# **Original Article**

# Larval Habitat Characteristics of the Genus Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae) and a Checklist of Mosquitoes in Guilan Province, Northern Iran

# S Azari-Hamidian

School of Health, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran

(Received 7 Aug 2010; accepted 9 Apr 2011)

#### Abstract

**Background:** Ecological data are important in the vector control management of mosquitoes. There is scattered published information about the larval habitat characteristics and ecology of the genus *Anopheles* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Iran and most of available data is in relation to malaria vectors in southern Iran.

**Methods:** This cross sectional investigation was carried out to study the mosquito fauna and ecology in Guilan Province, northern Iran, during April–December 2000. Larvae were collected using the standard dipping technique. Larval habitat characteristics were recorded according to water situation (clear or turbid), vegetation, substrate type, sunlight situation, habitat situation (transient or permanent, running or stagnant), habitat type (natural or artificial), and water temperature.

**Results:** In total, 1547 third- and fourth-instar larvae of *Anopheles* from 90 habitats were collected and morphologically identified. Five species; *Anopheles claviger*, *An.'hyrcanus'*, *An. maculipennis s.l.*, *An. plumbeus*, and *An. superpictus* were identified and respectively comprised 6.3%, 22.4%, 54.4%, 13.0%, and 3.9% of the samples. The mean and range temperatures of the larval habitat water were  $19.6^{\circ}C$  (n=14) (16–25°C), 22.6°C (n=53) (12–33°C), 23.8°C (n=52) (10–33°C), 11.5°C (n=12) (9–21°C), and 20.4°C (n=7) (12–26°C), respectively. There was a significant difference in the mean water temperatures (11.5–23.5°C) of the larval habitats of different species (P=0.000). Most of the genus larvae were collected from natural habitats (86.9%) such as river bed pools (46.4%) and rain pools (33.1%) with transient (98.3%), stagnant (99.5%) and clear (95.3%) water, with vegetation (69.9%), mud (42.0%) or gravel (39.7%) substrate in full sunlight (69.6%) or shaded (22.7%) area. A checklist of the province mosquitoes including 30 species and seven genera has been provided.

**Conclusion:** The main larval habitats of the most abundant species, *An.'hyrcanus'* and *An. maculipennis s.l.*, in Guilan Province are: river bed pools, rain pools, and rice fields.

Keywords: Anophelinae, Ecology, Iran, larvae

# Introduction

According to the most recent classification of mosquitoes, the family Culicidae (Diptera) includes two subfamilies, 11 tribes, 113 genera, and 3531 species in the world fauna and the genus *Anopheles* Meigen includes seven subgenera and at least 465 species (Harbach 2007). Certain species of *Anopheles* are involved in the transmission of various arboviral and filarial diseases to humans and domestic animals and/or are important for their biting in different parts of the world, but the most important disease transmitted by them is malaria. About 70 *Anopheles* species are malaria vectors in which about 40 are important vectors (Service 1993).

Sindbis virus was reported in Iran as well as West Nile virus in Iran and Guilan Province of the Caspian Sea littoral, northern Iran (Naficy and Saidi 1970, Saidi et al. 1976);

**Corresponding author:** Dr Shahyad Azari-Hamidian, E-mail: azari@gums.ac.ir

however there is no information about their vectors in the country. The mosquito-borne filariae; Dirofilaria Railliet and Henry (dirofilariasis) and *Setaria* Viborg (setariasis) (Spirurida: Onchocercidae) have been reported in Iran and Guilan Province (Eslami 1997, Azari-Hamidian et al. 2007). Anopheles maculipennis Meigen and Culex theileri Theobald are known vectors of Setaria labiatopapillosa (Alessandrini) and Dirofilaria immitis (Leidy) respectively, in Ardebil Province, northwestern Iran (Azari-Hamidian et al. 2009). Malaria is the most important mosquito-borne disease in Iran, especially in southeastern areas, and seven species have been assumed to play role as malaria vectors: An. sacharovi Favre, An. maculipennis sensu lato (s.l.), An. fluviatilis James s.l., An. stephensi Liston, An. superpictus Grassi, An. dthali Patton, and An. culicifacies Giles s.l. (Edrissian 2006). Also, Zaim et al. (1993) reported An. pulcherrimus Theobald as a potential vector in southeastern Iran. Eshghy (1977) observed *Plasmodium* oocysts in An. multicolor Cambouliu, but sporozoites have not been detected in this species and it is not considered a vector in Iran. Recently, Djadid et al. (2009) reported An. hyrcanus (Pallas) as a potential vector of malaria based on nested Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) in Guilan Province.

The most recent checklist of Iranian mosquitoes includes 64 species and three subspecies belonging to seven genera (Azari-Hamidian 2007a). Almost half of the Iranian mosquito species (31 species) and seven genera have been previously recorded in Guilan Province (Zolotarev 1945, Dow 1953, Lotfi 1973, Danilov 1975, Saebi 1987, Zaim 1987b, Harbach 1988, Momeni et al. 1992, Azari-Hamidian et al. 2002b, Sedaghat et al. 2003, Gholizadeh et al. 2004, Gholizadeh et al. 2005).

Despite of the importance of ecological data in the vector control management of mosquitoes, there is scattered published information about the larval habitat characteristics and ecology of Anopheles in Iran and most of available data is in relation to malaria vectors in southern Iran. Marsh (1933) showed some larval breeding places of An. apoci Marsh larvae when described it for the first time in Iran. Macan (1950) studied the different aspects of some Anopheles species in northern and western Iran. Dow (1953) and Yaghoobi-Ershadi et al. (1986) stressed the larval breeding sites and associate species of some anophelines in the different areas of the country and southern Iran, respectively. Manouchehri and Rohani (1975) studied the larval habitats of An. dthali in southern Iran. Zaini et al. (1975) and Manouchehri et al. (1976) mentioned the larval breeding place characteristics of An. stephensi in southern Iran. Eshghi et al. (1976) stressed some larval breeding places of An. fluviatilis s.l. in southern Iran. Eshghy (1977) noted the adult and larval ecology of An. multicolor. Zaim (1987a) studied the mosquito fauna of Kashan of Isfahan Province in central Iran with some notes on the larval breeding places and ecology of four anopheline species. Mousakazemi et al. (2000) studied the fauna and ecology of mosquitoes including An. maculipennis s.l. and An. superpictus in Zarrin-Shahr and Mobarakeh areas of Isfahan Province. Yaghoobi-Ershadi et al. (2001) stressed some information on the larval stage of An. sacharovi and An. superpictus in Ardebil Province of northwestern Iran. Azari-Hamidian et al. (2002a) showed some larval breeding places of mosquitoes including anophelines in Rasht County of Guilan Province in northern Iran. Ghanbari et al. (2005) studied some physical and chemical factors of the larval breeding places of the eight species of Anopheles in Iranshahr, southeastern Iran.

Investigation on the mosquito ecology and larval habitats is important in different aspects including source reduction in vector control through modifications of these habitats. This helps us to decrease, even if not very much, vector population and density then vector-reservoir and vector-pathogen contacts, and biting nuisance. This may decrease the transmission of different mosquito-borne diseases and the burdens of these diseases. The present article is focused on some aspects of the larval ecology of *Anopheles* species, such as the larval habitat characteristics, water temperature, associated species, and provides a checklist of mosquitoes in Guilan Province.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Study area

Guilan Province in the Caspian Sea littoral of northern Iran, between Caspian Sea and Alborz Mountain range, has coastal, plain, foothill, and mountainous areas with an area of approximately 14700 square kilometers. The province with temperate climate and relatively warm-humid summer is located between 36°34'-38°27' N latitude and 48°34'- $50^{\circ}36'$  E longitude and formally includes 16 counties; Amlash, Astaneh-e-Ashrafiyeh, Astara, Bandar-e-Anzali, Fuman (Fooman), Lahijan, Langrud (Langroud), Masal, Rasht, Rezvanshahr, Rudbar, Rudsar (Roudsar), Shaft, Siahkal, Some'e Sara, and Talysh (Talesh). Guilan Province with about 1200 mm annual rainfall has the greatest rainfall in Iran and the main agricultural crop is rice. This province with vast deciduous forests of Hyrcania and temperate climate is a great location to breed mosquitoes.

#### Specimen and data collection and analysis

In this cross sectional investigation, in each county (in total 16) one fixed and three variable sites (including different topographical areas) randomly selected and larval specimen collection was carried out for 15– 20 minutes during spring, summer, and autumn seasons in 2000. Thus, larval collection was carried out at least three times in fixed sites and 9 times in variable sites during three seasons in each county. Larvae were colS Azari-Hamidian: Larval Habitat Characteristics ...

lected from natural breeding sites such as river edges, river bed pools, rain pools, marshes, grasslands, and tree holes and from artificial breeding sites such as rice fields, irrigation channels, wells, discarded tires, and buckets using the standard dipping technique (350 mL dipper) and also by means of pipette (for small habitats) and bucket (for wells) (WHO 1975). Physical and biological characteristics of larval habitats including habitat situation (permanent or transient, stagnant or running), habitat type (natural or artificial), vegetation situation (with or without vegetation), substrate type, sunlight situation (full or partial sunlight or shaded), water situation (clear or turbid), and water temperature were recorded. The continuous variable of the temperatures of larval habitats was analyzed by One-Way ANOVA analysis using SPSS (Version 11.5 for windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) software. The third- and fourth-instar larvae and adult specimens were identified using the keys of Shahgudian (1960), Zaim and Cranston (1986), and Harbach (1988). The mosquito name genera and subgenera abbreviations followed Reinert (2001).

#### **Taxonomic note**

Two close species Anopheles hyrcanus and An. pseudopictus Grassi of the Hyrcanus Group are identified based on the characters of adult in Guilan Province (Dow 1953. Azari-Hamidian et al. 2002b. 2003a. 2006). these species have not been identified in larval stage in this investigation and mentioned as An. 'hyrcanus' (An. hyrcanus group). Darsie and Samanidou-Voyadjoglou (1997) mentioned just one character of seta 2-C (inner clypeal seta) to distinguish the larvae of An. hyrcanus from those of An. pseudopictus. This seta is simple in An. pseudopictus and bears some short apical branches in An. hyrcanus. This character needs to be studied carefully in Iran. Seven species of An. maculipennis complex have been recorded in

the province based on morphological characters including egg pattern and PCR technique (Dow 1953, Azari-Hamidian et al. 2002b, Sedaghat et al. 2003, Gholizadeh et al. 2004, Gholizadeh et al. 2005). Only *An. sacharovi* is reliably distinguishable from other species in adult and larval stage. In larvae, the mean number of seta 2 branches of the fourth and fifth abdominal segments in *An. sacharovi* is 36.8 whereas it is 16.5 for *An. maculipennis s.l.* (Doosti et al. 2006). It seems the use of PCR technique is the only reliable way to distinguish other species of the group, so the Maculipennis Group larvae cited as *An. maculipennis s.l.* herein.

# Results

#### Larval habitat characteristics and ecology

In these investigations, totally 6656 larvae including 1547 anopheline larvae (23.2%) and 5109 culicine larvae (76.8%) were collected from 127 larval breeding sites during 55 occasions. Among 127 larval breeding sites 14 (11.0%) contained only subfamily Anophelinae, 37 (29.2%) included only subfamily Culicinae, and 76 (59.8%) contained both subfamilies. In total, anopheline larvae were found in 90 breeding sites (70.8%) and culicine larvae in 113 ones (88.9%). In the present study, 1547 larvae of the genus Anopheles were collected during 11 occasions (20.0% of the total). Five species of Anopheles; An. claviger (Meigen) (6.3%), An. 'hyrcanus' (22.4%), An. maculipennis s.l. (54.4%), An. plumbeus Stephens (13.0%), and An. superpictus (3.9%) were found among the samples (Table 1). The association occasions of Anopheles species with other collected species are shown in Table 2. Most of the genus larvae were collected from natural habitats (86.9%) such as river bed pools (46.4%) and rain pools (33.1%) with transient (98.3%), stagnant (99.5%) and clear (95.3%) water, with vegetation (69.9%), mud (42.0%) or gravel (39.7%) substrate in

full sunlight (69.6%) or shaded (22.7%) area (Table 3). The association percentages of *Anopheles* species with other collected species are shown in Table 4. There is a significant difference in the mean water temperatures of the larval habitats of different species (P= 0.000).

#### Anopheles claviger

Anopheles claviger larvae were collected during 11 occasions (20% of the total) from 14 larval breeding sites (11.0% of the total and 7.9% of the anopheline larval habitats) in April, May, June, and September 2000. The maximum and minimum water temperatures of larval habitats were 25° C and 16° C respectively, and mean temperature was 19.6° C (for 14 larval breeding sites) (SD= 3.0).

## Anopheles 'hyrcanus'

Anopheles 'hyrcanus' larvae were collected during 33 occasions (60% of the total) from 53 larval breeding sites (41.7% of the total and 4.4% of the anopheline larval habitats) during April–November 2000. The maximum and minimum water temperatures of larval habitats were 33° C and 12° C respectively, and mean temperature was 22.9° C (for 53 larval breeding sites) (SD= 4.7).

#### Anopheles maculipennis s.l.

Anopheles maculipennis s.l. larvae were collected during 30 occasions (54.5% of the total) from 53 larval breeding sites (41.7% of the total and 3.5% of the anopheline larval habitats) during April–November 2000. The maximum and minimum water temperatures of larval habitats were  $33^{\circ}$ C and  $10^{\circ}$ C respectively, and mean temperature was  $23.8^{\circ}$  C (for 52 larval breeding sites) (SD= 4.0).

# Anopheles plumbeus

Anopheles plumbeus larvae were collected during 9 occasions (16.3% of the total) from 12 larval breeding sites (9.4% of the total and 3.5% of the anopheline larval habitats) in October and November 2000. The maximum and minimum water temperatures of larval habitats were 21°C and 9° C respectively, and mean temperature was 11.5° C (for 12 larval breeding sites) (SD= 3.2). The mean temperature of the larval habitat water of this species showed significant difference from other species (P= 0.000).

#### Anopheles superpictus

Anopheles superpictus larvae were collected during four occasions (7.2% of the total) from 7 larval breeding sites (9.4% of the total and 3.5% of the anopheline larval habitats) in May, August, and October 2000. The maximum and minimum water temperatures of larval habitats were respectively 26° C and 12° C, and mean temperature was 20.4° C (for 7 larval breeding sites) (SD= 6.3).

#### Checklist of the mosquitoes of Guilan Province

The checklist of 30 species of mosquitoes in seven genera represented in Guilan Province is given below. The species which were recorded in the province by other authors and/or using PCR technique and were not found or identified in this investigation are shown by asterisks (\*): *Anopheles algeriensis* Theobald\*, *An. atroparvus* van Thiel\*, *An. claviger* (Meigen), *An. hyrcanus* (Pallas), *An.* 

labranchiae Falleroni\*, An. maculipennis Meigen, An. melanoon Hackett, An. messeae Falleroni, An. persiensis Linton, Sedaghat and Harbach\*, An. plumbeus Stephens, An. pseudopictus Grassi, An. sacharovi Favre\*, An. superpictus Grassi, Aedes vexans (Meigen), Coquillettidia richiardii (Ficalbi), Culex hortensis Ficalbi, Cx. mimeticus Noe, Cx. pipiens Linnaeus, Cx. territans Walker, Cx. torrentium Martini, Cx. theileri Theobald, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus Giles, Culiseta annulata (Schrank), Cs. longiareolata (Macquart), Cs. morsitans (Theobald), Cs. subochrea (Edwards)\*, Ochlerotatus caspius (Pallas) s.l., Oc. echinus (Edwards), Oc. geniculatus (Olivier), Uranotaenia unguiculata Edwards.

**Table 1.** The composition and abundance ofAnopheles larvae in Guilan Province, Iran, April–December 2000

Taxon	n	Percentage of family (%)	Percentage of genus (%)
An. claviger	97	1.46	6.27
An. 'hyrcanus'	346	5.20	22.37
An.maculipennis s.l.	841	12.63	54.36
An. plumbeus	202	3.03	13.06
An. superpictus	61	0.92	3.94
Total Anophelinae	1547	23.24	100
Total Culicidae	6656	-	-

Table 2. The association occasions of Anopheles larvae with different mosquito larvae in Guilan Province, Iran,April–December 2000

Species	Total occasions	An. claviger	An.'hyrcanus'	An. maculipennis s.l.	An. superpictus	Ae. vexans	Cx. mimeticus	Cx. pipiens	Cx. theileri	Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	Cx. hortensis	Cx. territans	Cs. longiareolata	Cs. morsitans	Cs. annulata	Oc. echinus	Oc. geniculatus	Ur. unguiculata
An. claviger	14	-	4	4	1	3	-	2	-	1	4	4	3	-	1	-	-	-
An. 'hyrcanus'	53	4	-	39	4	6	12	13	7	31	4	5	1	1	2	-	-	1
An. maculipennis s.l.	53	4	39	-	3	1	10	14	8	30	2	5	1	1	-	-	-	-
An. plumbeus	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	1	-
An. superpictus	7	1	4	3	-	-	2	1	2	5	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	1

					-	
Larval breeding site- characteristics and habitats	An. claviger (%)	An. 'hyrcanus' (%)	An. maculipennis s.l. (%)	An. plumbeus (%)	An. superpictus (%)	Anopheles (%)
A) Habitat situation						
1 Permanent	7 2	26	12	_	_	17
2. Transiont	02.8	2.0	08.8	100	100	1.7
2. Italisicht 3. Slow running water	52.0	0.6	90.0	100	100	98.5
4. Steenent water	04.8	0.0	0.1	100	-	0.5
4. Stagnant water	94.8	99.4	99.9	100	100	99.5
B) Vegetation situation						
1. Without vegetation	33.0	14.7	16.8	100	65.6	30.1
2. With vegetation	67.0	85.3	83.2	-	34.4	69.9
2a) Emergent	78.9	51.4	30.2	-	66.7	39.8
2b) Floating	-	0.6	0.4	-	-	0.4
2c) Submerged	21.1	48.0	69.4	-	33.3	59.8
			0,,,,,		0010	0710
C) Substrate type						
1. Mud	73.7	56.1	30.7	-	67.8	42.0
2. Sand	8.4	20.8	19.7	-	-	18.3
3. Gravel	17.9	23.1	49.6	-	32.2	39.7
D) Water situation						
1. Turbid	-	1.7	8.0	-	-	4.7
2. Clear	100	98.3	92.0	100	100	95.3
E) Sunlight situation						
1. Full sunlight	22.7	71.7	89.4	-	88.5	69.6
2. Partial sunlight	11.3	21.7	4.1	-	-	7.7
3. Shaded	66.0	6.6	6.5	100	11.5	22.7
F) Habitat type					45-	
1. Natural habitat	97.9	83.2	83.6	98.0	100	86.9
1a. River edge	-	-	0.1	-	-	0.1
1b. River bed pool	28.4	37.2	67.0	-	31.1	46.4
1c. Stream edge	5.3	7.6	0.3	-	-	2.2
1d. Grassland	_	1.0	0.7	-	1.7	0.7
1e Marsh	_	13.2	-	_	-	2.8
1f Rain pool	66 3	41.0	31.9	_	67.2	33.1
1g Tree hole	-	-	-	100	-	14.7
2 Artificial habitat	21	16.8	16.4	2.0	-	13.1
$2_3$ Rice field	2.1	70 /	61.6	2.0	-	6/ 8
2a. Net little 2b. Disco irrigation abannal	-	10.2	260	-	-	0 <del>4</del> .0 21.2
20. Kice imigation channel	-	10.5	20.8	-	-	21.3
20. Well 2d Disconded comparate tests	100	-	-	-	-	1.0
2u. Discarded concrete tube	-	-	3.1	-	-	3.3
2e. Discarded tire	-	-	-	100	-	2.0
21. Water storage pool	-	10.3	6.5	-	-	7.4

 

 Table 3. The larval habitat characteristics and occurrence percentages of Anopheles larvae in Guilan Province, Iran, April–December 2000

	arvae with different mosquito species larvae in Guilan
Province Iran April–December 2000	ril–December 2000

Species association	Abundance
	(%)
An. claviger	
Alone	19.59
Cx. hortensis, Cs. longiareolata	17.53
Cx. territans	12.37
Ae. vexans	9.28
An.'hyrcanus', An. maculipennis s.l., Ae. vexans, Cx. pipiens	9.28
An. superpictus, Cx. hortensis, Cs. longiareolata	8.25
An.'hyrcanus', An. maculipennis s.l.	7.22
Cx. hortensis, Cs. annulata, Cs. longiareolata	5.15
An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. territans, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	4.12
Cx. hortensis	3.09
An.'hyrcanus', Ae. vexans, Cx. territans	2.06
Cx. pipiens, Cx. territans	2.06
Total	100
An.'hyrcanus'	
An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	27.75
Ae. vexans, Cx. pipiens, Cs. annulata	10.98
An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. mimeticus	9.83
An. maculipennis s.l.	7.80
An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. mimeticus, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	7.51
An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. pipiens, Cx. theileri, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	3.18
An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. pipiens, Cx. theileri	2.90
Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	2.89
Alone	2.60
Cx. hortensis, Cx. theileri, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	2.60
An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. theileri, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	2.31
Ae. vexans, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	2.02
An. claviger, Ae. vexans, Cx. territans	1.73
An. claviger, An. maculipennis s.l.	1.73
An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. territans	1.73
An. claviger, An. maculipennis s.l., Ae. vexans, Cx. pipiens	1.44
An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. hortensis, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	1.44
An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. pipiens, Cx. theileri, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus, Cs. longiareolata	1.44
An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. pipiens, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	1.16
An. maculipennis s.l., An. superpictus, Cx. mimeticus, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	1.16
An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. pipiens, Cx. territans	0.87
An. maculipennis s.l., An. superpictus, Cx. theileri, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	0.87
An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. territans, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	0.87
Cx. pipiens	0.87
An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. mimeticus, Cx. pipiens	0.58
Ae. vexans	0.29
Cx. hortensis, Cx. pipiens, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	0.29
Cx. mimeticus, Cx. pipiens, Cx. territans, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	0.29
An. superpictus, Cx. hortensis, Cx. pipiens, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	0.29
Ae. vexans., Cx. mimeticus, Cx. pipiens, Cs. annulata. Cs. morsitans	0.29
An. superpictus, Cx. mimeticus, Ur. unguiculata	0.29
Total	100

An. maculipennis s.l.	
An.'hyrcanus', Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	27.82
An.'hyrcanus', Cx. mimeticus	14.74
Cx. pipiens	10.46
An.'hyrcanus', Cx. mimeticus, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	10.23
An.'hyrcanus', Cx. theileri, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	9.16
An.'hyrcanus', An. superpictus, Cx. mimeticus, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	3.69
An.'hyrcanus'	3.33
Cx. mimeticus	2.62
Alone	2.02
An.'hyrcanus', Cx. hortensis, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	1.90
An. claviger, An.'hyrcanus'	1.78
Cx. theileri	1.78
Cx. theileri, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	1.43
An.'hyrcanus', Cx. pipiens, Cx. theileri	1.43
An.'hyrcanus', Cx. territans, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	1.19
An. claviger, Cx. territans, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	1.07
An.'hyrcanus', Cx. mimeticus, Cx. pipiens	0.71
An.'hyrcanus', Cx. pipiens, Cx. territans	0.71
Cx. pipiens, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	0.71
An. 'hyrcanus', Cx. pipiens, Cx. theileri, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus, Cs. longiareolata	0.59
An. 'hyrcanus', An. superpictus, Cx. theileri, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	0.59
An.'hyrcanus', Cx. territans	0.48
An. claviger, An.'hyrcanus', Ae. vexans, Cx. pipiens	0.36
An. superpictus, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	0.36
Cx. pipiens, Cx. territans	0.36
An.'hyrcanus', Cx. pipiens, Cx. theileri, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	0.12
Cx. hortensis	0.12
An.'hyrcanus', Cx. pipiens, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	0.12
Cs. morsitans	0.12
Total	100
An. plumbeus	
Alone	62.87
Oc. echinus, Oc. geniculatus	18.81
Oc. echinus	16.34
Cx. pipiens	1.98
Total	100
An. superpictus	
An.'hyrcanus', Cx. hortensis, Cx. pipiens, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	26.23
An. claviger, Cx. hortensis, Cs. longiareolata	19.67
An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	19.67
Cx. theileri, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	11.48
An.'hyrcanus', An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. mimeticus, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	11.48
An.'hyrcanus', Cx. mimeticus, Ur. unguiculata	9.84
An.'hyrcanus', An. maculipennis s.l., Cx. theileri, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	1.63
Total	100

#### Table 4. Continued....

# Discussion

# Larval habitat characteristics and ecology

In the present investigation, five species of the genus Anopheles were found and iden-

tified based on the morphological characters of larvae in different aquatic habitats in Guilan Province. While the tree-hole *An. plum*-

beus has its own special habitat and way of adaptation, other species have shared some common larval habitat characteristics such as temporary, stagnant, and clear water. However every species shows some preferred characteristics in comparison with others as follow: An. superpictus prefers the habitats without vegetation (65.6%); An. claviger and An. superpictus more adapt the habitats with mud (73.7% and 67.8%, respectively) or gravel (17.9% and 32.2%) substrate, respectively, whereas An. 'hyrcanus' and An. maculipennis s.l. are less conservative in this regard; An. claviger lays the eggs often (66.0%) in shaded area; An. claviger and An. superpictus choose mostly natural habitats (97.9% and 100%), especially rain pools (66.3% and 67.2%), however An. 'hyrcanus' and An. maculipennis s.l. show more diverse habitats and were also collected from artificial habitats (16.8% and 16.4%), especially rice fields (79.4% and 61.6%) (Table 3). These explain why An. maculipennis s.l. and An. 'hyrcanus' are the most abundant species in the studied area where rice fields and irrigation channels are numerous and vast (Table 1).

Macan (1950) found An. claviger in "spring pools, sometimes exposed to the sun, and in slow-flowing reaches and isolated pools of the upper parts of streams where these were heavily shaded" with water temperature 14-16° C (except for one occasion 20° C) in Iraq and western Iran. Dow (1953) found An. claviger in "a small, shallow and shaded stream, with much emergent and partly submerged vegetation including some grass" with Cx. pipiens in Maragheh of northwestern Iran. Horsfall (1955) mentioned that An. claviger is an associate of An. cinereus Theobald (as An. hispaniola), An. maculipennis, An. marteri Senevet and Prunnelle, An. superpictus, Cs. longiarolata, Cs. morsitans, Cx. laticinctus Edwards, Cx. mimeticus, Cx. pipiens, Cx. territans (as Cx. apicalis), and Cx. tritaeniorhynchus and noted that the larvae have been

collected from water with temperature 12-15° C and even beneath the ice during winter and larval sites include: small ponds, flowing stream margins, springs, cisterns, fountains, coastal areas and marshes, shaded rain barrels, roadside ditches, even sewages, and especially wells in different areas of its distribution. Zaim (1987a) collected An. claviger from stream edge in Kashan. In the present study, An. marteri and Cx. laticinctus were not found in Guilan Province (Azari-Hamidian et al. 2002b, 2004a, 2005, Azari-Hamidian 2007b) and An. cinereus has not been collected in Iran (Azari-Hamidian 2007a). Culiseta morsitans was found in the province, not in association with An. claviger, however other mentioned species were collected with this species (Tables 2 and 4). As Horsfall (1955) expressed wells are the important habitats of An. claviger larvae, in this study among man-made habitats it was found in wells only, although the species was collected mostly from natural habitats (97.9%) (Table 3).

Unfortunately, there is little information about the larval habitat characteristics and ecology of An. hyrcanus and An. pseudopictus in details because of their problematic differentiation in larval stage (see Taxonomic note). Dow (1953) collected only An. pseudopictus (as An. hyrcanus var. pseudopictus) in northern Iran based on rearing immature stages from "fairly deep channels in river bed with emergent vegetation and surface debris", "rice fields", "quiet river channel", "canal with emergent grass along banks", "small brook below spring with mats of glove-like alga" with An. maculipennis, An. melanoon (as An. subalpinus) and Cx. tritaeniorhynchus. Horsfall (1955) stressed An. algeriensis, An. coustani Laveran, An. sacharovi, An. sergentii (Theobald) and rarely An. claviger, An. superpictus, Cs. annulata, Cx. perexiguus Theobald, Oc. caspius s.l., and Oc. detritus as the associated species of An. 'hyrcanus' in Palestine. Azari-Hamidian et al. (2002a) found An. 'hyr-

canus' larvae with those of An. maculipennis s.l., Ae. vexans, Cx. mimeticus, Cx. pipiens, Cx. theileri, and Cx. tritaeniorhynchus often in rice fields and also ground pools in Rasht County. Among mentioned species, Anopheles coustani is not recorded in Iran (Azari-Hamidian 2007a) and An. algeriensis, An. sacharovi, An. sergentii, Cx. perexiguus, and Oc. detritus were not found in Guilan Province in this study (Azari-Hamidian et al. 2002b, 2002c, 2004a, 2005, Azari-Hamidian 2007b) and Oc. caspius s.l. was collected only as adult (Azari-Hamidian et al. 2002c). Other species larvae were found with An. 'hyrcanus' larvae. In the present study, An. hyrcanus, with dark hindtarsomere 4, except at tip, and completely dark hindtarsomere 5, reared from pupae was an associate of An. maculipennis s.l., An. pseudopictus, Ae. vexans, Cx. mimeticus, Cx. pipiens, and Cx. tritaeniorhynchus, which were reared from pupae or collected as larvae from the same larval habitats in ground pools with emergent vegetation and mud or sand substrate and river bed pools with submerged vegetation and gravel substrate both habitats were exposed to sunlight. Also, reared An. pseudopictus, with completely pale hindtarsomere 4 and completely dark hindtarsomere 5, was with An. claviger, An. maculipennis s.l., An. hyrcanus, Ae. vexans, Cx. mimeticus, Cx. pipiens, Cx. territans, Cx. theileri, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus in river edge without vegetation, with mud substrate in shaded area, river bed pool with submerged vegetation and gravel substrate in full sunlight situation, rice irrigation channel without vegetation with sand substrate in shaded area, and ground pool with emergent vegetation and mud substrate in full sunlight situation. Both species were collected from habitats with clear, transient, and stagnant water. In general, two species occur sympatrically in northern Iran; however An. pseudopictus is much more abundant than An. hyrcanus (Dow 1953, Azari-Hamidian et al. 2001, 2006) and the main larval habitats

are: river bed pools, rain pools, and rice fields (Table 3). Ghanbari et al. (2005) mentioned some physical and chemical factors of the larval habitats of *Anopheles* including *An. hyrcanus* in Iranshahr, southeastern Iran, however most probably their identification is not correct and needs to be verified. Because, the Oriental species of the Hyrcanus Group, *An. peditaeniatus*, has been found in southeastern Iran and old records of the Oriental *An. nigerrimus* Giles in southern and southeastern Iran using old keys and the record of the Palaearctic *An. hyrcanus* in southeastern Iran might be misidentifications and need to be verified (Azari-Hamidian et al. 2006).

There is little data about the larval breeding places and ecology of the Maculipennis Group species in details in Iran, because the species were not identified carefully in larval stage or using egg pattern in the most of ecological investigations and most of recorded species based on PCR technique were collected as adult (see Taxonomic note). Dow (1953) identified An. maculipennis based on egg collected in "rice field", "small spring in ditch", "shady irrigation reservoir", "shady irrigation ditch", "wide irrigation ditch with floating plants, debris and algae, also emergent grass and sedge", "puddle in small irrigation ditch beside road", and "small stream with much floating and emergent vegetation" in northwestern Iran and the Caspian Sea littoral (Mazandaran Province) and the associated species were An. 'hyrcanus', An. sacharovi, An. superpictus, Cx. hortensis, and Cx. theileri. Also he identified An. melanoon (as An. melanoon subspecies subalpinus) collected in "quiet river channel", "canal with emergent grass along bank", "small brook below spring with mats of glove-like alga", "rain barrel", and "shallow pond" in Guilan Province and the associated species were An. 'hyrcanus' and Cx. tritaeniorhynchus. Anopheles sacharovi was collected in a "shady irrigation reservoir" and in a "pasture" in northwestern Iran. Horsfall (1955) mentioned An. macu-

lipennis s.l. an associate of An. 'hyrcanus', An. sergentii, An. superpictus, Cs. annulata, Cx. laticinctus, Cx. pipiens, and Ur. unguiculata and added rarely two or more species of the group were found in the same habitat at the same time such as An. atroparvus with An. messeae and An. maculipennis with An. melanoon (as An. subalpinus). Mousakazemi et al. (2000) collected An. maculipennis s.l. larvae with those of An. superpictus, Ae. vexans, Cs. longiareolata, Cx. pipiens, Cx. perexiguus, Cx. theileri, Oc. caspius s.l. from rice fields in Isfahan Province. Yaghoobi-Ershadi et al. (2001) did not identified An. sacharovi based on egg pattern, larval chaetotaxy, or PCR in Parsabad of northern Ardebil Province; however they studied its larvae based on the high abundance of the adults in the studied area. They noted very high salinity of the larval habitat waters (263-414 mg/l) and An. superpictus as associated species. Anopheles mculipennis have been found in northern Ardebil Province (Parsabad and Bil-e-Savar Counties), however with very low density (Yaghoobi-Ershadi et al. 2001, Azari-Hamidian et al. 2009). Azari-Hamidian et al. (2002a) collected An. maculipennis s.l. larvae in rice fields, irrigation channels, ground pools, in sunlight or shaded situation, with or without vegetation, with mud substrate and clear water in Rasht County of Guilan Province. The associated larvae were An. 'hyrcanus', Ae. vexans, Cx. pipiens, Cx. theileri, and Cx. tritaeniorhynchus. In the present investigation, among the mentioned species; An. sacharovi, An. sergentii, Cx. laticinctus, and Cx. perexiguus were not found in the province (Azari-Hamidian et al. 2002b, 2004a, 2005, Azari-Hamidian 2007b) and Cs. annulata and Ur. unguiculata larvae were not found with An. maculipennis s.l. (Azari-Hamidian et al. 2004b, Azari-Hamidian 2005), however other species were in association with this species (Tables 2 and 4). In general, An. maculipennis is the most abundant species of the Maculipennis Group in Guilan Prov-

47

ince (Zolotarev 1945, Dow 1953, de Zulueta et al. 1957, Azari-Hamidian et al. 2004a) and its main larval habitats, such as *An. 'hyr-canus'*, are: river bed pools, rain pools, and rice fields (Table 3).

The tree-hole mosquito An. plumbeus also has been found in different habitats other than those in soil including: wells, cisterns, vases and other domestic containers, even dung pits, and flowerpots. The most favorable temperature is 15-18° C; however the species has tolerated to freeze and -6° C to -8° C in the laboratory. Associated species are Ae. aegypti (Linnaeus), Cs. annulata, Cx. pipiens, Ochlerotatus geniculatus, Oc. echinus, and Orthopodomyia pulcripalpis (Rondani) (Horsfall 1955). There is no record of Or. pulcripalpis in Iran and Ae. aegypti has not been found in the country for more than fifty years (Azari-Hamidian 2007a). In the present investigation, Cs. annulata was collected in Guilan Province (Azari-Hamidian et al. 2003b, Azari-Hamidian 2005), however not in association with An. plumbeus. Anopheles plumbeus was associated with other three species (Tables 2 and 4). Most of An. plumbeus larvae were collected from the tree holes and only in one occasion four larvae were collected with Cx. pipiens in a discarded tire (Table 3). The larvae were only collected when rain season started, October and November, and tree-holes included water and the weather was cooler (Azari-Hamidian 2003, 2006). That is why the mean temperature of habitat water showed significant difference from other species.

Macan (1950) stressed "the edges of stony streams" as "the classic habitat" of *An. superpictus*, however he added larvae are found in "any available breeding places where the water is clean, exposed to sunlight and moderately shallow" in western Iran. Dow (1953) found *An. superpictus* larvae with *An. maculipennis*, and probably *An. sacharovi*, *Cx. hortensis*, *Cx. theileri*, and *Ur. unguiculata* in different kinds of habitats in-

cluding; rivers, streams, pools, pastures, springs, irrigation ditches in different areas of Iran. Horsfall (1955) said the associated species of the species are; An. cinereus (as An. hispaniola), An. claviger, An. sacharovi, An. sergentii, Cx. laticintus, Cx. mimeticus, and Ur. unguiculata and mentioned that three main larval breeding places of An. superpictus are: "clear shallow water over rocky bottom", "rivers of clear water over sandy bottom", and "hill streams of shallow water over mud bottom". The larvae passed the winter in pit wells where the temperature was 5-15°C in Greece. Yaghoobi-Ershadi et al. (1986) found An. superpictus larvae in habitats such as river edges (80%), ground pools (15%), and pastures (5%) which were often transient (62.5%) and stagnant (100%), without (50%) or with submerged (22.8%) vegetation, in partial (56.3%) or full (37.4%) sunlight, with mud (56.2%) and sand (43.8%) substrate. Also they noted associated larvae: An. dthali, An. fluviatilis s.l., An. multicolor, An. stephensi, and An. turkhudi in Minab. Mousakazemi et al. (2000) collected An. superpictus larvae with those of An. maculipennis s.l., Ae. vexans, Cs. longiareolata, Cx. pipiens, Cx. perexiguus, Cx. theileri, Oc. caspius s.l. from rice fields in Isfahan Province. Yaghoobi-Ershadi et al. (2001) found An. superpictus larvae with An. sacharovi in Ardebil Province. Ghanbari et al. (2005) studied some physical and chemical factors of the larval habitats of Anopheles larvae in Iranshahr including An. superpictus. Among mentioned species An. cinereus has not been found in Iran (Azari-Hamidian 2007a) and An. dthali, An. fluviatilis s.l., An. multicolor, An. sergentii, An. stephensi, An. turkhudi, Cx. laticinctus, and Cx. perexiguus in Guilan Province (Azari-Hamidian et al. 2002b, 2004a, 2005, Azari-Hamidian 2007b). In the present study, Anopheles sacharovi was not found in the province (Azari-Hamidian et al. 2002b, 2004a) and Ae. vexans larvae were not in association with An. superpictus larvae (Azari-

Hamidian 2006) and Oc. caspius s.l. was found only as adult (Azari-Hamidian et al. 2002c). Other mentioned species were associated with An. superpictus larvae in the province (Tables 2 and 4). In parallel to the results of many researchers (Macan 1950, Dow 1953, Horsfall 1955, Yaghoobi-Ershadi et al. 1986, Zaim 1987a), in this study An. superpictus was found in natural habitats (100%) and mostly exposed to sun (88.5%), with clear (100%) water, without vegetation (65.6%), and mud (67.8%) substrate (Table 3). Finding in rice fields (artificial habitat) by Mousakazemi et al. (2000) in Isfahan Province seems to be to some extent unusual and interesting.

Larval habitat water pH, electric conductivity (EC), turbidity, dissolved oxygen, and different organic and inorganic compounds, other aquatic associated animals especially predators, and the life tables of different mosquito species are items, which should be considered in future studies.

#### Checklist of the mosquitoes of Guilan Province

Azari-Hamidian et al. (2002b) found 23 species of mosquitoes representing seven genera based on morphological characters including egg pattern (for the Maculipennis Group) in Guilan Province. In this list three species An. superpictus, Oc. echinus, and Ur. unguiculata were recorded in the province and Cs. morsitans and its subgenus (Culicella) in Iran for the first time. Five species including An. atroparvus and An. sacharovi of the Maculipennis Group, An. algeriensis, Cs. subochrea, and Cx. torrentium, which were recorded in Guilan Province before (Danilov 1975, Saebi 1987, Zaim 1987b, Dinparast-Jadid et al. 2001), were not found by Azari-Hamidian et al. (2002b). After that, Sedaghat et al. (2003) and Gholizadeh et al. (2004) reported An. persiensis and An. labranchiae of the Maculipennis Group based on PCR technique in the prov-

ince, respectively. In general, all seven species of the Maculipennis Group recorded in Iran (Azari-Hamidian 2007a) have been found in Guilan Province. Anopheles atroparvus, An. labranchiae, An. maculipennis, An. messeae, An. persiensis, An. sacharovi are presented in the province and Iran based on DNA data and their sequences are available in GenBank (Sedaghat et al. 2003, Gholizadeh et al. 2004, Gholizadeh et al. 2005, Djadid et al. 2007), however An. melanoon (also as An. subalpinus) has been found only using egg pattern (Zolotarev 1945, Dow 1953, de Zulueta et al. 1957, Azari-Hamidian et al. 2002b). Dow (1953) and Djadid et al. (2007) found An. sacharovi in Guilan Province based on egg pattern (in Hassan Kiadeh) and DNA sequence, respectively, however it seems, as Dow (1953) noted, the species is quite rare in the province. Azari-Hamidian et al. (2002b, 2004a) identified three species An. maculipennis, An. melanoon, and An. messeae based on egg pattern in Guilan Province. During his studies, Saebi (1987) did not find An. algeriensis in Iran and mentioned it a very rare species which had been recorded in Lahijan and Fooman (Fuman) of Guilan Province before. This species was not found by Azari-Hamidian et al. (2002b, 2004b) either; however its occurrence in the province seems to be possible, because of the presence of its preferred habitats; reedy swamps (Horsfall 1955). Based on the second Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS2) and Cytochrome c Oxidase subunit I (COI) sequence data, recently Oshaghi et al. (2007, 2008) reported three genotypes named X, Y, and Z within An. superpictus in Iran. Genotype X was found in all parts of the country except for the southeastern areas. It seems that the An. superpictus specimens of Guilan Province belong to genotype X, however it needs to be confirmed by more investigation. Zaim (1987b) reported Cs. subochrea in Guilan Province: however Azari-Hamidian et al.

S Azari-Hamidian: Larval Habitat Characteristics ...

(2002b, 2003b) found only Cs. annulata. These two close and rare species are very similar in larval stage; however they are easily distinguishable as adult. It seems the occurrence of Cs. subochrea in Guilan Province is possible, especially in view of the recent finding of both species in Ardebil Province, northwestern Iran (Azari-Hamidian et al. 2009). There is little information about taxonomy and distribution of three close species Cs. alaskaensis (Ludlow), Cs. annulata, and Cs. subochrea in Iran (Azari-Hamidian et al. 2003b, Azari-Hamidian 2005). Recently, Cs. subochrea and Cs. longiareolata were found in Sanandaj County of Kurdistan Province (Moosa Kazemi et al. 2010). Danilov (1975) was the unique reliable record of Cx. torrentium in Rasht of Guilan Province and Iran. Recently the larvae of this species was found in Ardebil Province (Azari-Hamidian et al. 2009) and the mountainous areas of the western part of Guilan Province (Heyran) (with Cx. pipiens; Azari-Hamidian, unpublished data), thus its occurrence in Iran. Guilan Province, and for the first time in Ardebil Province was verified. All 30 species, which have been discussed above, were mentioned in the checklist in the present article. There is a unique record of Cx. quinquefasciatus Say in Enzeli of Guilan Province by Harbach (1988). There is no more information about this record (Harbach, personal communication). This is out of the usual distribution of this species that occurs in southern Iran (Zaim 1987b), so it is not mentioned in the checklist. Taxonomy, distribution, and ecology of the Pipiens Subgroup of the Pipiens Group of Culex (Culex) need to be studied extensively in Iran. Beklemishev and Shipitzina (1947) found An. marteri in the valley of the river Yuzbashchai between Qazvin (Qazvin Province) and Rasht (Guilan Province); however there is no record of this species in Guilan Province. The species complexes and the mosquito fauna of the forest areas of the

province are important subjects to study in future taxonomic investigations.

# Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to J Minar, Institute of Parasitology, Czech Republic, for studying specimens, JF Reinert, Center for Medical, Agricultural and Veterinary Entomology, Gainesville, for providing some articles, J Jezek, Natural History Museum of Prague, Prague, I Gelbic, Institute of Entomology, Czech Republic, F Rettich, National Institute of Public Health, Prague, for their important correspondences and helps. The author also thanks MA Oshaghi, Department of Medical Entomology and Vector Control, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, for reviewing the manuscript and S Omidi, School of Health, Guilan University of Medical Siences, Rasht, Iran, for assisting in statistical analysis. This study received financial support from Guilan University of Medical Sciences.

# References

- Azari-Hamidian S, Yaghoobi-Ershadi MR, Javadian E (2001) A faunistic study of mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) fauna in Rasht County (Iran). Modarres J Med Sci. 3: 65–70 [Persian with English abstract].
- Azari-Hamidian S, Yaghoobi-Ershadi MR, Javadian E (2002a) The distribution and larval habitat characteristics of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in Rasht County (Guilan Province, Iran). Modarres J Med Sci. 4: 87–96 [Persian with English abstract].
- Azari-Hamidian S, Joeafshani MA, Mosslem M, Rassaei AR (2002b) Taxonomic survey of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in Guilan Province with reporting of a subgenus new to Iranian mosquito fauna. The 15<sup>th</sup> Iranian Plant Protection

Congress, 7–11 September 2002, Razi University of Kermanshah, Kermanshah, Iran. pp. 319–320 [Persian with English abstract].

- Azari-Hamidian S, Joeafshani MA, Rassaei AR, Mosslem M (2002c) Mosquitoes of the genus *Aedes* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Guilan. J Med Fac Guilan Univ Med Sci. 11(43): 29–39 [Persian with English abstract].
- Azari-Hamidian S (2003) On the tree hole mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) fauna, ecology and medical importance in Guilan Province. The 4<sup>th</sup> National Iranian Congress of Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases, 13–16 October 2003, Mashhad, Iran. p. 293 [Persian with English abstract].
- Azari-Hamidian S, Joeafshani MA, Mosslem M, Rassaei AR (2003a) Adult mosquito habitats and resting-places in Guilan Province (Diptera: Culicidae). Hakim. 6: 55–62 [Persian with English abstract].
- Azari-Hamidian S, Joeafshani MA, Rassaei AR, Mosslem M (2003b) Faunistic studies on the genus *Culiseta* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Guilan Province. J Kerman Univ Med Sci. 10: 225–233 [Persian with English abstract].
- Azari-Hamidian S, Joeafshani MA, Rassaei AR, Mosslem M, Mousavi-Eivanaki E (2004a) Mosquito fauna of the genus Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae) in Guilan Province. Modarres J Med Sci. 6: 11–22 [Persian with English abstract].
- Azari-Hamidian S, Joeafshani MA, Mosslem M, Rassaei AR (2004b) Notes on *Coquillettidia richiardii* and *Uranotaenia unguiculata* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Guilan Province. J Med Fac Guilan Univ Med Sci. 13(51): 1–9 [Persian with English abstract].
- Azari-Hamidian S (2005) Larval habitat characteristics of mosquitoes of the genus *Culiseta* Felt, 1904 (Diptera: Culi-

cidae) in the Caspian Sea littoral, Iran. Zool Middle East. 36: 59–66.

- Azari-Hamidian S, Joeafshani MA, Mosslem M, Rassaei AR (2005) Mosquito fauna and distribution of the genus *Culex* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Guilan Province. Pajouhesh and Sazandegi. 68: 39– 45 [Persian with English abstract].
- Azari-Hamidian S (2006) On the ecology of the tribe Aedini (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae in the Caspian sea littoral, Iran. The 11<sup>th</sup> International Congress of Parasitology, 6–11 August 2006, Glasgow, Scotland, UK.
- Azari-Hamidian S, Abai MR, Ladonni H, Vatandoost H, Akbarzadeh K (2006) *Anopheles peditaeniatus* (Leicester) new to the Iranian mosquito fauna with notes on *Anopheles hyrcanus* group in Iran. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 22: 144–146.
- Azari-Hamidian S (2007a) Checklist of Iranian mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). J Vector Ecol. 32: 235–242.
- Azari-Hamidian S (2007b) Larval habitat characteristics of mosquitoes of the genus *Culex* (Diptera; Culicidae) in Guilan Province, Iran. Iran J Arthropod-Borne Dis. 1: 9–20.
- Azari-Hamidian S, Yaghoobi-Ershadi MR, Javadian E, Mobedi I, Abai MR (2007) Review of dirofilariasis in Iran. J Med Fac Guilan Univ Med Sci. 15(60): 102–113 [Persian with English abstract].
- Azari-Hamidian S, Yaghoobi-Ershadi MR, Javadian E, Abai MR, Mobedi I, Linton Y-M, Harbach RE (2009) Distribution and ecology of mosquitoes in a focus of dirofilariasis in northwestern Iran, with the first finding of filarial larvae in naturally infected local mosquitoes. Med Vet Entomol. 23: 111–121.
- Beklemishev V, Shipitzina N (1947) *Anopheles marteri* in the north-western Iran. Med Parasitol Parasit Dis. 16: 66– 67 [Russian with English abstract].

- Danilov VN (1975) Mosquito fauna of northwestern Iran. Med Parasitol Parasit Dis. 44: 732 [Russian with English abstract].
- Darsie RF, Samanidou-Voyadjoglou A (1997) Keys for the identification of the mosquitoes of Greece. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 13: 247–254.
- de Zulueta J, Jolivet P, Thymakis K, Caprari P (1957) Seasonal variations in susceptibility to DDT of *Anopheles maculipennis* in Iran. Bull WHO.16: 475–479.
- Dinparast-Jadid N, Kordiev M, Townson H (2001) Molecular key to *Anopheles maculipennis* species complex and its application for malaria control in the costal area of Caspian Sea. The 3<sup>rd</sup> Iranian Congress of Medical Parasitology, Sari, Iran. p. 108 [Persian].
- Djadid ND, Gholizadeh S, Tafsiri E, Romi R, Gordeev M, Zakeri S (2007) Molecular identification of Palearctic members of *Anopheles maculipennis* in northern Iran. Malaria J. 6: 6–15.
- Djadid ND, Jazayeri H, Gholizadeh S, Rad ShP, Zakeri S (2009) First record of a new member of *Anopheles* Hyrcanus Group from Iran: molecular identification, diagnosis, phylogeny, status of kdr resistance and *Plasmodium* infection. J Med Entomol. 46: 1084–1093.
- Doosti S, Azari-Hamidian S, Vatandoost H, Oshaghi MA, Hosseini M (2006) Taxonomic differentiation of *Anopheles sacharovi* and *An. maculipennis s.l.* (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae by seta 2 (antepalmate hair). Acta Med Iran. 44: 21–27.
- Dow RP (1953) Notes on Iranian mosquitoes. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2: 683–695.
- Edrissian GH (2006) Malaria in Iran: Past and present situation. Iran J Parasitol. 1: 1–14.
- Eshghi N, Motabar M, Javadian E, Manouchehri AV (1976) Biological features of *Anopheles fluviatilis* and its role in

the transmission of malaria in Iran. Trop Geogr Med. 28: 41–44.

- Eshghy N (1977) Anopheles multicolor Cambouliu and its role in the transmission of malaria in Iran. J Entomol Soc Iran. 4: 87–88 [Persian with English abstract].
- Eslami A (1997) Veterinary Helminthology. Vol. 3. Nematoda and Acanthocephala. Tehran University Publications, Tehran [Persian].
- Ghanbari MR, Rakhsh Khorshid A, Salehi M, Hassanzehi A (2005) The study of physical and chemical factors affecting breeding places of *Anopheles* in Iranshahr. Tabib-e-Shargh, J Zahedan Univ Med Sci. 7: 221–227 [Persian with English abstract].
- Gholizadeh S, Tafsiri E, Zakeri S, Dinparast-Jadid N (2004) Molecular identification of a new species to Iranian anopheline fauna of *Anopheles maculipennis* complex (Diptera: Culicidae). The 13<sup>th</sup> Iranian Congress on Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine, 11–15 December 2004, Tehran, Iran. p. 197 [Persian].
- Gholizadeh S, Tafsiri E, Zakeri S, Dinparast Djadid N (2005) Anopheles maculipennis complex (Diptera: Culicidae) in Guilan Province of Iran. The 13<sup>th</sup> Iranian Biology Conference and the First International Conference of Biology, 23–25 August 2005, Rasht, Iran. p. 92.
- Harbach RE (1988) The mosquitoes of the subgenus *Culex* in southwestern Asia and Egypt (Diptera: Culicidae). Contrib Am Ent Inst. 24: 1–240.
- Harbach RE (2007 onwards) Mosquito Taxonomic Inventory. Available at: http://mosquito-taxonomic-inventory. info/ (accessed 25 May 2011).
- Horsfall WR (1955) Mosquitoes. Their Bionomics and Relation to Disease. Hafner Publishing, New York.

- Lotfi MD (1973) Iranian species of genus *Culex* II Report of four species of larvae and 14 adult species. Bull Soc Pathol Exot. 66: 204–207.
- Macan TT (1950) *Anopheles* and Malaria in the Near East III. The Anopheline Mosquitoes of Iraq and North Persia. London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Research Memoir No 7, HK and Lewis Co Ltd, London.
- Manouchehri AV, Rohani F (1975) Notes on the ecology of *Anopheles dthali* Patton in southern Iran. Ann Trop Med Parasit. 69: 393–397.
- Manouchehri AV, Javadian E, Eshghy N, Motabar M (1976) Ecology of *Anopheles stephensi* Liston in southern Iran. Trop Geogr Med. 28: 228–232.
- Marsh F (1933) New species of *Anopheles* (*Myzomyia* group) from south-west Persia. Stylops. 2: 193–197.
- Momeni S, Manouchehri AV, Zaim M, Mottaghi M (1992) The distinguish between the species of *Anopheles maculipennis* complex by using of egg characters in Gilan and Mazandaran Provinces, northern areas of Iran 1990–1991. Iranian Congress of Malaria, 22–26 February 1992, Zahedan, Iran. p. 29.
- Moosa Kazemi SH, Karimian F, Davari B (2010) Culicinae mosquitoes in Sanandaj County, Kurdistan Province, western Iran. J Vector Borne Dis. 47: 103-107.
- Mousakazemi S, Zaim M, Zahraii A (2000) Fauna and ecology of Culicidae of the Zarrin-Shahr and Mobarakeh area in Isfahan Province. Armaghan Danesh, J Yasuj Univ Med Sci. 5: 46–54 [Persian with English abstract].
- Naficy K, Saidi S (1970) Serological survey on viral antibodies in Iran. Trop Geogr Med. 2: 183–188.
- Oshaghi MA, Shemshad Kh, Yaghobi-Ershadi MR, Pedram M, Vatandoost H, Abaie MR, Akbarzadeh K, Mohtarami F (2007) Genetic structure of the ma-

S Azari-Hamidian: Larval Habitat Characteristics ...

laria vector *Anopheles superpictus* in Iran using mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase (COI and COII) and morphologic markers: A new species complex? Acta Trop. 101: 241–248.

- Oshaghi MA, Yaghobi-Ershadi MR, Shemshad Kh, Pedram M, Amani H (2008) The *Anopheles superpictus* complex: introduction of a new malaria vector complex in Iran. Bull Soc Pathol Exot. 101: 429–434.
- Reinert JF (2001) Revised list of abbreviation for genera and subgenera of Culicidae (Diptera) and the notes on generic and subgeneric changes. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 17: 51–55.
- Saebi ME (1987) Morphological Study on Anopheline Larvae and their Distribution in Iran. [PhD Dissertation]. School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran [Persian].
- Saidi S, Tesh R, Javadian E, Nadim A (1976) The prevalence of human infection of West Nile in Iran. Iran J Publ Health. 5: 8–14.
- Sedaghat MM, Linton Y-M, Oshaghi MA, Vatandoost H, Harbach RE (2003) The *Anopheles maculipennis* complex (Diptera; Culicidae) in Iran: molecular characterization and recognition of a new species. Bull Entomol Res. 93: 527– 535.
- Service MW (1993) Mosquitoes (Culicidae). In: Lane RP, Crosskey RW (Eds) Medical Insects and Arachnids. Chapman and Hall, London, pp. 120–240.
- Shahgudian ER (1960) A key to anophelines of Iran. Acta Med Iran. 3: 38–48.
- WHO (1975) Manual on Practical Entomology in Malaria. Part II. Methods and Techniques. WHO, Geneva.
- Yaghoobi-Ershadi MR, Zaim M, Manouchehri AV (1986) Studies on the biology of the mosquitoes in the district of Mi-

nab, Hormozgan Province, Iran, (1983–84)1-Characteristcs of the larval breeding sites. J Environ Stud. 13: 17–39 [Persian with English abstract].

- Yaghoobi-Ershadi MR, Namazi J, Piazak N (2001) Bionomics of Anopheles sacharovi in Ardebil Province, northwestern Iran during a larval control program. Acta Trop. 78: 207–215.
- Zaim M, Cranston PS (1986) Checklist and keys to the Culicinae of Iran (Diptera; Culicidae). Mosq Syst. 18: 233–245.
- Zaim M (1987a) The mosquito fauna of Kashan, public health importance and control. Desert, Sci Res. 18: 1-41 [Persian].
- Zaim M (1987b) The distribution and larval habitat characteristics of Iranian Culicinae. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 3: 568–573.
- Zaim M, Subbarao SK, Manouchehri AV, Cochrane AH (1993) Role of *Anopheles culicifacies s.l.* and *An. pulcherrimus* in malaria transmission in Ghassreghand (Baluchistan), Iran. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 9: 23–26.
- Zaini A, Janbakhsh B, Manouchehri A (1975) Characteristics of breeding places of *Anopheles stephensi* in city of Bandar-Abbas. Iran J Publ Health. 4: 114–119 [Persian with English abstract].
- Zolotarev ER (1945) *Anopheles maculipennis* of northern Iran. Med Parasitol Parasit Dis. 14: 50–57 [Russian].