

Jurnal Riset Biologi dan Aplikasinya

https://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/risetbiologi

Leaf and Stomata Morphometrics of Gayam *Inocarpus fagifer* (Fabaceae) at Different Altitudes

Alwi Smith, Kristin Sangur*, Dessy Fitri Molle, Ludia Haurissa, Grisendy Maulany, Belsefren Renyaan Biology Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Pattimura,

Jln. Ir. M. Putuhena, Poka Campus, Ambon City, Maluku, Indonesia

*Corresponding Author:

e-mail: sangur kristin@yahoo.com

Article History

Received	:	5 Desember 2022
Revised	:	12 February 2023
Approved	:	22 March 2023
Published	:	31 March 2023

Keywords Altitudinal gradient; ecology; functional morphology; stomata density

ABSTRACT

Gayam (Inocarpus fagifer) is one of the members of the angiosperm flora in Ambon City, Indonesia, that grows and develops at various altitudes. This research aimed to analyze the leaf and stomata morphometrics of these plants in the Aer Louw and Ema Village areas. Leaf samples were taken from the upper, middle, and lower strata and considered as replicates. The morphometric characteristics were measured manually using millimeter block paper and the formula for calculating leaf ratio. Furthermore, the stomata were stained using the direct incision method and safranin. The incision results were analyzed using an Olympus CX23 microscope at 400x magnification. The measurement and observation were analyzed descriptively and correlatively. The results showed that the average leaf width and length, also the midrib length were greater in Aer Louw Village than in Ema Village; while the leaf tip and stalk length were greater in Ema Village than in Aer Louw Village. The characteristics of stomata length and width in Ema Village were greater than in Aer Louw Village; otherwise, the number, index, and density of stomata in Aer Louw Village were greater than in Ema Village. Meanwhile, the correlational analysis showed that the environment influenced the variations of leaves and stomata. Therefore, the variations of leaves and stomata in the areas could predict plant adaptations to different environments.

How to cite: Smith, A., Sangur, K., Molle, D.F., Haurissa, L., Maulany, G., & Renyaan, B. (2023). Leaf and Stomata Morphometrics of Gayam *Inocarpus fagifer* (Fabaceae) at Different Altitudes. *Jurnal Riset Biologi dan Aplikasinya*, 5(1): 16-26. DOI: <u>10.26740/jrba.v5n1.p.16-26</u>.

INTRODUCTION

Inocarpus fagifer (Parkinson ex Zollinger) Fosberg is a woody, leguminous plant with a tree habitus distributed in tropical and subtropical areas. It has a shallow taproot, while the lateral roots appear on the soil surface. The tree bark is rough and brown or gray, while the leaves are oval, arranged alternately, dark green, and has a rough surface. The flowers are arranged in clusters on branches, stems, and twigs with five petals and showing white or yellowish color variations. The fruit is oval, irregular, and slightly flattened, while the young fruit is green and turns orange-brown when ripe. Moreover, the seeds are white, fibrous, and thin (Setyowati & Wawo, 2015; Wawo et al., 2011).

I. fagifer is known in different countries under its local names, thus *aila* in Papua New Guinea, *chataignier de Tahiti* in French Polynesia, *ivi* in Fiji, and *Tahitian chestnut* or *Polynesian chestnut* in England (Pauku, 2006). Pauku et al. (2010) also stated that most farmers use I. *fagifer* as an agricultural crop. Meanwhile, I. fagifer in Ambon City is grown by the community but not cultivated as a crop, such that the seeds that fall on the ground will grow naturally. The city is one of the areas with a large distribution of these plants, namely in Ema and Air Louw Villages. The distribution in the two villages represents the highlands and lowlands.





According to Hamidah & Fitriani (2018), some plants can grow well in the lowlands to the highlands hence, they have a wide distribution. This explanation shows that *I. fagifer* is a plant with a wide distribution in these two villages. The topography and slope of the place and environmental factors such as light intensity, wind speed, temperature, and CO_2 pressure vary greatly in high and low areas (Gao et al., 2019; Kofidis & Bosabalidis, 2008).

The varying conditions of environmental factors certainly affect the modification and adaptation of plants in the two villages. One of the characteristics that is easy to observe is the morphology of plant organs such as roots, stems, leaves, flowers, fruits, and seeds. However, the tree has a large trunk diameter and a height of up to 3 m. This makes it difficult to observe and measure some morphological characteristics. Meanwhile, flowers, fruits, and seeds are classified as seasonal organs, making morphological observation difficult. Leaves are one of the vegetative organs obtained to observe and measure morphological characteristics. Leaf morphometric measurement is conducted to determine leaf area, length, and ratio, which are also very useful for determining physiological processes. Observation of stomata characteristics can easily be performed through the leaf organ. Liu et al. (2020) also stated that plant adaptation to changes in environmental factors is carried out by reducing leaf area and increasing the thickness, mesophyll tissue thickness, and stomata density.

According to Ruszala et al. (2011) and He & Liang (2018), stomata are tissues that are very sensitive to the environment. Therefore, it is very important to observe and measure the stomata of the I. fagifer leaves to determine their shape, length, width, aperture, density, and index. Paembonan et al. (2021) stated that highlands affect the number of stomata but reduce the size and index of the Makassar ebony (Diospyros celebica Bakh.). Tumpa et al. (2022) also noted that geographical location affects the leaf size of Salix triandra L., a process of morphological adaptation to environmental changes. According to Muradoglu & Gundogdu (2011), leaf surface area relates to the stomata frequency in walnut plants. Based on these results, the leaf and stomata morphometric measurement of I. fagifer plants was conducted based on the difference in altitude in two areas, namely Ema and Aer Louw Villages. Morphometric analysis of leaf and stomata at different altitudes can be a prediction for I. fagifer plants to cope to climate change in the future. Therefore, this research analyzed leaf and stomata morphometrics based on different altitudes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Sampling Location

This research was conducted in Aer Louw Village with an altitude 200 m above sea level (asl) and Ema Village with an altitude of 600 m above sea level (asl) (Figure 1).



Figure 1. A. Map of Ambon Island; B. Research Locations in Aer Louw Village; C. Research Locations in Ema Village. Source: <u>https://earth.google.com/web/search/</u>



Sampling

Leaf samples for stomata observation and morphometric measurement were taken separately. The samples for observing stomata were taken from one of the largest and tallest I. fagifer trees at the two sampling locations. Meanwhile, morphometric measurements were taken from 10 trees in the two locations by considering the upper, middle, and lower strata. Sampling for stomata measurement was carried out on the left and right branches and focused only on green leaves. Leaf samplings were repeated 5 times with a total was 30 dark green leaves.

Research Procedure

Environmental factors such as temperature, light, and altitude were measured. The morphometric measurement of *I. fagifer* leaves was conducted in the following stages: (1) leaf samples were cleaned of dirt and dust using a tissue, (2) the samples were placed on millimeter block paper and marked using a pen, (3) the results of the markers were measured using a ruler as shown in Figure 2. After obtaining the values for the length, width, tip, stalk, and leaf midrib length, the next step was to calculate the formula for the ratio of leaf length and width (Shi et al., 2020).

The I. fagifer leaf stomata morphometric measurement was conducted in the following stages: (1) the leaf samples taken were cleaned of dirt and dust; (2) the samples were sliced crosswise at the bottom using a razor blade; (3) the leaf slices were soaked in commercial bleach (bayclin) for ± 5 min until they turn white; (4) the leaf slices were washed using distilled water and soaked in 1% safranin for 1 min; (5) the slices were washed again using distilled water; (6) the slices were observed using an Olympus CX23 microscope with 400x magnification; (7) the observation results were photographed using an digital camera connected to a computer; (8) the observed photos were inserted into the image master to measure length, width and opening size of stomata, count the number, and observe the location and type of stomata.



Figure 2. Morphometric characteristics of *I. fagifer* leaf measurement. (a) leaf length; (b) leaf width; (c) leaf midrib length; (d) leaf tip length; (e) leaf stalk length

Ratio of leaf length and width
$$=$$
 $\frac{\text{leaf length}}{\text{leaf width}}$
Ratio of leaf length and leaf midrib length $=$ $\frac{\text{leaf length}}{\text{leaf midrib length}}$
Ratio of leaf length and leaf stalk length $=$ $\frac{\text{leaf length}}{\text{leaf stalk length}}$
Ratio of leaf length and leaf tip length $=$ $\frac{\text{leaf length}}{\text{leaf tip length}}$





Figure 3. Morphometric characteristics of *I. fagifer* leaf stomata measurement. (a) stomata length; (b) stomata width; (c) stomata opening size

Data Analysis

Data from *I. fagifer* leaf and stomata morphometric calculation were collected and analyzed descriptively to determine the average value and standard deviation. Furthermore, the data were analyzed to determine correlation value of environmental factors and effective contribution using multiple linear regression inferential statistics (Wang et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2021). An analysis of correlation value and effective contribution is used based on the following formula:

Formula of product moment correlation

$$\begin{split} r_{xy} = \frac{n\sum XY - (\sum X) (\sum Y)}{\sqrt{\{n\sum X^2 - (\sum X)^2\}}} \end{split}$$
 (Chawla et al., 2016; Kumari & Yadav, 2018).

Then analyze the effective contribution (EC):

$$EC\%X_n = BX_n x r_{xy} x 100\%$$

(Turkheimer & Waldron 2000).

Note:

EC: effective contribution; BX_n : B coefficient of the predictor; X_n : predictors such as temperature, light, and altitude, r_{xy} : correlation coefficient.

The calculation for the stomata index and density was conducted based on the Fetter et al. (2019) formula as follows: $Stomata Density = \frac{Number of Stomata}{Field of View Unit}$ $Index Stomata = \frac{Number of stomata}{Number of stomata + epidermal cells}$

The data was analyzed using Excel and SPSS for Windows 18.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Inocarpus fagifer Leaf and Stomata Morphology

Morphologically, the color of *I. fagifer* leaves in Ema and Aer Louw Villages was the same, dark green on the upper surface and light green on the lower surface. The upper surface of the leaves is smooth and greasy, while the lower surface was rough (Figure 4). Meanwhile, the stomata morphology between the two areas has the same shape but differed in size and number of stomata in one field of view (Figure 4).

Stomata are a type of differentiation from leaf epidermal tissue (Peterson et al., 2013; Torii, 2021; Zuch et al., 2022). *I. fagifer* stomata are found on the lower surface of the leaves. The stomata in these two areas have an actinostic type, with guard cells surrounded by neighboring cells in a radius. The number of neighboring cells is 4 or more, while the stomata's guard cells are kidney-shaped with thin side walls and thicker top and bottom walls (Prabhakar, 2004; Ahmad et al., 2009; Song et al., 2020).





Figure 4. *I. fagifer* leaf morphology. (Top row) Samples from Ema Village (Altitude of 600 m asl). (Bottom row) Samples from Aer Louw Village (Altitude of 200 m asl).

Leaf and Stomata Morphometrics of Inocarpus fagifer

The morphometric measurement in the two areas with different altitudes varies greatly, as summarized in Table 1. The same result was reported by Paridari et al. (2013) wherein Carpinus betulus L. growing at high altitudes had a small leaf lamina compared to those growing at low altitudes. According to Liu et al. (2020), adapting plants in the highlands reduces leaf area. This shows that I. fagifer plants that grow at different altitudes have adapted to have an average leaf width and length at high altitudes (Ema Village) of 119.35 mm and 259.77 mm, while those growing at low altitudes (Aer Louw Village) have an average leaf width and length of 135.43 mm and 312.52 mm. The long and wide leaves of the I. fagifer plants in Aer Louw Village also have a midrib average length of 268.47 mm, while in Ema Village the midrib average length is 245.8 mm. This result agrees with that of Madeline et al. (2014), where broad leaves have

high vein density accompanied by stomata density. Meanwhile, the broad leaves of I. fagifer in Aer Louw Village had shorter tips and petioles on average 3.69 mm and 5.45 mm, while the average tips and petioles in Ema Village were 6.07 and 8.7. According to Serdar & Kurt (2011), leaf parameters can be used as a variable to detect the level of phenotypic variability among plant species in a population. The stomata morphometric measurement of *I. fagifer* leaves in the two areas with different altitudes varied greatly, as recapitulated in Table 2. The high altitude in Ema Village (600 m asl) resulted a lower stomata density than Aer Louw in the lower area (200 m asl). Fustier et al. (2019) reported that stomata density decreased with increasing altitude. According to Li et al. (2021), plants with large stomata have low densities, but large size affects plant adaptation. Furthermore, Idris et al. (2019) stated that high intensity affects stomata density to support high assimilation processes in plants.





Morphometric Characteristics of <i>I. fagifer</i> Leaves	Location	Upper strata (mm)	Middle strata (mm)	Lower strata (mm)
Leaf length	Ema	265.7 ± 3.37	$258.2 {\pm} 4.03$	$255.4 {\pm} 4.17$
	Aer Louw	302.26 ± 2.12	293.22 ± 1.03	342.08 ± 18.4
Leaf width	Ema	116 ± 2.93	111.8 ± 2.63	111.7 ± 2.83
	Aer Louw	140.14 ± 2.12	135.88 ± 1.03	130.26 ± 0.82
Leaf midrib length	Ema	251 ± 3.22	244.7 ± 3.83	241.7 ± 3.92
	Aer Louw	$278.26 {\pm} 4.23$	269.22 ± 2.89	$257.94{\pm}2.37$
Leaf tip length	Ema	9.7 ± 0.17	4.1 ± 0.12	4.4 ± 0.14
	Aer Louw	3.66 ± 0.66	$3.76 {\pm} 0.04$	$3.66 {\pm} 0.05$
Leaf stalk length	Ema	8.8 ± 0.26	8.7 ± 0.26	$8.6 {\pm} 0.3$
	Aer Louw	5.40 ± 0.1	$5.56 {\pm} 0.07$	5.40 ± 0.1
	Ema	23.2 ± 0.37	23.09 ± 21.56	22.8 ± 0.29
Ratio of leaf length and width	Aer Louw	21.64 ± 0.19	21.56 ± 0.14	25.56 ± 1.16
Ratio of leaf length and leaf	Ema	10±0	10±0	10±0
midrib length	Aer Louw	10.99 ± 0.12	10.89 ± 0.05	13.09 ± 0.64
Ratio of leaf and leaf stalk	Ema	303 ± 7.93	294.5 ± 6.83	$314.4 {\pm} 6.28$
length	Aer Louw	487.9 ± 27.9	500.12 ± 18	$535.68 {\pm} 54.2$
Ratio of leaf length and leaf tip	Ema	535.1 ± 32.3	59.04 ± 12.3	531.7 ± 15.6
length	Aer Louw	856.5 ± 24.3	785.01 ± 9.19	966.59 ± 68

 $\textbf{Table 2. Stomata morphometric characteristics of {\it I. fagifer leaves at two areas with different altitudes}$

Stomata		Upper S	Upper Strata (µm) Middle Strata (µm)		trata (μm)	Lower	Desc	
Morphometric	Loc.	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	L of Down al	riptio
Characteristics		Branch	Branch	Branch	Branch	Branch	Left Branch	'n
Stomata Length	Ema	$47.54 \pm$	$47.50\pm$	$44.07 \pm$	$44.21\pm$	$41.88\pm$	$42.39 \pm$	Very
(Mean±SD)		0.40	0.33	0.26	0.45	0.39	0.46	long
	Aer	$16.68 \pm$	$16.63 \pm$	$14.89\pm$	$14.96 \pm$	$12.75 \pm$	$12.79\pm$	Less
	Louw	0.14	0.12	0.22	0.21	0.995	0.75	long
Stomata Width	Ema	$46.86 \pm$	$47.09 \pm$	$44.4 \pm$	$44.02 \pm$	$42\pm$	$41.99 \pm$	Very
(Mean±SD)		0.56	0.39	0.26	0.37	0.46	0.63	wide
	Aer	$16.93 \pm$	$17.09 \pm$	$14.96 \pm$	$15.26 \pm$	$14\pm$	$14.3 \pm$	Less
	Louw	0.30	0.29	1.06	0.54	1.07	1.64	wide
Stomata	Ema	$16.03 \pm$	$16\pm$	$14.11\pm$	$14.06 \pm$	$12\pm$	$12.02\pm$	Wide
Opening Size		0.27	0.32	0.34	0.25	0.24	0.24	wide
(Mean±SD)	Aer	$6.058 \pm$	$6.2\pm$	$5.01\pm$	$5.16 \pm$	$4.8\pm$	$4.92 \pm$	Less
	Louw	0.11	0.13	0.1	0.24	0.39	0.46	wide
Number of	Ema	$13\pm$	$14.4\pm$	$10\pm$	$9.8\pm$	$7.2\pm$	$6.4\pm$	Fow
Stomata		1.52	2.30	0.71	0.84	0.84	1.14	rew
(Mean±SD)	Aer	$43\pm$	$40.2 \pm$	$24.4\pm$	$27.4\pm$	$24\pm$	$21.4\pm$	Many
	Low	7.01	9.15	4.62	8.05	3.27	1.52	wiany
Stomata Index	Ema	0.18±	0.18±	$0.15 \pm$	$0.15 \pm$	0.1±	$0.1\pm$	Low
(Mean±SD)		0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	LOW
	Aer	$0.58\pm$	$0.57 \pm$	$0.43\pm$	$0.44\pm$	$0.37\pm$	$0.36 \pm$	High
	Louw	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	Ingn
Stomata	Ema	$152.3\pm$	$163.6 \pm$	$113.6\pm$	$111.4\pm$	$81.81\pm$	$72.72\pm$	Low
Density		17.24	26.16	8.04	9.51	9.51	12.96	LOW
$(Mean \pm SD)$	Aer	$670.8 \pm$	$694.9 \pm$	379.9+	495 5+	370.6 +	333 3+	
	Louw	108.9	142.1	70.23	124.9	48.9	21.61	High
		100.0		. 0.20	121.0	10.0	- 1.01	

Description: Loc: Location



The difference in altitude is an environmental factor affecting the plant microclimate. According to Lamprecht et al. (2018), ecosystems at high altitudes have low temperatures. Meanwhile, Idris et al. (2019) reported that the stomata density increased when exposed to high sunlight. Environmental characteristics at different altitudes also affect the stomata morphometric features. Tiwari et al. (2013), also stated that altitude was positively correlated with stomata density, index, and guard cell length. According to Akbarinia et al. (2011), variations in shape, size, index, area, and stomata can vary within one species. The stomata length characteristic of I. fagifer leaves is directly proportional to its width. Muradoglu & Gundogdu (2011) also stated a positive relationship between stomata length and width. According to Li et al. (2011), the stomata index of Quercus aquifolioides Rehder & E.H. Wilson decreased at high altitudes and increased at low altitudes. Meanwhile, the morphological characteristics of stomata related to its density are inversely proportional to the length and width of it, as well as to the size of the stomatal opening, which is inversely proportional to stomata density (Hong et al., 2018; Haworth et al., 2023).

Some of these findings have supported this research that the length of the *I. fagifer* stomata leaves are also directly proportional to the width of the stomata and the size of the opening of the

stomata is directly proportional to the number, index, and density of stomata.

The variation of stomata in the two areas with different altitudes shows that altitude plays a role in morphometric characteristics. According to Alonso-Amelot (2008), highland plants have high adaptability to extreme environments. It was stated by Ahmad et al. (2020) that the ability of plants to adapt in the highlands is by adjusting their morphological and physiological characteristics. Halbritter et al. (2018) and Montesinos-Navarro et al. (2011) also confirmed that the elevation gradient greatly affects abiotic factors, such as humidity, temperature, and light intensity in an area.

Variations in leaf and stomata morphometrics of *L*. *fagifer* as affected by environmental parameters

Environmental characteristics in the two areas with different altitudes are shown in Table 3. The condition of the two areas showed that light intensity influences temperature, while altitude is related to light intensity as indicated in Table 3. The condition of the two areas showed that light intensity influences temperature, while altitude is related to light intensity as indicated in Table 3. Altitude is an environmental factor that greatly determines the relationship between leaf and stomata morphometrics in I. fagifer plants. The relationship of environmental factors to the leaf morphometric characteristics of *I. fagifer* plants is shown in Table 4.

Table 3. Environmental characteristics							
Environmental Characteristics	Ema Village	Air Louw Village					
Light intensity	17,000 Lux	20,000 Lux					
Temperature (°C)	$25^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	28°C					
Altitude	600 m asl	200 m asl					

Table 4. Correlation of environmenta	l factors with lea	eaf morphometric	characteristics
--------------------------------------	--------------------	------------------	-----------------

Leaf	R	\mathbf{R}^2	Sum of Square		Mean Square		F	F sig (n)
Characteristics	к	п	Reg.	Res.	Reg.	Res.	Ľ	r sig (p)
Leaf length	0.31	0.099	417.4371	3798.868	417.43713	65.5	$\begin{array}{c} 6.3733 \\ 08 \end{array}$	0.014(*)
Leaf width	0.46	0.2136	74.32614	273.564	74.32614	4.717	$\frac{15.758}{35}$	0.00(*)
Leaf midrib length	0.32	0.1025	77.11201	675.319	77.112007	11.64	$\begin{array}{c} 6.6227\\ 93 \end{array}$	0.012(*)
Leaf tip length	0.32	0.1003	0.074907	0.671787	0.074907	0.012	$6.4672 \\ 12$	0.014(*)
Leaf stalk length	0.65	0.418	1.581127	2.201347	1.581127	0.038	$41.658 \\ 75$	0.00(*)
Ratio of leaf length and width	0.01	0.0001	0.001815	16.26631	0.001815	0.28	$0.0064 \\ 72$	0.936





Leaf	R	R ²	Sum of Square		Mean Square		F	F sig (n)
Characteristics	п	n	Reg.	Res.	Reg.	Res.	Ľ	r sig (p)
Ratio of leaf	0.3	0.09	0.411682	4.163537	0.411682	0.072	5.7349	0.019(*)
length and leaf							17	
midrib length								
Ratio of leaf	0.42	0.1727	7390.602	35402.1997	7390.6021	610.4	12.108	0.001(*)
length and leaf				6			14	
stalk length								
Ratio of leaf	0.47	0.2163	16625.69	60222.5031	16625.692	1038	16.012	0.00(*)
length and leaf				4			12	
tip length								

Description: Reg: Regression; Res: Residual; (*): significant

	Effective Cont			
Leaf characteristics			Total (%)	
	Temperature	Light	Altitude	
Leaf length	0.00	0.00	9.901	9.901
Leaf width	0.00	0.00	21.36	21.36
Leaf midrib length	0.00	0.00	10.25	10.25
Leaf tip length	0.00	0.00	10.03	10.03
Leaf stalk length	0.00	0.00	41.8	41.8
Ratio of leaf length and width	0.00	0.00	_	
Ratio of leaf length and leaf midrib length	0.00	0.00	8.998	8.998
Ratio of leaf length and leaf stalk length	0.00	0.00	17.27	17.27
Ratio of leaf length and leaf tip length	0.00	0.00	21.63	21.63

Table 6. Correlation of environmental factors with stomata morphometric characteristics

Stomata	р	D 2	Sum o	of square	Mean s	quare	Б	\mathbf{F}_{n}
Characteristics	n	N-	Reg.	Res.	Reg.	Res.	Г	r sig (p)
Stomata length	0.992	0.983	2667.697	44.952	2667.697	4.495	593.452	0.00(*)
Stomata width	0.992	0.985	2493.795	39.027	2493.795	3.903	638.997	0.00(*)
Stomata opening size	0.964	0.93	229.338	17.248	229.338	1.725	132.961	0.00(*)
Number of stomata	0.843	0.711	1180.083	480.833	1180.083	48.08	24.542	0.00(*)
Stomata index	0.915	0.838	0.288	0.056	0.288	0.006	51.575	0.00(*)
Stomata density	0.879	0.772	370572.395	109402.096	370572.4	10940	33.873	0.00(*)

Description: Reg: Regression; Res: Residual; (*): significant

Table 7. Effective contribution of environmental factors to stomata morphometric characteristics

	Effective Co	Total		
Stomata characteristics	Temperature	Light	Altitude	(%)
Stomata length	0.00	0.00	98.3	98.3
Stomata width	0.00	0.00	98.5	98.5
Stomata opening size	0.00	0.00	93	93
Number of stomata	0.00	0.00	71.1	71.1
Stomata index	0.00	0.00	83.8	83.8
Stomata density	0.00	0.00	77.2	77.2



The relationship of environmental factors to the stomata morphometric characteristics of *I. fagifer* leaves is shown in Table 6. Environmental factors of light, temperature, and altitude have a significant relationship with all morphometric characteristics of *I. fagifer* leaves (p=<0.05). Previous research confirmed that environmental factors greatly affect stomata opening size (Casson & Gray, 2008). Qi & Torii (2018), reported that environmental factors estimulate stomata density. Harrison et al. (2020) also stated that environmental factors correlated with stomata size and density.

The effective contribution of environmental factors was calculated to determine which stomatal morphometric characteristics were more dominant. The altitude effectively contributed to these stomatal morphometric characteristics, as indicated in Table 7. Aslantaş & Karakurt (2009) stated that high areas have high rainfall while temperature, O_2 and CO_2 levels decreased. This shows that the environmental factors of temperature, light, O_2 , CO_2 , and humidity depend on altitude.

The low and high altitudes are related to light, humidity. temperature, and These environmental factors affect stomata length, width, opening size, number, index, and density simultaneously. Specifically, stomata opening is influenced by light (Elhaddad et al., 2014) and high temperature (Lawson & Blatt, 2014). Driesen et al. (2020) stated that stomata opening is influenced simultaneously by light, CO₂, temperature, and humidity. Altitude greatly influences plant physiology, such as stomata density (Qiang et al., 2003). Richardson et al. (2017) confirmed that stomata are adaptive tissues that modify their stomatal density, size, and form in response to environmental changes.

CONCLUSION

The results showed that different environmental conditions can provide variations in the morphology of the leaves and stomata of *I. fagifer* plants. Altitude is related to other environmental factors, such as temperature and light intensity, which can directly influence variations of leaves and stomata. This research can predict *I. fagifer* plants' survival and adaptation to environment changes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the leadership of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education at Pattimura University, which has provided funds for this research. The funding is stated in the Certificate Number 1087/UN13/SK/2021.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, K., Khan, M. A., Ahmad, M., Zafar, M., Arshad, M., & Ahmad, F. (2009). Taxonomic diversity of stomata in dicot flora of a district tank (N.W.F.P) in Pakistan. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 8(6), 1052–1055.
- Ahmad, K. S., Wazarat, A., Mehmood, A., Ahmad, M. S. A., Tahir, M. M., Nawaz, F., Ahmed, H., Zafar, M., Ulfat, A., Ahmad, K. S., Wazarat, A., Mehmood, A., Ahmad, M. S. A., Tahir, M. M., Nawaz, F., Ahmed, H., Zafar, M., & Ulfat, A. (2020). Adaptations in *Imperata cylindrica* (L.) Raeusch. and *Cenchrus ciliaris* L. for altitude tolerance. *Biologia*, 75(2), 183–198. https://doi.org/10.2478/s11756-019-00380-2
- Akbarinia, M., Zarafshar, M., Sattarian, A., Fariba, B. S., Ehsan, G., & Iman, C. P. (2011). Morphological variations in stomata epidermal cells and trichome of sweet chestnut (*Castanea sativa* Mill.) in Caspian ecosystem. *Taxonomy and Biosystematics*, 3(7), 23– 32.<u>https://dorl.net/dor/20.1001.1.20088906.1390.</u> <u>3.7.4.0</u>.
- Alonso-Amelot, M. E. (2008). High altitude plants chemistry of acclimation and adaptation. *Studies in Natural Products Chemistry*, 34, 883–982. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1572-5995(08)80036-1</u>.
- Aslantaş, R., & Karakurt, H. (2009). The effects of altitude on stomata number and some vegetative growth parameters of some apple cultivars. *Research Journal* of Agriculture and Biological Sciences, 5(5), 853–857.
- Casson, S., & Gray, J. E. (2008). Influence of environmental factors on stomatal development. *New Phytologist*, *178*(1), 9–23. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02351.x</u>
- Chawla, S., Sachdeva, M., & Behal, S. (2016). Discrimination of DDoS attacks and flash events using Pearson's product moment correlation method. *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Security*, 14(10), 382.
- Driesen, E., Van den Ende, W., De Proft, M., & Saeys, W. (2020). Influence of environmental factors light, CO₂, temperature, and relative humidity on stomatal opening and development: A review. *Agronomy*, 10(12), 1975–1988. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10121975.
- Elhaddad, N. S., Hunt, L., Sloan, J., & Gray, J. E. (2014). Light-induced stomatal opening is affected by the guard cell protein kinase APK1b. *PLoS One*, 9(5), e97161.<u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.009</u> 7161
- Fetter, K. C., Eberhardt, S., Barclay, R. S., Wing, S., & Keller, S. R. (2019). Stomata counter: A neural network for automatic stomata identification and counting. *New Phytologist*, 223(3), 1671–1681. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15892.
- Fritz, M. A., Rosa, S., & Sicard, A. (2018). Mechanisms underlying the environmentally induced plasticity of leaf morphology. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 9, 478. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00478</u>.
- Fustier, M. A., Martínez-Ainsworth, N. E Aguirre-Liguori, J. A., Venon, A., Corti, H., Rousselet, A., Dumas, F., Dittberner, H., Camarena, M. G., Grimaneli, D., Ovaskainen, O., Falque, M., Moreau, L., de Meaux, J., Montes-Hernández, S., Eguiarte, L. E., Vigouroux, Y., Manicacci, D., & Tenaillon, M. I. (2019). Common gardens in teosintes reveal the





establishment of a syndrome of adaptation to altitude. *PLoS Genetics*, 15(12), e1008512. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008512</u> Gao, J., Song, Z., & Liu, Y. (2019). Response mechanisms of

- Gao, J., Song, Z., & Liu, Y. (2019). Response mechanisms of leaf nutrients of endangered plant (Acer catalpifolium) to environmental factors varied at different growth stages. Global Ecology and Conservation, 17, e00521. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00521
- Halbritter, A. H., Fior, S., Keller, I., Billeter, R., Edwards, P. J. Holderegger, R. Karrenberh, S., Pluess, A. R., Alexander, J. M., Halbritter, A. H., Fior, S., Keller, I., Billeter, R., Edwards, P. J. Holderegger, R. Karrenberh, S., Pluess, A. R., & M, A. J. (2018). Trait differentiation and adaptation of plants along elevation gradients. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 31(6), 784–800. https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/YFJ9M.
- Hamidah, S., Y, A. F., & Fitriani A. (2018). Micro climate
- assessment of medicinal plant habitat for the first step of domestication. *Academic Research International*, 9(3), 145–150.
- Harrison, E. L., Cubas, L. A., Gray, J. E., & Hepworth, C. (2020). The influence of stomatal morphology and distribution on photosynthetic gas exchange. *The Plant Journal*, 101(4), 768–779. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.14560</u>
- Haworth, M., Marino, G., Materassi, A., Raschi, A., Scutt, C. P., & Centritto, M. (2022). The functional significance of the stomatal size to density relationship: Interaction with atmospheric [CO2] and role in plant physiological behaviour. Science of The Total Environment, 863, 160908. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.16090</u>
- He, J., & Liang, Y-K. (2018). Stomata. *Plant Science*. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470015902.a002652
- Hong, T., Lin, H., & He, D. (2018). Characteristics and correlations of leaf stomata in different *Aleurites montana* provenances. *PLoS One*, 13(12), e0208899. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208899</u>
- Hovenden, M. J., & Schoor, J. K. V. (2006). The response of leaf morphology to irradiance depends on altitude of origin in Nothofagus cunninghamii. New Phytologist, 169(2), 291–297. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-</u> 8137.2005.01585.x
- Idris, A., Linatoc, A. C., & Bakar, M. F. B. A. (2019). Effect of light intensity on the photosynthesis and stomatal density of selected plant species of gunung ledang Johor. *Malaysian Applied Biology*, 48(3), 133–140.
- Kofidis, G., & Bosabalidis, A. M. (2008). Effects of altitude and season on glandular hairs and leaf structural traits of Nepeta nuda L. Botanical Studies, 49(4), 363–372.
- Kumari, K., & Yadav, S. (2018). Linear regression analysis study. Journal of the practice of Cardiovascular Sciences, 4(1), 33.
- Lamprecht, A., Semenchuk, P. R., Steinbauer, K., Winkler, M., & Pauli, H. (2018). Climate change leads to accelerated transformation of high-elevation vegetation in the central Alps. *New Phytologist*, 220(2), 447-459. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15290
- Lawson, T., & Blatt, M. R. (2014). Stomatal size, speed, and responsiveness impact on photosynthesis and water use efficiency. *Plant Physiology*, 164(4), 1556–1570. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.237107
- Li, C., Zhang, X., Liu, X., Luukkanen, O., & Berninger, F.

(2006). Leaf morphological and physiological responses of *Quercus aquifolioides* along an altitudinal gradient. *Silva Fennica*, 40(1), 5–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.14214/sf.348</u>.

- Li, Q., Hou, J., He, N., Xu, L., & Zhang, Z. (2021). Changes in leaf stomatal traits of different aged temperate forest stands. *Journal of Forestry Research*, 32(3), 927–936. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-020-01135-5</u>
- Li, X., Li, Y., Zhang, Z., & Li, X. (2015). Influences of environmental factors on leaf morphology of *Chinese jujubes. PLoS One*, *10*(5), e0127825. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0127825</u>
- Liu, W., Zheng, L., & Qi, D. (2020). Variation in leaf traits at different altitudes reflects the adaptive strategy of plants to environmental changes. *Ecology and Evolution*, 10(15), 8166–8175. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6519.
- Madeline, R. C. M., Jordan, G. J., & Brodribb, T. J. (2014). Acclimation to humidity modifies the link between leaf size and the density of veins and stomata. *Plant*, *Cell & Environment*, 37(1), 124–131. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12136</u>
- Montesinos-Navarro, A., Wig, J., Pico, F. X., & Tonsor, S. J. (2011). Arabidopsis thaliana populations show clinal variation in a climatic gradient associated with altitude. New Phytologist, 189(1), 282–294. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-</u> 8137.2010.03479.x.
- Muradoglu, F., & Gundogdu, M. (2011). Stomata size and frequency in some walnut (Juglans regia) cultivars. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 13(6), 1011–1015.
- Paembonan, S. A., Larekeng, S. H., & Millang, S. (2021). The dynamics of physiological properties of ebony (*Diospyros celebica* Bakh.) based on crown position and altitude. *Earth and Environmental Science*, 807. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-</u> 1315/807/3/032016
- Paridari, I. C., Jalali, S. G., Sonboli, A., Zarafshar, M., & Bruschi, P. (2013). Leaf macro-and micromorphological altitudinal variability of *Carpinus* betulus in the Hyrcanian forest (Iran). Journal of Forestry Research, 24(2), 301-307. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-013-0353-x.
- Pauku. R. L. (2006). *Inocarpus fagifer (Tahitian chestnut)*. Growth, 5(14), 1–9.
- Pauku, R. L., Lowe, A. J., & Leakey, R. R. (2010). Domestication of indigenous fruit and nut trees for agroforestry in the Solomon Islands. *Forests, Trees and Livelihoods, 19*(3), 269–287. https://doi.org/10.1080/14728028.2010.9752671
- Peterson, K. M., Shyu, C., Burr, C. A., Horst, R. J., Kanaoka, M. M., Omae, M., Sato, Y., & Tori, K. U. (2013). *Arabidopsis* homeodomain-leucine zipper IV proteins promote stomatal development and ectopically induce stomata beyond the epidermis. *Development*, 140(9), 1924–1935. <u>https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.090209</u>.
- Prabhakar, M. (2004). Structure, delimitation, nomenclature and classification of stomata. *Acta Botanica Sinica*, 46(2), 242–252.
- Qi, X., & Torii, K. U. (2018). Hormonal and environmental signals guiding stomatal development. BMC Biology, 16(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-018-0488-5.
- Qiang, W. Y., Wang, X. L., Chen, T., Feng, H. Y., An, L. Z., He, Y. Q., & Wang, G. (2003). Variations of stomatal density and carbon isotope values of *Picea*





crassifolia at different altitudes in the Qilian Mountains. Trees, 17(3), 258-262. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-002-0235-x.

- https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-002-0235-x. Richardson, F., Brodribb, T. J., & Jordan, G. J. (2017). Amphistomatic leaf surfaces independently regulate gas exchange in response to variations in evaporative demand. *Tree Physiology*, 37, 869–878. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpx073
- Ruszala, E. M., Beerling, D. J., Franks, P. J., Chater, C., Casson, S. A., Gray, J. E., & Hetherington, A. M. (2011). Land plants acquired active stomatal control early in their evolutionary history. *Current Biology*, 21, 1030–1035. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.04.044
- Serdar, U., & Kurt, N. (2011). Some leaf characteristics are better morphometric discriminators for chestnut genotypes. Journal of Agricultural Science And Technology, 13, 885–894.
- Setyowati, N., & Wawo, A. H. (2015). Mengungkap keberadaan dan potensi Gayam (*Inocarpus fagifer*) sebagai sumber pangan alternatif di Sukabumi, Jawa Barat [To reveal the existence and potential of Gayam (*Inocarpus fagifer*) as an alternative food source in Sukabumi, West Java]. Proceedings of the National Seminar on the Indonesian Biodiversity Society., 1(1), 71-77. https://doi.org/10.13057/psnmbi/m010111
- Shi, P., Yu, K., Niinemets, Ü., & Gielis, J. (2020). Can leaf shape be represented by the ratio of leaf width to length? Evidence from nine species of *Magnolia* and *Michelia* (Magnoliaceae). *Forests*, 12(1), 41–50. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/f12010041</u>
 Song, J. H., Yang, S., & Choi, G. (2020). Taxonomic
- Song, J. H., Yang, S., & Choi, G. (2020). Taxonomic implications of leaf micromorphology using microscopic analysis: A tool for identification and authentication of Korean Piperales. *Plants*, 9(5), 1– 15. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9050566</u>
- Sun, J., Liu, C., Hou, J., & He, N. (2021). Spatial variation of stomatal morphological traits in grassland plants of the Loess Plateau. *Ecological Indicators*, 128, 107857. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107857</u>
- Tiwari, S. P., Kumar, P., Yadav, D., & Chauhan, D. K. (2013). Comparative morphological, epidermal, and

anatomical studies of *Pinus roxburghii* needles at different altitudes in the North-West Indian Himalayas. *Turkish Journal of Botany*, 37(1), 65–73. https://doi.org/10.3906/bot-1110-1

- Torii, K. U. (2021). Stomatal development in the context of epidermal tissues. *Annals of Botany*, 128(2), 137–148. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcab052</u>
- Tumpa, K., Šatović, Z., Vidaković, A., Idžojtić, M., Stipetić, R., & Poljak, I. (2022). Population variability of almond-leaved willow (*Salix triandra* L.) based on the leaf morphometry: isolation by distance and environment explain phenotypic diversity. *Forests*, 13(3), 420–429. https://doi.org/10.3390/f13030420
- Turkheimer, E., & Waldron, M. (2000). Nonshared environment: a theoretical, methodological, and quantitative review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 126(1), 78. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.126.1.78</u>
- Wawo, A. H., Studi persebaran dan pemanfaatan gayam [Inocarpus fagifer (Parkinson ex Zollinger)]
 Fosberg] di daerah istimewa Yogyakarta [Study of the distribution and utilization of gayam [Inocarpus fagifer (Parkinson ex Zollinger)]
 Fosberg] in the special region of Yogyakarta. Biosfera, 28(3), 140–151.
 https://doi.org/10.20884/1.mib.2011.28.3.271
- Ye, M., Zhu, X., Gao, P., Jiang, L., & Wu, R. (2020). Identification of quantitative trait loci for altitude adaptation of tree leaf shape with *Populus* szechuanica in the Qinghai-Tibetan plateau. Frontiers in Plant Science, 11(632), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00632
- Wang, C., Lu, H., Zhang, J., Mao, L., & Ge, Y. (2019). Bulliform phytolith size of rice and its correlation with hydrothermal environment: A preliminary morphological study on species in Southern China. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 10, 1037. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01037
- Zuch, D. T., Doyle, S. M., Majda, M., Smith, R. S., Robert, S., & Torii, K. U. (2022). Cell biology of the leaf epidermis: Fate specification. morphogenesis and coordination. *The Plant Cell*, 34(1), 209–227. https://doi.org/10.1093/plcell/koab250.

