

ISSN 0034 – 365 X | E-ISSN 2337 – 8824 | Accredited 792/AU3/P2MI-LIPI/04/2016



2018 17 (1)

REINWARDTIA

A JOURNAL ON TAXONOMIC BOTANY, PLANT SOCIOLOGY AND ECOLOGY

Vol. 17 (1): 1 – 85, June 29, 2018

Chief Editor

Kartini Kramadibrata (Mycologist, Herbarium Bogoriense, Indonesia)

Editors

Dedy Darnaedi (Taxonomist, Herbarium Bogoriense, Indonesia) Tukirin Partomihardjo (Ecologist, Herbarium Bogoriense, Indonesia) Joeni Setijo Rahajoe (Ecologist, Herbarium Bogoriense, Indonesia) Marlina Ardiyani (Taxonomist, Herbarium Bogoriense, Indonesia) Himmah Rustiami (Taxonomist, Herbarium Bogoriense, Indonesia) Lulut Dwi Sulistyaningsih (Taxonomist, Herbarium Bogoriense, Indonesia) Topik Hidayat (Taxonomist, Indonesia University of Education, Indonesia) Eizi Suzuki (Ecologist, Kagoshima University, Japan) Jun Wen (Taxonomist, Smithsonian Natural History Museum, USA) Barry J. Conn (Taxonomist, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, The University of Sydney, Australia) David G. Frodin (Taxonomist, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, United Kingdom) Graham Eagleton (Wagstaffe, NSW, Australia)

Secretary

Ruslan Bukhori

Layout Liana Astuti

Illustrators

Subari Wahyudi Santoso Anne Kusumawaty

Correspondence on editorial matters and subscriptions for Reinwardtia should be addressed to: HERBARIUM BOGORIENSE, BOTANY DIVISION, RESEARCH CENTER FOR BIOLOGY– INDONESIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCES CIBINONG SCIENCE CENTER, JLN. RAYA JAKARTA – BOGOR KM 46, CIBINONG 16911, P.O. Box 25 CIBINONG INDONESIA PHONE (+62) 21 8765066; Fax (+62) 21 8765062 E-MAIL: reinwardtia@mail.lipi.go.id http://e-journal.biologi.lipi.go.id/index.php/reinwardtia

Cover images: *Psydrax undulatifolius* K.M.Wong & Mahyuni *spec.nov.*, A. Habit; B. Flower; C. Stigma; D. Flower bud; E. Young fruit; F. Corolla cut open to reveal inside; G. Anther; H. Stipule. A, E, H from *H.N. Ridley* 6475 (SING); B, C, D, F, G from *D.B. Arnot* 30665 (KEP), drawing by Anne Kusumawaty (BO).

The Editors would like to thank all reviewers of volume 17(1):

Sylvain Razafimandimbison - Swedish Museum of Natural History, Swedia Wong Khoon Meng - Herbarium Singapore, Singapore Botanic Gardens, 1 Cluny Road, Singapore Mien A. Rifai - Akademi Ilmu Pengetahuan Indonesia (AIPI), Jakarta, Indonesia Harry Wiriadinata - Herbarium Bogoriense, Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Bogor, Indonesia Joan Pereira - Sandakan Herbarium Forest Research Centre Sabah Forestry Department, Sabah, Malaysia Johan Iskandar - Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung, Indonesia Andrew Powling -University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, United Kingdom

VEGETATION ANALYSIS AND POPULATION STRUCTURE OF PLANTS AT MOUNT ENDUT FORESTED AREA, GUNUNG HALIMUN SALAK NATIONAL PARK, BANTEN, JAVA, INDONESIA

Received October 30, 2017; accepted April 30, 2018

EDY NASRIADI SAMBAS

Botany Division, Research Center for Biology – LIPI, Cibinong Science Center, Jln. Raya Jakarta-Bogor Km 46, Cibinong, 16911, Bogor, Indonesia. Email: edynas.sambas@gmail.com

CECEP KUSMANA & LILIK BUDI PRASETYO

Faculty of Forestry, Bogor Agricultural University (IPB), Dramaga Campus, Bogor 16686, Indonesia. Email: ce-cep_kusmana@ipb.ac.id; Email: lbprastdp@indo.net.id

TUKIRIN PARTOMIHARDJO

Herbarium Bogoriense, Botany Division, Research Center for Biology – LIPI, Cibinong Science Center, Jln. Raya Jakarta-Bogor Km 46, Cibinong, 16911, Bogor, Indonesia. Email: tukirin@indo.net.id

ABSTRACT

SAMBAS, E. N., KUSMANA, C., PRASETYO, L. B. & PARTOMIHARDJO, T. 2018. Vegetation analysis and population structure of plants at Mount Endut forested area, Gunung Halimun Salak National Park, Banten, Java, Indonesia. *Reinwardtia* 17 (1): 39–53. — Study of vegetation structure and species composition at Mount Endut was carried out by using transect and plot methods. Data of trees, saplings and seedlings were enumerated from four transects measuring 10 m × 2,000 m in four directions of slopes *i.e.* North, South, East and West. In total there were 180 species of trees and saplings belonging to 105 genera and 51 families. Tree density and basal area indicate the variation and the differences in each of the sampling locations. Density of saplings and abundance of seedlings tend to be low in locations with high density and basal areas at tree level. Tree species having higher Importance Value were *Castanopsis acuminatissima, Garcinia rostrata, S. wallichii, Symplocos cochinchinensis,* and *Prunus arborea* were saplings which had highest density, while *Ophiorrhiza marginata, Cyathea contaminans, Pinanga coronata, C. acuminatissima,* and *G. rostrata* were the most abundant listed seedlings at the study site. At least 27 tree species (21.91%) were recorded as relatively rare (presence represented by only 1–2 individuals), thus requiring special attention in the management of the area.

Key words: Mount Endut, population structure, species composition, vegetation analysis.

ABSTRAK

SAMBAS, E. N., KUSMANA, C., PRASETYO, L. B. & PARTOMIHARDJO, T. 2018. Analisis vegetasi dan struktur populasi tumbuhan pada hutan Gunung Endut, Taman Nasional Gunung Halimun Salak, Banten, Jawa, Indonesia. *Reinwardtia* 17 (1): 39–53. — Penelitian mengenai komposisi, diversitas dan struktur populasi jenis tumbuhan penyusun vegetasi Gunung Endut telah dilakukan dengan menggunakan metode transek dan petak. Data pohon, belta dan semai dicacah dari empat transek berukuran 10 m × 2.000 m pada empat arah lereng yakni Utara, Selatan, Timur dan Barat. Secara keseluruhan tercatat 180 jenis pohon dan belta yang tergolong dalam 105 marga dan 51 suku. Kerapatan pohon dan luas bidang dasar tingkat pohon menunjukkan variasi perbedaan pada masing-masing lokasi cuplikan. Kerapatan belta dan kelimpahan semai cenderung rendah pada lokasi dengan kerapatan dan luas bidang dasar pohon yang tinggi. Jenis-jenis pohon yang memiliki Nilai Penting tertinggi adalah *Castanopsis acuminatissima, Schima wallichii, C. argentea, Quercus gemelliflora,* dan *Altingia excelsa. Castanopsis acuminatissima, Garcinia rostrata, S. wallichii, Symplocos cochinchinensis,* dan *Prunus arborea* adalah tingkat belta dengan kerapatan paling tinggi, sedangkan *Ophiorrhiza marginata, Cyathea contaminans, Pinanga coronata, C. acuminatissima,* dan *G. rostrata* adalah tingkat semai yang tercatat paling melimpah di lokasi penelitian. Tercatat sedikitnya 27 jenis pohon (21,91%) yang relatif langka (kehadirannya hanya diwakili 1–2 individu), sehingga membutuhkan perhatian khusus dalam pengelolaan kawasan.

Kata kunci: Analisis vegetasi, Gunung Endut, komposisi jenis, struktur populasi.

INTRODUCTION

According to Decree of Minister of Forestry No. 175/Kpts-II/2003, Mount Endut protection forest is included in Gunung Halimun Salak National Park (GHSNP). Although the area of Mount Endut was separated from the center of the ecosystem of Mount Halimun by a public transportation road from Gajrug to Citorek, Lebak Gedong District, Lebak Regency, Banten Province, however it has been connected by a corridor of vegetated area. Mount Endut harbors rich vegetation, both in number as well as species density and diversity (TNGHS, 2007; Sambas, 2012). This area is also known as habitat and home range of several protected endangered species such as Javan panther (Panthera pardus) (Harahap et al., 2005), Javan gibbon (Hylobates moloch) (Rinaldi, *pers.com.*), and Javan hawk-eagle (Spizaetus bartelsi) (Prawiradilaga, pers.com.). The study area is close to human settlement and subject to illegal logging both when its status was a protection forest and presently as a part of National Park.

As the part of a National Park, the Mount Endut area is to be managed with appropriate and sound planning. In managing the area, a deep knowledge on the condition of vegetation ecology of the area is needed. So far, the diversity of flora and fauna especially the flora has not been explored thoroughly; the floristic data of Mount Endut is very limited. Several floristic studies within GHSNP were carried out by Rinaldi et al. (2008) on ecology of the Halimun Salak corridor and Wiharto (2009) on vegetation classification at submontane areas of Mount Salak. Studies on diversity and composition of tree species by Polosakan and Alhamd (2012) and natural regeneration by Mirmanto (2014) were long term replace with: researches to uncover pattern and process of forest ecology and succession within GHSNP. At Mount Endut, Sambas et al. studied vegetation classification (2011) and ecological preferences of dominant species of the area (2013).

The objectives of the present research was to assess composition, structure, abundance and diversity of the species comprising the vegetation of Mount Endut. In addition, soil samples were taken to investigate correlations between plant species and soil nutrients.

STUDY AREA

The research was carried out at Cisoka and Gunung Bongkok Resorts, Lebak Section, Gunung Halimun Salak National Park. Administratively, the survey area was located at Lebak Gedong District (Lebaksangka and Lebakgedong villages), Sajira District (Pasirhaur and Girilaya villages), Sobang District (Sindanglaya and Citujah villages), and Muncang District (Cikarang village), Lebak Regency, Banten Province. Geographically, this area is located at 06°36'–06°39' South Latitude, and 106°20'–106°23' East Longitude. Fig. 1 shows the Mount Endut area in the GHSNP.

Mount Endut area is about 2,020 hectares. Before, this area was a protection forest managed by the Perhutani Unit III, West Java and Banten. The land covers in this area include primary, secondary, and plantation forests, mixed garden, bushes, dry cultivation, and ricefields.

Yearly rainfall at Cipanas which has boundary with Lebak Gedong District, Lebak Regency, Banten was measured as 4,242 mm (Berlage, 1941). Data from Nirmala Agung Tea Plantation, about 15 km from the Mount Endut area, during 10 years of measurement (1991–2000), show the average yearly rainfall was 4,181 mm with the range of 2,873–6,526 mm. Average monthly rainfall ranged from 239 mm (year 1997) to 544 mm (year 1999). The monthly rainfall is usually high, and has a minimum during June-September.

The geology of Mount Endut area is volcanic. The soil in the Mount Endut area is an association of Brown Latosol and Yellowish Latosol. The topography is hilly from the elevation of about 800 m to 1,300 m asl.

Based on the Map of Lebak Regional Development Planning Agency in 2014, the whole area of Gunung Halimun Salak National Park including Mount Endut is an area prone to landslides. Many disaster-prone locations in Lebak District need a disaster mitigation program (Hakim, 2016).

METHOD

We conducted a comprehensive survey on the vegetation of Mount Endut, exploring the four slopes/directions *i.e.* North, South, East, and West, including the abiotic/environmental components of this area. This produced quantitative data on the flora and ecology of Mount Endut. The area covered was 8 hectares, two hectares on each slope/direction (North, South, East, and West).

Four transects of $10 \times 2,000$ m each from an elevation of 700 m asl to the peak at 1,297 m asl, were established on the North, South, West, and East slopes respectively to represent the whole area of Mount Endut. The data measurement was done systematically within the 10×10 m plot for trees (diameter at breast height of ≥ 10 cm), within the 5×5 m sub-plots for saplings (diameter 2.0–9.9 cm, measured at 0.5 m above the ground), and within the 2×2 m sub-plots of 10×10 m in each $10 \times 2,000$ m transect. The sub-plots were arranged from the peak (1,297 m asl) down to about 700 m asl. Trees with large butresses were measured at a height of 10 to 20 cm above the



Fig. 1. Map of research location, Gunung Halimun Salak National Park (TNGHS, 2007).

buttresses. Basal diameter of saplings and shrubs were measured with vernier-callipers. All trees were grouped into 5 girth classes *viz*. 10–19.9, 20–29.9, 30–39.9, 40–50 and > 50 cm.

Vegetation analysis was carried out to calculate Importance Value Indices according to the method described by Kusmana (2017) and Cox (2002). Species diversity of trees was measured by

Shannon Index (H) = $-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ln p_i$,

Where **p** is the proportion (n/N) of individuals of one particular species found (n) divided by the total number of individuals found (N), **ln** is the natural log, Σ is the sum of the calculations, and **s** is the number of species; and species richness was measured by Menhinick's richness index – the ratio of the number of taxa to the square root of sample size.

Soil samples were taken from a block of 10×400 m (40 sub-plots of 10×10 m). Soil texture, total organic C, N, P, K and Al contents were

analyzed at the Laboratory of Soil Sciences, Bogor Agricultural University (IPB). Identification of herbarium collections was done at Herbarium Bogoriense LIPI Cibinong, Bogor.

RESULTS

A. Trees

In total within four transects (8 hectares) there were 180 tree and sapling species, belonging to 105 genera and 51 families. The species richness of trees was various within each transect. Table 1. shows ten principal tree species on the four slopes of Mount Endut based on their Importance Values.

Based on the Importance Value Index (IVI), the community types in the study area were *Castanopsis acuminatissima–C. argentea* (North slope), *Castanopsis acuminatissima–Schima wallichii* (South slope), *Castanopsis acuminatissima–Schima wallichii* (East slope), and *Altingia excelsa–Castanopsis acuminatissima*

No	Species	Family	Impo	ortant Valu	ie Index (IVI)
			North	South	East	West
1	Calophyllum sp.	Clusiaceae	-	-	-	10.91
2	Garcinia rostrata (Hassk.) Miq.	Clusiaceae	11.06	-	7.07	-
3	Elaeocarpus pierrei Koord. & Valeton	Elaeocarpaceae	13.85	-	-	-
4	Aporosa frutescens Blume	Euphorbiaceae	-	3.86	-	-
5	Castanopsis acuminatissima (Blume) A.DC.	Fagaceae	76.32	139.36	86.24	27.84
6	Castanopsis argentea (Blume) A.DC.	Fagaceae	37.86	-	14.88	15.65
7	Castanopsis javanica (Blume) A.DC.	Fagaceae	-	-	22.65	-
8	Quercus gemelliflora Blume	Fagaceae	21.70	14.40	5.59	-
9	Quercus lineata Blume	Fagaceae	6.85	5.28	8.34	-
10	Altingia excelsa Noronha	Hammamelidaceae	-	-	-	30.44
11	Platea excelsa Blume	Icacynaceae	4.53	14.91	-	-
12	Pternandra azurea (DC.) Burkill	Melastomataceae	-	-	8.23	-
13	Sandoricum koetjape (Burm.f.) Merr.	Meliaceae	-	-	-	12.87
14	Knema cinerea Warb.	Myristicaceae	-	-	-	12.40
15	Syzygium rostratum (Blume) DC.	Myrtaceae	-	5	-	-
16	<i>Syzygium</i> sp.	Myrtaceae	34.40	10.09	-	-
17	Lepisanthes tetraphylla Radlk.	Sapindaceae	-	3.85	-	15.24
18	Allophylus cobbe (L.) Raeusch.	Sapindaceae	-	-	-	12.99
19	Symplocos cochinchinensis (Lour.) S.Moore	Symplocaceae	8.13	-	9.49	-
20	Eurya acuminata DC.	Theaceae	-	7.23	12.71	-
21	Schima wallichii Choisy	Theaceae	27.04	27.40	25.27	12.32
22	Dendrocnide stimulans (L.f.) Chew	Urticaceae	-	-	-	9.52

Table 1. Principal tree species based on Important Value Index (IVI) on the four slopes of Mount Endut.

community (West slope), respectively. Species richness and heterogeneity of tree species on the North, South, East, and West slopes are reflected by their frequency class and total of species as presented in Fig. 2.

At North slope, the species richness and heterogeneity of tree species were high, as showed by the frequency class distribution, *i.e.*, as many as 80.35 % of the species have frequency ≤ 10 %. It indicated that although *Castanopsis* acuminatissima was very dominant, other species still can grow with relatively small values of frequency, density, and basal area. This means that C. acuminatissima tends not to halt the growth of other tree species. Species richness and heterogeneity of the tree species on the South slope were classified as high, as shown by the frequency class distribution, i.e., 95.34 % of the species have frequency ≤ 10 %. Similarly, those of the East slope and West slope were classified as high, as indicated by the distribution of the frequency class, *i.e.* 86.44 % species and 81.34 % species, respectively had frequency ≤ 10 %.

Diameter class distribution of trees on the North, South, East, and West slopes of Mount Endut is presented in Fig. 3. The majority of the trees (84.55 %) on the North slope of Mount Endut had diameter relatively small (dbh < 30 cm), only about 1.93 % of them had diameter > 50 cm (Fig. 3). In general, the number of tree individuals showed a decrease with increasing tree diameter class. Species diversity of trees on the North slope of Mount Endut was moderate *i.e.* H'=2.77. The same result was seen from the Menhinick richness index of 2.0 (*i.e.* smaller than 3).

The majority of the trees (77.33 %) on the South slope of Mount Endut had relatively small diameter (dbh < 30 cm), only about 1.21 % of them had diameter > 50 cm. Species diversity of trees on the South slope of Mount Endut was moderate *i.e.* H'=2.44. The same case was for the Menhinick richness value i.e. 2.74 (smaller than 3). The majority of the trees (82.04 %) on the East had relatively small diameter slope (dbh < 30 cm), only about 2.30 % of them had diameter > 50 cm. Species diversity of the trees on the East slope of Mount Endut was categorized as high *i.e.* H'=3.1 (Shannon diversity index > 3), but the species richness was low as indicated by Menhinick richness value *i.e.* 2.85 (smaller than 3).

The majority of the trees (59.42 %) on the West slope of Mount Endut had relatively small diameters (dbh < 30 cm), but about 16.04 % had diameter > 50 cm. The species 'rasamala' (*Altingia excelsa*) had tree individuals with large diameters. Species diversity of trees on the West slope of Mount Endut was categorized as high *i.e.* H'=3.72 (Shannon diversity index > 3) and rich in species as showed by Menhinick richness value *i.e.* 3.45 (higher than 3).

Fagaceae was the plant family which had highest Importance Values on all four slopes of Mount Endut, far above those of other families. Table 2. shows ten plant families having highest Importance Values at Mount Endut.

B. Saplings

In total within four transects (2 hectares), there were 155 sapling species, belonging to 99 genera



Fig. 2. Tree species distribution based upon the frequency class on the transects of four different slopes of Mount Endut.



Fig. 3. Diameter class distribution of trees on the four slopes of Mount Endut.

and 50 families. Table 3. shows ten principal sapling species based on their Importance Values.

Based on the Importance Value, the dominant sapling species on North slope were *Castanopsis* acuminatissima, Garcinia rostrata, Aphananthe cuspidata, C. argentea, and Ilex odorata. From 61 sapling species recorded, *Castanopsis* acuminatissima, Garcinia rostrata, C. argentea and Schima wallichii were important species at tree stage. This means that these four species will become important species in the future. The dominant sapling species based on the Importance Values on the South slope were *Castanopsis* acuminatissima, Garcinia rostrata, Syzygium zeylanicum, Schima wallichii, and Syzygium sp. From 64 sapling species recorded, Castanopsis acuminatissima and Schima wallichii were important species at tree stage. This indicates that those two species will become important species in the future. The dominant sapling species based on the Importance Value on East slope were Castanopsis acuminatissima, Garcinia rostrata, Maesopsis eminii, Symplocos cochinchinensis, and Schima wallichii. From 86 sapling species recorded, Castanopsis acuminatissima, Symplocos cochinchinensis, Schima wallichii and Eurya

No.Ind.Specha1Clusiaceae42Elaeocarpaceae13Euphorbiaceae64Fagaceae85Hammendidaceae1	ha./ ha 18 222 10	BA (cm ² /ha)								Edat				163 14	
1Clusiaceae4182Elaeocarpaceae1223Euphorbiaceae6104Fagaceae81775Hammenelidaceae12	18 222 10		FIV	No. Spec	Ind./ ha	BA (cm ² /ha)	FIV	No. Spec	Ind./ ha	BA (cm ² /ha)	FIV	No. Spec	Ind./ ha	BA (cm ² /ha)	FIV
2Elaeocarpaceae1223Euphorbiaceae6104Fagaceae81775Hammanelidaceae12	22 10	3.02	12.18		-	95.5	1.25	-	9	1.05	7.07	4	13	12.37	15.55
3 Euphorbiaceae 6 10 4 Fagaceae 8 177 5 Hammanelidaceae 1 2	10	4.84	13.85	1	1	245	2.59	1	7	387	2.02	1	3	1.6	3.53
4 Fagaceae 8 177 5 Hammanelidaceae 1 2	LL	1.36	6.96	4	4	1.01	9.29	5	5	1.45	6.9	8	24	16.81	26.02
5 Hammamalidaceae 1 3		118.4	147.91	4	71	43.78	161.55	7	76	66.33	142.21	6	63	67.31	74.07
	5	1.03	1.71	1	1	640	3.21	1	1	530	1.61	1	15	48.04	30.44
6 Icacynaceae 2 7	7	1.19	4.89	-	5	2.48	14.91	7	б	750	3.47	-	5	1.37	4.90
7 Lauraceae 7 16	16	3.19	11.66	4	б	1.28	6.83	10	16	5.02	20.93	4	12	7.66	13.52
8 Melastomataceae 2 5	5	730	3.52	б	7	670	5.45	б	٢	2.66	9.7	-	б	273	1.98
9 Meliaceae 2 3	Э	006	1.96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	2.63	12.87
10 Myristicaceae 2 6	9	1.42	4.77	1	1	138.5	1.32	7	4	1.94	5.94	б	13	10.79	15.97
11 Myrsinaceae 0 0	0	0	0	1	7	560	4.58	1	7	197.5	1.84	1	1	247	1.07
12 Myrtaceae 3 54	54	17.11	36.61	7	9	1.64	15.09	e	5	3.16	7.65	4	10	15.53	15.16
13 Rosaceae 0 0	0	0	0	7	1	540	3.06	1	5	940	5.55	-	7	279	1.56
14 Rubiaceae 4 6	9	1.38	5.01	7	1	165	2.46	7	9	980	5.41	1	б	1.55	3.03
15 Sapindaceae 2 2	5	3.98	3.27	1	7	348	3.85	1	1	121	0.67	З	18	33.46	29.38
16 Symplocaceae 1 12	12	3.42	8.13	7	3	1.22	6.72	1	7	3.37	9.49	1	7	940	2.31
17 Theaceae 3 42	42	9.42	28.12	б	15	5.4	35.96	б	30	12.41	40.78	б	15	1267	17.82

44

No	Species	Family	North	South	East	West
1	Ilex odorata BuchHam. ex D.Don	Aquifoliaceae	14.17	-	-	-
2	Garcinia rostrata (Hassk.) ex Hook.f.	Clusiaceae	30.67	20.91	29.06	11.71
3	Antidesma montanum Blume	Euphorbiaceae	-	-	-	10.85
4	Antidesma tetrandrum Blume	Euphorbiaceae	-	-	-	13.34
5	Glochidion lutescens Blume	Euphorbiaceae	9.57	-	-	-
6	Castanopsis acuminatissima (Blume) A.DC.	Fagaceae	48.04	84.69	34.14	13.94
7	Castanopsis argentea (Blume) A.DC.	Fagaceae	16.27	-	-	-
8	Quercus gemelliflora Blume	Fagaceae	-	10.57	-	-
9	Platea excelsa Blume	Icacynaceae	-	-	5.83	-
10	Cryptocarya densiflora Blume	Lauraceae	-	8.04	-	-
11	Lindera lucida Boerl.	Lauraceae	13.65	-	-	-
12	Syzygium zeylanicum (L.) DC.	Myrtaceae	-	17.31	-	-
13	<i>Syzygium</i> sp.	Myrtaceae	-	16.8	-	-
14	Syzygium sp.1	Myrtaceae	12.90	-	-	-
15	Linociera sp.	Oleaceae	12.58	-	-	-
16	Maesopsis eminii Engl.	Rhamnaceae	-	-	20.73	-
17	Prunus arborea (Blume) Kalkman	Rosaceae	-	-	6.17	7.69
18	Aidia racemosa (Cav.) Tirveng.	Rubiaceae	-	9.58	-	-
19	Coffea canephora Pierre ex A.Froehner	Rubiaceae	-	-	10.99	-
20	Lasianthus laevigatus Blume	Rubiaceae	-	-	-	12.63
21	Lasianthus rhinocerotis Blume	Rubiaceae	-	-	20.73	-
22	Melicope latifolia (DC.) T.G.Hartley	Rutaceae	-	-	9.76	-
23	Lepisanthes tetraphylla Radlk.	Sapindaceae	-	-	-	11.42
24	Allophylus cobbe (L.) Raeusch.	Sapindaceae	-	-	-	10.37
25	Symplocos cochinchinensis (Lour.) S.Moore	Symplocaceae	-	24.87	16.44	-
26	Eurya acuminata DC.	Theaceae	-	-	8.22	-
27	Schima wallichii Choisy	Theaceae	12.45	17.31	12.83	-
28	Aphananthe cuspidata (Blume) Planch.	Ulmaceae	17.73	-	-	-
29	Dendrocnide stimulans (L.f.) Chew	Urticaceae	-	-	-	43.60

Table 3. Ten principal sapling species based on Importance Value of each research transect at four different slopes at Mount Endut.

acuminata were important at tree stage. This shows those four species will be important species in the future. The excotic species, *Maesopsis eminii*, was planted for greening before this area was included in the National Park. This species was distributed by wildlife (birds and primates), therefore its distribution must be prevented. Based on the important value, the dominant sapling species on West slope were *Dendrocnide* stimulans, Castanopsis acuminatissima, Antidesma tetandrum, Lasianthus laevigatus, and Garcinia rostrata. From 75 sapling species recorded, Castanopsis acuminatissima and Lepisanthes tetraphylla were important species at tree stage. This indicates that those two will become as important species in the future. Dendrocnide stimulans which was abundant is predicted to decrease in number at tree stage.

C. Seedlings

In two hundred sub-plots of $2 \text{ m} \times 2 \text{ m}$ (total area of 800 m² or 0.08 ha) located on the four slopes (North, South, East, and West), we recorded 90 seedling species belonging to 71 genera and 37 families; 88 seedling species belonged to 71 genera and 40 families; 86 seedling species from 65 genera and 35 families; and 76 seedling species of 61 genera and 37 families. Table 4. presents ten principal seedling species in the four slopes of Mount Endut based on their Importance Values.

Based on Important Values, main seedling species on North slope were *Cyathea contaminans*, *Ophiorrhiza marginata*, and *Castanopsis*

acuminatissima. Those on the South slope were Pinanga coronata, Ophiorrhiza marginata, and Cyathea contaminans. The main seedling species East slope were *Cyathea contaminans*, on Castanopsis acuminatissima, and Garcinia rostrata. Those of the West slope were Ophiorrhiza marginata, Dendrocnide stimulans, and Pinanga coronata. In addition, from the four transects established in Mount Endut, 58 herb species have been identified belonging to 48 genera and 35 families. Dominant species of the herbs were Calamus sp., *Clidemia hirta*, Dicranopteris linearis, Freycinetia angustifolia, Etlingera coccinea, and Musa acuminata.

Table 4. Ten principal seedling species based on Importance Value of each research transect at Mount Endut.

No	Species	Family	North	South	East	West
1	Goniothalamus macrophyllus (Blume) Hook.f. & Thomson	Annonaceae	-	-	-	4.28
2	Polyscias nodosa (Blume) Seem.	Araliaceae	-	-	-	12.49
3	Caryota mitis Lour.	Arecaceae	-	-	-	5.24
4	Pinanga coronata (Blume ex Mart.) Blume	Arecaceae	6.72	12.68	-	14.93
5	Radermachera gigantea (Blume) Miq.	Bignoniaceae	-	6.54	-	-
6	Garcinia rostrata (Hassk.) Miq.	Clusiaceae	5.36	6.64	8.36	-
7	Cyathea contaminans (Wall. ex Hook.) Copel.	Cyatheaceae	33.10	10.04	49.96	-
8	Glochidion rubrum Blume	Euphorbiaceae	-	-	3.57	-
9	Castanopsis acuminatissima (Blume) A.DC.	Fagaceae	7.58	6.29	9.48	-
10	Melastoma malabathricum L.	Melastomataceae	7.22	-	8.29	-
11	Ficus glandulifera (Wall. ex Miq.) King	Moraceae	-	-	-	6.21
12	Ardisia sp.	Myrsinaceae	4.67	-	3.51	-
13	Rapanea hasseltii (Blume ex Scheff.) Mez	Myrsinaceae	-	4.79	5.14	-
14	Chassalia blumeana Govaerts	Rubiaceae	-	4.88	-	-
15	Lasianthus rhinocerotis Blume	Rubiaceae	-	-	5.76	-
16	Ophiorrhiza marginata Blume	Rubiaceae	11.90	11.76	7.56	20.77
17	Psychotria viridiflora Reinw. ex Blume	Rubiaceae	4.51	-	6.55	-
18	Urophyllum strigosum (Blume) Korth.	Rubiaceae	-	5.17	-	-
19	Melicope latifolia (DC.) T.G.Hartley	Rutaceae	4.14	-	-	-
20	Lepisanthes tetraphylla Radlk.	Sapindaceae	-	-	-	6.12
21	Sterculia coccinea Roxb.	Sterculiaceae	-	-	-	4.53
22	Schima wallichii Choisy	Theaceae	-	6.15	-	-
23	Gironniera subaequalis Planch.	Ulmaceae	4.63	-	-	-
24	Dendrocnide stimulans (L.f.) Chew	Urticaceae	-	-	-	17.60
25	Oreocnide rubescens (Blume) Miq.	Urticaceae	-	-	-	5.83

D. Soil

Soil properties on the North, South, East, and West slopes of Mount Endut is presented in Table 5.

Soil on the transect established on the North, South, East, and West slopes of Mount Endut was acid. The C organic and N total contents on all four slopes of Mount Endut tend to get lower towards lower elevation, while that of East slope tends to get higher towards lower elevation. The Al and H contents on the North, East, and West slopes tend to get higher towards lower elevation, while that of South slope tends to vary towards lower elevation. The C/N ratios on the North, South, East, and West slopes were low to moderate.

The P, Ca, Mg, K, and Na contents on the North, South, East, and West slopes of Mount Endut all varied with elevation. The cation exchange capacity (CEC) on the North and West was moderate to high. While those of South and East were low to moderate and moderate, respectively. Correlation prevalence between tree species and soil nutrients on each transect using Spearman rank correlation test is shown in Table 6.

At North slope, 8 species had correlation with N -tot, 5 species with CEC, 3 species each with Ca, Na, Al, and H; and, 2 species each with pH, C-org, P, Mg, K, and BS. While at South slope, 5 species each had correlation with N-tot, Ca, and K, 3 species each with pH, P, Mg, and Al; 2 species with Na, and 1 species each with CEC and BS. At East slope, 8 species had correlation with Mg, 6 species with CEC, and 5 species each with pH, N-tot, Ca, K, Al, and H; 4 species with C-org, 3 species with P and BS, and 2 species with Na. Then at West slope, 10 species had correlation with N-tot and Na, 9 species with Ca, 8 species with Mg and BS; 7 species with Al, 5 species with H, 4 species with K and CEC, and 1 species with P.

Tree densities in two hectares on North, South, East, and West slopes were 783, 247, 428 and 474, or ranging of 124 - 392 trees/ha. In general, those tree densities were relatively low. The transect located on South slope at a distance about 1,300–2,000 m from the peak of Mount Endut was the water stream, so that the trees were not dense.

Sapling densities in 0.5 ha on North, South, East, and West slopes were 592, 790, 523 and 692 or at range of 1,046–1,580 saplings/ha. The transect located on East slope about 1,000–2,000 m from the peak of Mount Endut passed a shifting cultivation area and bamboo garden, resulting in decreased sapling number.

Basal area of trees in two hectares on North, South, East, and West slopes were 34.88 m², 12.68 m², 21.04 m² and 51.78 m² ranging from 6.34–25.89 m² per hectare. On West slope, although having less trees than North slope, they have a bigger basal area. This was due to higher diameter trees growing on West slope, such as *Altingia excelsa*. Basal area of saplings in 0.5 ha on North, South, East, and West slopes were 1.27 m², 1.63 m², 0.68 m² and 1.49 m² ranging from 1.36–3.26 m² per ha. South slope had highest basal area due to having more individual trees compared to the other slopes.

Crown cover areas of seedlings in 800 m² on North, South, East, and West slopes were 220.48 m²; 269.96 m²; 290.64 m² and 252.36 m². East slope of Mount Endut had the highest seedling

Components	North	South	East	West
pH	4.4 - 4.7	4.5 - 5.8	4.5 - 4.8	4.4 - 5.4
C organic (%)	3.68 - 7.27	2.48 - 7.83	3.92 - 5.67	2.85 - 5.43
Al (me/100 gr)	2.94 - 7.8	0.82 - 4.92	2.72 - 5.23	1.96 - 8.24
H (me/100 gr)	0.28 - 0.56	0.12 - 0.56	0.30 - 0.40	0.26 - 0.49
Ν	0.33 - 0.58	0.23 - 0.62	0.32 - 0.52	0.30 - 0.42
C/N	11.84	11.59	11.18	11.08
P Bray-1 (g/m ³)	10.2 - 20.1	2.2 - 22.9	11.4 - 18.8	3.2 - 23.6
Ca(me/100 gr)	1.13 – 1.75	1.5 - 13.66	1.26 - 2.47	1.37 - 3.45
Mg (me/100 gr)	0.36 - 0.51	0.48 - 2.72	0.39 - 0.91	0.38 - 0.87
K (me/100 gr)	0.02 - 0.09	0.02 - 0.14	0.02 - 0.03	0.02 - 0.04
Na (me/100 gr)	0.07 -0.18	0.07-0.18	0.09 -0.10	0.07 - 0.08
CEC	20.32 - 40.60	15.78 - 30.51	19.05 - 22.78	18.08 - 35.21

Table 5. Soil properties of Mount Endut.

Notes : me = milliequivalent is weight equals 1 mg of H^+ .

REINWARDTIA

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
4 Arenga pinnata Corg - 0.524* E 4 Arenga pinnata pH 0.547* E 4 Ma 0.587* E Na 0.587* E Al 0.586* E H 0.586* E 5 Blumeodendron tokbrai pH - 0.518* N Corg - 0.685** N - 0.527* E Ca - 0.754** E 6 Castanopsis acuminatissima N tot - 0.633* N	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Na 0.58/* E Al 0.586* E H 0.586* E Blumeodendron tokbrai pH -0.518* N Corg -0.685** N -0.527* E Ca -0.754** E 0.540* W 6 Castanopsis acuminatissima N tot -0.633* N	
AI 0.586* E H 0.586* E Blumeodendron tokbrai pH -0.518* N Corg -0.685** N -0.527* E Ca -0.754** E 0.540* W 6 Castanopsis acuminatissima N tot -0.633* N	
5 Blumeodendron tokbrai pH -0.518* N 5 Blumeodendron tokbrai pH -0.518* N Corg -0.685** N -0.527* E Ca -0.754** E 0.540* W 6 Castanopsis acuminatissima N tot -0.633*	
5 Blumeodenaron lokoral pH - 0.518* N Corg - 0.685** N - 0.527* E Ca - 0.754** E 0.540* W 6 Castanopsis acuminatissima N tot - 0.633* N tot - 0.633* N	
Corg - 0.083 ** N - 0.527* E Ca - 0.754** E 0.540* W 6 Castanopsis acuminatissima N tot - 0.633* N 0.018** C	
Ca - 0.527** E Ca - 0.754** E 0.540* W 6 Castanopsis acuminatissima N tot - 0.633* N	
6Castanopsis acuminatissimaN tot-0.633*N	
6 Castanopsis acuminatissima N tot -0.633* N	
- U X I X ^{TT} S	
- 0 739** E	
- 0 883** W	
P 0.545* N	
0.573* E	
Ca - 0.868** N	
- 0.810** W	
Mg - 0.817** N	
- 0.554* S	
- 0.831** E	
- 0.757** W	
K - 0.571* N	
- 0.644** S	
- 0.5 /6* E	
0.553* W	
$\begin{array}{ccc} UUU & UUU \\ 0.541* & 0 \end{array}$	
U.041 ^{**} 5 0.520* E	
$\begin{array}{ccc} U.552^{+} & E \\ N_{2} & 0.914** & W_{2} \end{array}$	
$\frac{1}{1}$	
$\Delta 1 = 0.030^{\circ}$ W	
-0.520° E _ 0.524* W	
H _ 0 533* F	
7 Castanopsis argentea Ntot 0.543* N	
Na - 0 549* N	
Na - 0.614* W	
BS 0.600* S	
K - 0.788** W	
8 Castanopsis tungurut Ntot 0.721** W	
Ca 0.692** W	
Mg 0.610* W	
Na - 0.739** W	
BS 0.637* W	
<u> </u>	

Table 6. Significance values of the Spearman Test Statistic between Tree Species and Soil Nutrients

No	Species	pH & Soil Nutrients	Spearman	Slope
9	Coffea robusta	BS	0.567*	E
		Ntot	- 0.570*	N
10	Elaeocarpus pierrei	Corg	0.745**	Ν
		C	- 0.724**	S
		Al	- 0.649**	W
			0.425*	W
		pН	0.635*	E
			0.557*	E
		K	0.519*	E
11	Ficus grossulariodes	Na	0.519*	E
		BS	- 0.665**	E
		Al	0.033**	
		рп Ntot	0.003**	
12	Garcinia parvifolia		- 0.580*	N
12	Surenna parvijona	nH	- 0 539*	S
		pm	- 0 626*	Š
		Corg	- 0.570*	Ĕ
			- 0.636*	Е
13	Garcinia rostrata	Mg	- 0.526*	Е
		e	0.584*	Ν
			- 0.514*	S
			- 0.593*	S
		Al	- 0.662*	S
			- 0.598*	W
		BS	0.532*	E
			- 0.521*	E
		Н	- 0.544*	E
			0.693**	W
			0.043***	W
		nЦ	- 0.3 / / '	W N
		pm	0.675**	W
			0.555*	W
			- 0 682**	Ŵ
		Na	- 0.601*	W
			- 0.518*	W
			0.559*	W
		Al	0.655**	W
		CEC	0.321*	Ν
		Al	- 0.572*	N
			0.565*	W
		TT	- 0.593*	N
14	I onig author totuan hulla	H Ntot	- 0.003**	W
14	Lepisanines ietraphytia		0.717**	W
		Ca Μσ	0.714**	W
		Na	- 0 706**	W
		BS	0.651**	Ŵ
15	Lithocarpus kunstleri	рН	- 0.548*	S
	······································	Ca	- 0.661**	S
		Mg	- 0.621*	S
16	Lithocarpus pseudomoluccanus	BŠ	0.561*	Ν
		pН	- 0.710**	S
		Ca	- 0.644**	S
17	Litsea cubeba	pH	- 0.526*	E
18	Mallotus paniculatus	Ntot	0.543*	N
		۲ M	- U.636**	IN N
		Mg	U.323 ⁺ 0.521*	IN N
		к СЕС	0.521	IN N
			- 0.300° 0 541*	IN N
		Н	0.541	N
19	Neesia altissima	Са	0.537*	N
.,	LICENT WITTEN	BS	0.525*	N

Table 6. Significance values of the Spearman Test Statistic between Tree Species and Soil Nutrients (continued)

REINWARDTIA

20 Paraserianthes falcataria Ca Ca Ca Ca Mg 0.538* 0.628* F F Mg 0.628 F Mg 0.718** F Na 0.639* F Na 0.594* S $Notit$ 0.638* F P 0.530* F P 0.530* F P 0.530* W Q 0.710** W Q 0.516* W Mg 0.516* W Q 0.52* W $A1$ -0.516* N H -0.062* W Q 0.52* W Q 0.52* W Q 0.51* N Q 0.52* W Q 0.52* W Q 0.56* W Q 0.56* W Q 0.56* W Q 0.56* <td< th=""><th>No</th><th>Species</th><th>pH & Soil Nutrients</th><th>Spearman</th><th>Slope</th></td<>	No	Species	pH & Soil Nutrients	Spearman	Slope
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	Paraserianthes falcataria	Ca	0.538*	S
Mg 0.718** E Na 0.594* S Not 0.674** E P 0.530* E P 0.537* S -0.622** E Mg -0.510* W Ca 0.516* W BS 0.624* W AI 0.520* W Q -0.715** W CEC -0.73** W CEC -0.50* W 0.567* N 0.64* E -0.56* W 0.516* W 0.56* W 0.55** W 0.56* W 0.55** W 0.56* W 0.55**		-	Ca	0.628*	Е
Na 0.594* S Notot 0.674** E -0.520* E P 0.587* S -0.682** E Mg -0.549* E Mg 0.516* W Ca 0.516* W BS 0.624* W A1 -0.50* W A1 -0.516* N Pametia primata Mg -0.71** W CEC -0.516* N -0.793** W CEC -0.51* -0.623* W N 0.628* 23 Ptermandra azurea Na 0.541* S 0.640* E -0.656* W 0.557* W 0.557* W 0.640* E -0.568* N 0.541* S 0.640* E 0.630** W 0.557* W 0.557* W 0.557* W <td></td> <td></td> <td>Mg</td> <td>0.718**</td> <td>E</td>			Mg	0.718**	E
Not 0.674^{**} E P 0.532^* E Mg -0.682^{**} E Mg 0.710^{**} W 21 Pometia pinnata Mg 0.516^* W BS 0.624^* W Mg 0.579^* W 21 Pometia pinnata Mg 0.516^* N M BS 0.624^* W M A 0.529^* W A1 0.526^* W M Mg 0.715^* W CEC 0.501^* N M 0.528^* W 23 Pternandra azurea Na 0.541^* S 0.628^* E 23 Pternandra azurea Na 0.518^* W N 0.567^* N 24 Pternandra azurea Na 0.518^* W 0.577^* W Q CEC 0.790^* N 0.537^* W 0.577^*			Na	0.594*	S
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Ntot	0.674**	E
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				- 0.520*	E
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Р	0.587*	S
Mg -0.549^{*} E 21 Pometia pinnata Mg 0.516^{*} W BS 0.624^{*} W AI 0.516^{*} N AI 0.516^{*} N H 0.520^{*} W AI 0.516^{*} N H 0.520^{*} W 22 Pranus arborea H 0.525^{*} W W 23 Pternandra azurea Na 0.541^{*} S 0.602^{*} E 0.600^{*} E 0.602^{*} Na 0.557^{*} N 0.600^{**} W Na 0.567^{*} N 0.600^{**} W Na 0.557^{*} W 0.505^{*} W Na 0.557^{*} W 0.515^{*} S 0.610^{*} E 0.600^{**} N 0.57^{*} W 0.557^{*} W 0.557^{*} W 0.722^{**} E				- 0.682**	E
Ca 0.710^{+*} W Mg 0.579^{+} W BS 0.624^{+} W Al 0.50^{+} W Al 0.50^{+} W Al 0.516^{+} N Prunus arborea H 0.601^{+} N CEC 0.051^{+} W W 0.628^{+} E 0.628^{+} E 23 Pternandra azurea Na 0.51^{+} W 0.640^{+} E 0.630^{+} W 0.567^{+} N 0.630^{+} W 0.610^{+} E 0.640^{+} E 0.610^{+} E 0.630^{+} W 0.557^{+} W N 0.557^{+} W 0.557^{+} W 0.557^{+} W 0.578^{+} W 0.557^{+} W 0.578^{+} W 0.557^{+} W 0.660^{+} E 0.660^{+} E <td></td> <td></td> <td>Mg</td> <td>- 0.549*</td> <td>E</td>			Mg	- 0.549*	E
Ca 0.516^* W BS 0.624^* W AI 0.520^* W AI -0.516^* N H 0.520^* W AI -0.516^* N H 0.520^* W H 0.521^* W 0.601^* N H 0.521^* W 0.715^{**} W 0.793^{**} W Cc -0.591^* N 0.628^* E 23 Pternandra azurea Na 0.541^* S 0.640^* E -0.565^* W N 0.567^* N 0.567^* N N 0.557^* W N 0.567^* N 0.557^* W N 0.557^* W 0.557^* W 0.557^* W 0.515^* W 0.557^* W 0.567^* <			_	0.710**	W
21 Pometia pinnata Mg 0.579^* W BS 0.624^* W AI 0.516^* N H 0.601^* N H 0.616^* N H 0.616^* N H 0.628^* W CEC 0.591^* W CEC 0.591^* N 0.628^* E 0.628^* E 0.567^* N 0.628^* E 0.567^* N 0.628^* W Ca -0.610^* E 0.690^* 0.567^* N 0.57^* N Ca -0.610^* E 0.690^* 0.57^* N 0.57^* N 0.51^* W 0.57^* W 0.51^* W 0.557^* N 0.51^* N 0.567^* N 0.51^* W 0.567^* N			Ca	0.516*	W
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21	Pometia pinnata	Mg	0.579*	W
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Al & 0.520* & W \\ Al & -0.516* & N \\ H & -0.601* & N \\ H & 0.525* & W \\ Mg & -0.715** & W \\ -0.793** & W \\ CEC & -0.591* & N \\ 0.628* & E \\ 0.640* & E \\ -0.565* & W \\ 0.567* & N \\ Ca & -0.610* & E \\ -0.565* & W \\ 0.567* & N \\ Ca & -0.610* & E \\ 0.690** & W \\ Ntot & -0.586* & N \\ 0.515* & W \\ P & 0.515* & W \\ 0.515* & W \\ P & 0.557* & W \\ 0.668** & E \\ 0.670** & S \\ 0.616* & E \\ 0.670** & S \\ 0.616* & E \\ 0.748** & S \\ 0.546* & E \\ 0.748** & S \\ 0.567* & W \\ 0.557* & W \\ 0.668* & E \\ 0.566* & E \\ 0.748** & S \\ 0.564* & E \\ 0.566* & E \\ 0.596* & W \\ Mg & -0.565* & W \\ Mg & -0.564* & E \\ 0.567* & W \\ Mg & -0.622* & W \\ Na & 0.557* & W \\ Na & 0.557* & W \\ 0.567* & W \\ Mg & -0.621* & E \\ 0.600* & E \\ 0.507* & W \\ Mg & -0.621* & E \\ 0.600* & E \\ 0.507* & W \\ Ma & 0.557* & W \\ Ma & 0.557* & W \\ Ma & 0.565* & W \\ Ma & 0.564* & E $			BS	0.624*	W
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Al	0.520*	W
22 Prunus arborea H -0.601^* N Mg -0.715^{**} W CEC -0.591^* N 0.628* E 23 Pternandra azurea Na 0.525^* W 0.531* N 0.620^* E -0.591^* N 0.620^* E 0.525^* W 0.531^* N 0.541* S 0.640^* E 0.557^* N N 0.557^* N Ca -0.610^* E 0.557^* W Ntot -0.585^* W N 0.557^* W 0.557^* W N 0.688^{**} E 0.600^{**} N 0.688^* E 0.616^* E N 0.628^* W N 0.688^* E 0.600^* E N 0.666^* E 0.576^* W 0.668^* E 0.570^* N 0.666^* E 0.572^* N 0.590^* N			Al	- 0.516*	N
22 Prunus arborea H 0.323^* W Mg -0.715^{**} W -0.793^{**} W CEC -0.591^* N 0.628* E 23 Pternandra azurea Na 0.541^* S 0.640* E -0.565^* W 0.640* E -0.565^* W 0.567* N O.567* N Ca -0.518^* W P 0.557* W P 0.557^* W 0.515* W P 0.583^* W 0.527* W 0.688^{**} E 0.670^{**} N 0.535* W W 0.557^* W 0.583^* W 0.567^* N 0.616* E K -0.743^** S 0.616^* E 0.596^* W Mg -0.596^* W Mg -0.596^* W 0.596^* W 24 Schima wallichii Al -0.593^* W <			H	- 0.601*	N
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	Prunus arborea	Н	0.525*	W
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Mg	- 0.715**	W
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} CEC & -0.531^* & N \\ 0.628^* & E \\ 0.628^* & E \\ 0.64^* & E \\ -0.565^* & W \\ 0.567^* & N \\ 0.567^* & N \\ 0.567^* & N \\ 0.567^* & N \\ 0.515^* & W \\ 0.557^* & W \\ 0.58^* & W \\ 0.688^{**} & E \\ 0.668^{**} & E \\ 0.68^{**} &$				- 0.793**	W
23 Pternandra azurea Na 0.528^{*} E 24 Schima wallichii Na 0.561^{*} N Na 0.640^{*} E -0.565^{*} N Ca -0.610^{*} E 0.690^{**} N Not -0.586^{*} N N 0.515^{*} W P 0.555^{*} S 0.541^{**} W N 0.515* W P 0.557^{**} W N 0.578^{**} W CEC 0.700^{**} N 0.678^{**} S 0.670^{**} S 0.616* E K -0.728^{**} E 0.678^{**} N Mg -0.666^{**} E 0.555^{**} W N 0.565^{**} W 24 Schima wallichii A1 -0.593^{**} N N N 0.666^{**} E 25 Symplocos cochinchinensis K -0.672^{**} E N N N N N N N N N N <			CEC	- 0.591*	N
23 Pternandra azurea Na 0.541^* S 0.640* E -0.565^* W 0.690** N Ca -0.610^* E 0.690** W Ntot -0.586^* N 9 0.555* S 0.513* W 9 0.555* S 0.541* W 0.557* W 0.557* W 0.557* W 0.557* W 0.557* W 0.557* W 0.557* W 0.616* E N 0.670** N 0.616* E N 0.670** S 0.616* E 0.616* E N 0.632** W N 0.546* E Mg -0.566* E Mg -0.566* W N N N N N 24 Schima wallichii Al -0.593* W N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	• •			0.628*	E
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	23	Pternandra azurea	Na	0.541*	S
$\begin{array}{c cccccccccc} & -0.565* & W \\ 0.567* & N \\ & 0.690** & W \\ & Ntot & -0.586* & N \\ & 0.515* & W \\ & 0.515* & W \\ & 0.557* & W \\ & 0.688** & E \\ & 0.670** & S \\ & 0.616* & E \\ & & 0.670** & S \\ & 0.616* & E \\ & & 0.722** & E \\ & Ntot & -0.652** & W \\ & & 0.566* & E \\ & & & 0.566* & E \\ & & & & 0.566* & E \\ & & & & & 0.566* & E \\ & & & & & & 0.566* & E \\ & & & & & & & 0.566* & E \\ & & & & & & & & 0.566* & E \\ & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & &$				0.640*	E
$\begin{array}{cccccccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $				- 0.565*	W
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			G	0.56/*	N F
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & 0.690^{**} & W \\ & 0.586^{*} & W \\ & 0.515^{*} & W \\ P & 0.557^{*} & W \\ & 0.688^{**} & E \\ & 0.670^{**} & S \\ & 0.616^{*} & E \\ & 0.670^{**} & S \\ & 0.616^{*} & E \\ & 0.670^{**} & S \\ & 0.616^{*} & E \\ & 0.722^{**} & E \\ & 0.666^{*} & E \\ & 0.566^{*} & E \\ & 0.566^{*} & W \\ & 0.573^{*} & N \\ & Na & 0.565^{*} & W \\ & Na & 0.6631^{*} & E \\ & P & 0.680^{**} & E \\ & P & 0.680^{**} & E \\ & P & 0.680^{**} & E \\ & 25 & Symplocos cochinchinensis & K & -0.670^{**} & E \\ & P & 0.680^{**} & E \\ & Al & -0.725^{**} & E \\ & Al & -0.725^{**} & E \\ & Al & -0.725^{**} & E \\ & CEC & 0.534^{*} & E \\ & P & 0.680^{**} & E \\ & CEC & 0.534^{*} & E \\ & P & 0.680^{**} & E \\ & CEC & 0.534^{*} & E \\ & P & 0.680^{**} & E \\ & CEC & 0.534^{*} & E \\ & Ca & -0.564^{*} & S \\ & Ca$			Ca	- 0.610*	E
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \operatorname{Ntot} & & -0.386^{\circ} & \operatorname{N} \\ & & 0.515^{\ast} & W \\ & & 0.557^{\ast} & W \\ & & 0.587^{\ast} & W \\ & & 0.616^{\ast} & E \\ & & 0.722^{\ast} & E \\ & & 0.666^{\ast} & E \\ & & 0.566^{\ast} & E \\ & & 0.566^{\ast} & E \\ & & 0.566^{\ast} & W \\ & & 0.593^{\ast} & W \\ & & Na & 0.557^{\ast} & W \\ & & Na & 0.622^{\ast} & N \\ & & & Na & 0.622^{\ast} & N \\ & & & A1 & -0.725^{\ast} & E \\ & & & & A1 & -0.725^{\ast} & E \\ & & & & A1 & -0.725^{\ast} & E \\ & & & & & A1 & -0.725^{\ast} & E \\ & & & & & A1 & -0.725^{\ast} & E \\ & & & & & & A1 & -0.725^{\ast} & E \\ & & & & & & & A1 & -0.725^{\ast} & E \\ & & & & & & & & & E \\ & & & & & &$				0.690**	W
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & 0.515^* & W \\ & 0.555^* & S \\ & 0.541^* & W \\ & 0.557^* & W \\ & 0.557^* & W \\ & 0.585^* & W \\ & 0.585^* & W \\ & 0.585^* & W \\ & 0.57^* & W \\ & 0.567^* & W \\ & 0.616^* & E \\ & 0.722^{**} & E \\ & 0.666^* & E \\ & 0.722^{**} & E \\ & 0.666^* & E \\ & 0.546^* & E \\ & 0.556^* & W \\ & Mg & -0.566^* & E \\ & 0.596^* & W \\ & Na & 0.565^* & W \\ & Na & 0.565^* & W \\ & Na & 0.565^* & W \\ & Na & 0.662^* & N \\ & Na & 0.662^* & N \\ & Na & 0.662^* & W \\ & Na & 0.662^* & N \\ & Na & 0.622^* & N \\ & Corg & 0.631^* & E \\ & P & 0.680^{**} & E \\ & CeC & 0.534^* & E \\ & P & 0.680^{**} & E \\ & A1 & -0.725^{**} & E \\ & A1 & -0.621^* & E \\ & BS & 0.518^* & E \\ & Ca & -0.564^* & S \\ & Ca & -0.564^* & S \\ & Ma & 0.654^* & S \\ & Ma & 0.554^* & S \\ & Sa & 0.518^* & E \\ & Sa & 0.518^* & S \\ & Sa & 0.518$			Ntot	- 0.586*	N
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} P & 0.535^* & S \\ 0.541^* & W \\ 0.557^* & W \\ -0.585^* & W \\ 0.557^* & W \\ 0.557^* & W \\ 0.670^{**} & S \\ 0.616^* & E \\ 0.670^{**} & S \\ 0.616^* & E \\ 0.670^{**} & S \\ 0.616^* & E \\ 0.748^{**} & S \\ -0.546^* & E \\ -0.722^{**} & E \\ 0.670^{**} & E \\ 0.690^{**} & E \\ 0.596^{**} & W \\ 0.566^{*} & E \\ 0.596^{**} & W \\ 0.566^{**} & E \\ 0.593^{**} & W \\ 0.566^{**} & E \\ 0.670^{**} & E \\ 0.518^{*} & $			D	0.515*	W
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			P	0.535*	5 W
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & 0.53^{-7} & W \\ & -0.585^{*} & W \\ & 0.688^{**} & E \\ & 0.601^{**} & S \\ & 0.616^{*} & E \\ & & 0.616^{*} & E \\ & & 0.616^{*} & E \\ & & -0.748^{**} & S \\ & -0.546^{*} & E \\ & & -0.722^{**} & E \\ & & 0.652^{**} & W \\ & & Mg & -0.606^{*} & E \\ & & & 0.596^{*} & W \\ & & & -0.596^{*} & W \\ & & & -0.596^{*} & W \\ & & & & -0.596^{*} & W \\ & & & & & 0.566^{*} & E \\ & & & & & & 0.596^{*} & W \\ & & & & & & 0.622^{**} & N \\ & & & & & & & 0.662^{**} & N \\ & & & & & & & & 0.660^{**} & E \\ & & & & & & & & & 0.660^{**} & E \\ \end{array}$				0.541*	W
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & -0.365^{+} & W \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & $				0.55/*	W
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			CEC	- 0.383*	W N
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			CEC	0.700 **	IN E
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				0.088***	E
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				0.616*	З Е
R -0.746^{+} S -0.546^{+} E -0.722^{++} ENtot -0.652^{++} WMg -0.606^{+} EMg -0.543^{+} W -0.566^{+} E -0.596^{+} WNa 0.565^{+} W24Schima wallichiiAl -0.593^{+} WNa 0.565^{+} W24Schima wallichiiAl -0.593^{+} WNa 0.565^{+} W25Symplocos cochinchinensisK -0.622^{+} NCorg 0.631^{+} E25Symplocos cochinchinensisK -0.725^{++} E26Symplocos cochinchinensisH -0.725^{++} E26Symplocos cochinchinensisH -0.621^{+} E26Symplocos cochinchinensisH -0.621^{+} E27Syzygium laxiflorumCa -0.564^{+} S27Syzygium laxiflorumCa -0.564^{+} S			V	0.010	E S
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			ĸ	- 0.740**	5 Е
Ntot -0.72^{-1} E Ntot -0.652^{**} W Mg -0.606^* E Mg -0.543^* W -0.596^* W Na 0.565^* W Value Al -0.596^* W Not 0.573^* N Na 0.62^{**} N Origo 0.61^* E Value Na -0.593^* W Not 0.573^* N Na -0.622^* N Corg 0.631^* E P 0.680^{**} E 25 Symplocos cochinchinensis K -0.670^{**} E CEC 0.534^* E 26 Symplocos cochinchinensis H -0.621^* E 26 Symplocos cochinchinensis H -0.621^* E 27 Syzygium laxiflorum Ca -0.564^* S 27 Syzygium laxiflorum Ca -0.564^* S				- 0.340*	E E
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			Ntot	- 0.722**	
Mg -0.500 EMg -0.543^* W -0.566^* E -0.596^* W24Schima wallichiiAlAl -0.593^* WNtot 0.573^* NNa -0.622^* NCorg 0.631^* EP 0.680^{**} E25Symplocos cochinchinensisK -0.725^{**} CCrg 0.631^* EP 0.680^{**} E26Symplocos cochinchinensisH -0.725^{**} 26Symplocos cochinchinensisH -0.621^* BS 0.518^* ECa -0.564^* S27Syzygium laxiflorumMg 0.560^* E			Ma	- 0.602**	vv F
Mg -0.543 W $-0.566*$ E $-0.596*$ W24Schima wallichiiAl $-0.593*$ WNtot $0.573*$ NNa $-0.622*$ NCorg $0.631*$ EP $0.680**$ E25Symplocos cochinchinensisK $-0.725**$ K $-0.725**$ E26Symplocos cochinchinensisH $-0.621*$ BS $0.518*$ ECa $-0.564*$ S27Syzygium laxiflorumCa $-0.564*$ MgMgMg $0.560*$ E			Ma	- 0.543*	W
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Ivig	- 0.545	vv F
$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & &$				- 0.596*	W
24Schima wallichiiAl -0.503 WNta -0.593^* WNtot 0.573^* NNa -0.622^* NCorg 0.631^* EP 0.680^{**} E25Symplocos cochinchinensisK -0.670^{**} E26Symplocos cochinchinensisH -0.725^{**} E26Symplocos cochinchinensisH -0.621^* E26Symplocos cochinchinensisH -0.564^* E27Syzygium laxiflorumCa -0.564^* S27Syzygium laxiflorumCa -0.564^* S			Na	0.565*	W
24 Semina wantem $Nicit 0.573^* N Ntot 0.573^* N Na -0.622^* N Corg 0.631^* E P 0.680^{**} E 25 Symplocos cochinchinensis K -0.670^{**} E 26 Symplocos cochinchinensis H -0.725^{**} E 26 Symplocos cochinchinensis H -0.621^* E 26 Symplocos cochinchinensis H -0.621^* E 26 Symplocos cochinchinensis H -0.621^* E 27 Syzygium laxiflorum Ca -0.564^* S 27 Syzygium laxiflorum Ca -0.564^* S $	24	Schima wallichij	Al	- 0 593*	W
Not 0.575 NNa $-0.622*$ NCorg $0.631*$ EP $0.680**$ E25Symplocos cochinchinensisK $-0.670**$ ECEC $0.534*$ EAl $-0.725**$ E26Symplocos cochinchinensisH $-0.621*$ EBS $0.518*$ ECa $-0.564*$ S27Syzygium laxiflorumCa $-0.564*$ S	27	Senima wantenii	Ntot	0 573*	N
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$			Na	- 0 622*	N
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$			Corg	0.631*	E
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 25 & Symplocos \ cochinchinensis \\ 25 & Symplocos \ cochinchinensis \\ 26 & Symplocos \ cochinchinensis \\ 26 & Symplocos \ cochinchinensis \\ 27 & Syzygium \ laxiflorum \\ 28 & S & S & S & S & S & S & S & S & S & $			P	0.680**	Ē
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} CEC & 0.534^* & E \\ Al & -0.725^{**} & E \\ 26 & Symplocos cochinchinensis & H & -0.621^* & E \\ BS & 0.518^* & E \\ Ca & -0.564^* & S \\ 27 & Syzygium laxiflorum & Ca & -0.564^* & S \\ Maximum & 0.560^* & E \end{array}$	25	Symplocos cochinchinensis	ĸ	- 0 670**	Ē
$\begin{array}{ccccc} Al & -0.725^{**} & E \\ 26 & Symplocos cochinchinensis & H & -0.621^{*} & E \\ BS & 0.518^{*} & E \\ Ca & -0.564^{*} & S \\ 27 & Syzygium laxiflorum & Ca & -0.564^{*} & S \\ Maximum & 0.560^{*} & E \end{array}$	20	Symptocos cochinensis	CEC	0 534*	Ē
$\begin{array}{ccccc} 26 & Symplocos cochinchinensis \\ 26 & Symplocos cochinchinensis \\ 27 & Syzygium laxiflorum \\ 28 & S & S & S & S & S & S & S & S & S & $			Al	- 0 725**	Ē
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26	Symplocos cochinchinensis	H	- 0.621*	Ē
27 Syzygium laxiflorum Ca - 0.564* S 27 Syzygium laxiflorum Ca - 0.564* S	_ 5	~yp.10000 00011110110101010	BS	0.518*	Ē
27 Syzygium laxiflorum Ca - 0.564* S Ma			Ča	- 0.564*	ŝ
	27	Svzvgium laxiflorum	Ča	- 0.564*	ŝ
	-,	~,-, 5,00000 0000000000000000000000000000	Mg	- 0.560*	Ĕ
CEC 0 560* E			CEC	0.560*	Ē
H 0 579* W			H	0.579*	Ŵ
28 Svzvgium rostratum K - 0 744** S	28	Svzvgium rostratum	K	- 0.744**	S
29 Syzygium subglauca K - 0.584* W	29	Syzygium subglauca	ĸ	- 0.584*	Ŵ

Table 6. Significance values of the Spearman Test Statistic between Tree Species and Soil Nutrients (continued)

Notes : ** significant at P<0.01 (very significant); * significant at P<0.05 ; ns = non significant Transect : N=North; S=South; E=East; and W=West; CEC=Cation Exchange Capacity ; BS=Base Saturation

crown cover area. This is assumed to be due to having morning sunlight energy which plays an important role in photosynthesis.

DISCUSSION

Generally speaking the largest number of individual trees were in the small diameter class and decreased with the increase of the diameter class size. According to Ogawa et al. (1965) and Proctor et al. (1983) this is a common phenomenon that is also frequently found in tropical forests that experience dynamic change. Castanopsis acuminatissima was the most dominant species because it had the highest frequency, density and basal area values compared to other species. Castanopsis acuminatissima is the most prevalent tree species in the Citorek forest area, formerly Gunung Halimun National Park, having highest absolute numbers in density, frequency, and basal area (Mirmanto & Simbolon, 1998). Castanopsis acuminatissima was the most important tree species on most transects and showed many significant correlations with soil nutrient variables; positively correlations with P and CEC in North, East, South (CEC only) slopes, and with K and Na in West slope (Table 6.). Table 6. also shows that Arenga pinnata and Pometia pinnata had positive correlations with all soil nutrients they interacted.

Schima wallichii was another dominant species in the research area. From several studies conducted in the forests of West Java, S. wallichii is the most common tree found in mountain areas (Yamada, 1975; Siregar et al., 1997). Schima wallichii was found in some mountain wet tropical rainforests in sub mountain zones such as Mount Kerinci, Sumatera (Ohsawa et al., 1985) and in Mount Kinabalu, Malaysia (Kitayama, 1992) as an important component. At tree stage, S. wallichii had positive correlations with CEC, P, CEC and P, and Na in North, South, East, and West slopes respectively.

According to Miyamoto *et al.* (2003), plant communities exhibited through their structure and composition a very close interconnection with their habitat, where ecological habitat terms refers to all the physical and chemical factors that influence the plant community. Discovery of associations between species distribution with various variations of soil (edaphics) and topography is one of the most important keys in understanding the characteristics of tropical rainforests.

The dominant sapling species were a mixture of native species such as *C. acuminatissima* and cultivated plants such as *Coffea canephora* var. *robusta*. This is due to the availability of native species from mature trees and community interest in coffee crops. The existence of *Maesopsis eminii* which is an exotic and invasive species especially on the East slope needs to be controlled, although it does not seem to interfere with the regeneration

of native plants. This is a pioneer species, quick to germinate (orthodox seeds) and the seedling is shade tolerant.

The dominant seedling species were more diverse than saplings. This is allegedly due to the competition of space and light between the secondary and primary species which were occurring naturally, along with the planting of coffee seeds. The dominant herbs were represented by herb pandans, taro, ginger and grass. The ginger species of *Etlingera coccinea* was mostly found on steep cliffs, while the grass species *Oplismenus compositus* mainly occupied open land and occurred near community gardens.

One of the characteristics of soil in sub mountains and mountains is the acid soil properties (Kappelle, 2004). The acidity of the soil will increase with increasing elevation. This is consistent with the conditions in the study area, where the pH was relatively low with variations between 5.4-5.8 (in low areas) and 4.4-4.8 (at mountain tops). Compared to wet tropical lowlands, this area is covered by clouds and the air humidity is much higher. Evapotranspiration reduces very sharply and so does the temperature. This condition causes the process of decomposition of organic material to be slow so that organic materials build up in the soil and cause acidic conditions (Veneklaas, 1991).

The amount of element phosphorus in the research area was very low *i.e.* $< 15 \text{ g/m}^3$. The low amount of P in the study area is considered to be an obstacle in the development and growth of forests in the future. The very low availability of P is an obstacle to most tropical rain forest ecosystems (Jordan, 1985). However, in an ecosystem highly deficient in soil P, the soil P will play a significant role in determining net primary productivity and weathering of organic matter either directly or through interaction with N elements (Kitayama *et al.*, 2000).

Management of landslide disasters in the Mount Endut Area is related to social and cultural problems and destruction of natural forests which is very alarming (TNGHS, 2007) especially encroachment of cultivated land into forests. The peak area of Mount Endut is core zone because some areas are still primary forest and must be protected from illegal logging and encroachment by cultivation to enable restoration of local flora and fauna habitats. There was not yet buffer zone established between Mount Endut and human settlement. The density of trees in Mount Endut was relatively low, with the number of trees ≤ 20 cm in diameter not reaching 150 individuals per hectare. Hence the planting of empty land especially on steep areas with native plant species having firm roots such as Altingia excelsa, Schima wallichii, and members of the Fagaceae family should be done by involving conservation

[VOL.17

cadres and communities around the area of Mount Endut.

CONCLUSIONS

North, South, East and West slopes of Mount Endut, Gunung Halimun Salak National Park were occupied by *Castanopsis acuminatissima – C. argentea*, *C. acuminatissima – Schima wallichii*, and *Altingia excelsa – C. acuminatissima* communities, respectively. *C. acuminatissima* and *S. wallichii* were two most important tree species on most transects and showed many positive correlations with soil nutrients.

Principal sapling species on the four slopes of Mount Endut were *Castanopsis acuminatissima*, *Garcinia rostrata*, *Schima wallichii*, *Symplocos cochinchinensis* and *Prunus arborea*. With regard to seedlings, the dominant species were *Cyathea contaminans*, *Pinanga coronata*, *Castanopsis acuminatissima*, *Garcinia rostrata*, and *Ophiorrhiza marginata*.

Plant species diversity at the Mount Endut region was relatively low especially on the North slope. Tree density in the whole of this region was also relatively low. This might be caused by illegal logging and encroachment by cultivation.

The soil of Mount Endut was generally acid. The C/N ratio was low to moderate. The cation exchange capacity ranged from low to moderate and moderate to high. The C organic and N total contents (the case of East slope) tended to get higher towards lower elevation. The P, Ca, Mg, K, and Na contents, all varied within elevation gradients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Laode Alhamd, and research field assistants for their contribution to the finishing of this manuscript. We also appreciate the reviewers who have corrected the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- BERLAGE, JR. H. P. 1941. *Regenval in Indonesie* (*Rainfall in Indonesia*). Verhandelingen No. 37. Drukkerij de Unie. Batavia. Pp. 8–9.
- COX, G. W. 2002. *General Ecology Laboratory manual.* 8th Ed. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- HAKIM, N. 2016. Pengelolaan Penggunaan Lahan di Kawasan Taman Nasional Gunung Halimun Salak, Kabupaten Lebak. Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor. [MSc. Thesis].
- HARAHAP, S. A., FAIZIN, N., RACHMADI, R., EFENDI, T. & JHONI. 2005. Laporan Eksplorasi Macan (Panthera pardus Melas) di Wilayah Barat TNGHS (Gunung Barang,

Gunung Endut dan Gunung Tenggek). GHSNP. MP-JICA. Bogor.

- JORDAN, C. F. 1985. Nutrient Cycling in Tropical ecosystems. John Wiley & Sons, New York, Toronto, Singapore.
- KAPPELLE, M. 2004. *Tropical Mountain Forest. Regional Forest Type*. Elsevier Ltd., Netherlands.
- KITAYAMA, K. 1992. An altitudinal study of the vegetation on Mount Kinabalu, Borneo. *Vegetatio* 102: 149–171.
- KITAYAMA, K., LEE, N. M., SHIN, L. & AIBA, I. 2000. Soil phosphorus fractional and phophorus use efficiencies of tropical Rainforests along altitudinal gradients of Mount Kinabalu, Borneo. *Oecologia* 123: 342–349.
- KUSMANA, C. 2017. *Metode Survey dan Interpretasi Data Vegetasi*. IPB Press. Bogor. Pp. 33–47.
- MIRMANTO, E. 2014. Natural regeneration in Cidahu resort forest area, Gunung Halimun⊠ Salak National Park, West Java. *Buletin Kebun Raya* 17 (2): 91–100.
- MIRMANTO, E. & SIMBOLON, H. 1998. Vegetation analysis of Citorek forest, Gunung Halimun National Park. In: SIMBOLON, H., YONEDA, M. & SUGARDJITO, J. (Eds). Research and Conservation of Biodiversity in Indonesia. Vol. IV. Gunung Halimun: The Last Submontane Tropical Forest in West Java. A Joint Project among JICA, LIPI and PHPA. Bogor. Pp 41–59.
- MIYAMOTO, K., SUZUKI, E., KOHYAMA, T., SEINO, T., MIRMANTO, E. & SIMBOLON, H. 2003. Habitat differentiation among tree species with small scale variation of humus depth and topography in a tropical heath forest of Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 19: 43–54.
- OGAWA, H., YODA, K., OGINO, K., SHIDEI, T., RATANAWONGSE, D. & APASUTAYA, C. 1965. Comparative ecological study on three main types of forest vegetation in Thailand. I. Structure and floristic composition. *Nature and life in S. E. Asia* 4: 13–48.
- OHSAWA, M., NAINGGOLAN, P. H. J., TANAKA, N. & ANWAR, C. 1985. Altitudinal zonation of forest vegetation on Mount Kerinci, Sumatera with comparisons to zonation in temperate region of East Asia. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 1: 193–216.
- POLOSAKAN, R. & ALHAMD, L. 2012. Keanekaragaman dan komposisi jenis pohon di hutan Pameungpeuk–Taman Nasional Gunung Halimun Salak, Kabupaten Sukabumi. Jurnal Teknologi Lingkungan. Edisi Khusus "Hari Bumi". BPPT. Jakarta. Pp. 53–59.
- PROCTOR, J., ANDERSON, J. M., CHAI, P. & VALLACK, H. W. 1983. Ecological studies in four contrasting lowland rain forests in Gunung

Mulu National Park, Sarawak. I. Forest environment, structure and floristics. *Journal of Ecology* 71: 237–260.

- RINALDI, D., HARAHAP, S. A., PRAWIRADI-LAGA, D. M., SAMBAS, E., WIRIADINATA, H., PURWANINGSIH, FEBRIANA, I., WIDYANINGRUM, I. K. & FAIZIN, N. 2008. Ekologi Koridor Halimun–Salak, Taman Nasional Gunung Halimun Salak. GHSNP. MP-JICA. Bogor.
- SAMBAS, E. N. 2012. *Klasifikasi vegetasi Gunung Endut, Taman Nasional Gunung Halimun Salak, Banten.* Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor. [PhD. Dissertation].
- SAMBAS, E. N., KUSMANA, C., PRASETYO, L. B. & PARTOMIHARDJO, T. 2011. Klasifikasi vegetasi Gunung Endut, Taman Nasional Gunung Halimun Salak, Banten. *Berita Biologi* 10(5): 597–604.
- SAMBAS, E. N., KUSMANA, C., PRASETYO, L. B. & PARTOMIHARDJO, T. 2013. Preferensi ekologis jenis-jenis tumbuhan dominan di Gunung Endut, Banten. *Jurnal Biologi Indonesia* 9(2): 209–218.

- SIREGAR, M., SAMBAS, E. N., MIRMANTO, E. & RISWAN, S. 1997. Fitososiologi hutan di daerah hulu aliran Sungai Ciapus, Jawa Barat. In: WARDHANA, W. *et al.* (Eds.). Prosiding Seminar Biologi XIV & Kongres Nasional Biologi XI: 449–462.
- [TNGHS] TAMAN NASIONAL GUNUNG HALIMUN SALAK. 2007. Rencana Pengelolaan Taman Nasional Gunung Halimun Salak Periode 2007–2026. GHSNP Management Project. Sukabumi, Jawa Barat.
- VENEKLAAS, E. J. 1991. Litterfall and nutrient fluxes in two montane rain forests, Columbia. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 7: 319–336.
- WIHARTO, M. 2009. Klasifikasi Vegetasi Zona Sub Pegunungan Gunung Salak, Bogor, Jawa Barat. Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor [PhD. Dissertation].
- YAMADA, I. 1975. Forest ecological studies of the montane forest of Mt. Pangrango, West Java. I. Stratification and floristic composition of the montane rain forest near Cibodas. Tonan *Ajia Kenkyu (The Southeast Asian Studies)* 13 (3): 402–426.

INSTRUCTION TO AUTHORS

Scope. *Reinwardtia* is a scientific regular journal on plant taxonomy, plant ecology and ethnobotany published in June and December. Manuscript intended for a publication should be written in English.

Titles. Titles should be brief, informative and followed by author's name and mailing address in one-paragraphed.

Abstract. English abstract followed by Indonesian abstract of not more than 250 words. Keywords should be given below each abstract.

Manuscript. Manuscript is original paper and represent an article which has not been published in any other journal or proceedings. The manuscript of no more than 36 pages by using Times New Roman 11, MS Word Windows of A4 double submitted for with spacing. to the editor through <reinwardtia@mail.lipi.go.id>. New paragraph should be indented in by 5 characters. For the style of presentation, authors should follow the latest issue of Reinwardtia very closely. Author(s) should send the preferred running title of the article submitted. Every manuscript will be sent to two blind reviewers.

Identification key. Taxonomic identification key should be prepared using the aligned couplet type.

Nomenclature. Strict adherence to the International Code of Nomenclature is observed, so that taxonomic and nomenclatural novelties should be clearly shown. English description for new taxon proposed should be provided and the herbaria where the type specimens area deposited should be presented. Name of taxon in taxonomic treatment should be presented in the long form that is name of taxon, author's name, year of publication, abbreviated journal or book title, volume, number and page.

Map/line drawing illustration/photograph. Map, line drawing illustration, or photograph preferably should be prepared in landscape presentation to occupy two columns. Illustration must be submitted as original art accompanying, but separated from the manuscript. The illustration should be saved in JPG or GIF format at least 350 pixels. Legends or illustration must be submitted separately at the end of the manuscript.

References. Bibliography, list of literature cited or references follow the Harvard system as the following examples.

Journal	: KRAENZLIN, F. 1913. Cyrtandraceae novae Philippinenses I. Philipp. J. Sci. 8: 163–179.
	MAYER, V., MOLLER, M., PERRET, M. & WEBER, A. 2003. Phylogenetic position and generic
	differentiation of <i>Epithemateae</i> (Gesneriaceae) inferred from plastid DNA sequence data. American J.
	<i>Bot.</i> 90: 321–329.
Proceedings	: TEMU, S. T. 1995. Peranan tumbuhan dan ternak dalam upacara adat "Djoka Dju" pada suku Lio,
-	Ende, Flores, Nusa Tenggara Timur. In: NASUTION, E. (Ed.). Prosiding Seminar dan Lokakarya
	Nasional Etnobotani II. LIPI & Perpustakaan Nasional: 263–268. (In Indonesian).
	SIMBOLON, H. & MIRMANTO, E. 2000. Checklist of plant species in the peat swamp forests of
	Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. In: IWAKUMA, T. et al. (Eds.) Proceedings of the International
	Symposium on: Tropical Peatlands. Pp.179 – 190.
Book	: RIDLEY, H. N. 1923. Flora of the Malay Peninsula 2. L. Reeve & Co. Ltd, London.
Part of Book	: BENTHAM, G. 1876. Gesneriaceae. In: BENTHAM, G. & HOOKER, J. D. Genera
	Plantarum 2. Lovell Reeve & Co., London. Pp. 990–1025.
Thesis	: BAIRD, L. 2002. A Grammar of Kéo: An Austronesian language of East Nusantara.
	Australian National University, Canberra. [PhD. Thesis].
Website	: http://www.nationaalherbarium.nl/fmcollectors/k/KostermansAJGH.html. (Accessed 15 February 2012).



Reinwardtia Published by Herbarium Bogoriense, Botany Division, Research Center for Biology, Indonesian Institute of Sciences Address: Jln. Raya Jakarta-Bogor Km. 46 Cibinong 16911, P.O. Box 25 Cibinong Telp. (+ 62) 21 8765066; Fax (+62) 21 8765062 Email: reinwardtia@mail.lipi.go.id

REINWARDTIA Author Agreement Form

Title of article

:

Name of Author(s) :

I/We hereby declare that:

- My/Our manuscript was based on my/our original work.
- It was not published or submitted to other journal for publication.
- I/we agree to publish my/our manuscript and the copyright of this article is owned by Reinwardtia.
- We have obtained written permission from copyright owners for any excerpts from copyrighted works that are included and have credited the sources in our article.

Author signature (s)

Date

Name

J. F. VELDKAMP. A revision of <i>Isachne</i> in Malesia 2: Sect. Albentes (Gramineae, Isachneae) 1
I PUTU GEDE P. DAMAYANTO. <i>Dinochloa malayana</i> S. Dransf. (Poaceae: Bambusoideae), a new record for Indonesia
EDY NASRIADI SAMBAS, CECEP KUSMANA, LILIK BUDI PRASETYO & TUKIRIN PARTOMIHARDJO. Vegetation analysis and population structure of plants at Mount Endut forested area, Gunung Halimun Salak National Park, Banten, Java, Indonesia
IAN M. TURNER. A new combination in <i>Pseuderanthemum</i> (Acanthaceae)
YENI RAHAYU, TATIK CHIKMAWATI & ELIZABETH A. WIDJAJA. Nomenclatural study of <i>Tetrastigma leucostaphylum</i> and <i>Tetrastigma rafflesiae</i> (Vitaceae): two common hosts of <i>Rafflesia</i> in Sumatra
WAWAN SUJARWO. Bamboo resources, cultural values, and <i>ex-situ</i> conservation in Bali, Indonesia
KHOON MENG WONG & RIDHA MAHYUNI. Flora of Singapore Precursors, 2. A new species and two new combi- nations in <i>Psydrax</i> (Rubiaceae: Vanguerieae) for West Malesia
ERRATUM REINWARDTIA Vol. 16(2) 2017 85

Reinwardtia is a LIPI accredited Journal (792/AU3/P2MI-LIPI/04/2016) http://e-journal.biologi.lipi.go.id/index.php/reinwardtia

Herbarium Bogoriense Botany Division Research Center for Biology – Indonesian Institute of Sciences Cibinong Science Center Jln. Raya Jakarta – Bogor, Km 46 Cibinong 16911, P.O. Box 25 Cibinong Indonesia

