## BUDDHIST ECONOMICS OF COMPASSION AND COMMUNION

## S.R.Bhatt

University of Delhi, India.

## Abstract

The current economic models which promote materialism, individualism and consumerism have led to a global crisis which requires a new economic paradigm. This paper contends that Buddhism contains within its doctrines a paradigm which is well equipped to provide a solution to this crisis, one based upon the Buddha's middle path.

It is universally felt that all is not well with the present day sphere of economics and that this calls for serious thinking as to what ails the prevailing state of affairs and how to rectify the root causes of the problems facing the humankind. It also necessitates rethinking economic principles, policies, planning and programs.

This paper has limited concerns and stems from the disillusionment with the dichotomous, exclusivist and lopsided economic situation that exists in the modern world. It also offers and outlines a brief sketch of an Indian Buddhist approach to structure and manage economy at the national and global levels. It is hoped that the latter can possibly offer an effective and more beneficial alternative to the present day individualisticmaterialistic-consumerist-profit seeking-competitive-exploitative economy which is bereft of welfare contents, sustainability of economic resources and spiritual orientation.

The motivating factor in presenting this paper is that if we possess something which may prove helpful and useful to world peace, progress and plenitude, we should not hesitate in sharing it with the world at large. Rather than being burden to the world or being idle spectator to the universal suffering or being hesitant to our cultural heritage with others, we should attempt to partake in cooperative endeavor to resolve the problems of the world and creatively reconsider what our culture, civilization and traditions can contribute to the present world for a bright future.

The inquisitive mind is always searching for redemptive knowledge. Western economic thought seems to have exhausted itself. Therefore it requires us to search for the source of a new paradigm shift. One such source can be found in classical Buddhist thought. The Buddhist approach is that of a moderate economy based on 'Buddhist Middle Path' which is sustainable both in production and consumption; the two aspects of economic planning and development. The Buddhist way is an economy of balanced development, balancing different pairs like production and consumption, individual and society, nation and universe, physical and spiritual, present and future and so forth. It is holistic and integral approach to economic issues ranging from micro to macro perspectives. It also measures development in terms of prosperity, health and happiness of the present and the future generations in terms of intra-generational and inter-generational justice. It provides for a cosmos-friendly economy in which instrumental and intrinsic goods are harmonized. To explicate its details would be a large task, but allow me to offer here only a blueprint.

Buddhism is both a view of reality and a way of life seeking to realize the *summum bonum* of existence. It has therefore a comprehensive perspective on all facets of reality and life. Its understanding of economic aspects of our life, while ancient, also provides something fresh, unique and of great contemporary relevance and may serve the need for a desirable alternative models of economics at the national and global levels. It attends to all the four drivers of economic development, viz., human resources, natural resources, capital resources and innovative technology. Though it has not been presented very systematically in the classical Buddhist literature, it can be reconstructed from the early Buddhist literature and given a coherent shape. Buddhist Economy is based on and can be derived from the teachings of Lord Buddha in the well-known *Dhammacakkapavattanasutta* in which the 'Four Noble Truths' and the 'Eight-fold Noble Path' are also contained. In these Pali texts we are provided sufficient material for constructing an economic theory.

Buddhist Economy is essentially characterized by love and compassion, benevolence and altruism, interdependence and interrelation, mutual openness and reciprocity, fellowship and participation, plenitude and happiness, giving and renouncing, caring and sharing. The four noble virtues for ideal human conduct named as Brahmaviharas of universal friendship and amity (maitta), universal compassion (karuna), universal responsibility making others happy (mudita) and indifference to narrow self-interest (upeksha) are the guiding principles on which economic thought and planning, and the economic behavior of individuals and society are to be based. It is an integral and organic approach which is holistic and nondivisive and takes into its purview well being of the entire cosmos (lokanukampaya). Morality and universal responsibility are the very heart of Buddhism and therefore Buddhist economics is dharmic (ethical) being guided by virtuous mind (kusala citta). It can be described as dharmaoriented and dharma-based economy. Its motto is morality first, money thereafter. Morality is not a policy but a principle of life and existence. There are three facets of dharma; it is sustaining, it is regulating and it is instrumental for the good. Prajna (wisdom) and Sila (morality) as karuna (compassion) are the foundations on which it rests. Economics, like other human pursuits, is only the means and its ultimate goal is cosmic wellbeing and happiness (bahujana hitaya, bahujana sukhaya) leading to realization of summum bonum of life (nirvana).

One of the most significant implications of the Buddhist idea of the non-substantiality (*Anatmavada*) of reality is that the cosmos, rather the cosmic process, is a totality of fleeting occurrences and not of things. It is a highly complex, complicated and intricate but planned and purposive networking of events and not a mechanistic arrangement of preexistent entities. Every worldly existence has a dependent origination out of a causal collocation characterized by mutuality and openness, interrelatedness and reciprocity. Each one has a specific nature, place, role and function in the cosmic setup as determined in the scheme of the universe. Human existence cannot be conceived in isolation from the rest of the universe. It is not in the form of just "I" but also "we". In the economic functioning, every one has to contribute according to one's ability. The cosmos is a network of relationships which give rise to an organic collective living entity (*samgha jivana*), like an organism. As Hua-yen Buddhism puts it,

In one is all, in many is one

One is identical to all, many is identical to one.

The natural implications of such a view point are non-individualistic, non-isolationist, non-selfishness, and lead, instead, to mutual dependence, collective living and corporate functioning. This model of a living organism is best illustration of this position. A living organism is a dynamic totality of multiple organs at once holistic and integral, centrifugal and centripetal, collective and individual. Here the whole lives for the parts and the parts live for the whole. There is mutuality and reciprocity in a natural way, a sort of pre-established harmony. Every one discharges one's duties and obligations without caring for one's rights. It is duty-oriented rather than rights-oriented. There is coordination and cooperation which is generally smooth and if it gets disturbed the result is sickness and ailment and possible decay. The ideal requirement is maintenance of harmony. Harmony is natural and must be preserved, and imbalance is unnatural, must be avoided. This organic approach, which is holistic and integral also has some elements of pan-psychism.

The basic concepts underlying the Buddhist approach to economics are the middle path (*madhyama marga*), right living (*samyag ajivaka*), collective living (*samgha Jivana*), interdependence and interrelation of all phenomena (*pratitya samutpada*), preservation, conservation and consolidation of all resources (ksema), enhancement and revitalization of all existing resources (*yoga*), non-profiteering and non-deprivation of others ensuring intra-generational justice (*asteya*), safeguarding and preserving the resources for the posterities as intergenerational justice (*aparigraha*), management of end, means and modalities in a planned, purposive and efficacious manner (*upaya kausala*), and self-reliance (purusartha). In his discourses on economic issues, on agriculture, trade, commerce and industry, on business enterprises, on monetary transactions and the like Buddha has expounded these ideas very clearly and the *Tripitakas* (Buddhist sacred literature) are replete with them. Sometimes he explains them directly, and sometimes through stories and parables.

The non-substantial approach has deep and tremendous impact in shaping the Buddhist economy. Since it advocates egoless-ness it avoids individualism and all its corollaries. No individual is an isolated existence. Every individual depends on the other. There is a supportive mutualism. Individual-centeredness degenerates into narrow individualism which breeds all sorts of economic offences, conflicts and deprivations. It leads to consumerism and profiteering, unlawful practices and alienation. The Buddhist idea of economy, on the other hand, while respecting individual freedom, personal initiative, preferences, choices and actions, also calls for universal responsibilities. It believes that any pursuit of individualistic goods at the cost of others is counterproductive in the ultimate analysis. Since every existence is interdependent and interrelated Buddhism advocates holistic and integral understanding of the nature of reality in general and of economic reality in particular. It is the feeling of oneness and selfsameness with all. This is the meaning of spirituality in Indian context. Santideva in the eighth chapter of the Bodhicaryavatara, uses two poignant words for this: paratmasamata (feeling of selfsameness with others) and paratmaparivartana (transforming oneself as others, a sort of empathy). It also finds expression in the famous four *Brahmaviharas* of Maitri (fellowship), Karuna (compassion), Mudita (rejoicing at the happiness of others) and Upeksa (indifference to self-interest) referred to earlier

Buddhist economy is based on the doctrine of middle path avoiding the extremes of materialism and idealism, capitalism and communism, individualism and totalitarianism, poverty and affluence, self-negation and self-indulgence. It ensures consumption without consumerism. It accepts profit without profiteering. Profit is not to be used solely for personal purposes. It is to be utilized for growth and development, for helping the needy and for benevolent purposes like education. Buddhist economy emphasizes social component with the ultimate goal of cosmic well-being. As Lord Buddha exhorted his disciples,

"O Monks! Move around for the well-being of every one, for the happiness of every one, showering compassion on the entire world; for the good, for the welfare of divine and human." (*Vinaya Pitaka* I.23)

In the present day economic situation, people are first induced to desire and use things which are produced or will be produced. This is consumerism. In consumerism, demand follows production. More and more consumption is sought through inducements of various types so that sales increase and profit accrues. Whatever is produced must be sold and consumed and profit generated. As far as possible disposable goods should be produced so that they can soon be discarded and new production required. Newer demands are created by producing more attractive and enticing goods. This also leads to competition among the producers and sellers. The entire management of economic planning, production and distribution is geared towards this goal. Those who can help in doing so are termed as 'management gurus'. In the Buddhist system the scenario should be just the opposite. The human being is not born to consume whatever is produced. Production is for human beings and not human beings for production. Production should be for the purpose of meeting demands and, as far as possible, demands are to be curbed and not increased. Since production follows demand and since demands should be reduced to minimum, production has to be need-based. Any sort of crass materialism is not in keeping with the Buddhist viewpoint. Economic enterprise is only designed to meet legitimate needs and necessities, and not to cater to greed. Thus, in contrast to the individualistic-consumerist, profitoriented economy of the present times based on the culture of 'having', of acquisition and possession, of extravagant consumption and excessive indulgence, Buddhism offers an alternative model of the culture of 'giving', of sacrifice, of renunciation, of peace, harmony and cooperation, of lawfulness and of respect to environment. It calls for fulfillment of needs but not catering to greed. It repudiates competitive economy and calls for cooperative economy. The Buddhist economy can therefore offer a new approach, fresh insights, deeper intuitions and a new rationality for a paradigm shift, a shift which is natural as human fulfillment lies only in the alternative set of values. In this shift the focal point of economy is not profit but service, not exploitation but judicious employment of resources. Digganikaya (III.p.168) gives the example of a bee which gathers honey without damaging flower and spares honey for consumptions by others. This cares for ecological purity and balance, justice and fair play. It is conducive to holistic growth, human and cosmic.

The culture of 'giving' is not motivated by the selfish considerations of achieving fame, power or prestige. The greatness of a person depends not in amassing wealth or in showing it off in acts of charity. It depends on the character of true benevolence. It is not for ego-satisfaction or for seeking return-favors. It is for cosmic well-being (*bahujanahitaya*). It is selfless giving. It is giving for social and cosmic peace, prosperity and plenitude. It is giving with joy and for joy. It is the sharing of material and spiritual goods. It is an economy in which needs of everyone is taken care of but it does not cater to individual greed. It is not an economy of extravagance, spendthrift-ness and wastefulness. This calls for the role of *wisdom* and *compassion* in economics. In a discourse with king Pasenadi in the *Suttanipata* Buddha tells him that a person who acquires wealth and does not use it for the wellbeing of himself and others is not praiseworthy.

Buddhism recognizes importance of wealth for happy and contented worldly life. In Buddhist economy wealth is a means and not an end. The means must be pure and conducive to end which also has to be pure. This is samyak ajivaka. The end is not hedonistic pleasure but moral and spiritual uplift. Wealth is neither an evil nor is it a final end. It is to be acquired in a *dharmic* (pious and righteous) way, with legitimate limits and restraints. This is known as *utthanasampada*. In the Andhasutta of Anguttaranikaya Buddha says that a person who is poverty stricken is like the blind. One who tries to acquire wealth but does not care about the righteousness of means of acquisition is like one-eved person. The two-eye person is one who distinguishes between good and bad. Ethical and spiritual orientation is the key note of Buddhist economy. Wealth and virtue should go hand in hand. Buddhism calls for balancing of wants and consumption, of labour and leisure, of income and expenditure. This moderation is technically known as samajivita. (Anguttaranikaya, IV. P.281). This balancing is possible by cultivation of apramada (vigilant attitude). In following the middle path there should be neither poverty nor affluence, neither austerity nor excessive indulgence. Life should be neither stringent nor extravagant. There should be neither misuse of wealth nor enslavement to wealth. One should not feel elated when wealth comes nor should one be miserable and depressed when wealth departs. This sort of indifference is best suited to mental peace. The attainment of given end with minimum means is upayakausala (skillful employment of means). It is a symbiosis of end, means and modalities. It is maximum output with minimum input, maximum realization with minimum possession and consumption. It involves the will to exist, and to exist in a moderately good

way. Buddhist economy cares for quality of life and good standard of living but this is to be measured qualitatively and not quantitatively. Moreover, Buddhist economy is economy of non-violence, non-violence to ones own self, non-violence to others and to the total cosmos. For Buddhist way of life, economic behavior is purposeful in gathering tangible wealth for balanced material consumption and for accumulating merits for future life. It provides a basis to worldly life and also to moral and spiritual life. The Buddha realized the need and importance of wealth. With empty stomach one can not get wisdom nor can one teach wisdom. Buddha, therefore, did not preach to hungry persons. With poverty all evils come, economic offences are generated and social institutions are disrupted and destroyed. With economic growth social order and peace are established. It is advocacy of mixed economy with individual initiative and state control. This message is clearly conveyed in the *Cakkvatthisimhanadasutta* and *Katadantasutta*.

The Buddhist idea of economy has both micro and macro dimensions. It attends to all facets of economy: agriculture, industry, trade and commerce, business and fiscal policies. It deals with employment, production, distribution, consumption and development of economy. It explicitly states what is to be produced, how to be produced, how much is to be produced and for whom it is to be produced. The same applies to consumption as well. The economy is to be evaluated depending upon the way of production and consumption. Care is to be taken that there is no violence or harm to self and to other living beings and to nature. As stated earlier, non-violence is at the center stage of Buddhist economy. The doctrine of karma comes as a guiding principle in structuring the economic system in so far as it emphasizes rational action and intentionality coupled with universal responsibility. One must possess *pious mind* for righteous livelihood.

Humane development is the keynote of Buddhist Economy. Development is for human beings and not vice-versa, but it is sustainable development of the entire cosmos and not just human development. It involves seven factors, viz., human agency, human motivation, material resources, monetary system, technological support, management at different levels, and market for distribution. The development and management of economy in the Buddhist framework touches all the three phases of production, distribution and consumption, keeping in view the law of demand and supply. The motivating factor is not first production and then creation of demand; rather it stands for production only for satisfaction of legitimate demand. Economic planning comes under Upaya kausal. It has two stages. One is management of action and the other is management of the results of action. It emphasizes that we must know what it is to act, why to act, and how to act. We must act in most skillful manner so as to realize the desired result. Management of results is to be guided by intra-generational and inter-generational justice. Our wants are unlimited but resources are limited and exhaustible, though renewable to some extent. Our wants are increasing day by day; our desires remain insatiable. Consumerism has led to more and more pursuit after sensuous pleasures, and fulfillment of carnal appetites. Strictly speaking our needs are limited but wants are becoming unlimited. So we have to set limits to our wants and cease to be 'ever-wanting storehouse'. We wrongly think that nature has infinite resources or that all resources should be geared for our benefits only. According to Buddha, the problem of scarcity leads to unjust distribution and consequent poverty. Wealth can generate resources but cannot remove scarcity. So we have to control our wants and desires (tanha).

Buddhism as a school of thought and a way of life is at once both ancient and modern. It proved useful in the past and could spread all over Asia, not by force but by conviction and usefulness. In modern times also it has attracted the minds of the elites all over the world. But ramifications of its seminal ideas are yet to be worked out in different fields of human and cosmic life, and applied to modern needs and aspirations. Buddha was a practical and pragmatic person and he had genuine concern for human and cosmic wellbeing. It is high time that Buddha's teachings were allowed to out step beyond the confinements of religious or academic discourse (although useful), and applied to other disciplines. It involves revisiting Buddhism with fresh insights and innovative ideas and creative reinterpretations. Perhaps a collective thinking and multidisciplinary team work may be more helpful. It is hoped that the Buddhist alternative will be reconstructed and given a fair chance to ameliorate the human miseries. This was after all the objective of the Buddha.