Original Article

Management of Traumatic Hyphema with Raised Intraocular Pressure

Bakht Samar Khan, Ibrar Hussain, Abid Nawaz

Pak J Ophthalmol 2007, Vol. 23 No. 4

See end of article for authors affiliations

Correspondence to: Bakht Samar Khan Eye "B" Unit, Khyber Teaching Hospital Peshawar

Received for publication March' 2007

.....

Purpose: To find out the incidence of high IOP (≥ 25 mm Hg), its management and visual outcome in traumatic hyphema patients.

Material and Methods: A retrospective study was carried out of all patients with traumatic hyphema admitted to Khyber Teaching Hospital Peshawar between January 2003 and December 2004.

Results: Out of 118 patients with traumatic hyphema requiring hospitalization high IOP was found in 50 cases. Amongst these more than 60% had an IOP≥45mm Hg. The mean age at time of presentation was 7 years. Glaucoma was controlled in 26% cases by medical means while 74% needed surgical intervention. Visual out come was 6/12 or better in 22% cases.

Conclusion: Surprisingly traumatic hyphema with raised IOP has high incidence and poor visual outcome than expected.

yphema is not an uncommon intraocular pathology. The incidence has been reported as 17-24 100,000 population¹. The peak incidence is below 20 year of age. Blunt trauma ruptures vessels of iris stroma and ciliary body by antero posterior compression and equatorial globe expansion, which causes stress. A lacerating injury directly damages the blood vessels and causes hypotony.

Both these cause hyphema. Hyphema can also occur after intraocular surgery. Hyphema absorption occurs through the anterior surface of iris.² Uncomplicated hyphema clears in about a week. The serious complications of hyphema include raised IOP corneal staining and poor VA. One third of all hyphema patients have increased IOP. The IOP is elevated for several reasons. These are,

- 1. Occlusion of trabecular meshwork by clot, inflammatory cell or RBC debris.
- 2. Pupillary block due to blood clot.
- 3. Peripheral anterior synechiae.

4. Other late causes include damaged trabecular meshwork with angle recession, fibrosis of trabecular meshwork, siderosis of trabecular endothelium³ and ghost cell glaucoma⁴.

The raised IOP in hyphema is treated medically, if these measures fails, surgical intervention is required. Despite of all these measures the final visual acuity in traumatic hyphema is not satisfactory. This is either due to uncontrolled glaucoma or corneal blood staining. The purpose of this study is to find out the incidence of glaucoma with hyphema, its acceptable management and the visual outcome.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A retrospective study of all patients with traumatic hyphema admitted to Khyber Teaching Hospital Peshawar was carried out between January 2003 and December 2004. History charts of these patients were reviewed and the examination data was analyzed. This included VA recording both with and without correction, corneal pathology likes edema and blood staining, the size and colour of hyphema and daily

hyphema drawing, cells and flare in the anterior chamber, synechiae, pupillary reaction, lens pathology, daily morning and evening IOP measurement with applanation tonometer and fundus examination.

Traumatic hyphema patients with retinal detachment, ectopia lentis, traumatic maculopathy and those patients requiring only outdoor treatment were excluded from the study.

RESULTS

The study comprised a total of 118 cases of hyphema admitted in KTH, Peshawar. The males being 63% and female 37% (Table 1). Among these, 50 cases (42.37%) were associated with raised IOP. The highest incidence of hyphema with and without raised IOP was in patients up to 10 years of age (Table 2).

In 9 cases (18%) the IOP range was 25 to 30 mm Hg, in 8 cases (16%) it was 31 to 45 mm Hg, while in 33 cases (66%) it was more than 45 mm Hg (Table 3).

Table 1: Hyphema and Glaucoma

| Intraocular pressure | No. of eyes n (%) |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| High IOP (≥ 22 mmHg) | 50 (42.37) |
| Within Normal limit | 68 (57.63) |
| Total | 118 (100) |

Table 2: Age distribution

| Age | Hyphema | No. of eyes with increased IOP |
|---------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| Up to 5 years | 30 | 14 (21.34%) |
| 05 - 10 Years | 45 | 21(46.66%) |
| 11 - 20 years | 18 | 6 (33.33%) |
| 21 - 40 years | 15 | 6 (40%) |
| 41 + | 29 | 3 (10.30%) |

Table 3: Raised Intraocular Pressure

| No. of eyes | IOP range (%) |
|-------------|---------------|
| 9 | 25 – 30 (18) |

| 8 | 31 - 45 (16) |
|----|--------------|
| 33 | > 45 (66) |

Visual acuity at the time of admission was doubtful perception of light in 11 eyes, (22%), perception of light with good projection in 18 eyes (36%) and CF in 31 eyes (62%) (Table 4)

In 13 eyes (26%) raised IOP was successfully managed by medical means while in 37 eyes (74%) surgical intervention was required. This consisted of paracentasis and evacuation in 7 eyes (14%), paracentasis and peripheral iridectomy in 6 eyes (12%), trabeculectomy in 12 eyes (24%), trabeculectomy with mitomycine in 7 eyes (14%) and extra capsular cataract extraction with intraocular lens implant in 5 eyes (10%). (Table 5).

On discharge VA was 6/12 or better in 11 eyes (22%), up to 6/18 in 6 eyes (12%), up to 6/60 in 15 eyes (30%), while up to 3/60 in 6 eyes (12%) and doubtful perception in 12 eyes (24%). (Table 6).

Table 4: Visual acuity at presentation

| VA | No. of eyes n (%) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|
| PL± | 11 (22) |
| PL with good projection | 18 (36) |
| CF | 21 (42) |

Table 5: Management

| No | Procedure | No. of eyes n (%) |
|-------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| A | Medication (controlled) | 13 (26) |
| В | Surgical (controlled) | 37 (74) |
| (i) | Paracentasis & Evacuation | 07 (14) |
| (ii) | Paracentasis with PI | 06 (12) |
| (iii) | Trabeculectomy | 12 (24) |
| (iv) | Trabeculectomy with MMC | 07 (14) |
| (v) | ECCE with IOL | 05 (10) |

Table 6: Visual acuity at discharge

| VA | No. of eyes n (%) |
|----------------|-------------------|
| PL± | 12 (24) |
| Up to 3/60 | 06 (12) |
| Up to 6/60 | 15 (30) |
| Up to 6/18 | 06 (12) |
| 6/12 or better | 11 (22) |

DISCUSSION

Hyphema with raised IOP is an ocular emergency. The majority of patients are children or young individuals. Negral reported 5-16% of all admissions to be related to eye injuries⁵.

DeRespinis et al found that the most common admitting diagnosis in children sustaining ocular trauma was hyphema 32%.

Evaluating the age and sex distribution, traumatic hyphema has been reported to be more frequent in children, predominantly affecting the males⁸⁻⁹⁾.

In this study a raised IOP (\geq 25 mm Hg) was found in 42.37% of cases with hyphema. This compares with the previously reported incidence by various authors¹⁰.

| Coler bryon | 14 - 60 % |
|-------------|-----------|
| Henry | 14 – 51 % |
| Kitazawa | 07 - 67 % |
| Shea | 02 – 25 % |

In study conducted at Abbasi Hospital Karachi, by Fasih et al reported that hyphema was present in 22.22% of patients sustaining ocular injuries while glaucoma due to hyphema was found in 50%¹¹. In another study conducted at Postgraduate Medical Institute, Lady Reading Hospital Peshawar, raised IOP was found in 41.66% of cases with hyphema¹². One-third of these cases required surgical intervention. The medical management of hyphema with raised IOP includes the use of steroids and antiglaucoma agents. Steroids can be used topically and systemically. They control the inflammation, stabilize the blood ocular barrier and reduce the congestion of blood vessels to decrease the risk of rebleeding.

Negra et al studied 462 cases in 10 years and concluded that steroids decreased rebleeding and inflammation¹³. To control IOP topical/systemic antiglaucoma agents are given. They include:

 Carbonic Anhydrase inhibitors (Topical/ systemic)

- Topical β blocker
- Hyperosmotic agents in cases unresponsive to the above medications
- In addition cycloplegic and antiemetics are given in selected cases and analgesic may be required for symptomatic relief of pain

With this regime 1/4th of our cases were controlled whereas the remaining 3/4th required surgical intervention. This was undertaken in cases with raised IOP despite maximal medical therapy non-resolving total hyphema.

There are various criteria for surgical intervention reported in the literature. Important one are;

A) Read and Goldberg criteria supported by Deutch et al¹⁴.

- 1. IOP> \times 60 mm Hg for 2 days
- 2. IOP> x 25 mm Hg + total Hyphema for 5 days
- 3. Microscopic corneal blood staining
- 4. Hyphema absorption < 50% by 8 days
- 5. Sickle cell disease or trait + IOP 24 mm Hg for 1st 24 hours or IOP spike of 30 mm Hg.

B) Walton et al criteria¹⁰

- 1. Microscopic corneal staining
- 2. Risk of optic atrophy (unacceptable IOP)
- 3. Risk of corneal blood staining e.g. IOP \geq 25 mm Hg + 50 % Hyphema
- 4. Risk of synechiae formation e.g. Hyphema ≥ 8 days

Even after following the above criteria the final VA is not what one would expect. The visual prognosis is, of course, much favorable in simple hyphema with normal IOP. Gilbert and Jensen reported a visual acuity worse than 6/12 in 86% of patients with hyphema and associated complications and in 14% of cases with simple hyphema, whereas this incidence was reported, respectively as 92% and 8% by Gregersen, 67% and 33% by Read and Goldberg ¹⁰. This compares with our study (78% and 22%).

The cases responding well to medical treatment have obviously, a better prognosis. In unresponsive cases early surgical intervention is advisable: such an intervention however may be associated at times, with its own complications. These are, mainly cataract formation, infectious and intense inflammation.

CONCLUSION

Glaucoma is one of the leading complications of traumatic hyphema. In medically uncontrolled glaucoma early surgical intervention is recommended.

Author's affiliation

Dr. Bakht Samar Khan Senior Registrar, Eye "B" Unit, Khyber Teaching Hospital Peshawar Dr. Ibrar Hussain Assistant Professor Eye "B" Unit Khyber Teaching Hospital Peshawar

Dr. Abid Nawaz Associate Professor Department of ophthalmology Kabir medical College Gandhara University Peshawar

REFERENCE

 Agapitos PJ, Noel LP, Clarke WN. Traumatic Hyphema in children. Ophthalmology 1987; 94: 1238-41.

- Duke Elder S, Macfaul PA. System of ophthalmology, part-I, Vol. 14: Mechanical injuries. London, Henry Kimpton, 1965, 982-4.
- 3. **Gottsch JD.** Hyphema; diagnosis and management. Retina 10 (Suppl). 1990; 565-71.
- 4. **Campbell DG.** Ghost cell glaucoma following trauma. Ophthalmology 1981; 88: 1151-8.
- Negral AD. Magnitude of eye injuries. J Comm eye health. 1997: 10: 49-53.
- DeRespinis PA. A survey of severe eye injuries in children. Am J Dis child. 1989; 143: 711-6.
- 7. **Jan S, Khan S, Mohammad S.** Profile of ocular emergencies requiring admission. Pak J Ophthalmol. 2002; 3: 72-6.
- 8. **Khan BS, Khan MD.** Areview of 100 cases of Ectopia Lenties; Presentation management and visual prognosis. Pak J Ophthalmol. 2002; 1: 3-9.
- Khan MD, Mohd Islam Z, Khattak MN. An 11 ½ year review of ocular trauma in NWFP. Pak J Ophthalmol. 1991; 7:15-8.
- 10. **Walton W, Von Hagen S, Grigorian R et al.** Management of traumatic hyphema. Survey Ophthalmol. 2002; 47: 297-334.
- 11. **Fasih U, Shaukh A, Fehmi MS.** Occupational ocular trauma. Causes management and prevention. Pak J Ophthalmol. 2004; 20: 65-76
- 12. **Jan S, Khan S, Mohammad S.** Hyphema due to blunt trauma. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. 2003; 13: 398-401.
- 13. **Ng CS, Strong NP, Sparrow JM, et al.** Factors related to the incidence of 2ndry hemorrhage in 462 patients with traumatic hyphema. Eye 1992; 6: 305-7.
- 14. **Deutsch TA, Weinreb RN, Goldberg MF.** Indication for surgical management of hyphema in patients with sickle cell trait. Arch Ophthalmol. 1984; 102: 566-9.