Comparison of Patching for Strabismic and Anisometropic Amblyopia

Sumaira Aamir, Aamir Asrar, Mubashir Jalis, Sadaf Ishtiaq, Bisma Ikram

Pak J Ophthalmol 2017, Vol. 33 No. 1

See end of article for Purpose: To compare the effectiveness of patching for the treatment of authors affiliations strabismic and anisometropic amblyopia among 4-10 years old children. Study Design: Prospective case series. Place and Duration of study: Amanat Eye Hospital from May 2014 to Correspondence to: November 2014. Ms. Sumaira Aamir Optometrist and Orthoptist Material and Methods: Prospective case series was conducted in 100 children Combined Military Hospital among the age group 4 - 10 years. Cycloplegic refraction was done by the use (CMH), Rawalpindi-Pakistan of cyclopentolate eye drops 1.0% in every child. After best-corrected refraction in Email: the amblyopic eye, patients were instructed to use glasses strictly along with sumairajoya321@gmail.com patching of the good eye. Patching was done for the time period of 2 hours, 3 hours and 6 hours according to the severity of amblyopia. Results: The mean age and SD of the patients was 7.09 years±1.821SD. There were 56% male and 44% female. There was an insignificant difference in bestcorrected visual acuity for strabismic and anisometropic amblyopia among three and six months patching result. Vision improvement was seen in 75% patients while 25% did not improve after three months of the patching treatment. After further three months of patching treatment and at the end of 6 months, further vision improvement was observed in 51% patients while 49% did not improve. Vision improvement at six months after 3 months of further patching treatment was comparatively less than the initial three months of patching treatment. Conclusion: Improvement of vision in first three months of patching treatment was comparatively greater than further 3 months of patching (at the end of six months) among patients with strabismic and anisometropic amblyopia.

Key words: Amblyopia, patching therapy, strabismus, anisometropia.

Multiply is a visual disorder due to the ineffectiveness of eye and brain working together. It is the most common causes of decreased vision among children and younger adults. It is always associated with strabismus, anisometropia or form deprivation early in life¹. Amblyopic patients have poor spatial acuity, low contrast sensitivity and reduced sensitivity to motion². About 90% of work in the children's eye services is related to amblyopia³.

The standard treatment for amblyopia is occlusion therapy, which involves patching of the dominant eye to encourage the use of an amblyopic eye. In young children, this treatment is quite effective with 75% of the children showing improvement in visual acuity⁴. However, its effectiveness decreases in older children and adults⁵.

Adults with amblyopia⁶ are currently offered no treatment in clinical practice, due to the finding that patching of the fellow eye is ineffective after the age of 10 years⁷, presumably due to the lack of plasticity in the adult visual cortex⁸. However, recent studies have shown that monocular functions of the amblyopic eye can be partly recovered as a result of intensive training of the amblyopic eye, which in turn suggests the existing of some degree of plasticity in adult amblyopes at the monocular site^{9,10}. Poor response to amblyopia treatment includes older age, worse visual acuity and strabismus.

Compliance is another factor that can have the major effect on the final outcome of treatment for amblyopia. The level of compliance with occlusion treatment has been found to be poor^{11,12}. In addition to clinical parameters, it has been related to factors involving communication with the parents and patients^{13,14}. Education of the parents with written information concerning amblyopia, the critical period and treatment reduced the level of non-compliance.

The objective of the study was to evaluate the efficacy of patching treatment in terms of best corrected visual acuity in strabismic and anisometropic amblyopic patients after the follow-up period of 3 months and 6 months among 4-10 years age group.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A prospective study was conducted in the settings of Amanat Eye Hospital, Rawalpindi. Consecutive sampling technique was used to collect the sample of 100 children from May 2014 to November 2014 who presented with amblyopia and were 4-10 years old. There were 50 patients who presented with anisometropia and 50 with strabismus. Properly informed consent was taken from parents of the amblyopic patients. An approval was taken from the hospital ethical committee.

Inclusion criteria were, age of 4-10 years, no improvement with best-corrected refraction in one eye than other, amblyopia associated with strabismus and anisometropia, cycloplegic refraction, no history of the previous patching. Exclusion criteria included neurological impairment, nystagmus, macular and optic nerve disease.

Monocular and binocular visual acuity was taken by using Snellen's chart at the distance of 6 m. After pupillary reactions and ocular motility were assessed, cover-uncover test and prism cover test were performed in strabismic patients. Cycloplegic refraction was done by the use of cyclopentolate eye drops 1.0% in every child. After obtaining the bestrefraction in corrected the strabismic and anisometropic patients, they were instructed to use glasses along with patching of the good eve to encourage the use of an amblyopic eye. Patching was done for the time period of 2 hours, 3 hours and 6 hours according to the severity of amblyopia. The patients who were responding to the occlusion therapy, patching time was reduced. Detailed information and instructions to the parents and children regarding poor compliance to patching therapy and visual outcomes of patching therapy were explained. Patients were followed up at 3 months and 6 months for evaluating improvement in visual acuity with patching treatment, no patching was done for more than 6 months.

Statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS version 22.0. Visual acuities were converted to Log MAR for statistical analysis. Pre and post-op visual acuity before and after the patching treatment was compared using independent sample t-test. All the results were evaluated at the confidence interval of 95%. p-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

The mean age of the patients was 7.09 years \pm 1.821 SD. There were 56% males and 44% females. Right eye was affected in 57% and left eye was affected in 43% of the participants.

An independent sample t-test was conducted to compare the best-corrected visual acuity after three months of patching treatment in strabismic and anisometropic amblyopic patients. There was an insignificant difference in best-corrected visual acuity for strabismic and anisometropic patching treatment with p value = 0.894 at 95% CI = (-0.0830 to 0.0950). The effect size was small (0.01). The results of threemonths patching treatment in the amblyopic eye are shown in Table 1.

Graphical representation showed total vision improvement in strabismic and anisometropic amblyopia after three months of patching treatment, as vision improved in 75% and did not improve in 25% (Figure 1).

Independent sample t-test reported that there was an insignificant difference among strabismic and anisometropic amblyopia after six months of patching treatment with p-value = 0.815 at 95% CI = (-0.0745 to 0.0945). The effect size was small (0.01). Six months patching treatment visual results were shown in table 2.

Graphical representation showed vision improvement in strabismic and anisometropic amblyopia after six months of patching treatment, as

Type of Amblyopia	Vision Improved	Percentage	Vision did not Improved	Percentage	p-value (Effect Size)
Strabismic Amblyopia	38	76%	12	24%	0.894(0.01)
Anisometropic Amblyopia	37	74%	13	26%	

Table 1: Results of initial three-months patching treatment in amblyopic eye.

Table 2: Results of further 3 months of patching treatment in amblyopic eye seen at the end of 6 months.

Type of Amblyopia	Vision Improved	Percentage	Vision did not Improve	Percentage	p-value (Effect Size)
Strabismic amblyopia	24	48%	26	52%	0.815 (0.01)
Anisometropic amblyopia	27	54%	23	46%	



Fig. 1: Three months patching result.



Fig. 2: Six months patching result.

vision improved in 51% and did not improve in 49% (Figure 2).

Graphical representation showed that the results of vision improvement were comparatively less in strabismic and anisometropic amblyopia with six months patching treatment as shown in figure 3.



Fig. 3: Three and six months patching result.

DISCUSSION

This comparative study assessed the strabismic and anisometropic amblyopic patching therapy among the age group 4-10 years. Correction of refractive error with spectacles along with the patching treatment results in significant improvement in visual acuity. It is generally believed that the critical period for visual development in humans ends at the age of 6 to 7 years. Some eye care professionals believed that amblyopia treatment is effective until 9 or 10 years. The American academy of ophthalmology preferred practice pattern for amblyopia recommends treatment up to age 10 years¹⁵.

Oliver et al¹⁶ reported that children older than 8 years showed a significant improvement in their visual acuity, almost as good as that in younger children. Rutstein and Fuhr¹⁷ reported that age above 8 years, visual acuity of 6/12 or better could be obtained in only 27% of patients. However, in this study visual acuity of 6/12 or better could be obtained in 30% of patients older than 8 years.

Epelbaum et al¹⁸ reported that the results of patching therapy could be observed best when patching treatment is done before three years of age in strabismic amblyopia. Rutstein et al¹⁹ reported that the visual acuity improvement is somewhat lesser in patients older than seven years than in younger patients. However, In this study there was a child aged 8 years presented with anisometropia, best corrected visual acuity 6/24 after giving patching trial of 6 hours, visual acuity improved to 6/12 and then after six months reached to 6/7.5 .Visual improvement initially was faster in the patching group, but after six months analysis the difference of both patching group was small.

Various studies have favored amblyopia treatment at the age < 6 – 7 years^{20,21} but few studies have reported better outcomes in older children^{22,23}. This study results showed that the visual outcomes were independent of the patient's age, suggesting that the amblyopia can be treated successfully beyond the age that is considered to be the critical period for the visual development.

Increased prevalence of blindness in the population is still a reality in this part of the world. One way of preventing future blindness is to detect amblyopia at an early stage and give adequate patch treatment. This could be done by school health care, at eye clinics, in the local health center, thus preventing blindness for a low cost.

The limitations of the study include smaller sample size and shorter follow-ups.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that the improvement of vision after three months of initial patching treatment was comparatively greater than further 3 months of patching (at the end of 6 months) among patients with strabismic and anisometropic amblyopia.

Author's Affiliation

Ms. Sumaira Amir

Optometrist and Orthoptist

Combined Military Hospital (CMH), Rawalpindi-Pakistan

Dr. Aamir Asrar

MBBS, MRCOphth, FRCS, Fellowship in Vitreo-Retinal Surgery, Fellowship in Corneo-Refractive Surgery, Chief Consultant Ophthalmologist, Amanat Eye Hospital, Islamabad–Pakistan.

Dr. Mubashir Jalis

MBBS, MCPS, FCPS, Fellow-ship in Pediatric Ophthalmology, Associate Professor Islamabad Medical and Dental Hospital, Consultant Ophthalmologist, Amanat Eye Hospital, Rawalpindi-Pakistan

Ms. Sadaf Ishtiaq

Optometrist and Orthoptist, MSPH, Al-shifa school of public health, Rawalpindi-Pakistan

Ms. Bisma Ikram Optometrist and Orthoptist, MSPH, Amanat Eye Hospital, Rawalpindi-Pakistan

Role of Authors

Ms. Sumaira Amir Concept, Research Design, Sample collection, Data Collection, Manuscript Writing and data analysis.

Dr. Aamir Asrar Sharing of data and Manuscript Review.

Dr. Mubashir Jalis Sharing of data.

Ms. Sadaf Ishtiaq Data analysis.

Ms. Bisma Ikram Data analysis.

REFERENCES

1. **De Zarat BR, Tejedor J.** Current concepts in the management of amblyopia. Clin Ophthalmol. 2007; 1: 403-14.

- 2. Stewart CE, Moseley MJ, Stephens DA, Fielder AR, the MOTAS cooperative. The treatment dose-response in amblyopia therapy: results from the monitored occlusion treatment of amblyopia study (MOTAS) Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2004; 45: 3048-54.
- 3. Astle AT, McGraw PV, Webb BS. Can human amblyopia be treated in adult hood. Strabismus. 2011; 19: 99-109.
- 4. **Repka MX, Beck RW, Holmes JM**, et al. Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. A randomized trial of patching regimens for treatment of moderate amblyopia in children. Arch Ophthalmol. 2003; 121: 603–611.
- Scheiman MM, Hertle RW, Beck RW, et al.; Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. Randomized trial of treatment of amblyopia in children aged 7 to 17 years. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2005; 123: 437–447.
- 6. Levi DM. Prentice award lecture 2011: removing the brakes on plasticity in the amblyopic brain. Optom Vis Sci. 2012; 89: 827–838.
- Scheiman, M. M. et al. Randomized trial of treatment of amblyopia in children aged 7 to 17 years. Arch Ophthalmol. 2005; 123: 437–447.
- Huang, C. B., Zhou, Y. & Lu, Z. L. Broad bandwidth of perceptual learning in the visual system of adults with anisometropic amblyopia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 2008; 105: 4068–4073.
- 9. Levi, D. M. & Li, R. W. Perceptual learning as a potential treatment for amblyopia: a mini-review. Vision Res. 2009; 49: 2535–2549.
- Li, R. W., Ngo, C., Nguyen, J. & Levi, D. M. Videogame play induces plasticity in the visual system of adults with amblyopia. PLOS Biology, 2011; 9: 7339-750.
- 11. **Stewart CE, Moseley MJ, Stephens DA,** et al. Treatment dose-response in amblyopia therapy: the monitored occlusion treatment of amblyopia study (MOTAS).Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2004; 45: 3048-54.
- 12. Newsham D. Parental non-concordance with occlusion therapy. Br J Ophthalmol. 2000; 84: 957-62.

- 13. **Stewart CE, Stephens DA, Fielder AR**, et al. Objectively monitored patching regimens for treatment of amblyopia: randomised trial. BMJ 2007; 335: 707.
- 14. Loudon SE, Fronius M, Looman CWN, et al. Predictors and a remedy for Non-compliance with amblyopia therapy in children measured with the occlusion dose monitor. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2006; 47: 4393-400.
- 15. Amblyopia Preferred Practice Pattern, American Academy of Ophthalmology, **San Francisco, California**; Sept 2012.
- Oliver M, Neumann R, Chaimovitch Y, Gottesman N, Shimshoni M. Compliance and results of treatment for amblyopia in children more than 8 years old. Am J Ophthalmol. 1986; 102: 340–345.
- 17. Rutstein RP, Fuhr PS. Efficacy and stability of amblyopia therapy. Optom Vis Sci. 1992; 69: 47–54.
- Epelbaum M, Milleret C, Buisseret P, Dufier JL. The sensitive period for strabismic amblyopia in humans. Ophthalmology. 1993; 100: 323–7.
- 19. **Rutstein RP, Fuhr PS.** Efficacy and stability of amblyopia therapy. Optom Vis Sci. 2003; 69: 747–54.
- 20. **De Zarate BR, Tejedor J.** Current concepts in the management of amblyopia. Clin Ophthalmol 2007; 1:403-14.
- 21. Astle AT, McGraw PV, Webb BS. Can human amblyopia be treated in adulthood. Strabismus. 2011; 19: 99-109.
- 22. Wallace DK; Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group, Edwards AR, Cotter SA, Beck RW, Arnold RW, Astle WF, et al. A randomized trial to evaluate 2 hours of daily patching for strabismic and anisometropic amblyopia in children. Ophthalmology, 2006; 113: 904-12.
- 23. Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. Randomized trial of treatment of amblyopia in children aged 7 to 17 years. Arch Ophthalmol. 2005; 123: 437-47.