

Volume and Issues Obtainable at Center for Sustainability Research and Consultancy

Review of Economics and Development Studies ISSN:2519-9692 ISSN (E): 2519-9706 Volume 1: Issue 2 December 2015 Journal homepage: www.publishing.globalcsrc.org/reads

## Impact of Socioeconomic and Demographic Factors Affecting Child Health in Selected South Asian Countries

<sup>1</sup>Sofia Anwar, <sup>2</sup>Maria Khushbakhet, <sup>3</sup>Aisha Asif, <sup>4</sup>Zahira Batool

<sup>1</sup>Professor and chairperson, Department of Economics, Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan, <u>sofia\_eco@gcuf.edu.pk</u>

<sup>2</sup>MPhil Scholar, Department of Economics, Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan, <u>mkch\_89@yahoo.com</u>

<sup>3</sup>PhD Scholar, Department of Economics, Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan, aieshasif@gmail.com

<sup>4</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Sociology, Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan

## ARTICLE DETAILS

**History** *Revised format: Nov 2015 Available online: Dec 2015* 

#### Keywords

Child health,
Body Mass index,
Mother health,
Working mothers,
Safe water,
Pakistan,
Bangladesh,
Nepal

**JEL Classification** 

I12; I15; I18; J13

#### ABSTRACT

Development of any nation is estimated through child health condition. In particular, the fourth millennium development goal out of eight is to reduce the mortality rate. The target set under this goal was to reduce by two-third, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of child mortality. Maternal BMI is closely associated with child nutritional status. Weak mother having low BMI has low nutrition status which effect child weight. Healthy and balance food of mothers have positive effect on their child. Underweight mother can have impaired and poor growing kids. This study presents impact of some socio-economic demographic and maternal health related factors on health status of children in selected South Asian countries i.e. Pakistan, Bangladesh and Nepal. The study used micro data from demographic and health survey (DHS) of Pakistan, Bangladesh and Nepal. Multinomial logistic regression results revealed that mother education, mother working status, mother health, availability of safe drinking water, family size and vaccination have significant effect on child health. Mother's education is positively associated with healthy child. Working mothers are more likely to have healthy child. Weak and obese children are positively associated with malnourished and overweight mothers respectively. Small family size has positive impact on weak child health. Vaccination and availability of improved and safe water are positively associated with child health.

> © 2015 The authors, under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0

Corresponding author's email address: sofia\_eco@gcuf.edu.pk

**Recommended citation:** Anwar, S., Khushbakhet, M., Asif, A. and Batool, Z. (2015). Impact of Socioeconomic and Demographic Factors Affecting Child Health in Selected South Asian Countries. *Review of Economics and Development Studies*, *1* (2) 143-151 DOI: https://doi.org/10.26710/reads.v1i2.120

#### 1. Introduction and Literature Review

Development of any nation is estimated through child health condition (Anwar et al., 2012). Many developing nations included; Pakistan joined the United Nations Millennium summit and agreed to make efforts to achieve the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). The attainment of MDGs is the numerical measurement of the progress in which efforts are made by any country. In particular, the fourth millennium development goal out of eight is to reduce the mortality rate. The target set under this goal is to reduce by two-third, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of child motility (WHO, 2005).

Underweight in children are the main causes of child health problems in developing countries. Newly born babies who have completed 37 weeks of gestation and have low birth weight (less than 2.5 kg) have greater possibility to contain growth restrain whereas this situation refer to low birth weight and weak child. The nutritional condition measures stunting and obesity which are considered as risk factors whose exposure applied to all children of less than five years (Black et al., 2008). The child malnutrition more often leads to illness and death (Cheah et al., 2010). There are number of factors which results in child malnutrition like food security (availability and access), mother's poor nutritional level, low literacy level, less awareness of health care service and child care where malnourishment is the most important determinant of child BMI (Linnemayr et al., 2008).

Childhood obesity is directly correlated with weight problems during adulthood. The obese children have greater probability to be involved in health issues like blood pressure and diabetes in early adolescence (Serdula et al., 1993; Whitaker et al., 1997; and Freedman et al., 2007). Obesity is also cause of overweight and slow metabolic system (Modi et al., 2011). Furthermore, obesity among children is related with a number of long term psychological issues (Dietz, 1998; Strauss, 2000; Daniels, 2006; Mocan & Tekin, 2007).

The mother's education leads to improvement in child health via more efficient child care in the home along with the enhanced use of prevention services and treatment (Caldwell, 1994). There is no specific threshold level of mother's education which should be attained before the benefits of mother's education forward to the child health. Mother's education aware them about their child health which cause lower death rates, longer life expectancies and nutrition status (Abuya et al.,2013; Barrera, 1990; Hadden & London, 1996).

There is direct Relationship between mother's working status and child health as working mothers have enough money to purchase healthy and hygienic food (energy and protein dense food), services of house cleaner and utensils washer as well as vehicle which offer them more time to spend in child care activities (Gwozdz at al., 2013). Working mother spent some hours at work so they are more conscious about their child care working mothers have healthy child as the working mothers of under five children have less chance to be weak than non-working mothers (Fukuda et al., 2014).

The age of mother is associated with the child health (Abuya et al., 2012). Teenage mothers are psychologically less mature and remain unaware and hesitant regarding reproductive matters (Garenne et al., 2000; Woldemicael, 2005). The child health increase with the age of mother and the marriage in early age increases the infant mortality (Tagoe-Dark, 1995).

Weak mother has low nutrition status which effect child weight. Healthy and balance food of mothers have positive effect on their child. Underweight mother can impair to growing infants, because underweight mother may have premature and underweight baby at birth. Children of overweight mother associated with higher BMI and fat in their liver children and the consequence of mothers BMI on their child's improvement in the womb may cause of path towards lifetime metabolic health problems (Modi et al, 2011; Negash et al., 2015).

This study is important as there exists lacuna on the subject of child health regarding comparison of developing countries which are of same continent. This study will provide important facts about demographic, socioeconomic and health factors effecting child health. This study is limited to the socio economic, demographic and health factors which effect child health of age five and under five.

#### 2. Data and Methodology

#### 2.1. Data

The data for this study is derived from Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey (12-2013), Bangladesh Demographic and health survey (2011-12) and Nepal demographic and health survey (2011-12) in order to compare the effects of socioeconomic, demographic and health factors on child health in Pakistan, Bangladesh and Nepal.

To put DHS in useable format some manipulation were adopted. There were many missing values in PDHS data set. It was inevitable to fill those missing values because analysis has been done by SPSS version 20. So missing values are excluded. The data was required on child with age 5 and below for proxy of child health which is child Body Mass Index, DHS provide data for children up to age 13 in Pakistan and in Nepal up to age 12. We filtered the data set to obtain required data for analysis. The values of child body mass index far beyond the lower and upper bound of standard values were considered as outliers and removed. In DHS some variables had large categories (Wealth Index, House hold size, water source, toilet facility and etc.), we merge them categories into 3 and 4 subcategories.

#### 2.2. Methodology

Multinomial logit model is the extensive form of the logit model. Multinomial logistic function is used in this study to examine the effects of child Body Mass Index. Outcome of independent variable is different for each type of dependent variable. In this model one category of dependent variable is used as the reference category. All further categories defined in the perspective of that reference category. It could be the first, last or the category with maximum incidence. For all N categories N-1 equations are estimated. In Equation form multinomial logit model with three dependent variables and different independent variables is.

Where;

Y= dependent variable

N= a, b, c are the three different categories of child health.

Here it determines the probability of *ith* children facing one of the *jth* outcomes (of being week, healthy and obese).

Y<sub>i</sub> is the end results practiced by c

#### 2.3. Results and Discussion:

Relative probability of weak children rather than healthy children is significantly higher in urban areas than in rural areas keeping other factors constant in Nepal documented by previous studies (Ruel, 2003). Obese Child lives in urban areas than rural areas are relatively 41% more likelihood rather than healthy child in Pakistan.

The relative probability of weak children rather than healthy children is significantly 32% and 31 % increasing for uneducated mothers and lower educated mothers than educated mothers in Nepal and Bangladesh respectively. It is supported by studies (Mondal et al., 2009; Frost et al., 2005). The relative probability of obese child than healthy child is 73% more than uneducated mothers. Pakistan has insignificant effect which shows it has not direct effect on child health.

The relative probability of weak children rather than healthy children is 28 % higher for not working women than working mothers in Pakistan reported by previous studies (Ogada, 2014) that working mothers of age under five children has less chance to be weak those who not working mothers. Relative probability of obese child rather than healthy child strongly significantly 52% and 80% higher of not working mothers than working mothers keeping all others factors constant in Pakistan and Nepal. Gwozdz et al., (2013) recommended that working mothers have a tendency to utilize their money to take time off and take care of their children.

The relative probability of weak children than healthy children is significantly 33 % increasing for middle than rich in Pakistan. In Bangladesh and Nepal it has positive but insignificant relationship. Household wealth is not significant and direct relation with child health. Parental income has negligible effect on child health. Relative probability of obese child rather than healthy child is 78% and 24% higher for poor and middle socioeconomic status than higher socioeconomic status than keeping other factors constant (Gouda, 2014).

$\begin{split} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Independent Variables		Child Health/BMI								
$\begin{split}                                      $			Pakistan Bangladesh					Nepal			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	···· · · ·			-			5			2	
$\begin{split} \begin{split} & \mbox{Place of Residence} & \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Urban = 1									
	Dlaga of		~ /	· · · ·	· · ·	· · · /		. ,	· · ·		< /
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Rural = 0						-			
	Residence										· · · /
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$											
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		M-1- 1	538	272	416	872	155	198	694	302	139
Gender of Child         Female = 0         (42.8)         (24.3)         (33.0)         (65.2)         (18.3)         (16.5)         (55.4)         (29.5)         (15.5)           I         1.5< Members = 1		Male = 1	(43.9)	(22.2)	(33.9)	(71.2)		(16.2)	(61.1)	(26.6)	(12.2)
$ \begin{split} & (42.8) & (24.3) & (24.3) & (24.3) & (24.3) & (25.0) & (25.4) & (28.5) & (25.4) & (28.5) & (25.4) & (28.5) & (25.4) & (28.5) & (25.4) & (28.5) & (25.4) & (28.5) & (25.4) & (28.5) & (25.4) & (28.5) & (25.4) & (28.5) & (25.4) & (28.5) & (27.5) & (28.6) & (27.6) & (28.6) & (27.6) & (28.6) & (27.6) & (28.6) & (27.6) & (28.6) & (27.6) & (28.6) & (27.6) & (28.6$	Gender of Child	Female – 0					-	-			157
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Gender of Child	T cintate = 0								(29.5)	
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				-	450		-			-	
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					102		1				
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		1-5 Members = $1$									
$ \begin{aligned} & \text{Household size} \\ \text{Household size} \\ & \text{Household size}$	-		· /	. ,	. ,				· · · ·		
$ \begin{split} \mbox Household size \\ \mbox Household s$		6-7 Members = $2$					-				
Household size $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					( )			( )	. ,	( )	( )
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Household size	8-10 Members $= 3$									
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Above 11 Members=	· · · ·	· · · ·	· · · /						< /
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		3	(37.0)	(23.2)	(39.8)	(60.7)	(19.6)	(19.6)	(46.6)	(35.6)	(17.8)
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-		Chi Sq. Value = 45.439*		Chi S	q. Value = 91	.119*	Chi Sq. Value = 23.785*			
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$											
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Poor = 1									
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-	1001 - 1	. ,	. ,	. ,			. ,	· · · ·		. ,
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Middle $= 2$			-	-					
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Wealth Index		· /						· · · ·		
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Rich = 3									
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-		· · · ·		· · · /						· · · /
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$											
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							1	1		r	
$ \begin{split} \mbox{Mother's} \\ \mbox{Education} & \hline \mbox{Primary} = 2 & 167 & 81 & 101 & 519 & 98 & 104 & 231 & 132 & 60 \\ (47.9) & (23.2) & (28.9) & (72.0) & (13.6) & (14.4) & (54.6) & (31.2) & (14.2) \\ \hline \mbox{Secondary \& Higher} & 258 & 143 & 179 & 743 & 200 & 239 & 392 & 209 & 135 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		No Education $= 1$									
$ \begin{split} \mbox{Mother s} \\ \mbox{Education} & \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-	D.:	167	81	101		98	104	231	132	60
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Mother's		(47.9)	(23.2)	(28.9)	(72.0)	(13.6)	(14.4)	(54.6)	(31.2)	(14.2)
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Education	• •		-							
$ \begin{split} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-	= 3									
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$											
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				1	(40		1			r	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Not Working = 1									
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Mother's Work	1	, <i>/</i>	· · · · ·	· · · /	· · · · ·	<u>`</u>	<u>`</u>	· · · · · ·	· · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Working= 0									
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Status										
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$								Gamma =075^			
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Underweicht - 1	192	83	76		95			95	29
		Under weight = 1				· · · /					
Mother BMI $(43.3)$ $(22.1)$ $(33.8)$ $(08.2)$ $(14.9)$ $(16.8)$ $(57.1)$ $(28.3)$ $(14.6)$ Mother BMI         Overweight = 3 $306$ 191 $307$ 165 $55$ $47$ $115$ $72$ $39$ Mother BMI         Overweight = 3 $306$ 191 $307$ 165 $55$ $47$ $115$ $72$ $39$ Mother BMI         Chi Sq. Value = $35.90^*$ Chi Sq. Value = $9.132^{\wedge}$ Chi Sq. Value = $26.17^*$ Gamma = .162*         Gamma = .086^{\wedge}         Gamma = .198*           Under 18 Age = 1 $328$ 189         272         1070         240         222 $530$ 261         119           Mother age at 1 <sup>st</sup> 19-23 Age = 2         493         270         403         479         115         141         617         268         142.9           Mother age at 1 <sup>st</sup> 19-23 Age = 2         493         (23.2)         (34.6)         (65.2)         (15.6)         (19.2)         (60.1)         (26.1)         (13.8)           Birth         19-23 Age = 3         (230		Normal weight= 2									
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mother DMI	riorinar weight- 2	(43.5)	(22.7)	(33.8)	(68.2)	(14.9)	(16.8)	(57.1)	(28.3)	(14.6)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Mother BMI	Overweight $-2$	306	191	307	165		47			39
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Overweight - 5	(38.1)	(23.8)	(38.2)	(61.8)	(20.6)	(17.6)	(50.9)	(31.9)	(17.3)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Chi So	q. Value = 35.	90*	Chi S	Sq. Value $= 9$ .	132^	Chi S	Sq. Value $= 2$	6.17*
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $											
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-	Under 18 Age - 1									
Mother age at 1st Birth         19-23 Age = 2         (42.3)         (23.2)         (34.6)         (65.2)         (15.6)         (19.2)         (60.1)         (26.1)         (13.8)           Birth         Above 24 Age = 3         230         104         137         89         15         29         123         79         35           Chi Sq. Value = 7.95 <sup>t</sup> Chi Sq. Value = 7.95 <sup>t</sup> Chi Sq. Value = 12.46^         Chi Sq. Value = 6.565         Gamma = .062^         Gamma = .100^{\circ}         Gamma = .025		Oliuer 18 Age = 1						(14.5)	(58.2)	(28.7)	
Mother age at 1"       0 $(42.3)$ $(23.2)$ $(34.6)$ $(65.2)$ $(13.6)$ $(19.2)$ $(60.1)$ $(26.1)$ $(13.8)$ Birth       Above 24 Age = 3       230       104       137       89       15       29       123       79       35         (48.8) $(22.1)$ $(29.1)$ $(66.9)$ $(11.3)$ $(21.8)$ $(51.9)$ $(33.3)$ $(14.8)$ Chi Sq. Value = 7.95 <sup>t</sup> Chi Sq. Value = 12.46^       Chi Sq. Value = 6.565       Gamma = .062^       Gamma = .100^       Gamma = .025		19-23 Age - 2									
Above 24 Age = 3       (48.8)       (22.1)       (29.1)       (66.9)       (11.3)       (21.8)       (51.9)       (33.3)       (14.8)         Chi Sq. Value = 7.95'         Chi Sq. Value = 7.95'       Chi Sq. Value = 12.46^       Chi Sq. Value = 6.565         Gamma =062^       Gamma = .100^       Gamma = .025		17 25 1160 - 2				· · · /					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Birth	Above 24 Age $= 3$									
Gamma =062^ Gamma = .100^ Gamma = .025		0. 0	(48.8)		(29.1)						
						G	amma = .100			Jamma = .02	

# Table 1: Household's Socioeconomic and Demographic Variables Distribution With Reference To Child Health in Selected South Asian Countries

Note: Figures in parenthesis are the percentages

^, \*, *t* indicate coefficients are significant at 1, 5 and 10 percent level respectively.

#### Table 2: Socioeconomic and Demographic Variables Affecting the Probability of Weak Child Health in Selected South Asian Countries

Independent variables Pakistan Bangladesh Nepal
---

		В	Odd Ratios	В	Odd Ratios	В	Odd Ratios		
	Intercept	.487	Katios	.420	Katios	868**	Katios		
Place of Residence	Urban = 1	.068	1.070	082	.921	.374**	1.454		
r lace of Residence	$\frac{1}{\text{Rural} = 2}$	.008	1.070	Reference		.374**	1.454		
Mother education	no education = $1$	.133	1.143	.189	1.208	.274***	1.315		
	primary education	.264	1.302	.272 ***	1.208	.071	1.076		
Secondar	cy& higher education	Reference Category							
Mother's Work Status,	Not Working = $1$	.246**	1.279	155	.857	.111	1.118		
	Working = $0$	.210	1.279	Reference			1.110		
Socio economic status,	Poor =1	.105	1.11	.044	.957	.183	1.201		
,	Middle= 2	.28***	1.325	.099	.906	.081	1.084		
	Rich=3			Reference					
Water Source,	Improved water=1	26***	.769	894*	.409	21***	.805		
No	n Improved water =2			Reference	Category				
Child Gender	Male $= 1$	.127	1.135	.481*	1.618	.21***	1.227		
	Female = 0	Reference Category							
Mother age at 1st birth,	Age up to 18=1	.260	1.296	.498	.608	.439**	1.551		
	Age 19-23 =2	214	.808	413	.662	566*	.567		
	Age above 23= 3	Reference Category							
Birth Order,	1 birth=1	.090	1.094	030	.970	430	.650		
	2-3 birth=2	281	.755	.069	1.071	528**	.590		
	4-6 birth=3	238	.788	.103	1.108	417	.659		
	Above 6 birth=4			Reference	Category				
Family Size,	1-5 members=1	369**	.691	421 ***	.656	683*	.505		
	6-7  members = 2	322**	.724	022	.978	513**	.598		
	8-10  members = 3	146	.864	006	.994	105	.900		
Above 10 members = 4				Reference	Category				
Mother BMI,	Underweight =1	.442**	1.556	.572**	1.771	.463**	1.590		
	$\frac{\text{Healthy} = 2}{\text{Overweight} = 3}$	22***	.803	499**	.607	119	.887		
	Reference Category								
Vaccination Status,	Not Vaccinated =1	.341**	1.406	.163	1.177	2.292*	9.897		
	Vaccinated $= 2$			Reference	Category				

Source: Author's own calculations based on PDHS (2013), BDHS (2011) & NDHS (2011) Note: a) \*, \*\* and \*\*\* indicates coefficient are significant at 1%, 5% &10%

b) The reference category is 2= healthy child

 Table 3: Socioeconomic and Demographic Variables Affecting the Probability of Obese Child

 Health in Selected South Asian Countries

	neatin in S	citette b	outil 11510		ICS				
	Pakistan		Bangladesh		Nej	pal			
Independent	В	Odd Ratios	В	Odd Ratios	В	Odd Ratios			
	Intercept	.453		.878		.001			
Place of Residence	Urban = 1	.343**	1.410	.238	1.269	.012	1.012		
	Rural = 2	Reference Category							
Mother education	no education $= 1$	.021	1.021	.127	1.135	.573*	1.773		
	primary education	.098	1.102	.041	1.042	.377**	1.457		
Secondar	y& higher education	Reference Category							
Mother's Work Status,	Not Working = 1	.420**	1.522	.238	1.268	.593*	1.809		
	Working $= 0$	Reference Category							
Socio economic status,	Poor =1	.576*	1.780	.292	1.339	.073	1.076		
	Middle= 2	.298***	1.348	008	.992	061	.941		
	Reference Category								
Water Source,	Improved water=1	690*	.502	-1.849*	.157	758*	.468		
Nor	Reference Category								
Child Gender,	Male $= 1$	.160	1.174	.295***	1.344	121	.886		
	Female = 0	Reference Category							
Mother age at 1st birth,	Age up to 18=1	022	.978	496	.609	.079	1.082		

		1	1	1		1	1
	Age 19-23 =2	.056	1.058	292	.747	.145	1.157
	Age above $23=3$	Reference Category					
Birth Order,	1 birth=1	.031	1.032	.322	.725	.183	1.20
	2-3 birth=2	233	.792	115	.891	276	.759
	4-6 birth=3	278	.757	430	.651	321	.726
	Above 6 birth=4	Reference Category					
Family Size,	1-5 members=1	.398**	1.488	.401	1.494	.005	1.005
	6-7  members = 2	29 ***	.748	.145	1.156	041	.960
	8-10  members = 3	037	.963	.429	1.536	.112	1.118
	Above 10 members $= 4$	Reference Category					
Mother BMI,	Underweight =1	602**	.548	011	.989	402	.669
	Healthy $= 2$	192	.825	144	.865	124	.883
	Overweight $= 3$	Reference Category					
Vaccination Status,	Not Vaccinated =1	.33***	1.398	618**	.539	679	.507
Vaccinated = 2 Reference Category							

Source: Author's own calculations based on PDHS (2013), BDHS (2011) & NDHS (2011)

Note: a) \*, \*\* and \*\*\* indicates coefficient are significant at 1%, 5% &10%

b) The reference category is 2= healthy child

The relative probability of weak children rather than healthy children is significantly lower by 77%, 41% and 81% to the child intake improved and piped water source than non-improved water source in Pakistan. Relative probability of obese child rather than healthy child is 50%, 15% and 47% lower and strongly significant for all three countries using improved water than non-improved water. Previous research found a positive relationship between access to piped water and child health (Merrick, 1985; Thomas and Strauss, 1992; Lee et al., 1997, and Japan and Ravallion, 2003). Relative probability of obese child is 34 % higher than healthy child for male children than female children in Bangladesh.

Male children are significantly more relative chance by 62% and 23% to be weak as compared to female children than healthy children in Bangladesh and Nepal. There is no sex discrimination as cleared by previous studies (Mge & Donnar, 2004; Khoury, 1987).

Younger mothers are significantly more likelihood to have weak children by 55 % as compared to elder mothers relative to healthy children in Nepal. The risk of dying of child at 1<sup>st</sup> birth is higher for younger mothers. (Gubhaju, 1991 and Furstenberg, 1990) suggested that teenage mothers were less able to overcome the economic and child bearing problems.

In Pakistan first birth order have more relative probability to be weak children as compared to higher birth order as compared to healthy children keeping all other factors constant. Infant weakness was found to decline with higher birth order in one study (Gubhaju et al., 1987). In Pakistan and Nepal lower birth order have less chance to be weak than higher birth. It is supported by (Horton, 1998) explained that there is competition with younger siblings for resources.

The relative probability of weak child is significantly 69%, 65% and 50% lower than healthy child in small families than largeer family's children in Pakistan, Bangladesh and Nepal (Heaton, 2004; black 1989 & Downey 1995).

There are relatively more chances to be weak child than healthy child by 56%, 77% and 59% for underweight mothers than overweight mothers keeping all other factors constant reported by (Nahar, 2010) where mother with lower BMI has lower birth weight which effect breast feeding aptitude and capacity and results in lower BMI in infants and children. Normal weight mother has less chance by 80%, 60% and 89% to have weak child in Pakistan, Bangladesh and Nepal.

Children those are not vaccinated are strongly significantly more relative probability by 40% and 89% to be weak as compared to the vaccinated children than healthy children keeping all others factors constant in Pakistan, Bangladesh. It is justified by (Wang, 2002 and Bonday et al., 2008) where it significantly affect children.

#### 3. Conclusion and Recommendations

This study conclude that mother schooling play important role and helpful to make nutrition decision for their children to improve child height and weight so make sure to improve and facilitate women to educate and provide health knowledge to mothers. Source of water has positive and significant effect on child health so piped and clean water must be assessable to children to save them from deficiencies.

Mother working status has its positive impact on child health in Pakistan and Nepal. Working mothers are more conscious to take care of their children and due to move in social society they are able to obtain knowledge about their child health and can afford nutritional and hygienic food as well as cleaner objects. So steps must be taken for provision of job opportunities. Socioeconomic status also has its impact on child weight. Poor families have weak and obese children due to lack of money they have access to cheap and unhygienic food items. Income sources must be provided to poor families. Teenage mothers are less able to overcome the economic as well as child bearing problems and there may be intervention of education attainment.

Small families have less chance of weak children in each country Pakistan, Bangladesh and Nepal. As parents can put more attention to their children in small family. Small families have positive impact on child health as deep attention can be given by parents to take care of their children.

Mother's BMI is associated with child BMI. Weak mother has low nutrition status which effect less child birth weight and also compromise in capacity of breast feeding and results in low child BMI. Special campaigns are suggested to improve the BMI of mothers to have health new generation.

Maternal education is most important factor which effect child health so Government should take steps to improve female education and also provide health knowledge to females as mother education effect child health. It provides more awareness to mothers about how to nurture children.

### References

- Abuya, B. A., Ciera, J., & Kimani-Murage, E. (2012). Effect of mother's education on child's nutritional status in the slums of Nairobi. *BMC pediatrics*, *12*(1), 80.
- Anwar, M., Green, J., & Norris, P. (2012). Health-seeking behaviour in Pakistan: A narrative review of the existing literature. *Public Health*, *126*(6), 507-517.
- Barrera, A. (1990). The role of maternal schooling and its interaction with public health programs in child health production. *Journal of Development Economics*, *32*(1), 69-91.
- Black, R. E., Allen, L. H., Bhutta, Z. A., Caulfield, L. E., De Onis, M., Ezzati, M., ... & Maternal and Child Undernutrition Study Group. (2008). Maternal and child undernutrition: global and regional exposures and health consequences. *The lancet*, *371*(9608), 243-260.
- Caldwell, J. C. (1994). How is greater maternal education translated into lower child mortality?. *Health transition review*, 4(2), 224-229.
- Cheah, W. L., Muda, W. W., & Zamh, Z. H. (2010). A structural equation model of the determinants of malnutrition among children in rural Kelantan, Malaysia. *Rural and Remote Health*, 10(1248).
- Daniels, S. R. (2006). The consequences of childhood overweight and obesity. *The future of children*, 47-67.
- Dietz, W. H. (1998). Health consequences of obesity in youth: childhood predictors of adult disease. *Pediatrics*, 101(Supplement 2), 518-525.

- Freedman, D. S., Mei, Z., Srinivasan, S. R., Berenson, G. S., & Dietz, W. H. (2007). Cardiovascular risk factors and excess adiposity among overweight children and adolescents: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *The Journal of pediatrics*, *150*(1), 12-17.
- Frost, M. B., Forste, R., & Haas, D. W. (2005). Maternal education and child nutritional status in Bolivia: finding the links. *Social science & medicine*, *60*(2), 395-407.
- Fukuda, H., Ogada, C. N., Kihara, E., Wagaiyu, E. G., & Hayashi, Y. (2014). Oral health status among 12-year-old children in a rural Kenyan community. *J Dent Oral Health*, *1*, 1-5.
- Garenne, M., & Gakusi, E. (2006). Health transitions in sub-Saharan Africa: overview of mortality trends in children under 5 years old (1950-2000). *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 84(6), 470-478.
- Gouda, J., & Prusty, R. K. (2014). Overweight and obesity among women by economic stratum in urban India. *Journal of health, population, and nutrition, 32*(1), 79.
- Gwozdz, W., Sousa-Poza, A., Reisch, L. A., Ahrens, W., Eiben, G., Fernandéz-Alvira, J. M., ... & Veidebaum, T. (2013). Maternal employment and childhood obesity–A European perspective. *Journal of Health Economics*, 32(4), 728-742.
- Hadden, K., & London, B. (1996). Educating girls in the Third World. International journal of comparative sociology, 37(1), 31-46.
- Linnemayr, S., Alderman, H., & Ka, A. (2008). Determinants of malnutrition in Senegal: Individual, household, community variables, and their interaction. *Economics & Human Biology*, 6(2), 252-263.
- Mocan, N. H., & Tekin, E. (2009). *Obesity, self-esteem and wages* (No. w15101). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Modi, A. C., Rausch, J. R., & Glauser, T. A. (2011). Patterns of nonadherence to antiepileptic drug therapy in children with newly diagnosed epil
- Mondal, N. I., Hossain, K., & Ali, K. (2009). Factors influencing infant and child mortality: A case study of Rajshahi District, Bangladesh. *Journal of Human Ecology*, 26(1), 31-39.
- Negash, C., Whiting, S. J., Henry, C. J., Belachew, T., & Hailemariam, T. G. (2015). Association between maternal and child nutritional status in Hula, rural Southern Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. *PloS one*, *10*(11), e0142301.
- Ruel, M. T. (2003). Is dietary diversity an indicator of food security or dietary quality? A review of measurement issues and research needs. *Food Nutr Bull*, 24(2), 231-2.
- Serdula, M. K., Ivery, D., Coates, R. J., Freedman, D. S., Williamson, D. F., & Byers, T. (1993). Do obese children become obese adults? A review of the literature. *Preventive medicine*, 22(2), 167-177.
- Strauss, R. S. (2000). Adult functional outcome of those born small for gestational age: twenty-sixyear follow-up of the 1970 British birth cohort. *Jama*, 283(5), 625-632.
- Whitaker, R. C., Wright, J. A., Pepe, M. S., Seidel, K. D., & Dietz, W. H. (1997). Predicting obesity in young adulthood from childhood and parental obesity. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 337(13), 869-873.
- Woldemicael, G. (2005). Teenage childbearing and child health in Eritrea. MPIDR WP, (2005-029).