCCTCGCATCGGAGGAGA	150
20001100		*** .* **.** **************************	190
۵.1566402	An albimanus S		162
AU500402	An albimanus R		162
Seal			160
Seq1			160
Sea3		ΑΓΩΤΑΤΟΩΤΑΑ	160
Seq4		Α(GTTΑΤCGTAA	160
Sea5		ΑΓΩΤΑΤΟΩΤΑΑ	160
D0534435	An funestus R	ATGTGATCGTCG	162
		* ** *****	102
		•	

Seq1 *An. stephensi* Chabahar strain with **9.67** resistant ratio, F5 selected with Temephos, ace-1 gene, partial cds Seq2 *An. stephensi* Chabahar strain with **15.82** resistant ratio,, F6 selected with Temephos, ace-1 gene, partial cds Seq3 *An. stephensi* Chabahar strain with **6.06** resistant ratio,, F4 selected with Temephos, ace-1 gene, partial cds Seq4 *An. stephensi* Beech-Lab susceptible strain 5, ace-1 gene, partial cds Seq5 *An. stephensi* Beech-Lab susceptible strain 9, ace-1 gene, partial cds

Fig. 10. Comparison of sequencing results of this study (Seq1, Seq2, Seq3, Seq4, Seq5\*) with other three registered genes in gene bank



**Fig. 11.** Phylogram a part of ACE-1 for sequences of this study (*Anopheles stephensi* R/S) and other similar registered genes in gene bank (*Anopheles albimanus* S, *Anopheles albimanus* R and *Anopheles funestus* R)





Fig. 12. Comparison of translated ACE-1 region amino acids sequence of *Anopheles stephensi* R/S (this study) with other similar registered genes in gene bank (*Anopheles albimanus* S, *Anopheles albimanus* R and *Anopheles funestus* R)

#### Discussion

In this study, it was found that *An. stephensi* in southern part of Iran would normally be susceptible to insecticides but become resistant to temephos under insecticide pressure in laboratory condition. On the other hand, in field condition, temephos resistance of this species has been reported from otherneighboring malarious countries such as India and Oman (Vatandoost and Hanafi-Bojd 2005a, Anderasen 2006). This warrants precautions and insecticide vector management (IVM) before the resistance

becomes widespread in Iran and neighboring countries. Biochemical assays were carried out by several researchers in order to characterizing the mechanisms of temephos resistance in different vector species. Some of them are mentioned in Table 4.

Biochemical assays were done in temephos selected strain of *An. stephensi* for the first time in the literature. Profile of enzyme activity in temephos-resistant *An. stephensi* showed that the mean enzymatic activity of -EST, GST and AChE were classified as altered. These results clarified that metabolic mechanisms have considerable role in resistance of *An. stephensi* to temephos. And the most important mechanisms of resistance are -EST, GST and AChE. It seems  $\beta$ -EST, MFO and PNPA-esterase are not important in resistance of *An. stephensi* to this insecticide.

Vector control programs have, for a long time, utilized bioassays to monitor insecticide resistance in field mosquito populations. These assays only inform the susceptibility

level of a certain population to a specific insecticide (Polson et al. 2011). Biochemical assays also should be included in routine activities of surveillance programs in order to finding incipiently altered enzyme activity of field populations. These are more informative than the bioassays in that they provide some information on the resistance mechanisms involved. With this information we can prevent the development of insecticide resistance in whole population by proper and timely interventions.

Biochemical assays should be simultaneously carried out with routine bioassays in order to improve the surveillance of resistance and monitoring of the efficacy of insecticides in malarious area.

Considering that the mechanisms and molecular basis of resistance are very diverse, these mechanisms (metabolic and molecular) should be identified as well for each insecticide which will be used. In this case, the efficient monitoring strategies shall be applicable and finally management of insecticide resistance in vectors can be obtained.

High insecticide resistance resulting from insensitive acetylcholinesterase has emerged in mosquitoes. A single mutation (G119S of the ACE-1 gene) explains this high resistance in *Culex pipiens* and in *An. gambiae* (Weill et al. 2004 a,b). It has been recently shown that the high insensitivity of acetylcholinesterase displayed by *Cx. pipiens* and *An. gambiae* is due to the same glycine to serine substitution (G119S mutation), resulting from a single point mutation GGC to AGC in the gene ACE-1 (Weill et al. 2002).

The results of Weill et al. study (2004) showed that, the Gly 119 codon was found serine immutable in 31 vector species including *An. stephensi*.

Molecular and biochemical assays were carried out to identify ACE-1 mutation in *An. gambiae* and *Culex quinquefasciatus*. In this study less than 1 % of mosquitoes showed the presence of the ACE-1 mutation (Corbel et al. 2007).

Another study was surveyed acetyl cholinesterase sequencing in *Ae. aegypti*. In all individuals, a PCR product of 507 bp was amplified. Sequences were aligned and no mutations were observed within this region of ACE. Resistant and susceptible individuals presented the same nucleotide and amino acid sequence, with 100 % homology to the sequence (Melo-Santosa et al. 2010).

These studies clarified that the frequency of ACE-1 mutation into the field population of mosquitoes are very low.

The mechanisms of temephos resistance based on the existence of G119S mutation on ACE-1 gene for one of the most important malaria vector *An. stephensi* were studied. In this study PCR-RFLP showed no G119S mutation was existed in this part of gene of the *An. stephensi* strains. Resistant and susceptible individuals presented the same nucleotide and amino acid sequence, with 100 % homology to the sequence.

These results are completely similar to other researchers' results and approved the immutable characteristic of this region of ACE-1 gene in *An. stephensi*. Finally we can conclude based on molecular studies of temephos resistance, there is no mechanisms of temephos resistance in relation to studied region of Acetylcholinesterase 1 gene in *An. stephensi*. Probably mechanisms of temephos resistance in *An. stephensi* are more enzymatic or are belong to other parts of the mosquito genome that we didn't studied in this research.

### Conclusion

The results of this study will provide information about mechanisms of temephos resistance in the main malaria vector in Iran. This finding is very crucial for management of malaria vector control.

# Acknowledgements

This article is a part of the first author's dissertation for fulfillment of a PhD degree in Medical Entomology and Vector Control from Department of Medical Entomology and Vector Control, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. The authors are very grateful to Mr M Yarian from Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences and Mr A Pakari and Mr Shahbakhsh technicians of the National Institute of Health Research. Bandar Abbas and Iranshahr Research Stations, for their kind collaboration during this study. This study was financially supported by the Deputy for Research, Tehran University of Medical Sciences. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

# References

- Abbott WS (1965) A method of comparing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J Econ Entomol. 18: 265–267.
- Anderasen MH (2006) Emerging resistance to temephos in *Anopheles stephensi* in the Al-Dhahira region of oman. Mission report of World Health Organization, EM/MAL/328/E/R/6.06.
- Corbel V, N'Guessan R, Brengues C, Chandre F, Djogbenou L, Martin T, Akogbéto M, Hougard JM, Rowland M (2007) Multiple insecticide resistance mecha-

nisms in *Anopheles gambiae* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* from Benin, West Africa. Acta Trop. 101: 207–216.

- Finney DJ (1971) Probit analysis, III ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Hanafi-Bojd AA, Vatandoost H, Oshaghi MA, Haghdoost AA, Shahi M, Sedaghat MM, Yeryan M, Pakari A (2012) Entomological and epidemiological attributes for malaria transmission and implementation of vector control in southern Iran. Acta Trop. 121: 85–92.
- Hanafi-Bojd AA, Vatandoost H, Jafari R (2006) Susceptibility status of *Anopheles dthali* and *Anopheles fluviatilis* to commonly used larvicides in an endemic focus of malaria, southern Iran. J Vector Borne Dis. 43(1): 34–38.
- Hemingway J, Ranson H (2000) Insecticide resistance in insect vectors of human disease. Ann Rev Entomol. 45: 371–391.
- Malcolm C A, Hall LMC (1990) Cloning and characterization of a mosquito acetylcholinesterase gene, In Molecular insect science, ed. by Hagedorn HH, Hildebrand JG, Kindwell MG and Lawet JH, New York: Plenum, pp. 57–65.
- Melo-Santosa MAV, Varjal-Meloa JJM, Arajoa AP, Gomesa TCS, Paivaa MHS, Regisa LN, Furtadoa AF, Magalhaesa T, Macorisd MLG, Andrighettid MTM, Ayresa CFJ (2010) Resistance to the organophosphate temephos: Mechanisms, evolution and reversion in an *Aedes aegypti* laboratory strain from Brazil. ActaTrop. 113: 180–189.
- Montella IR, Martins AJ, Viana-Medeiros PF, Lima JB, Braga IA, Valle D (2007) Insecticide resistance mechanisms of Brazilian *Aedes aegypti* populations from 2001 to 2004. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 77: 467–477.
- Oshaghi MA, Yaghoobi F, Vatandoost H, Abai MR, Akbarzadeh K (2006a) *Anopheles stephensi* biological forms, geographical distribution, and malaria

- Oshaghi MA, Yaaghoobi F, Abaie MR (2006b) Pattern of mitochondrial DNA variation between and within *Anopheles stephensi* (Diptera: Culicidae) biological forms suggests extensive gene flow. Acta Trop. 99: 226–233.
- Paeporn P, Ya-umphan P, Supaphathom K, Savanpanyalert P, Wattanachai P, Patimaprakorn R (2004) Insecticide susceptibility and selection for resistance in a population of *Aedes aegypti* from Ratchaburi Province, Thailand. Trop Biomed. 21(2): 1–6.
- Polson KA, Brogdon WG, Rawlins SC, Chadee DD (2011) Characterization of insecticide resistance in Trinidadian strains of *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes. Acta Trop. 117(1): 31–38.
- Vatandoost H (1996) The functional Basis of pyrethroids resistant in the malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi* [PhD dissertation]. University of Liverpool, UK.
- Vatandoost H, Shahi H, Abai MR, Hanafi-Bojd AA, Oshaghi MA, Zamani G (2004) Larval habitats of main malaria vectors in Hormozgan Province and their susceptibility to different larvicides. Southeast Asian J Trop Med and Public Hlth. 35(2): 22–25.
- Vatandoost H, Hanafi-Bojd AA (2005a) Current Resistant Status of *Anopheles stephensi* Liston to Different Larvicides in Hormozgan Province, Southeastern Iran, 2004. Pak J Biol Sci. 8: 1568–1570.
- Vatandoost H, Mashayekhi M, Abaie MR, Aflatoonian MR, Hanafi-Bojd AA, Sharifi I (2005b) Monitoring of insecticides resistance in main malaria vectors in a malarious area of Kahnooj district, Kerman Province, southeastern Iran. J Vector Borne Dis. 42(3): 100–108.

- Vatandoost H, Oshaghi M, Abaie MR, Shahi M, Yaghoobi F, Baghai M, Hanafi-Bojd AA, Zamani G, Townson H (2006) Bionomics of *Anopheles stephensi* Liston in the malarious area of Hormozgan Province, southern Iran. ActaTrop. 97: 196–205.
- Vatandoost H, Akbarzadeh K, Hanafi-Bojd AA, Mashayekhi M, Saffari M, Elfatih MM, Kenyi L, Abakar John B, Busaq A, Esmailpour M, Hassen A, Oshaghi MA (2010) Malaria stratification in a malarious area, a field exercise. Asian Pac J Trop Med. 3: 807–811.
- Weill M, Fort P, Berthomieu A, Dubois MP, Pasteur N, Raymond M (2002) A novel acetylcholinesterase gene in mosquitoes codes for the insecticide target and is non-homologous to the ace gene in Drosophila. Proc Biol Sci. 269 (1504): 2007–2016.
- Weill M, Malcolm C, Chandre F, Mogensen K, Berthomieu A, Marquine M, Raymond M (2004a) The unique mutation in ace-1 giving high insecticide resistance is easily detectable in mosquito vectors. Insect Mol Biol. 13: 1–7.
- Weill M, Berthomieu A, Berticat C, Lutfalla G, Negre V, Pasteur N, Philips A, Leonetti JP, Fort P, Raymond M (2004b) Insecticide resistance: a silent base prediction. Curr Biol. 14: 552–553.
- World Health Organization (2006) Pesticides and their application for the control of vectors and pests of public health importance (Sixth edition). WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, WHO/ CDS/NTD/WHOPES/GCDPP/2006.1.
- World Health Organization (2012) Malaria Entomology and Vector Control (Learner's Guide). WHO, Geneva, Switzerland.