Properties of Brass under Different Pouring Temperatures in Sand Casting Process

Poppy Puspitasari^{12*}, Avita Ayu Permanasari³, Andoko⁴, and Bayu Angga Pratama⁵

¹ Center of Nano Research and Advanced Materials, Universitas Negeri Malang ^{2,3,4} Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Negeri Malang ⁵ Bachelor Program, Mechanical Engineering Department, Universitas Negeri Malang *poppy@um.ac.id

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to determine the toughness of casts produced by the sand casting process at various temperatures against brass, and to investigate the structure contained in the cast madeusing abrass metal mould. This study was experimental research that observed the results of a treatment applied to a specimen group. This pre-experimental study used one-shot case study model in which a group of samples was given treatment. The results showed that the specimen poured at 900°C exhibited microstructure consisting ofgood Cu-Zn content, while that at 700°Chad the least Cu content. It indicates that the higher the temperature used for metal casting (brass), the more brittle the cast. The toughness of the materialwas influenced by the alloy composition and the pouring temperature of each specimen; the higher the pouring temperature, the better the treatment of alloy. The photomicrographs indicate that the higher the pouring temperature used in the metal casting (brass), the lesser the carbon element contained in the material.

Copyright © 2017 Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science and Technology All rights reserved

Keywords: Brass casting, Toughness, Phase change

I. Introduction

Metals are elements found in mining ores and have the ability to conduct heat and electricity. At room temperature, all metals but mercury are in a solid state. Metals can melt when heated to a certain point (melting point) [1][2][3]. Metals can be obtained by mining into the ground at a certain depth. Generally, metals are in the form of rocks or sand called metal ores.

Brass is a metal that is widely used in households as well as in industry, but its use is mostly for household appliances and accessories [4]. This research was conducted to investigatethe characteristic of the alloy from wreck and brass residue fromcrucible furnace, whether or not it can be directly utilised for standard machining componentbased on its physical and mechanical properties [5]. The result of chemical testing shows that brass alloy contains the main elements of copper (CU) of 65.493% and zinc (ZN) of 34.506% and other elements. Its tensile strength is 19.3055 kg/mm2. The hardness is HB 110.44 kg/mm2. This material isclassified as soft 4D brass(CuZn) and thus brass castings are not yet feasible for use as thecore materials of machining components [6].

The variations inpouring temperature affect the solidification of the brass casting; high pouring temperatures increase porosity. The toughness and hardness decrease due to alarge amount of hydrogen gas trapped in the brass cast. In the pouring process, it is necessary to adjust the pouring temperature. In fact, the pouring process has a significant influence on the casting quality; too low pouring temperatures cause short solidification, and poor fluidity causes casting failures. The higher the pouring temperature, the higher the gas solubility (especially hydrogen).

The impact test is a test performed atrapid loading tomeasure the resistance of a material to shock loading [7][8]. In this test, a large amount of energy is absorbed when the load strikes the specimen. Microstructure of the elements determining the mechanical properties of a material. To identify the microstructure of a material, the phase diagram of the material has to be known [9]. The phase diagram is used for reference in the process of smelting, casting, crystallisation and others.





Fig. 1. Results of Impact Tests

II. Research method.

This research was pre-experimental with one-shot case study model, where a sample group was given a treatment and then observed [10]. The variables used in this research were 1) pouring temperature as the independent variable, 2) toughness and phase change as the dependent variables, and 3) brass (CuZn) and impact test as the control variables. The method used to analyse the data in this study was descriptive data analysis [11]. The descriptive analysis described the data on the impact test and phase change of brass (CuZn)

III. Results and discussion.

A. Impact Testing

The result of descriptive analysis of impact tests shown in figure 1.

- 1. The material poured at a temperature of 700°C had an average toughness of 0.0267 Joule/mm2,
- 2. The material poured at a temperature of 800°C had an average toughness of 0.1294 Joule/mm2,
- 3. The material poured at a temperature of 900°C had an average toughness of 0.2046 Joule/mm2.

The photomicrographsshow the contents of cast results visible on the object surface after enlarged at a magnification of 600x. The opaque red areas are Cu, the shiny white elements are Zn, and the black spots are precipitate.

- 1. The specimen produced with a pouring temperature of 700°C consisted of Cu which was less visible compared to Zn which was larger. Also, the precipitate present in the specimen wasapparent.
- 2. The amount of Cu present in the specimen produced with a pouring temperature of 800°C was higherthan that of 700°C; this is due to an increase in temperature variation. The photomicrograph shows that the size of copper increasedand the Cu atoms could enter the Zn crystal lattice well. Moreover, the amount of precipitate present on the specimen appeared to be less than the amount of carbon in the specimenproduced with a pouring temperature of 700°C.
- 3. In the specimen produced with a temperature of 900°C, the atoms of Cu-Zn present could enter the crystal lattice (Zn) well. The higher the pouring temperature, the better the result. With the Cu atoms able to enter the Zn crystal lattice well, the precipitates were much more apparent. Also, twinning appeared at this temperature. Twinning occurs when a part of a crystallite changes its orientation so that the arrangement of atoms in that part becomes symmetrical with otherparts that do not experience twinning. The arrangement of atoms in this twinning part is a mirror image of a part that does not have twinning.



Fig. 2.Photomicrograph of Cu-Zn (Brass)

Table 1. AKI (A-Kay Tublescence) on Cu-Zh composition at temperatures of 700 C, 800 C, 900 V	Table 1. XRF (X-Ray	y Fluorescence) on Cu-Zn cor	nposition at temperatures	of 700°C, 800°C, 9	00°C
--	---------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------	------

No	Temperature _	Compound and Conc (%)	
		Cu (aPhase)	Zn (^y Phase)
1	7000	43.2 +/- 0.4	27.1 +/- 0.7
2	8000	45.57 +/- 0.27	29.4 +/- 0.3
3	9000	46.66 +/- 0.48	30.2 +/- 0.4

Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science and Technology Vol. 1, No. 2, November 2017, pp. 95-99



B. Phase Change of Cu-Zn (Brass)

The results of XRF (X-Ray Fluorescence) on Cu-Zn composition at temperatures of 700°C, 800°C, 900°C are as follows.

- 1. The alloy (Cu-Zn) poured at 700°C was at β phasewhere a phase mixture was formed i.e. phase(α) with (Cu) of 43.2+/- 0.4 and phase^y with (Zn) of 27.1+/-0.7.
- The alloy (Cu-Zn) poured at 800°C was at phaseyin which a mixture of phase (α) with 2. (Cu) of 45.57+/-0.27 and phase^v with (Zn) of 29.4+/-0.3 was formed.
- 3. The alloy (Cu-Zn) poured at 900 °C was at phase $\gamma+\beta$ where a mixture of phase (α) with (Cu) of 46.66+/- 0.48 and phase^y with (Zn) of 30.2+/-0.4 was formed.

In each variation of temperature, there was precipitate. This precipitate was phase (Y). Phase (Y) was formed by the presence of other elements contained in brass (Cu-Zn). The temperatures of 700°C, 800°C, 900°C were in phase ($\beta' + \gamma'$). Phase (β') is the mixture of phase (α) i.e. (Cu) and phase (\mathbf{y}) i.e.(Zn); phase (\mathbf{y}) is precipitate.

IV. Conclusion.

- 1. Based on the research findings and discussion that have been elaborated, it can be concluded as follows:
- 2. The material poured at a temperature of 700°C had an average toughness of 0.0267 Joule/mm2.
- 3. The material poured at a temperature of 800°C had an average toughness of 0.1294 Joule/mm2,
- 4. The material poured at a temperature of 900°C had an average toughness of 0.2046 Joule/mm2.
- 5. The alloy (Cu-Zn) poured at 700°C was at β phase where a mixture of phase (α) with (Cu) of 43.2+/-0.4 and phase ^y with (Zn) of 27.1+/-0.7 was formed.
- 6. The alloy (Cu-Zn) poured at 800°C was at phase γ in which a mixture of phase (α) with (Cu) of 45.57+/- 0.27 and phase y with (Zn) of 29.4+/-0.3 was formed.
- 7. The alloy (Cu-Zn) poured at 900°C was at phase $\gamma + \beta$ where a mixture of phase (α) with (Cu) of 46.66 + -0.48 and phase y with (Zn) of 30.2 + -0.4 was formed.

References

- [1] T. Surdia and C. Kenji, metal casting techniques. Jakarta: PradnyaParamita, 1982.
- [2] K. Sherope, Manufacturing Process for Engineering Materials Third Edition. USA: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company. Inc, 1995.
- [3] T. Surdia, metal casting techniques. Jakarta: PradnyaParamita, 2000.
- [4] T. Septiyantoro, "Planning for metal casting for packaging patterns," Universitas Atma Jaya, 2011.
- [5] S. W. F, Structure and properties of enginering alloys, Second. 1993.
- [6] S. M, "Firts-Principles study of phase stability in Cu-Zn subtitutional alloys," 1991.
- [7] P. Murdanto, Material Teknik. Malang: Universitas Negeri Malang, 2017.
- [8] G. Dwi Abdi, "Impact Testing," 2014. [Online]. Available: http://sekarayuaulia.wordpress.com. [Accessed: 02-Apr-2015].
- [9] Y. Ashari D, "Structure and material properties," Universitas Darma Persada, 2013.
- [10] A. Suharsimi, Management Research. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta, 1990.
- [11] Sugiyono, Quantitative Research Methods, Qualitative and R & D. Bandung: Alfabeta Bandung, 2010.