ROLE OF TRANSCRANIAL ULTRASOUND IN HOSPITALISED HIGH-RISK NEWBORNS : A PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL STUDY.

Narayan Prasad Modi^a, Jami Tejaswini^a, Mangalcharan Murmu^{b,*}

^a Department of Pediatrics, S C B Medical College, Cuttack, Odisha, India. ^bDepartment of Pediatrics, Government Medical College, Odisha, Sundargarh, India

Abstract

Introduction:

High-risk neonates are always at increased risk of morbidity and mortality. They contribute to as high as 15% of all morbidities and mortalities in newborns independently. Hence identifying them early and appropriate management of these high-risk newborns is important. Cranial ultrasonography (CUS) is a reliable tool for detecting congenital and acquired abnormalities of the perinatal brain and the most frequent patterns of brain injury in preterm and full-term neonate.

Aim and objective:

To study cranial ultrasound findings in high-risk neonates and to correlate the clinical manifestations with cranial ultrasonogram findings.

Material& Method:

This study was a prospective observational study carried out at the Department of Paediatrics, SVPPGIP & SCBMCH between October 2019 to October 2021.

Observation:

The incidence of CUS abnormalities in high-risk neonates in the present study was 54.5%.

There was a statistically significant correlation between birth asphyxia and abnormal CUS findings(p<0.001), birth trauma and abnormal CUS findings(p<0.001), hypoglycaemia and abnormal CUS findings(p=0.004), and abnormal Central Nervous System examination and abnormal CUS findings(p=0.008).

Conclusion:

Cranial ultrasound is important and critical.

Recommendation :

The concept of 'survival' of the newborn has given way to the importance of 'intact survival' of the high-risk infant, prompting the initiation of strategies to identify neurological sub-normality at the earliest. CUS is an ideal tool for the primary screening of the neonatal brain, it must be done in all high-risk neonates for prognosticate and guide to outcome

Keywords: High risk neonate, Transcranial ultrasound, Morbidity, Mortality, Submitted: 2023-06-20 Accepted: 2023-06-23

*Corresponding author.

1. Introduction:

Any neonate, regardless of birth weight, size, or gestational age, who has a greater than aver-*June 29, 2023*

Email address: mangal74murmu@yahoo.co.in (Mangalcharan Murmu)

age chance of morbidity or mortality, due to fetal, maternal, or placental anomalies or an otherwise compromised pregnancy, especially within the first 28 days of life is categorized as highrisk neonate[1]. Cranial ultrasonography (CUS), a non-invasive and bedside tool, plays an important role in assessing neurological prognosis of these high-risk infants. The term high-risk infant designates an infant who should be under close observation by experienced pediatricians and nursing staff. Approximately 10-20% of all births require special or neonatal intensive care. Usually needed for only a few days, such care may last from a few hours to several months. These highrisk neonates should be identified as soon as after delivery, even before delivery during routine checks to decrease neonatal morbidity and mortality [2].Neonatal care in India is advancing at an impressive pace. The government has implemented different programs for safe mothers and childhood. The concept of "survival" of newborns has given way to "intact survival" of high-risk newborns, emphasizing the detection of neurological abnormalities at the earliest [3]. Cranial Ultrasonography (CUS) has become an essential diagnostic tool in modern neonatology for depicting normal anatomy and pathological changes in neonatal brain. In the neonate, many sutures and fontanels are still open and these can be used as acoustic windows to "look" into the brain[4].CUS is a simple, non-invasive investigation that can be initiated at a very early stage, even immediately after birth. It can be repeated as often as necessary and thereby enables visualization of ongoing brain maturation and the evolution of brain lesions. In addition, it can be used to assess the timing of brain damage, both physical and functional [4]. Cranial ultrasound (CUS) provides bedside imaging access to the neonatal brain. It is a reliable tool for detecting congenital and acquired abnormalities of the perinatal brain and the most frequent patterns of brain injury in the preterm and full-term neonate. It detects most of the hemorrhagic, ischemic, and cystic brain lesions as well as calcifications, cerebral infections, maturity, and major structural abnormalities in preterm and full-term infants[4]. Many aetiologies of neonatal encephalopathy and seizures in the term infant and the subsequent monitoring of the progress of hypoxic-ischemic brain injury can be diagnosed by CUS. In seriously ill neonates and neonates with serious cerebral abnormalities, either congenital or acquired, it plays a role in decisions on intensive treatment. In neonates surviving cerebral injury, it may help to optimize the treatment of the infant both during the neonatal period and thereafter[5]. Serial CUS examinations enable assessment of the onset of injury and the evolution of lesions[1]. Most newborn intensive care unit centers perform serial cranial ultrasound evaluations early in the course of hospitalization for premature infants and a followup examination is done at a later age. These evaluations are done to document the presence of intracranial hemorrhage, to guide the choice of therapies that may exacerbate the risk of further hemorrhage, and to counsel families about neurodevelopment outcomes [6].

CUS has an important role in the diagnosis of significant lesions in infants presenting with hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy (HIE) and seizures in full-term infants. These include focal abnormality in the basal ganglia and thalami (BGT), stroke, and other focal lesions and indicators of metabolic and congenital infectious disorders[7].CUS is very helpful in assessing the severity and neurodevelopment outcomes in infants with HIE. It is also important to realize that the end stages of hypoxic-ischemic brain damage may not become visible until a variable period, often several weeks to months after the event, and that the early stages may seem mild or subtle. It is therefore advisable to repeat CUS examinations until normalization or stabilization of abnormalities in cases of (suspected) ischemic injury, even if mild[7]. it is reliable for detecting common markers of metabolic disease in neonates, germinolytic cysts, lenticulostriatevasculopathy, basal ganglia calcification, subtle white matter abnormalities, and cortical and other structural abnormalities[8]. The quality of CUS imaging and its diagnostic accuracy depends on the suitability of the ultrasound machine for neonates, and the experience and expertise of the examiner(9). Modern ultrasound machines and probes and the use of a variety of acoustic windows and adequate scanning protocols give high-quality images that are diagnostically accurate [9].

1.1. AIM:

To assess the importance of cranial ultrasound as an investigation modality for high-risk neonate.

1.2. Objectives:

To study cranial ultrasound findings in highrisk neonates, correlate the clinical manifestations with cranial ultra sonogram findings in high-risk neonates.

2. Materials & Methods:

This was a prospective observational study conducted in neonates ≤ 28 days) admitted in SCBMCH & SVPPGIP, CUTTACK ,Odisha, a premier tertiary institute of eastern India, where neonates from the state of Odisha as well as adjacent state of Jharkhand, Chhatishgarh & West Bengal used to be treated. The study was carried out from October 2019 to October 2021 after getting clearance from the institutional ethical committee. After being explained in local understandable language the plan for the study of all the parents of eligible neonates, the consent form was signed. The data were collected in an Excel sheet and analyzed.

2.1. Sample Size:

The sample size was calculated using Fischer's Formula.

FISCHER'S FORMULA:N=Z2×P (1-P)/d2

N = The desired sample size, Z= The value representing a 95% Confidence interval,d=precision of the study or absolute error fixed at 5%.,P=Prevalence (based on a study done in a developing country like India previously).

2.2. Inclusion Criteria:

1. Premature rupture of membranes for > 24 hours,2.PIH, APH, Multiple pregnancies, Gestational Diabetes, Instrumental-delivery,3.Birth asphyxia, Neonatal seizures, Preterm neonates, Respiratory distress, Small for Gestational Age (SGA) /Large for Gestational Age(LGA),4.Low birth weight, Metabolic disturbances with abnormal neurological manifestation.

2.3. Exclusion Criteria:

1. Patients who were discharged against medical advice, 2. Patients who get transferred to other departments before the treatment ended.

As the inclusion and exclusion criteria were clear, there was no bias in collecting data.

2.4. Statistical Methods:

Categorical variables are expressed as the Number of patients and percentage of patients and compared across the groups using Pearson's Chi Square test for Independence of Attributes/ Fisher's Exact Test as appropriate. Continuous variables are expressed as Mean, Median, and Standard Deviation and compared across the groups using the Mann-Whitney U test. The statistical software SPSS version 22 has been used for the analysis. An alpha level of 5% has been taken, i.e. if any p value is less than 0.05 it has been considered significant.

2.5. Observation:

All the data obtained from the study were recorded, tabulated, and analyzed. A total of 110 neonates were taken into the study who fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

In the study group 59.1% were male (n=65) and 40.9% were female(n=45),26.4% were preterm neonates(n=29) and 73.6% were term neonates(n=81). The majority were term neonates (n=81, 73.6%) followed by preterm neonates of 28-32 weeks (n=17, 15.5%) and 32-37 weeks (n=12, 10.9%). 65.5%(n=72) of the study group were born through vaginal delivery and 34.5% (n=28) were born through LSCS. 48.2% (N=53) of neonates were with birth weight more than 2500grams, 30.9%(n=34) with birth weight

| Parameter | | Frequency | Percentage |
|------------------|--------------|-----------|------------|
| Sov | Male | 65 | 59.1 |
| | Female | 45 | 40.9 |
| Gestational age | 110001111 | 29 | 26.4 |
| | Term | 81 | 73.6 |
| | 28-32 | 17 | 15.5 |
| Gestational week | 32-3/ | 12 | 10.9 |
| | 37-40 | 45 | 40.9 |
| | >40 | 36 | 32.7 |
| Mode of delivery | V D | 72 | 65.5 |
| | LSCS | 38 | 34.5 |
| | Primi gravid | 58 | 52.9 |
| Parity | Multi gravid | 20 | 18.1 |
| | Other | 32 | 29 |
| Birth weight | <1.5Kg | 23 | 20.9 |
| | 1.5-2.5Kg | 34 | 30.9 |
| | >2.5Kg | 53 | 48.2 |

Table 1: Demographic Distribution of study groups

between 1500-2500grams and 20.9% with birth weight <1500grams.

PROM was the major perinatal risk factor accounting for 8.18% (n=9), followed by PIH (5.45%,n=9) and multiple pregnancies (5%,n=5). The major neonatal risk factors were birth asphyxia (n=70,63.64%), seizures (n=69,62.73%), sepsis (n=43,39.09%), respiratory distress (n=40,36.36%) and prematurity (n=26, 23.64%).

There were 34 (31.8%) with abnormal activity, 13(12.4%) with an abnormal cry, 10 (8.8%) with poor reflexes, 8(7.1%) with abnormal tone, and 3(2.9%) with abnormal pupillary reaction. There were 43(39.09%) with positive CRP , 20(18.18%) with hyponatremia, 15(13.64%) with hypoglycemia and 14(12.7%) with positive blood culture.99(90%) neonates recovered and discharged, 10(9.1%) neonates died and 1(0.9%) baby left against medical advice. Cranial ultrasonography showed abnormalities in 60(54.5%) high-risk neonates.

Of the 60 neonates with abnormalities in CUS, 38(63.33%) were male and 22(36.67%) were female neonates. There was no statistically significant correlation of abnormal CUS findings with gender (p=0.322). Also, gestational week, mode of delivery, and birth weight were not statistically significant(p=0.333) as per CUS is concerned. Of the 60 neonates with abnormal CUS, Perinatal risk factors were PIH in 6(10%), PROM in 2(3.33%), and multiple pregnancies in 1(1.67%). There was a statistically significant correlation between PIH and abnormal cranial ultrasound findings(p=0.021) and also between PROM and abnormal cranial ultrasound findings(p=0.042). There was a statistically significant co-relation between abnormal CUS findings and birth asphyxia (p<0.001), birth trauma (p=<0.001), hypoglycemia (p=0.004), and abnormal CNS examination (p=0.008). There was no statistically significant correlation between abnormal CUS findings and sepsis (p=0.080). There was a definite significant relation between abnormal CUS and hypoglycemia, positive CRP, and hyponatremia statistically.

There was statistically significant relation between abnormal CUS and laboratory findings of mean, median, standard deviation of hemoglobin, total leucocytes count and total platelet count.

Major CUS abnormalities found in the study group were intra-ventricular hemor-

| Table 2: Perinatal & neonatal risk factors | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------|------------|--|--|
| Parameter | | Frequency | Percentage | | |
| Perinatal risk score | r KOM | 9 | 8.18 | | |
| | r In | 6 | 5.45 | | |
| | Multiple pregnancy | 5 | 4.55 | | |
| | Birth asphyxia | 70 | 63.64 | | |
| | Seizures | 69 | 62.73 | | |
| | Sepsis | 43 | 39.09 | | |
| Neonatal risk | Respiratory distress | 40 | 36.36 | | |
| factor | Prematurity | 26 | 23.64 | | |
| | Hypoglycemia | 15 | 13.64 | | |
| | Birth trauma | 15 | 13.64 | | |
| | Other organ anomalies | 7 | 6.36 | | |
| | 8 | , | 0 | | |

| Table 3: Clinical , Laboratory finding & Outcome of study group | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------|------------|--|
| Parameter | | Frequency | Percentage | |
| | Poor activity | 34 | 31.8 | |
| Abnormal | Abnormal activity | 13 | 12.4 | |
| CNS Finding | Poor reflexes | 10 | 8.8 | |
| | Poor /abnormal tone | 8 | 7.1 | |
| | Abnormal pupils | 3 | 2.9 | |
| | Hypoglycemia | 15 | 13.64 | |
| I ab findings | Positive CRP | 43 | 39.09 | |
| | Hyponatremia | 20 | 18.18 | |
| | Blood C&S positive | 14 | 12.7 | |
| Cranial | Normal | 50 | 45.5 | |
| ultrasound | Abnormal | 60 | 54.5 | |
| | Discharge | 99 | 90.0 | |
| Outcome | Death | 10 | 9.1 | |
| | LAMA | 1 | 0.9 | |
| | | | | |

rhage(n=12,20%), followed by cerebral edema, sub galeal hemorrhage, and periventricular hemorrhage each corresponding to a frequency of 9(15%), then cephalohematoma (n=7,11.67%), caudothalamic groove hemorrhage (n=5, 8.3%) and other findings which included arachnoid cyst, dandy-walker malformation and encephalocele which was n=9(10%).

3. Discussion:

The incidence of CUS abnormalities in highrisk neonates in the present study is 54.5%. Ayala Gover et al[10]and Eugenio Mercuri et al[11]reported an incidence of CUS abnormalities in 11.2% and 20% respectively in apparently well neonates. Niranjan et al[12], and Ruchi Jha[13], reported an incidence of CUS abnormalities of 16.1% and 25.4% respectively in their study on preterm neonates. In the present study, out of 110 neonates, there were 59.1%(n=65) male and 40.9%(n=45) female neonates. Abnormal CUS was found in 38 (63.3%) male and 22(36.67%) female neonates. There was no statistically significant correlation of abnormal CUS findings with gender (p value=0..322). Niranjan et al[12], in their study, had 62.9%% male and 37.1%% fe-

| | | Abnorn | nal cran | ial USG find | ing | ii COO iiiaiiigo | Signifi- |
|----------------|----------------|----------|----------|--------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|
| Parameter | | No | | Yes | 0 | p-value | cance |
| | | Freque | ncv | | Percentage | r | |
| Sov | Male | 27 | 54 | 38 | 63.33 | 0.000 | Not sig- |
| | Female | 23 | 46 | | 36.67 | 11 999 | nificant |
| | 28-32 | 10 | 20 | 7 | 11.67 | | |
| Gestational | 32-37 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 10 | 0.000 | Not |
| week | 37-40 | 16 | 32 | 29 | 48.3 | | significant |
| | >40 | 18 | 36 | 18 | 30 | | |
| Mode of | V D | 32 | 64 | 40 | 66.67 | 0 770 | Not sig- |
| delivery | LSCS | 18 | 36 | 20 | 33.33 | | nificant |
| | <1.5Kg | 13 | 26 | 10 | 16.67 | | Not sig- |
| Birth weight | 1.5-2.5Kg | 16 | 32 | 18 | 30 | 0.387 | nificant |
| | >2.5Kg | 21 | 42 | 32 | 53.33 | | |
| Perinatal risk | | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 10.00 | 0.021 | Significant |
| factor | I KOM | 7 | 14 | 2 | 3.33 | 0.042 | Significant |
| | Multiple preg- | 4 | 8 | 1 | 1.67 | 0.112 | Not sig- |
| | nancy | | | | | | nificant |
| | Birth asphyxia | 22 | 44.00 | 48 | 80.00 | <0.001 | Significant |
| | Seizures | 28 | 56.00 | 41 | 68.33 | 0.183 | Not sig- |
| | | | | | | | nificant |
| | Sepsis | 24 | 48.00 | 19 | 31.67 | 0.080 | Not sig- |
| Neonatal high | | | | | | | nificant |
| risk factors | Respiratory | 20 | 40.00 | 20 | 33.33 | 0.469 | Not sig- |
| | distress | | | | | | nificant |
| | Prematurity | 13 | 26.00 | 13 | 21.67 | 0.594 | Not sig- |
| | | | | | | | nificant |
| | Hypoglycemia | 12 | 24.00 | 3 | 5.00 | 0.004 | Significant |
| | Birth trauma | 0 | 0.00 | 15 | 25.00 | <0.001 | Significant |
| | Other organ | 4 | 8.00 | 3 | 5.00 | 0.521 | Not sig- |
| | anomalies | | | | | | nificant |
| | Abnormal | 15 | 00.00 | 00 | 55.00 | 0.009 | Significant |
| | CNS overning | 15 | 30.00 | 33 | 55.00 | 0.008 | Significant |
| | tion | | | | | | |
| | Uumoglugomia | 10 | 04.00 | 0 | 5.00 | 0.004 | Significant |
| Laboratory | Regitive CPR | 12 | 24.00 | 3 | 5.00 | 0.004 | Significant |
| findings | Plood C&S | 20 | 16.00 | 13 | 25.00 | 0.001 | Not sig |
| munigs | bioou Cas | 0 | 10.00 | 0 | 00.07 | 0./09 | not sig- |
| | Hyponatremia | 5 | 10.00 | 15 | 25.00 | 0.042 | Significant |
| | Discharge | 5 48 | 06 | -1) 51 | 20.00 85 | 0.042 | Not |
| Outcome | Death | 40 9 | 90 1 | ىد 8 | 12 22 | 0.140 | Signifi_ |
| Outcome | LAMA | <u>~</u> | 4 0 | 1 | -3033 167 | 0.149 | cant |
| | | U | U | Ŧ | 1.0/ | | cant |

Table 4: Distribution of different parameters of the study group with abnormal CUS findings

| Table 5: Correlation between laboratory findings and abnormal CUS findings | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| nial USG finding | Abnorm | Daramatar | | | |
| Yes | Yes | | | No | 1 arameter |
| an SD Mean Median SD | SD Mean | SD | Median | Mean | |
| 3.78 14.72 13.65 2.93 0 | 3.78 14.72 | 3.78 | 16.10 | 15.94 | Hemoglobin |
| 5 96641.52 15789.43 15680 5369.58 0 | 6641.52 15789.43 | 96641.52 | 13775 | 22615 | TLC |
| 00 55868.29 223422.33 180500 129916.84 0 | 5868.29 223422.33 | 55868.29 | 150000 | 155600 | TPC |
| Image: Near Network Image: Near Near Near Near Near Near Near Near | Yes Yes SD Mean 3.78 14.72 96641.52 15789.43 5868.29 223422.33 | USG findi SD 3.78 96641.52 55868.29 | al cranial Median 16.10 13775 150000 | Abnorm No Mean 15.94 22615 155600 | Parameter Hemoglobin TLC TPC |

Table 6: Various cranial ultrasound findings in the study group

| Cranial ultrasound findings | Frequency | Percentage |
|------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Intra-ventricular hemorrhage | 12 | 20.00 |
| Hydrocephalus | 6 | 10.00 |
| Cerebral edema | 9 | 15.00 |
| Sub Galeal Hemorrhage | 9 | 15.00 |
| Cephalhematoma | 7 | 11.67 |
| Caudothalamic groove | 5 | 8.3 |
| Periventricular leucomalacia | 9 | 15.00 |
| Others | 9 | 15.00 |
| | | |

male neonates. There was no significant correlation between the incidence of abnormal cranial ultrasound findings in males and females.

In the present study, there were 26.4% (n=29) preterm and 73.6% (n=81) term neonates. Of those neonates with abnormal CUS findings, 11.6% (n=7) were less than 32 weeks, 10%(n=6) between 32-36 weeks and 48.33%(n=29) were between 37-40 weeks and 32.73%(18) were more than 40 weeks. Badrawy N et al[14]showed in their study that the preterm had 37% abnormal CUS findings. Of these, 9% were less than 30 weeks, 33% were between 30-33 weeks and 58% were between 34 and 37 weeks.

In the present study, 65.5%(n=72) of the study group were born through vaginal delivery, and 34.5% (n=28) were born through LSCS. 66.67%(n=40) neonates were born through normal delivery and 33.33% (n=20) born through LSCS had abnormal CUS findings. There was no statistical significance between USG findings and the mode of delivery in the present study. (p value=0.770)

In the present study, the mean birth weight of all the high-risk neonates was 2443.6 grams.

The mean birth weight of neonates with abnormal CUS (2477.5 grams) was more than those with normal CUS (2218 grams) which was not statistically significant (p=0.024).10 (16.67%) neonates with birth weight <1500grams, 18 (30%) with birth weight 1500-2500grams, and 53 (48.18%) with birth weight 2500-4000grams had abnormal CUS findings. Jeffrey M. Perlman et al [15] in their study found out that up to 50% of neonates weighing less than 1500 g exhibited some abnormality on the initial CUS. Abnormal CUS findings were observed in approximately 11% of the neonates weighing less than 1000 g and in 5% of those between 1000- and 1250-g BW. Cystic PVL was noted in 5% of the neonates weighing less than 1000-gandinapproximately1% of those between 1250 and 1500g.

In the present study, Of the 60 neonates with abnormal CUS, perinatal risk factors were PIH in 6 (10%), PROM in 2 (3.33%), and multiple pregnancies in 1(1.67%). There was a statistically significant correlation between PIH and abnormal cranial ultrasound findings (p=0.021) and also between PROM and abnormal cranial ultrasound findings (p=0.042). Nelson et al[16], Schendel et

al[17], and Michael O'Shea T et al[18]studied prenatal factors like preeclampsia related to cranial ultrasound findings and observed that preeclampsia was associated with abnormal CUS findings, neurodevelopmental outcome, and incidence of cerebral palsy. Badrawy N et al[14]reported that PROM, PIH, gestational diabetes, and maternal fever had a significant correlation with the presence of CUS abnormalities. Vermeulen GM et al[19]reported that PROM is a significant risk factor for cranial ultrasound abnormalities.

In the present study, 39.09% of high-risk neonates had neonatal sepsis of which 31.67% had abnormal CUS findings. There was no significant correlation between sepsis and abnormal CUS findings(p=0.080). Niranjan N et al[12], in their study, found that, of 16.1% of neonates who had abnormal CUS findings, 5.2% had sepsis which was statistically significant.

In the present study, 62.73% (n=69) of the neonates presented with seizures, of them 59.4%(n=41) had abnormal CUS findings. Among these,24.39%(n=10) had IVH, 21.95%(n=9) had subgaleal hemorrhage and 21.95%(n=9) had cerebral edema. Hannah C et al[20]in their study reported that 3.8% of preterm neonates had clinical seizures. CUS was abnormal in all (100%) of these infants and was accurate for detecting IVH and PVH. Niranjan N et al[12], in their study, found that of all high-risk neonates presenting with seizures 47.6% had normal and 52.4% had abnormal CUS.

In the present study, there were 70 neonates with birth asphyxia, of these 48 (68.5%) had abnormal CUS findings. Eken P et al[21]reported 26.4% full-term neonates with HIE had areas of increased echogenicity in the periventricular and/or subcortical white matter and Boo N et al[22]reported abnormal cranial ultrasound changes in 79% of neonates with birth asphyxia.

In the present study, there was a significant correlation between laboratory findings like Hb, TPC, TLC, Hyponatremia, positive CRP, and the presence of abnormalities on cranial ultrasound. Badrawy N et al[14]reported that there was no statistically significant difference between the mean I/T ratio, mean HB, mean Ht, and mean Na among patients with –IVH compared to those without. Levene et al[23], found that anemic neonates had a correlation with the extension of intraventricular hemorrhage. Niranjan et al[12] in their study, found that there was a statistically significant correlation between neonates having positive CRP, and low platelet with abnormal CUS findings. There was no correlation of Hb, PCV, TLC, reticulocyte count, and positive culture and serum electrolytes with abnormal CUS findings

In the present study, there was a statistically significant correlation between abnormal CUS and abnormal CNS examination(p=0.008). Ruchi Jha et al[13], in their study found that

poor activity(43.7%,p=0.03) and abnormal tone (57.1%,p=0.03)had a significant correlation with abnormal CUS findings. Badrawy Net al[14]reported that there was a significant association between poor neonatal reflexes and apnea with abnormal USG findings. Miznahi et al[24], stated that in the presence of IVH, there is often apnea, cyanosis failure to suck well, abnormal cry, and convulsions.

In the present study on CUS, IVH(n=12, 20%), followed by cerebral oedema, sub galeal hemorrhage, and periventricular haemorrhage each corresponding to the frequency of 9(15%), then cephalohematoma (n=7, 11.67%), hydrocephalus (n=6, 10%), caudothalamic groove haemorrhage (n=5, 8.3%) and other findings which included arachnoid cyst, dandy walker malformation and occipital encephalocele which was n=9(10%).Eugenio Mercuri et al[11]reported ischemic lesions, such as periventricular and thalamic densities as the most common finding (8%), followed by intracranial hemorrhagic lesions (6%) on CUS. Badrawy N. et al[14]reported that subependymal intraventricular hemorrhage (SE-IVH) was present in 14%, brain edema in 9%, hypoxic ischemic changes in 4%, post-hemorrhagic hydrocephalus (PHH) in 3.5% as a complication of SE-IVH. Niranjan et al[12], found in a study that 11.2% of had evidence of intracranial bleeding,1.6% periventricular echogenecity,1.6% had ventriculomegaly and 1.6% had peri-ventricular leukomalacia. RuchiJha[13], in their study on

preterm, reported 8% had IVH, 10.6% had periventricular hyper echogenicity, 4% had PVL and 2.6% had cerebral edema. Badrawy N et al[14], reported intraventricular hemorrhage in 14% of preterm neonates. Niranjan et al[12], reported that of the high-risk neonates with preterm gestation, 83.9% had normal and 17.7% had abnormal CUS. Correlation between CUS findings of neonates with prematurity was statistically significant (p=0.015) and 11.2% of had evidence of intracranial bleeding. Batton DG et al[25], Harding D et al[26], and Paneth N et al[27]in their studies concluded that the maximum risk of IVH in infants born before 30 weeks gestation is 20%, 23%, and 24.6% respectively and the incidence of IVH is less than 5% after that time. Chawdhury V et al[28]in their study on 50 preterm neonates, detected intracranial pathology in 12% of preterms and 6% of these had intracranial hemorrhage.

Niranjan et al[12], in their study, reported that of the 10 neonates with abnormal CUS findings, 2(20%) had HIE changes. Joseph J et al[29]in their study detected CUS abnormalities in 56% VLBW neonates, of these 60.7% of infants had transient echo densities and 33.9% had prolonged echo densities suggesting white matter injury. They concluded that neonatal CUS of the VLBW infant demonstrates high reliability in the detection of cystic WM changes. Arti Maria et al[30]reported that 36.2% of enrolled very low birth weight neonates developed various forms of PVL. In their study, about 50% of ultrasound had normalized at discharge, and sequelae such as cerebral atrophy and ventriculomegaly had appeared in few, the rest of the lesions being either flares or cysts of PVL. They concluded CUS remains an important bedside diagnostic tool for PVL.

In the present study, of the 60 neonates with abnormal CUS, 10(23.8%) had thalamic hyper echogenicity in neurosonogram of which 4 (26.67%) were preterm. Soghier LM et al[31]reported diffuse BGTH occurred in 8.3% of preterm neonates studied by CUS and that the incidence of diffuse BGTH was inversely related to GA. Teele RL et al[32]reported basal ganglia or thalamus hyper echogenicity (BGTH) in 5% of neonates and 12% of preterm infants screened by CUS. Leijser LM et al[33]in their study on preterm neonates concluded that diffuse homogeneous BGTH is a frequent and normal prematurity-related finding BGTH was seen in 9.2% of preterm neonates and 8% of term neonates

In the present study, of the neonates with abnormal CUS (60), 9(15%) had cerebral edema. Both had evidence of moderate birth asphyxia. Ruchi et al[13], in their study, found that of the 19 preterm neonates with abnormal CUS, 2(10.5%) had evidence of cerebral edema.

In the present study, 6 neonates (10%) had hydrocephalus which included post-hemorrhagic ventricular dilation and congenital hydrocephalus. Badrawy N et al[14]reported congenital hydrocephalus to be present in 6% of all neonates screened by them. Soni JP et al[34]suggested from their study that CUS is sensitive and specific for the identification of various types of Intracranial Hemorrhages (SEH, IVH, PVL). 111 high-risk neonates were subjected to CUS, onequarter of these neonates developed intracranial hemorrhage (1CH) within 120 hours of birth.

In the present study, of the neonates with abnormal CUS, 90% are discharged and 9.1% of neonates died. Badrawy N et al[14]reported that 40% of neonates in their study did clinically well with total mortality of 30%. Niranjan et al[12], found that 61.2% of neonates had cured at the time of NICU discharge, 12.9% died and 17.7% of neonates were relieved at the time of NICU discharge, 8.06% were discharged from NICU for various reasons before clinical recovery (DAMA). Ruchi Jha [13], in their study, found that out of 21.4% who had expired in their study,45.4% had abnormal findings on CUS.

4. Conclusion:

care in India is advancing at an impressive pace both at the community level as well as in tertiary care units. The concept of 'survival' of the newborn has given way to the importance of 'intact survival' of the high-risk infant, prompting the initiation of strategies to identify neurological sub-normality at the earliest.CUS is an ideal tool for the primary screening of the neonatal brain. Despite the wide availability of ultrasound machines in the hospitals, the penetration of CUS in the NICU's of India is still very little. This study highlights the convenience and diagnostic efficiency of cranial ultrasound in high-risk neonates. There was a statistically significant correlation between CUS abnormalities and perinatal risk factors like PIH and PROM, neonatal risk factors, clinical features, and laboratory parameters. The study concludes CUS is critical as an investigatory modality and effectively documents morphology of brain damage.

5. Limitations:

Expert radiologist needed to focus the point of interest for the detection of abnormality present in the neonatal brain.

6. Recommendations:

Cranial Ultrasound is a non-invasive bedside investigation. High-resolution probes and other modalities detect the degree of brain damage in high-risk neonates accurately. Serial cranial ultrasound is recommended (even multiple times if needed) to measure and guide treatment in all high-risk infants.

7. Acknowledgement:

We are very much thankful to the parents who gave their consent to enroll their tiny babies in our study without any hesitation. We are also thankful to all the supporting staff who were involved in the care of the babies.

8. Author's Contribution:

All authors were involved in research design, data analysis, and manuscript preparation and editing.

9. Disclosure:

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

10. Source of funding:

None

11. List of abbreviation :

BGTH : Basal Ganglia Thalamus Hyperechogenicity **CRP** : C-Reactive Protien **CNS**: Central Nervous System CUS: Cranial Ultrasonography DC: Differential Count DAMA : Discharge Against Medical Advice Hb: Haemoglobin HIE: Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy IVH : Intra Ventricular Haemorrhage LSCS: Lower Segment Caesarean Section NICU : Neonatal Intensive Care Unit PCV : Packed Cell Volume PROM: Premature Rupture of Membrane PIH: Pregnancy Induced Hypertention. PHH: Post –Hemorrhagic Hydrocephalus PVL : Periventricular leucomalacia SEH : Sub ependymal Haemorrhage TLC : Total Leucocytes Count **VD** : Vaginal Delivery VLBW: Very Low Birth Weight

12. Publisher details:

Student's Journal of Health Research Africa Vol. 4 No. 6 (2023): June 2023 Issue https://doi.org/10.51168/sjhrafrica.v4i6.483 **Original article**

Publisher: Student's Journal of Health Research (SJHR) (ISSN 2709-9997) Online **Category: Non-Governmental & Non-profit** Organization Email: studentsjournal2020@gmail.com WhatsApp: +256775434261 Location: Wisdom Centre, P.O.BOX. 148, Uganda, East Africa.



13. References:

- 1. Mosby's Medical Dictionary, 8th edition, Elsevier, 2009.
- 2. CarloWA.TheHigh-RiskInfant.Nelsontextbookofpediatrics.20thed.Philad_phia: ultrasonographicscreeningofthepretermnewborn.Ale Elsevier; 2016.818-21.
- 3. Lara M. Leijser, Frances M. Cowan.State-ofthe-Art Neonatal Cranial Ultrasound. Ultrasound 2007; 15:6-17.
- 4. GerdavanWezel-Meijler.CranialUltrasonography:Advan.agesandAimsPart1fNerebrail palsy in very Cranial Ultrasonography, 1sted. Berlin: Springer, 2007:3-4.
- 5. Veyrac C et al. Brain ultrasonography in the premature infant. PediatrRadiol 2006; 36: 626-
- 6. DeVriesLS, GroenendaalF. Neuroimaging in the pretermin from Mont Retard Dow Disatbilweight children Res Rev 2002;8:273-80.
- 7. Volpe JJ ed. Hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy: clinical aspects. Neurology of the newborn. ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 2001:Pg.218-80.
- 8. Leijser LM, Rutherford MA, Manzur AY, Groenendaal F et al. Cranial ultrasound in metabolic disorders presenting in the neonatal period: characteristic features and comparison with MR Imaging. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2007;28:1223-31.

- 9. GerdavanWezel-Meijler.Cranialultrasonography:Adv cranial ultrasonography, 1stedn. Berlin: Springer, 2007:Pg53-59.
- 10. Ayala Gover, David Bader, Martha Weinger-Abend, Irena Chystiakov. Head ultrasonography as a screening tool in apparently healthy asymptomaticterm neonates. IMAJ 2011 Jan;13:9-13
- 11. EugenioMercuri,LillyDubowitz,SaraPatersonBrown, Incidence of cranial ultrasound abnormalities in apparently well neonates on a postnatal ward and neurological status correlation with antenatal and perinatal factors. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 1998; 79:F185-89.
- 12. Nagraj N, Swami S, Berwal P, Srinivas A, Swami G. Role of Cranial Ultrasonography in Evaluation of Brain Injuries in Preterm Neoanates. Indian Journal of Neonatal Medicine and Research.2016;4(2):5-8
- 13. Jha R, Singh A, Jha R. Cranial ultrasound in High Risk Preterm OriginalResearch
- 14. Nadia Badrawy, AmiraEdrees, Dahlia El Sebaie and Mohamed ElGhawas. Cranial
- 56.
- 15. PerlmanJM, VolpeJJ. Intraventricular hemorrhageinex 1986; 140:122-24.
- 16. Nelson KB, Grether JK. Can magnesium sul-
- low birth weight infants? Pediatrics 1995; 95:263-69.
- 17. Schendel DE, Berg CJ, Yeargin-Allsopp M, et al. Prenatal magnesium sulfate exposure and the risk for cerebral palsy or mental retar-
- aged 3 to 5 years. JAMA 1996;276:1805-10.
- 18. O'Shea TM, Klinepeter KL, Dillard RG. Prenatal events and the risk of cerebral palsy in very low birth weight infants. Am J Epidemiol 1998;147:362-69.
- 19. Vermeulen GM, Bruinse HW, Gerards LJ, de Vries LS. Perinatal risk factors for cranial ultrasound abnormalities in neonates born after spontaneous labour before 34 weeks. Eur J Obstetrics GynecologyReprod-Biol 2001 Feb; 94(2):290-95.

- 20. Hannah C. Glass, Sonia L. Bonifacio. MRI and Ultrasound Injury in Preterm Infants with Seizures. J Child Neurol 2009 Sept;24(9):1105–11
- 21. Eken P, Toet MC, Groenendaal F, et al. Predictive value of early neuroimaging, pulsed Doppler and neurophysiology in full term infants with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. Arch Dis Child 1995;73:F75-80.
- 22. Ny Boo, ChandranMaZulfiqar V, Zamratol SM. Early cranial ultrasound changes as predictors of outcome during first year of life in term infants with perinatal asphyxia. J Paediatrics and Child Health 2000 Aug;36(4):363–69.
- 23. Levene MI, de Vries L. Extension of neonatal intraventricular haemorrhage. Arch Dis Child 1984 Jul;59(7):631-36.
- 24. Miznahi EM. Clinical diagnosis and management of neonatal seizures. IntPediatr 1994;94.
- 25. Batton DG, Holtrop P, De Witte D, Pryce C, Roberts C. Current gestational age- related incidence of major IVH. J Pediatr 1994; 125:623-25.
- 26. Harding D, Kuschel C, Evans N. Should preterm infants born after 29 weeks' gestation be screened for intraventricularhemorrhage? J Paediatr Child Health 1998; 34:57-59.
- 27. Paneth N, Pinto-Martin J, Gardiner J, et al. Incidence and timing of germinal matrix/intraventricularhemorrhage in low birth weight infants. Am J Epidemiol 1993; 137: 1167- 76.
- 28. Chawdhury V, Gulati P, Arora S et al. Cranial sonography in preterm infants Indian Pediatrics 1992 April;29:411-15.
- TerrieE.Inder,NigelJ.Anderson,CaroleSpencer,ScottWells,JosephJ.Volpe. White matter injuryintheprematureinfant:AcomparisonbetweenserialcranialsonographicandMRfindingsat term. Am J Neuroradiol. 2003 May; 24:805–09.
- 30. Arti Maria, Arun Gupta, Rajiv Aggarwal V, Sreenivas VK, Deorari AK. Incidence of periventricular leucomalacia among a cohort

of very low birth weight neonates (<1500 g). Indian Pediatrics 2006 Mar;43:210-16

- 31. Soghier LM, Vega M, Aref K, Reinersman GT, Koenigsberg M et al. Basal ganglia hyperechogenicity. J Perinatol 2006;26:230–36.
- 32. Teele RL, Hernaz-Schulman M, Sortel A. et al. Echogenicvasculature in the basal ganglia of neonates: a sonographic sign of vasculopathy. Radiology 1988; 169:423–27.
- LeijserLMet al. Hyperechogenicity of the thalamus and basal ganglia in very preterm infants: radiological findings and short-term neurological outcome. Neuropediatrics 2004; 35:283-89.
- 34. SoniBD,GuptaM,SoniM,GuptaD,DabiRetal.Sonogra Indian Pediatrics 1995; 32:452-59.

Author biography

Narayan Prasad Modi Associate Professor, Department of Pediatrics, S C B Medical College, Cuttack , Odisha, India

Jami Tejaswini 2Resident physician, Department of Pediatrics, S C B Medical College, Cuttack, Odisha, India

Mangalcharan Murmu Professor , Deparment of Pediatrics, Government Medical College, Sundargarh, Odisha, India.