Original Article

Monitoring of Laboratory Reared of *Phlebotomus papatasi* (Diptera: Psychodidae), Main Vector of Zoonotic Cutaneous Leishmaniasis to Different Imagicides in Hyper Endemic Areas, Isfahan Province, Iran

Leila Shirani-Bidabadi¹; Ali Reza Zahraei-Ramazani²; Mohammad Reza Yaghoobi-Ershadi²; Amir Ahmad Akhavan²; Mohammad Ali Oshaghi²; Ahmad Ali Enayati³; Yavar Rassi²; Fatemeh Gholampour²; Niloufar Shareghi⁴; Elham Madreseh⁵; *Hassan Vatandoost^{2,6}

¹Department of Vector Biology and Control, School of Health, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

²Department of Medical Entomology and Vector control,School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³Department of Medical Entomology and Vector control, School of Public Health, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Mazandaran, Iran

⁴Isfahan Health Research Station, National Institute of Health Research ,Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁵Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁶Department of Chemical Pollutants and Pesticides, Institute for Environmental Research, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

(Received 06 Mar 2020; accepted 30 Mar 2020)

Abstract

Background: In domestic and per domestic area,insecticides such as DDT, malathion, fenitrothion, propoxur and, more recently, synthetic pyrethroids such as deltamethrin and lambda-cyhalothrin, have been successfully used to control sand flies in many countries. The present study reports the results of time-mortality bioassay to DDT 4%, lambda-cyhalothrin 0.05%, permethrin 0.75%, cyfluthrin 0.15% and deltamethrin 0.05% in recently colonized *Phlebotomus papatasi* populations in Iran.

Methods: The insecticide susceptibility status of *P. papatasi* laboratory population was assessed during 2016–2017, following the standard WHO technique for mosquito (WHO, 2013) based on diagnostic dose. Sand flies collected from rural area of Badrood (Matin Abad), Natanz County, Esfahan Province, using aspirator.

Results: Susceptibility test to DDT and pyrethroids was assessed on 3534 laboratory-reared *P. papatasi* (1746 females and 1788 males). The LT_{50} and LT_{90} values were measured using probit analysis and regression lines. The test results against males of *P. papatasi* revealed that LT_{50} values to DDT 4%, Permethrin 0.75%, Deltamethrin 0.05%, Cyfluthrin 0.15% and Lambdacyhalothrin 0.05% were 439.28, 108.90, 97.75, 5.00 and 57.84 seconds. The figures for females were 641.62, 136.15, 146.44, 8.71 and 72.69 seconds , respectively.

Conclusion: According to presented results, the reared population of sand flies collected from a hyper-endemic region of Esfahan Province is still susceptible to prethroids and Resistance candidate to DDT 4%.

Keywords: Phlebotomus papatasi; Bioassay; Insecticide resistance; Rearing

Introduction

Phlebotomine sand flies (Diptera, Psychodidae) are hematophagous insects involved in the transmission of viruses (Bunyaviridae, Reoviridae and Rhabdoviridae), bacteria (Bartonella bacilliformis) and protozoa (Leishmania spp.) to animals and humans (1-3). Among protozoa, Leishmania spp. are recognized as pathogenic to humans, causing different clinical forms: visceral (VL), cutaneous (CL), mucocutaneous, post-kala-azar dermal and mucosal leishmani-

116

^{*}**Corresponding author:** Dr Hassan Vatandoost, Email: hvatandoost1@yahoo.com, vatando@tums.ac.ir

asis (4). Leishmaniases are neglected diseases worldwide distributed, occurring mainly in tropical and subtropical zones (5). Cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is caused by Leishmania major, L. tropica or L. infantum, while VL is caused by L. infantum (6). There are 56 species (32 Phlebotomus and 24 Sergentomyia) of phlebotomine sandflies in Iran but Phlebotomus papatasi is the main vector of Zoonotic Cutaneous Leishmaniasis (ZCL) (7-10). Few studies have been performed on the level of susceptibility of sandflies reared in the laboratory to common insecticides used in agriculture and health in world. Hassan et al. (2012) in Sudan studied insecticide susceptibility status of first progeny (F1) of P. papatasi to DDT, permethrin, malathion, and propoxur. This study results showed sand flies were resistance to malathion and propoxur (11). In Iran sand flies P. papatasi which were collected from a hyper endemic focus of the disease in central Iran breed under laboratory condition and determine sand flies susceptibility level to commonly used insecticides (12). Denlinger et al. in 2016 showed that P. papatasi and Lutzomyia longipalpis sand flies are highly susceptible to the carbamates as their diagnostic doses are under 7.0µg/ml. Both species are also highly susceptible to DDT during the exposure assay as their diagnostic doses are 7.5µg/ml, yet their diagnostic doses for the 24h recovery period are 650.0µg/ml for Lu. longipalpis and 470.0 µg/ml for P. papatasi (13). Italian populations of P. perniciosus and P. papatasi from Campania region and from Rome, respectively, were susceptible to the insecticides DDT 2%, lambda-cyhalothrin 0.06% and permethrin 0.2% as compared with the reference strain used (14). The present study reports the results of timemortality bioassay to DDT 4%, lambda-cyhalothrin 0.05%, permethrin 0.75%, cyfluthrin 0.15 % and deltamethrin 0.05% in recently colonized P. papatasi populations in Iran.

Materials and Methods Study area

This study was performed during the summer of 2016, 2017 and sand flies were caught from the rural district (Matin Abad) of Badrood (33° 44' N, 52° 2' E), Natanz County, Esfahan Province, central of Iran. The area is located in the desert with hot and dry weather in summer and quite cold in winter. This zone is a Zoonotic Cutaneous Leishmaniasis (ZCL) focus in Iran. In this area were exsistence many farm lands. Crops such as wheat, sunflower, alfalfa, clover and other are planted in the area. Due to intensive use of insecticides for agriculture pests, the leishmania vectors are exposed to insecticide selection pressures.

Collected sand flies from field

Sand flies were collected outdoor near their breeding places using hand aspirator device from sunset to midnight during the period of June to August 2016, 2017. Collected alive sand flies were released into a clean cage with a hanging piece of wet cloth for supplying suitable humidity and feeding on a 20% sucrose solution soaked cotton. Sand flies cage were placed in a plastic bag to remain wet and to keep stable temperature situation. The cages were transported to sand fly insectary in Esfahan Health Research Station, Tehran University of Medical Sciences. Appropriate condition for rearing and inbreeding of sand flies in laboratory were 25±2 °C and 72±9.6% relative humidity in insectary and 90±7% RH in rearing box (15). Temperatures were maintained by automated electric heaters and photoperiod of 14/10 D/L was maintained in the insectary.

Sand fly rearing method

After resting sand flies in insectary, fed and gravid wild-caught female adults were separated by aspirator and were released into individual pots according to Volf and volfa method (16) and were fed with honey solution (50 %) and saturated sucrose. Engorged female were fed on Blab/C that anesthetized with 0.2 cc Ket-

amine and Xylazine for 30 minutes per mice. After feeding, blood-engorged sand flies were individually put in oviposition vials lined at the bottom with plaster of Paris and covered with mesh. The vials containing females were then maintained at 26-28 °C and 72±10% RH. After oviposition females died, then females were removed from oviposition vials and were preserved individually in 70% alchohol for mounting and identification up to the species level by using proper identification entomology keys (17). Females and males at least one week after mounting, were identified using valid key and if there were any other species, all of them were excluded from the tests. Males and Females of P. papatasi females were separated from other species for rearing. The pots were checked daily for hatching the eggs. The larvae (L1) were fed with larval food, complex of rabbit food (palette) and rabbit feces with liver powder (18). For mass rearing of sand flies used larger pots that linned with plaster of Paris, then we transferred 20-30 blood feeding females with 5-10 males. Emerged adults were released in a new cage with wet cloth and sucrose solution (20%). All of them were placed in a plastic bag to remain wet and to maintain stable temperature situation. Then the 3–10 days old adults were tested in a standard WHO susceptibility test method as described for mosquitoes (19).

Problems with Sand fly breeding

We have many problems in mass rearing of sand flies in insectarium including: collection of sand flies from field because of wind in night and decrease temperature in midnight, decrease of density of sand flies due to interventions control of Esfahan Deputy of Health and Provincial Health Center in study areas in recent year, preparation of proper humidity and temperature in insectary for sand fly rearing, fungal contamination in all of stage, mites, cannibalism (especially in first larval stage) diapause of fourth larval stage. In caused occasional contamination of food with fungi belonging to genus *Aspergillus, Mucor* and *Cladosporium*, mites (Super Cohort: Monogynaspida), insects from the order Psocoptera and Ants were observed during any stage of rearing process (18). Our serious problems have been fungi such as *Mucor* sp., *Cladosporium* sp. and *Aspergillus* sp., bacteria such as *Pseudomonas* sp., *Salmonella* sp., *Diphteroide* sp. and mites (Order Mesostigmata).

Susceptibility bioassays of reared sand flies

Susceptibility test against specimens reared in laboratory condition, were carried out in summer of 2016, 2017. The susceptibility tests were carried out on 3534 laboratory-reared P. papatasi (1746 females and 1788 males). WHO testkit tubes and impregnated papers were procured from collaborating Center of WHO in Malaysia. All the susceptibility tests were done according to standard WHO testing protocols on unfed female sand flies using at least 8-15 specimens in per test. Emerged sand flies transferred to cages, after resting and feeding by sucrose solution (20%), sand flies were tested according to the standard method of WHO (19). The sand flies were transferred into the exposure tubes by aspirator and were gently transferred to the holding tube at different time intervals and then the mortality was counted after 24h recovery period. After exposure period sand flies fed with 20% sugar solution placed in the top of the holding tube. Control test tubes carrying control papers were also held parallel to each set of tests. All the tests were ignored if the mortality was higher than 20% in the control group. The test was done in four to six replicates for each insecticide. During these bioassays tests, laboratory condition was stabilized at (25±2 °C and 73±10% RH) in insectary. The resistance status of sand fly specimens was determined according to the latest WHO criteria (19) as follows, (a) mortality rates between 98-100% indicate full susceptibility, (b) mortality rates between 90-97% require further investigation, (c) mortality rates < 90 %, the population is considered resistant to the tested insecticides (19). After each test, all the dead and alive sand flies were transferred to 70% alcohol separately for subsequent study. The exposure time interval was between 7, 14, 28, 56, 113, 225, 450, 900, 1800 and 3600 seconds. At least 8– 10 interval times were used to gain the mortality between 5 and 95%. In each exposure time at least 4–6 replicates were used comprising 50– 100 sand flies depending on the availability and the same age of the adults. Abbott's formula was not used to correct experimental mortalities if the control group mortality was <5%. If control group mortalities exceeded 20%, the entire testing replicate was not used (20).

Insecticides papers used in bioassay test

Impregnated papers with different diagnostic dosages of DDT 4% (Batch number: DD 214), Permethrin 0.75% (Batch number: PE 340), Deltamethrin 0.05% (Batch number: DE 432), Lambda-cyhalothrin 0.05% (Batch number: LA215) and Cyfluthrin 0.15% (Batch number: CY098) were taken from collaborating center of WHO in Malaysia.

Obtaining data and analyses

The exposure time versus probit mortality were used according to Finney 1971. The Excel 2013 was used for data entering. Data analysis was done with statistic software spss version 22. Excel software version 2013 was used for drawing the regression line.

Results

Identification of sand flies

All females and males specimens (2942 Number) used for the establishment of the colonies of sand flies from the Badrood population were identified by avalaible key morphologically as *P. papatasi*.

Bioassay test of laboratory sand flies

In this study 2942 sand fly specimens collected from study area were reared in insectary. The results of susceptibility test against laboratory -reared P. papatasi female revealed LT_{50} values to DDT (4%), permethrin (0.75%), deltamethrin (0.05%), cyfluthrin (0.15%) and lambda-cyhalothrin (0.05%); 641.62, 136.15, 146.44, 8.71, 72.69 seconds, respectively (Table 1). This data for males to DDT (4%), permethrin (0.75%), deltamethrin (0.05%), cyfluthrin (0.15%) and lambda-cyhalothrin (0.05%)were 439.28, 108.90, 97.75, 5.00, 57.84 seconds respectively (Table 2). Figures 1, 2 show the probit regression lines. The results showed that males were more susceptible than females to all the insecticides tested at LT₅₀ level (Fig. 3). The results showed that males were more susceptible than females to all the insecticides tested at LT₅₀ level (Fig. 3).

 Table 1. Parameters of probit regression lines of different insecticides against female *Phlebotomus papatasi*, laboratory strain, Esfahan, Iran, 2016–2017

Insecticide	A ^a	B±SE ^b	LT50 ^c 95%CL (seconds)	LT90 ^d 95%CL (seconds)	X ² (df)	Heterogeneity P-value	Y=a+bx
DDT 4%	-4.3272	1.5414 ±0.514	350.23 641.62 1283.51	1822.01 4352.28 196819.15	3.281 (2)	P> 0.05	Y= -4.3272+ 1.5414 X
Permethrin 0.75%	-2.8509	1.9533 ±0.499	87.63 136.15 189.47	778.65 1239.85 2667.51	25.164 (4)	P< 0.05	Y= -2.8509+ 1.3359 X
Deltamethrin 0.1%	-2.1764	1.0049 ±0.259	13.82 146.44 604.09	651.23 2760.47 2254569.23	13.600 (4)	P< 0.05	Y= -2.1764+ 1.0049 X

			Table	1. Continued	•		
Cyfluthrin 0.15%	-0.5400	0.5743 ±0.114	2.50 8.71 18.69	434.62 1485.06 19486.52	9.183 (5)	P> 0.05	Y= -0.5400+ 0.5743 X
Lambda- cyhalothrin 0.05%	-4.0834	2.6391 ±0.410	52.59 72.69 101.65	176.75 279.09 675.84	0.091 (2)	P> 0.05	Y= -4.0834+ 2.1936 X

Lable I. Continued	Fable	1.	Continued
---------------------------	--------------	----	-----------

^aA= Intercept

^bB±SE= Slope and its Standard Error

^cLT₅₀, 95% C.L.= Leathal time cause 50% mortality and its 95% Confidence Limits ${}^{d}LT_{90}$, 95% C.L.= Leathal time cause 90% mortality and its 95% Confidence Limits



Fig. 1. Probit regression lines of different insecticides against female of *Phlebotomus papatasi* laboratory population, Esfahan, Iran, 2016–2017



Fig. 2. Probit regression lines of different insecticides against male of *Phlebotomus papatasi* laboratory population, Esfahan, Iran, 2016–2017

Insecticide	$\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{a}}$	B±SE ^b	LT50 ^c 95% C.L (seconds)	LT ₉₀ ^d 95% C.L (seconds)	X ² (df)	P-value	Y= a+bx
DDT 4%	-3.2516	1.2304±0.412	439.28	- 4834.64 -	4.700 (3)	P< 0.05	Y= -3.2516+ 1.2304X
Permethrin 0.75%	-2.5612	1.2573±0.182	76.00 108.90 148.41	667.98 1138.58 2787.51	5.524 (4)	P> 0.05	Y= -2.5612+ 1.2573X
Deltamethrin 0.1%	-1.7559	0.8823±0.297	0.02 97.75 1022.94	444.34 2771.37	18.26 (4)	P< 0.05	Y= -1.7559 +0.8823X
Cyfluthrin 0.15%	-0.3278	0.4686±0.105	0.50 5.00 16.08	608.75 2720.51 80988.38	1.73 (5)	P> 0.05	Y= -0.3278+ 0.4686 X
Lambdacyhalothrin 0.05%	-1.7522	0.9943±0.198	30.78 57.84 109.68	422.65 1125.10 8755.23	0.213 (2)	P> 0.05	Y= -1.7522+ 0.9943 X

 Table 2. Parameters of probit regression lines of different insecticides against male *Phlebotomus papatasi* laboratory population, Esfahan, Iran, 2016–2017

^aA= Intercept

^bB±SE= Slope and its Standard Error

°LT₅₀, 95% C.L= Leathal time cause 50% mortality and its 95% Confidence Limits

^dLT₉₀, 95% C.I= Leathal time cause 90% mortality and its 95% Confidence Limits





Discussion

Due to the lack of a suitable guide line for sand flies, we had to use protocols of WHO for mosquito (19) in this study, the WHO exposure kit bioassay is widely accepted because it can measure insecticide susceptibility in many species of insect vectors worldwide (21). The assays can be run with live insects collected in the field or with their progeny reared in the laboratory. To control sand flies, populations around the world have been exposed to the four main classes of insecticides; 1) organochlorines, 2) organophosphates, 3) carbamates, and 4) pyrethroids, via residual spraying, ultra-low volume spraying, insecticide-treated clothing, and insecticide-treated nets. These exposures are either intentional in directed vector control efforts or are inadvertent as part of vector control efforts targeted against other insect vectors (22). Some sand fly populations have been found to be tolerant or resistant to the insecticides used in the Middle East, Southern Asia, and South America. In Montes Claros, Brazil, 29 of 80 (36.3%) Lu. longipalpis (Lutz and Nieva) survived a 0.05% deltamethrin exposure (23). Hassan et al. (2012) in the Surogia village of Khartoum State, Sudan, Reared sand flies in laboratory and they tested with malathion and propoxur. Results showed that 51 P. papatasi (79.7%) had insensitive acetylcholinesterase, which is associated with malathion and propoxur resistance. Both of these insecticides have been extensively used in this region as part of the anti-malaria mosquito control program (11). Denlinger et al. (2015) results showed that both laboratory sand flies L. longipalpis and P. papatasi are susceptible to DDT (24). Similar results with our study have been found in insecticide-susceptible Italian sand flies reared in laboratory (P. perniciosus and P. papatasi), where the LT₅₀ and LT₉₀ for DDT were longer compared with permethrin and lambdacyhalothrin (14). Also, Saeidi et al. (2012, 2013) found both insecticide-susceptible male and female P. papatasi field and laboratory population to have much longer LT₅₀ and LT₉₀ to DDT than to permethrin, deltamethrin, cyfluthrin, and lambda-cyhalothrin (6, 12). Denlinger results suggested that laboratory colonies of insecticide- susceptible sand flies were not very susceptible to DDT (24). Shirani-Bidabadi et al. (2017) found that male and female P. papatasi field population to exposure to insecticides had

much longer LT₅₀ and LT₉₀ to DDT than to permethrin, deltamethrin, cyfluthrin, and lambda-cyhalothrin (20). Our results of the tests at different durations of exposure indicated that laboratory sand fly populations from Esfahan province were fully susceptible to pyrethroid insecticides used, whereas the early tolerance (Resistant Candidate) was detected to DDT 4% after 3600s (1h) contact in the population of Esfahan Province. For both males and females, the susceptibility levels to DDT4% were greater than to pyrethroids. The high LT_{50} level of this vector to DDT was attributed to the long term use of insecticides for malaria vector control in the region that was transmitted genetically to their progeny. According to the report of the branch of the Ministry of Jahad in Esfahan Province, several herbicides, fungicides and inesticides have been used for agriculture and veterinary pest control in the region, including Methalaxile, Carbaryl, Permethrin, Cypermethrin, Deltamethrin, Metasystox-R and Mancozeb. The susceptibility test of a laboratory strain of P. papatasi to DDT4% and pyrethroids in Badrood shows that males were more susceptible than females to all the insecticides tested at LT₅₀ level. In comparing our results and the study by Saeidi et al. (2013), LT₅₀ values of female and male to DDT 4% was greater than LT₅₀ in this study, males were more susceptible to pyrethroids and the sand flies were more tolerant compared to our results. LT₅₀ values in males and females in our study to DDT 4%, Permethrin 0.75%, and Cyfluthrin 0.15% were also smaller compared to their results, but LT₅₀ values in males and females to Deltamethrin 0.1% and Lambda-cyhalothrin 0.05% in our study were greater than theirs (12). This difference was due to the presence of Deltamethrin and Lambda-cyhalothrin in agriculture fields in recent years in Matin-Abad.

Conclusion

We observed a clear difference between the

insecticide susceptibilities of the *P. papatasi* laboratory population that had been exposed to insecticides in varying times intervals, this difference in susceptibility varied with sex. The result presented in this study can serve as starting points for determining the susceptibility of laboratory-reared P. papatasi, for determining diagnostic times for other sand fly species of public health concern. Knowing if a population of sand fly is resistant or resistance candidate to an insecticide or insecticide class is critical because it allows control strategies to be effectively implemented while not exacerbating the prevalence of insecticide resistance or resistance candidate in distribution area of sand flies. According to presented results, the reared population of sand flies collected from a hyper-endemic region of Esfahan Province is still susceptible to pyrethroids and resistance candidateto DDT 4%.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all staff of Esfahan Health Research Station, National Institute of Health Research, Tehran University of Medical Sciences. This research was financially supported by School of Public Health Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS), Iran. Under code number 95-01-27-30104 and this study protocol has been approved by local institutional review board including the approval no. IR. TUMS.REC.1394.11.15. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- Maroli M, Feliciangelli MD, Bichaud L, Charrel RN, Gradoni L (2013) Phlebotomine sand flies and the spreading of leishmaniases and other diseases of public health concern. Med Vet Entomol. 27(2): 123– 147.
- 2. Gaglio G, Brianti E, Napoli E, Falsone L, Dantas-Torres F, Tarallo VD, Otranto D,

Giannetto S (2014) Effect of night timeintervals, height of traps and lunar phases on sand fly collection in a highly endemic area for canine leishmaniasis. Acta Trop. 133: 73–77.

- Halada P, Hlavackova K, Dvorak V, Volf P (2018) Identification of immature stages of phlebotomine sand flies using MAL-DI-TOF MS and mapping of mass spectra during sand fly life cycle. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 93: 47–56.
- Akhoundi M, Kuhls K, Cannet A, Voty 'pka J, Marty P, Delaunay P (2016) A historical overview of the classification, evolution, and dispersion of Leishmania parasites and sandflies. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 10(3): e0004349.
- Alvar J, Ve 'lez ID, Bern C, Herrero M, Desjeux P, Cano J, Jannin J, Boer M den, the WHO Leishmaniasis Control Team (2012) Leishmaniasis worldwide and global estimates of its incidence. PLoS One. 7(5): e35671.
- 6. Saeidi Z, Vatandoost H, Akhavan AA, Yaghoobi-Ershadi MR, Arandian MH, Rassi Y, Sheikh Z, Arandian MH, Jafari R, Sanei-Dehkordi AR (2012) Baseline susceptibility of a wild strain of *Phlebotomus papatasi* (Diptera: Psychodidae) to DDT and pyrethroids in an endemic Focus of zoonotic cutaneous leishmaniasis in Iran. Pest Manag Sci. 68(5): 669–675.
- Zahraei-Ramazani AR, Kumar D, Yaghoobi-Ershadi MR, Naghian A, Jafari R, Shirzadi MR, Abdoli H, Soleimani H, Shareghi N, Ghanei M, Arandian MH, Hanafi-Bojd AA (2013) Sand flies of the subgenus Adlerius (Diptera: Psychodidae) in an endemic focus of visceral leishmaniasis and introduction of *Phlebotomus* (Adlerius) *comatus* as a new record for Iran. J Arthropod Borne Dis. 7(1): 1–7.
- Zahraei-Ramazani AR, Kumar D, Mirhendi H, Sundar S, Mishra R, Moin-Vaziri V, Soleimani H, Shirzadi MR, Jafari R, Hanafi-Bojd AA, Shahraky SH, Ya-

ghoobi-Ershadi MR (2015) A study of morphological and genotypic variations in the population of the subgenus Adlerius (Diptera: Psychodidae: Phlebotominae) in Iran. J Arthropod Borne Dis. 9(1): 84–97.

- Zahraei-Ramazani AR, Leshan WD (2016) Phlebotominae sand flies Morphological and molecular approaches. Germany: Laplam-bert Publishing. pp. 27–31.
- Zahraei-Ramazani AR, Sedaghat MM (2016) Checklist and Geographical Distribution of Phlebotomine Sand flies (Diptera: Psychodidae) vectors of leishmaniasis in Esfahan Province, Iran. J Ento Res. 7(4): 69–82.
- Hassan MM, Widaa SO, Osman OM, Numiary M SM, Ibrahim MA, Abushama HM (2012) Insecticide resistance in the sand fly, *Phlebotomus papatasi* from Khartoum State, Sudan. Parasit Vectors. 5: 46.
- Saeidi Z, Vatandoost H, Akhavan AA, Yaghoobi-Ershadi MR, Rassi Y, Arandian MH, Jafari R (2013) Baseline insecticide susceptibility data of *Phlebotomus papatasi* in Iran. J Vector Borne Dis. 50: 57–61.
- Denlinger DS, Creswell JA, Anderson JL, Reese CK and Bernhardt SA (2016) Diagnostic doses and times for *Phlebotomus papatasi* and *Lutzomyia longipalpis* sand flies (Diptera: Psychodidae: Phlebotominae) using the CDC bottle Bioassay to assess insecticide resistance. Parasit Vectors. 9: 212.
- Maroli M, Cianchi T, Bianchi R, Khoury C (2002) Testing insecticide susceptibility of Phlebotomus perniciosus and *P. papatasi* (Diptera: Psychodidae) in Italy. Ann Ist Super Sanità. 38(4): 419–23.
- 15. Yaghoobi-Ershadi MR, Shirani-Bidabadi L, Hanafi-Bojd AA, Akhavan AA, Zeraati H (2007) Colonization and biology of *Phlebotomus papatasi*, the main vector of cutaneous leishmaniasis due to

Leishmania major. Iran J Public Health. 36(3): 21–26.

- Volf P, Volfova V (2011) Establishment and maintenance of sand fly colonies. J Vector Ecol. 36(Supplement 1): S1–S9.
- Seyedi-Rashti MA, Nadim A (1992) The genus *Phlebotomus* (Diptera: sychodidae: Phlebotominae) of the countries of the Eastern Mediterranean region. Iran J Public Health. 21(1–4): 11–50.
- Shirani-bidabadi L, Yaghoobi-Ershadi MR, Hanafi-Bojd AA, AkhavanAA, Oshaghi MA, Zeraati H, Shariat S (2010) Laboratory rearing of *Phlebotomus papatasi* Scopoli (Diptera: Psychodidae) and problems in Iran. Electronic J Environ Sci. 3: 61–63.
- World Health Organization (2016) Test procedures for insecticide resistance monitoring in malaria vector mosquito. World Health Organization, Geneva, p. 51.
- 20. Shirani-Bidabadi L, Zahraei-Ramazani A, Yaghoobi-Ershadi MR, Rassi Y, Akhavan AA, Oshaghi MA, Enayati AA, Saeidi Z, Jafari R, Vatandoost H (2017) Assessing the insecticide susceptibility status of field population of *Phlebotomus papatasi* (Diptera: Psychodidae) in a hyperendemic area of zoonotic cutaneous leishmaniasis in Esfahan Province, Central Iran. Acta Tropica. 176: 316–322.
- 21. Faraj CS, Ouahabi EB, Adlaoui ME, Elkohli L, Lakraa El Rhazi, M, Ameur B (2012) Insecticide susceptibility of *Phlebotomus* (*Paraphlebotomus*) sergenti and *Phlebotomus* (*Phlebotomus*) papatasi in endemic foci of cutaneous leishmaniasis in Morocco. Parasit Vectors. 5: 51.
- Dinesh DS, Das ML, Picado A, Roy L, Rijal S, Singh SP, Das P, Boelaert M, Coosemans M, (2010) Insecticide susceptibility of *Phlebotomus argentipes* in visceral leishmaniasis endemic districts in India and Nepal. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 4(10): e859.
- 23. Alexander B, Barros VC, Souza SFde, Bar-

ros SS, Teodoro LP, Soares ZR, Gontijo NF, Reithinger R (2009) Susceptibility to chemical insecticides of two Brazilian populations of the visceral leishmaniasis vector *Lutzomyia longipalpis* (Diptera: Psychodidae). Trop Med Int Health. 14: 1272–1277.

24. Denlinger D S, Lozano-FuentesS, Lawyer PG, Black IVWC, Bernhardt SA (2015) Assessing insecticide susceptibility of laboratory *Lutzomyia longipalpis* and *Phlebotomus papatasi* sand flies (Diptera: Psychodidae: Phlebotominae). J Med Entomol. 52(5): 1003–1012.