# Application of the Stampacchia lemma to anisotropic degenerate elliptic equations 

Hichem Khelifi ${ }^{\bullet} \boxtimes 1$<br>${ }^{1}$ Laboratory of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, University of Algiers 1, Algeria<br>${ }^{2}$ Laboratory LEDPNL,HM, ENS-Kouba, Algeria

Received 14 March 2023, Accepted 22 June 2023, Published xx xx 2023


#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove the existence and regularity of solutions for a class of nonlinear anisotropic degenerate elliptic equations with the data $f$ belonging to certain Marcinkiewicz spaces $\mathcal{M}^{m}(\Omega)$ with $m>1$. We use a generalized Stampacchia Lemma version to establish the main results.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$. We consider the following problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial_{i}\left[a_{i}(x, u, \nabla u)\right]=f & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $f$ belongs to some Marcinkiewicz space $\mathcal{M}^{m}(\Omega)$ with $m>1$. We assume that $a_{i}$ : $\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for all $i=1, \ldots, N$, are Carathéodory functions satisfying the following conditions for almost every $x \in \Omega$, all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{i}(x, s, \xi)\right| \leq \beta\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-1},  \tag{1.2}\\
& {\left[a_{i}(x, s, \xi)-a_{i}(x, s, \eta)\right] \cdot\left(\xi_{i}-\eta_{i}\right)>0, \quad \xi_{i} \neq \eta_{i},}  \tag{1.3}\\
& a_{i}(x, s, \xi) \cdot \xi_{i} \geq b(s)\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}, \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta$ is a positive constant, $b: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha}{(1+|s|)^{\theta}} \leq b(s) \leq \gamma, \quad \forall 0 \leq \theta<1, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $0 \leq \theta<1$ and $\alpha, \gamma$ are two positive constants.
Our inspiration for this paper is derived from [8], where the author addressed elliptic problems described by the following model:
\[

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}(a(x, u) \nabla u)=f & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.6}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega,\end{cases}
$$
\]

where

$$
\frac{\alpha}{(1+|s|)^{\theta}} \leq a(x, s) \leq \beta,
$$

with $0<\alpha \leq \beta<\infty$ and $0 \leq \theta<1$. The authors in [8] mainly consider the regularity of $u$ to vary with $m$ : Let $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution to (1.6) and $f \in \mathcal{M}^{m}(\Omega)$. Then
(R1) If $m>\frac{N}{2}$, then there exists $L>0$, such that $|u| \leq 2 L$ a.e. in $\Omega$;
(R2) If $m=\frac{N}{2}$, then there exists $\lambda>0$, such that $e^{\lambda|u|^{1-\theta}} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$;
(R3) If $\left(2^{*}\right)^{\prime}<m<\frac{N}{2}$, then $u \in \mathcal{M}^{m^{* *}(1-\theta)}(\Omega)$; with $m^{* *}=\frac{N m}{N-2 m}$.
Let $u$ be an entropy solution of (1.6) and $f \in \mathcal{M}^{m}(\Omega)$. Then
(R4) If $1<m \leq\left(2^{*}\right)^{\prime}$, then $u \in \mathcal{M}^{m^{* *}(1-\theta)}(\Omega)$.
In [3] under the hypotheses $\theta=0$ and $a_{i}(x, s, \xi)=\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \xi_{i}$, the author proved that
(R1) If $m>\frac{N}{\bar{p}}$, then $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$;
(R2) If $m=\frac{N}{\bar{p}}$, then there exists $\lambda>0$, such that $e^{\lambda|u|} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$;
(R3) If $\left(\bar{p}^{*}\right)^{\prime}<m<\frac{N}{\bar{p}}$, then $u \in L^{\frac{m N(\bar{p}-1)}{N-m \bar{p}}}(\Omega)$;
(R4) If $1<m \leq\left(\bar{p}^{*}\right)^{\prime}$, then $u \in W_{0}^{1, p_{i} \frac{m N(\bar{p}-1)}{\overline{(N-m)}}}(\Omega)$.
Existence and regularity results for the problem (1.1) have been obtained in [1] with $f \in$ $L^{m}(\Omega), m \geq 1, a_{i}(x, s, \xi)=\frac{a_{i}(x, \xi)}{(1+|s|)^{\theta\left(p_{i}-1\right)}}$, where $\theta \geq 0$ and $p_{i} \in(1,+\infty)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, N$.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $N \geq 2$ and $1<p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq \ldots \leq p_{N}$. The natural functional framework of the problem (1.1) is anisotropic Sobolev spaces $W^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$ and $W_{0}^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$, which are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)=\left\{v \in W^{1,1}(\Omega): \partial_{i} v \in L^{p_{i}}(\Omega), i=1, \ldots, N\right\}, \\
& W_{0}^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)=W^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1,1}(\Omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The space $W_{0}^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$ can also be defined as the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\partial_{i} v\right\|_{L^{p_{i}}(\Omega)} .
$$

Now we will recall some lemmas that are known and needed for the subsequent analysis.

Lemma 1.1. [10] There exists a positive constant $C$, depending only on $\Omega$, such that for $v \in$ $W_{0}^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega), \bar{p}<N$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\partial_{i} v\right\|_{L^{p_{i}}(\Omega)^{\prime}}^{\frac{1}{N}}, \quad \forall r \in\left[1, \bar{p}^{*}\right] \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{p}^{*}=\frac{N \bar{p}}{N-\bar{p}}, \frac{1}{\bar{p}}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_{i}}$.
Definition 1.2. [2] Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Let $p \geq 0$. The Marcinkiewicz space $\mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$ is the space of all measurable functions $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the following property: there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{meas}(\{|f|>\lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^{p}}, \quad \forall \lambda>0, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where meas $(E)$ is the Lebesgue measure of the set $E$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. The norm of $f \in \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}=\inf \{C>0:(1.8) \text { holds }\}
$$

It is immediate that the following inclusions hold, $1 \leq q<p<\infty$,

$$
L^{p}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega) \subset L^{q}(\Omega)
$$

A Hölder inequality holds true for $f \in \mathcal{M}^{m}(\Omega), m>1$ : there exists $B=B\left(\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{m}(\Omega)}, m\right)>0$ such that for every measurable subset $E \subset \Omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E}|f| d x \leq B|E|^{1-\frac{1}{m}} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now present a generalization of Lemma 4.1 from [9] (see [5]), which can be applied in the analysis of degenerate anisotropic elliptic equations of divergence type.

Lemma 1.3. [8] Let $c, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, k_{0}$ be positive constants and $0 \leq \theta<1$. Let $\Phi:\left[k_{0},+\infty\right) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be nonincreasing and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(h) \leq \frac{c h^{\theta \tau_{1}}}{(h-k)^{\tau_{1}}}[\Phi(k)]^{\tau_{2}}, \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $h, k$ with $h>k \geq k_{0}>0$. It results that:
(i) if $\tau_{2}>1$, then

$$
\Phi(2 L)=0,
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\max \left\{2 k_{0}, c^{\frac{1}{(1-\theta) \tau_{1}}}\left[\Phi\left(k_{0}\right)\right]^{\frac{\tau_{2}-1}{1^{1-\theta) \tau_{1}}}} 2^{\frac{1}{(1-\theta) \tau_{2}}}\left(\tau_{2}+\theta+\frac{1}{\tau_{2}-1}\right)\right\}, \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) if $\tau_{2}=1$, then for any $k \geq k_{0}$,

$$
\Phi(k) \leq \Phi\left(k_{0}\right) e^{1-\left(\frac{k-k_{0}}{\tau}\right)^{1-\theta}},
$$

where

$$
\tau=\max \left\{k_{0},\left(c e 2^{\frac{(2-\theta) \theta \tau_{1}}{1-\theta}}(1-\theta)^{\tau_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{(1-\theta) \tau_{1}}}\right\}
$$

(iii) if $0<\tau_{2}<1$, then for any $k \geq k_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(k) \leq 2^{\frac{(1-\theta) \tau_{1}}{\left(11-\tau_{2}\right)^{2}}}\left\{\left(c_{1} 2^{\theta \tau_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\tau_{2}}}+\left(2 c_{2} k_{0}\right)^{\frac{(1-\theta) \tau_{1}}{1-\tau_{2}}} \Phi\left(k_{0}\right)\right\}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{\tau_{1}(1-\theta)}{1-\tau_{2}}} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c_{1}=\max \left\{4^{(1-\theta) \tau_{1}} c 2^{\theta \tau_{1}}, c_{2}^{1-\tau_{2}}\right\}, \quad c_{2}=2^{\frac{(1-\theta) \tau_{1}}{\left(1-\tau_{2}\right)^{2}}}\left[\left(c 2^{\theta \tau_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\tau_{2}}}+\left(2 k_{0}\right)^{\frac{(1-\theta) \tau_{1}}{1-\tau_{2}}} \Phi\left(k_{0}\right)\right] .
$$

Let $k>0$, we will use the truncation $T_{k}$ defined as

$$
T_{k}(s)= \begin{cases}-k, & \text { if } s \leq-k,  \tag{1.13}\\ s, & \text { if }-k \leq s \leq k, \quad \text { and } \quad G_{k}(s)=s-T_{k}(s) . \\ k, & \text { if } s \geq k,\end{cases}
$$

## 2 The main results and their proof

We define the notion of a weak solution to the problem (1.1) as follows:
Definition 2.1. Let $f \in L^{m}(\Omega)$ with $m>\left(\bar{p}^{*}\right)^{\prime}$. We define a weak solution of (1.1) as a function $u$ in $W_{0}^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$ satisfying the following identity for all $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_{i}(x, u, \nabla u) \partial_{i} \varphi d x=\int_{\Omega} f \varphi d x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2. Under the hypotheses (1.2)-(1.5), if $f \in \mathcal{M}^{m}(\Omega)$, with $m>\left(\bar{p}^{*}\right)^{\prime}$ and $u \in W_{0}^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution to (1.1) in the sense of (2.1). Then
(i) If $m>\frac{N}{\bar{p}}$, then there exists a constant $L$ that can depend on the data, such that $|u| \leq 2 L$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$.
(ii) If $m=\frac{N}{\bar{p}}$, then there exists a constant $\lambda>0$ that can depend on the data, such that

$$
e^{\lambda|u|^{1-\theta}} \in L^{1}(\Omega) .
$$

(iii) If $\left(\bar{p}^{*}\right)^{\prime}<m<\frac{N}{\bar{p}}$, then $u \in \mathcal{M}^{\frac{N m(\bar{p}-1)(1-\theta)}{N-\bar{p} \bar{p}}}(\Omega)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $h>k>0$. We use $\varphi=T_{h-k}\left(G_{k}(u)\right)$ as a test function in (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_{i}(x, u, \nabla u) \partial_{i} T_{h-k}\left(G_{k}(u)\right) d x=\int_{\Omega} f T_{h-k}\left(G_{k}(u)\right) d x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\varphi=0$ for $x \in\left\{\left|u_{n}\right| \leq k\right\},|\varphi| \leq h-k$ and

$$
\nabla \varphi= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if }\left|u_{n}\right| \leq k \\ \nabla u_{n}, & \text { if } k<\left|u_{n}\right| \leq h \\ 0, & \text { if }\left|u_{n}\right|>h\end{cases}
$$

then (1.4),(1.5) and (2.2) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \int_{B_{k, h}} \frac{\left|\partial_{i} u\right|^{p_{i}}}{(1+|u|)^{\theta}} d x \leq(h-k) \int_{A_{k}}|f| d x \quad \forall i=1, \ldots, N, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{k}=\{x \in \Omega:|u(x)|>k\}, \quad \text { and } \quad B_{k, h}=\{x \in \Omega: k<|u(x)| \leq h\} .
$$

Using Hölder's inequality with exponent $m$ in the right-hand side and the fact that $\frac{1}{(1+|u|)^{0}} \geq$ $\frac{1}{(1+h)^{\theta}}$ if $x \in B_{k, h}$ on the left-hand side of (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\alpha}{(1+h)^{\theta}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\partial_{i} T_{h-k}\left(G_{k}(u)\right)\right|^{p_{i}} d x & =\frac{\alpha}{(1+h)^{\theta}} \int_{B_{k, h}}\left|\partial_{i} u\right|^{p_{i}} d x \\
& \leq \alpha \int_{B_{k, h}} \frac{\left|\partial_{i} u\right|^{p_{i}}}{(1+|u|)^{\theta}} d x \\
& \leq(h-k)\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{m}(\Omega)}\left|A_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{m^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq C_{1}(h-k)\left|A_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{m^{\prime}}} \quad \forall i=1, \ldots, N,
\end{aligned}
$$

the above estimate implies

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{(1+h)^{\frac{\theta}{N p_{i}}}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\partial_{i} T_{h-k}\left(G_{k}(u)\right)\right|^{p_{i}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N p_{i}}} \leq C_{2} \prod_{i=1}^{N}(h-k)^{\frac{1}{N p_{i}}}\left|A_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{N p_{i} m^{\prime}}}
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(1+h)^{\frac{\theta}{p}}} \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\partial_{i} T_{h-k}\left(G_{k}(u)\right)\right|^{p_{i}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N p_{i}}} \leq C_{2}(h-k)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left|A_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{\bar{p} m^{\prime}}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying 1.1 with $v=T_{h-k}\left(G_{k}(u)\right), r=\bar{p}^{*}$, and by (2.4), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{(1+h)^{\frac{\theta \bar{p}^{*}}{\bar{p}}}}(h-k)^{\bar{p}^{*}}\left|A_{h}\right| & =\frac{1}{(1+h)^{\frac{\theta \bar{p}^{*}}{\bar{p}}}} \int_{\Omega}\left|T_{h-k}\left(G_{k}(u)\right)\right|^{\vec{p}^{*}} d x \\
& \leq C_{2}(h-k)^{\frac{\bar{p}^{*}}{p}} \left\lvert\, A_{k} k^{\frac{\bar{p}^{*}}{\bar{p}} m^{\prime}}\right. \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (2.5), it follows that for all $h>k \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(h) & \leq C_{3} \frac{(1+h)^{\frac{\theta \bar{p}^{*}}{\bar{p}}}}{(h-k)^{\left(1-\frac{1}{\bar{p}}\right) \vec{p}^{*}}} \Phi(k)^{\frac{\vec{p}^{*}}{p m^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq C_{3} \frac{h^{\theta \frac{\bar{p}^{*}}{\bar{p}}}}{(h-k)^{\bar{p}^{*}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}} \Phi(k)^{\frac{\vec{p}^{*}}{\bar{p} m^{\prime}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Phi(k)=\left|A_{k}\right|$. The assumption (1.10) of Lemma 1.3 holds with

$$
c=C_{3}, \quad \tau_{1}=\bar{p}^{*}\left(1-\frac{1}{\bar{p}}\right), \quad \tau_{2}=\frac{\bar{p}^{*}}{\bar{p} m^{\prime}} \quad \text { and } \quad k_{0}=1 .
$$

We use Lemma 1.3, and we have:
(i) If $m>\frac{N}{\bar{p}}$, then $\tau_{2}>1$. We use Lemma $1.3(\mathbf{i})$, and we get $\Phi(2 L)=0$ for some constant $L$ is defined as in (1.11), from which we derive $|u| \leq 2 L$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$.
(ii) If $m=\frac{N}{\bar{p}}$, then

$$
\tau_{2}=\frac{\bar{p}^{*}}{\bar{p} m^{\prime}}=\frac{N(m-1)}{(N-\bar{p}) m}=1 .
$$

By Lemma 1.3 (ii), we obtain

$$
\Phi(k) \leq \Phi(1) e^{1-\left(\frac{k-1}{\tau}\right)^{1-\theta}} \leq|\Omega| e^{1-\left(\frac{k-1}{\tau}\right)^{1-\theta}} \quad \forall k \geq 1,
$$

Hence, if $k \geq 2$ (i.e. $k-1 \geq \frac{k}{2}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(k) \leq|\Omega| e^{1-\left(\frac{k}{2 \tau}\right)^{1-\theta}} \leq C_{4} e^{-(2 \tau)^{\theta-1} k^{1-\theta}} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We let $\tau^{\theta-1}=2^{2-\theta} \lambda$, by (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{meas}\left\{e^{\lambda|u|^{1-\theta}}>e^{\lambda k^{1-\theta}}\right\}=\Phi(k) \leq C_{4} e^{-2 \lambda k^{1-\theta}}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

choosing $\tilde{k}=e^{\lambda k^{1-\theta}}$ in (2.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{meas}\left\{e^{\left.\lambda|u|\right|^{1-\theta}}>\tilde{k}\right\} \leq \frac{C_{4}}{\tilde{k}^{2}}, \quad \forall \tilde{k} \geq e^{\lambda 2^{1-\theta}}=k_{1} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now use Lemma 3.11 from [2], which says that a sufficient and necessary condition for $g \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ is

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \text { meas }\{|h|>k\}<+\infty .
$$

Finally we choose $g=e^{\lambda|u|^{1-\theta}}$, by (2.8), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\tilde{k}=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{meas}\left\{e^{\lambda|u|^{1-\theta}}>\tilde{k}\right\} & =\sum_{\tilde{k}=0}^{k_{1}} \operatorname{meas}\left\{e^{\lambda|u|^{1-\theta}}>\tilde{k}\right\}+\sum_{\tilde{k}=k_{1}+1}^{\infty} \operatorname{meas}\left\{e^{\lambda|u|^{1-\theta}}>\tilde{k}\right\} \\
& \leq\left(1+k_{1}\right)|\Omega|+C_{4} \sum_{\tilde{k}=k_{1}+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tilde{k}^{2}} \\
& \leq C_{5}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

then $e^{\lambda|u|^{1-\theta}} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$.
(iii) If $\left(\bar{p}^{*}\right)^{\prime}<m<\frac{N}{\bar{p}}$, then $\tau_{2}<1$. We use Lemma 1.3 (iii), and we have for all $k \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(k) & \leq C_{6}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{\tau_{1}(1-\theta)}{1-\tau_{2}}} \\
& \leq C_{6}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{N m(\overline{\bar{T}}-1)(1-\theta)}{N-\bar{p} m}},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is $u \in \mathcal{M}^{\frac{N m(\bar{p}-1)(1-\theta)}{N-\bar{p} m}}(\Omega)$ as desired.

If $f \in \mathcal{M}^{m}(\Omega)$, with $1<m \leq\left(\bar{p}^{*}\right)^{\prime}$, then it is possible to give a meaning to the solution for problem (1.1), using the concept of entropy solutions, which has been introduced in [1].

Definition 2.3. A measurable function $u$ is an entropy solution to the problem (1.1) if $a_{i}(x, u, \nabla u) \in$ $L^{1}(\Omega), T_{l}(u)$ belongs to $W_{0}^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$ for every $l>0$ and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_{i}(x, u, \nabla u) \partial_{i} T_{l}(u-\varphi) d x \leq \int_{\Omega} f T_{l}(u-\varphi) d x \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $l>0$ and every $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
Theorem 2.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}^{m}(\Omega)$ with $1<m \leq\left(\bar{p}^{*}\right)^{\prime}$. Then the problem (1.1) admits at least one entropy solution $u \in \mathcal{M}^{\frac{N m(\bar{p}-1)(1-\theta)}{N-\bar{p} m}}(\Omega)$ in the sense of (2.9).

Proof of Theorem 2.4. The proof is similar to that one of Theorem 1.1 in [6]. Let $h>k>0$. We use $\varphi=T_{k}(u) \in W_{0}^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega), l=h-k$, as a test function in (2.9). By (1.4) and (1.5), we obtain (2.3). The result follows from the proof of Theorem 2.2 (iii).
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