

In an effort to facilitate the selection of appropriate peer reviewers for manuscripts for Pythagoras, we ask that vou take a moment to update vour electronic portfolio on www. http://pythagoras.org.za, allowing us better access to your areas of interest and expertise, in order to match reviewers with submitted manuscripts.

## If you would like to become a reviewer, please visit the Pythagoras website and register as a reviewer.

To access your details on the website, follow these steps:

1. Log into *Pythagoras* online at http://www. pythagoras.org.za

2. In your 'user home' select 'edit my profile' under the heading 'my account' and insert all relevant details, bio statement and reviewing interest.

It is good practice as a reviewer to update your personal details regularly to ensure contact with you throughout your professional term as reviewer to Pythagoras.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you require assistance in performing this task.

Rochelle Flint submissions@pythagoras. org.za Tel: +27 (0)21 975 2602 Fax: +27 (0)21 975 4635

## **Acknowledgement to reviewers**

The quality of the articles in Pythagoras crucially depends on the expertise and commitment of our peer reviewers.

Reviewing is an important part of scholarly work, making a substantial contribution to the field. Reviewers' comments serve two purposes, guided by two inter-dependent objectives:

- Pythagoras wishes to publish only original papers of the highest possible quality, making a meaningful contribution to the field. Reviewers advise the Editor on the scholarly merits of the manuscript to help him evaluate the manuscript and to decide whether or not to publish it. Reviewers are encouraged to reject a manuscript if it is scientifically flawed, merely sets out observations with no analysis, provides no new insights, or is of insufficient interest to warrant publication.
- Pythagoras is committed to support authors in the mathematics education community. Reviewers help the author to improve the quality of their manuscript. Reviewers are encouraged to write their comments in a constructive and supportive manner and to be sufficiently detailed to enable the author to improve the paper and make the changes that may eventually lead to acceptance.

The following summary of outcomes of the reviewing process in 2013 shows that our reviewers do well in achieving both objectives:

No. of manuscripts processed in 2013 (outcome complete)	31
Accepted without changes	0 (00.0%)
Accepted with <i>minor changes</i> (to the satisfaction of the Editor) <sup>1</sup>	8 (25.8%)
Accepted after <i>major revisions</i> (re-submit, then re-review) <sup>2</sup>	4 (12.9%)
Rejected after review – not acceptable to be published in <i>Pythagoras</i> <sup>3</sup>	10 (32.3%)
Rejected without review – not acceptable to be published in <i>Pythagoras</i> <sup>4</sup>	9 (29%)

We sincerely thank the following people who have reviewed these manuscripts for *Pythagoras* in 2013. We very much appreciate their time, expertise and support of *Pythagoras* amidst pressures of work.

Allen Leung	Duncan Mhakure
Andile Mji	Duncan Samson
Anna Posthuma	Ednei Becher
Anthony Essien	Elmarie Meyer
Antonia Makina	Elspeth Khembo
Bruce Brown	Faaiz Gierdien
Caroline Long	Fatma Aslan-Tutak
Catherine Harries	Gail Fitzsimmons
Cerenus Pfeiffer	Gerrit Stols
Craig Pournara	Herbert Khuzwayo
David Mtetwa	Hugh Glover
Delia North	Hurryramsingh Hurchand
Dirk Wessels	Jacques du Plessis
Divan Jagals	Jane Watson
Duan van der Westhuizen	Janine Hechter

1. Accepted after one round of review, with 'minor' changes as specified by reviewers and Editor.

2. Accepted after two or more rounds of review, with major changes specified by reviewers and Editor.

3.Includes three cases where authors did not resubmit after required to make major changes.

<sup>4.</sup>All submissions undergo a preliminary review by the Editor (and Associate Editors) to ascertain if it falls within the aims and scope of Pythagoras and is of an acceptable standard. Includes six cases where authors did not resubmit after extensive feedback prior to reviewing.

ŁĂ



If you would like to become a reviewer, please visit the *Pythagoras* website and register as a reviewer.

## **Reviewers (Continued):**

Jayaluxmi Naidoo Jill Adler Jogy Alex Jon Star Lyn Webb Lynette Nagel Lynn Bowie Marc North Margot Berger Mark Jacobs Marthie van der Walt Mdutshekelwa Ndlovu Mercy Kazima Michael de Villiers Neil Eddy Nicky Roberts Nyna Amin O. Osiyemi

Ogbonnaya Iheanachor Paul Webb Piera Biccard Rajendran Govender Retha van Niekerk Sally Hobden Seugnet Blignaut Sharon McAuliffe Sizwe Mabizela Stanley Adendorff Stephan du Toit Tim Dunne Vera Frith Wajeeh Daher Willy Mwakapenda Yael Shalem Yip Cheung Chan