



In an effort to facilitate the selection of appropriate peer reviewers for manuscripts for *Pythagoras*, we ask that you take a moment to update your electronic portfolio on <http://www.pythagoras.org.za>, allowing us better access to your areas of interest and expertise, in order to match reviewers with submitted manuscripts.

If you would like to become a reviewer, please visit the *Pythagoras* website and register as a reviewer.

To access your details on the website, follow these steps:

1. Log into *Pythagoras* online at <http://www.pythagoras.org.za>

2. In your 'user home' select 'edit my profile' under the heading 'my account' and insert all relevant details, bio statement and reviewing interest.

It is good practice as a reviewer to update your personal details regularly to ensure contact with you throughout your professional term as reviewer to *Pythagoras*

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you require assistance in performing this task.

Duncan Hooker
submissions@pythagoras.org.za
 Tel: +27 21 975 2602
 Fax: +27 21 975 4635

Acknowledgement to reviewers

The quality of the articles in *Pythagoras* and the credibility and reputation of our journal crucially depend on the expertise and commitment of our peer reviewers.

Reviewing is an important part of scholarly work, making a substantial contribution to the field. Reviewers' comments serve two purposes, guided by two inter-dependent objectives:

- *Pythagoras* wishes to publish only original papers of the highest possible quality, making a meaningful contribution to the field. Reviewers advise the Editor on the scholarly merits of the manuscript to help him evaluate the manuscript and to decide whether or not to publish it. Reviewers are encouraged to reject a manuscript if it is scientifically flawed, merely sets out observations with no analysis, provides no new insights, or is of insufficient interest to warrant publication.
- *Pythagoras* is committed to support authors in the mathematics education community. Reviewers help the author to improve the quality of their manuscript. Reviewers are encouraged to write their comments in a constructive and supportive manner and to be sufficiently detailed to enable the author to improve the paper and make the changes that may eventually lead to acceptance.

The following summary of outcomes of the reviewing process in 2015 shows that our reviewers do well in achieving both objectives:

No. manuscripts processed in 2015 (outcome complete)	26
Accepted <i>without changes</i>	0 (0%)
Accepted with <i>minor changes</i> (to the satisfaction of the Editor) ¹	10 (38.5%)
Accepted after <i>major revisions</i> (re-submit, then re-review) ²	2 (7.7%)
Rejected after review - not acceptable to be published in <i>Pythagoras</i> ³	9 (34.6%)
Rejected without review - not acceptable to be published in <i>Pythagoras</i> ⁴	5 (19.2%)

We sincerely thank the following people who have reviewed these manuscripts for *Pythagoras* in 2015. We very much appreciate their time, expertise and support of *Pythagoras* amidst pressures of work.

Anelize van Biljon
 Anilkumar Krishnannair
 Anita Campbell
 Anthony Essien
 Antonia Makina
 Belinda Huntley
 Benadette Ainemani
 Bruce Brown
 Carol Macdonald
 Caroline Long
 Clement Dlamini
 Connie Skelton
 David Mogari
 David Mtetwa
 David Reid

Debbie Stott
 Deonarain Brijlall
 Dirk Wessels
 Doug Clarke
 Duncan Mhakure
 Edgar Guacaneme
 Elmarie Meyer
 Elsa Lombard
 Elspeth Khembo
 Erna Lampen
 Eugenia Vomvoridi-Ivanovic
 Eunice Moru
 Faaiz Gierdien
 Gary Powell
 Hamsa Venkat

1. Accepted after one round of review, with 'minor' changes as specified by reviewers and Editor.

2. Accepted after two or more rounds of review, with 'major' changes specified by reviewers and Editor.

3. Includes two cases where authors did not resubmit after required to make major changes.

4. All submissions undergo a preliminary review by the Editor (and Associate Editors) to ascertain if it falls within the aims and scope of *Pythagoras* and is of an acceptable standard. Includes two cases where authors did not resubmit after extensive feedback prior to reviewing.



If you would like to become a reviewer, please visit the *Pythagoras* website and register as a reviewer.

Reviewers (Continued):

Helena Miranda
Ingrid Sapire
Jacob Jaffha
Janine Hechter
Jayaluxmi Naidoo
Jogy Alex
Johan Meyer
Johann Engelbrecht
Joseph Dhlamini
Jurie Conradie
Kakoma Luneta
Kerryn Vollmer
Kosie Smit
Lindiwe Tshabalala
Lizelle Fletcher
Lorna Holtman
Lyn Webb
Marc Schäfer
Mark Jacobs
Mdutshekelwa Ndlovu
Michael de Villiers
Michael Mhlolo
Michael Murray

Neil Eddy
Niren Naidoo
Pam Lloyd
Paul Mokilane
Paula Ensor
Piera Biccard
Radley Mahlobo
Rajendran Govender
Richard Alexander
Sally Hobden
Sarah Bansilal
Satsope Maoto
Sharon McAuliffe
Sheena Rughubar-Reddy
Sibawu Siyepu
Stanley Adendorff
Tim Dunne
Ursula Hoadley
Vasuthavan Govender
Willy Mwakapenda
Yip Cheung Chan
Zain Davis
Zwelethemba Mpono