Urogenital Malignancy and Cannabis Use: A Narrative Review

Alice Thomson,[™] Aoife McVey, Brennan Timm, Damien Bolton

Department of Urology, Austin Health, Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia

Abstract

Background Cannabis is the most commonly used illicit drug worldwide. An increasing number of jurisdictions are legalising cannabis for both medicinal and recreational use. The changing cannabis market has resulted in both an increase in the number of people consuming these compounds, and an increase in the frequency and quantity of cannabis being used. Endogenous and exogenous cannabinoids act on receptors across the entire body including the genitourinary system; however, there is a paucity of understanding of how cannabinoids affect genitourinary malignancy.

Objective To present a narrative review of the available literature detailing the relationship between cannabis and the incidence, diagnosis, and management of genitourinary malignancy.

Methods A comprehensive search was undertaken using the Ovid MEDLINE, Ovid Embase, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) up to July 2021. Studies included case reports, case series, case-control studies, and in vitro studies.

Results The search identified 40 studies in total: 8 described the relationship between cannabis and testicular carcinoma, 20 related to prostate cancer, 5 to bladder cancer, 5 to renal cancer, 1 to penile cancer, and 1 study examined testicular carcinoma, renal cell carcinoma, bladder cancer, and prostate cancer.

Conclusions Cannabis use has been linked to an increased risk of developing testicular tumours, whilst the evidence for bladder cancer is mixed. There is no apparent increase in risk for prostate cancer, penile cancer, or renal cell carcinoma; however, this evidence was based on a very small number of patients. There remains a lack of understanding of the relationship between cannabis and genitourinary malignancy. With an expected increase in cannabis use, monitoring for testicular tumour plus efforts to further understand its effects upon the genitourinary tract will aid diagnosis and management.

Introduction

Cannabis has been used by human populations for thousands of years for multiple reasons, but more recently for its perceived medicinal benefits. The United Nations World Drug Report 2021 estimates that cannabis is used by 4% of the global population between the ages of 15 and 64 years[1]. Cannabis is permitted for medicinal and/or recreational use in many North American jurisdictions, and its increasing acceptance has resulted in an increase in the frequency and number of people seeking to use it[1].

The active compounds in cannabis are referred to as cannabinoids and the primary active molecule is Δ 9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC)[2]. Both exogenous and endogenous cannabinoids exert their effects in humans via

Key WordsCompeting InterestsArticle InformationUrogenital malignancy, cannabis, marijuanaNone declared.Received on July 31, 2022
Accepted on September 12, 2022
This article has been peer reviewed.
Soc Int Urol J. 2023;4(1):51–64
DOI: 10.48083/ND0J8638

This is an open access article under the terms of a license that permits non-commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. © 2023 The Authors. Société Internationale d'Urologie Journal, published by the Société Internationale d'Urologie, Canada.

Abbreviations

CB cannabinoid receptor hCG human chorionic gonadotropin RCC renal cell carcinoma TGCT testicular germ cell tumours THC tetrahydrocannabinol UC urothelial carcinoma

2 main receptors: cannabinoid receptor 1 (CB1) and cannabinoid receptor 2 (CB2)[2]. CB1 and CB2 are part of the G-protein-coupled receptor superfamily, which affects downstream signalling pathways. Receptors are located all around the body, including within the male urogenital system. The use of cannabis has been advocated for the treatment of chronic pain, mental health disorders, chemotherapy-induced nausea, and cancer-related pain[3]. There have been many papers looking at quality of life effects of cannabis, but few measuring effects on disease process.

Genitourinary malignancies are a major global health challenge, and there has been an increase in their incidence over the past 30 years[4]. Whilst prostate and bladder cancer generally affect older men, renal and testicular cancer typically affect younger men. Each of these entities represent a challenge to public health globally, and multimodal strategies to minimise their risk and improve their management are required to ease their burden on health systems and societies[4].

Given the increasing use of cannabis for both medical and recreational purposes, greater understanding is needed of the potential effect of cannabis on urogenital malignancies. The aim of this review is to establish the current evidence for cannabinoids as a risk for developing genitourinary malignancy, and to assess their use as an antineoplastic agent in urogenital malignancy.

Methods

We intended to complete a systematic review of the effect of cannabis on the incidence of genitourinary malignancy and its use as an antineoplastic agent, with a meta-analysis if appropriate. However, there were insufficient results to permit a quantitative analysis of the outcomes. Therefore, we completed a qualitative narrative review.

Search strategy

A comprehensive search strategy was undertaken using the Ovid MEDLINE, Ovid Embase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) from inception to present, Google Scholar (first 200 citations relevancy ranked); clinical trial registries; references of included studies up to July 1, 2020. The search was

restricted to articles written in English. The following words and MeSH terms were used: "cannabinoid," "cannabidiol," "cannabinol," "dronabinol," "cannabis," "medical cannabis," "(cannabi* or THC or tetrahydrocannabinol or dronabinol or hemp or bhang or marijuana or marihuana or hashish or hash or skunk or marinol or Nabilone or cesamet or sativex or sinsemilla)," which were then crossed with any of the following terms "exp urogenital tract cancer," "exp kidney cancer," "([prostate or penis or penile or testicular or germ cell or urothelial or testis or ureteric or ureter or urinary or renal cell or kidney or bladder or genital tract] and [cancer or carcinoma or tumour or tumor or metastasis])." Studies included observational studies, such as case reports, case series, case-control studies and in vitro studies, and interventional studies such as randomised controlled trials and clinical trials. Search terms were then used in other databases using MEDLINE parameters. We used the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement to report results. The review was prospectively registered on PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020195998.

Data extraction and screening

Sources were independently obtained and reviewed by 2 individual authors to determine eligibility based upon relevance. Publications' titles and abstracts were first reviewed for relevance to the topic. Appropriate publications then underwent a full-text review. Articles that passed this screening process were then included in the manuscript based on the full text. Any disagreement was resolved by the corresponding author. The systematic review results are depicted in Figure 1.

Results

The search identified 40 studies in total. Eight described the relationship between cannabis and testicular carcinoma, 5 related to renal cancer, 5 related to bladder cancer, one related to penile cancer, and 20 related to prostate cancer. One study examined testicular carcinoma, renal cell carcinoma, bladder cancer, and prostate cancer. Studies could be broadly categorised into 2 groups: those examining the effect the consumption of cannabis has on the incidence of urogenital cancers, and those examining the effect of cannabis or cannabinoids on the diagnosis, treatment or follow-up of urogenital cancer.

Cannabis consumption is an independent risk factor for the development of non-seminomatous germ cell testicular tumours [5–8] (Table 1). There is no compelling evidence that it affects β -HCG levels, although this has earlier been suggested in case reports, and therefore its use should not affect protocols for the post-treatment follow-up of these tumours [9,10].





Identification of studies via databases and registers

One study examined the effect of cannabis consumption on prostate cancer and found there was no increased risk with its use[11], whilst a second found a decreased risk[8] (Table 2). Nineteen studies examined the effect of cannabinoids on prostate cancer cells and demonstrated pro-apoptotic properties, identifying a potential novel treatment pathway[12–30].

Three studies indicated that cannabis appears to be protective against bladder cancer, and this was further strengthened by the results of 3 in vitro studies showing that cannabis had pro-apoptotic effects on bladder cancer cell lines[8,31–35] (Table 3). There has been no relationship suggested between cannabis consumption

and penile cancer[36] (Table 4). One study has examined the relationship between renal cell carcinoma (RCC) and cannabis consumption and found a decreased risk[37]; however, in vitro studies demonstrated marked downregulation of CB1 compared with normal renal tissue, potentially defining a new diagnostic marker[38–42] (Table 5).

Discussion Testicular Cancer

Testicular cancer is the most common cancer in young men, and for reasons not yet understood, the incidence is increasing in the Western world[43]. A 2009

TABLE 1.

Summary of studies on the relationship between cannabis and testicular cancers

Author (year)	In vitro versus in vivo	Type of study	Level of evidence (Sackett)	Number of participants	Risk or management	Exclusion criteria	Summary
Callaghan et al. (2017)	In vivo	Cohort	111	49343	Risk	Severe mental or physical conditions	No relationship between lifetime "ever" cannabis use and development of testicular cancer (aHR 1.42; 95% Cl 0.83 to 2.45). Significant association between heavy cannabis use (>50 times in lifetime) and incidence of testicular cancer (aHR 2.57; 95% Cl 1.02 to 6.50) 2.5-fold increased risk.
Lacson et al. (2012)	In vivo	Case- control	III	455	Risk		Ever use of cannabis had a 2-fold increased risk (OR 1.94; 95% CI 1.02 to 3.68) of TGCT of any histological type compared to never use.
Trabert et al. (2011)	In vivo	Case- control	111	335	Risk	Extragonadal germ cell tumours	Frequent users (>1 daily) were more likely to be diagnosed with TGCTs compared with controls (OR 2.2; 95% Cl 1.0 to 5.1). Frequent users (OR 3.1; 95% Cl 1.2 to 8.2) and long- term (>10 years) users (OR 2.4; 95% Cl 1.0 to 6.1) were significantly more likely to have non-seminoma diagnosis.
Daling et al. (2009)	In vivo	Case- control	111	369	Risk	Choriocarcinoma tumours	Current cannabis users were more likely to be diagnosed with TGST (OR 1.7; 95% Cl 1.1 to 2.5) with association between non-seminoma/mixed histology tumors (OR 2.3; 95% Cl 1.3 to 4.0). Age at first use (age <18 OR 2.8 versus age \geq 18 OR 1.3) and frequency of use (daily or weekly OR 3.0 versus less than once per week OR 1.8) modified risk.
Huang et al. (2022)	ln vivo Cohort		III	64730	Risk		Previous cannabis use increased the risk of testicular cancer (HR 1.12; 95% CI 0.49 to 2.52). This did not reach statistical significance.
Braunstein et al. (1985)	In vivo	Cohort	IV	16	Treatment		Cannabis does not affect serum HCG levels, Δ 9-THC in male pooled serum did not affect HCG radioimmunoassay.
Hogan et al. (1983)	In vivo	Cohort	IV	6	Treatment		Synthetic Nabilone (cannabinoid similar in structure to L-A9 tetrahydrocannabinol) had no effect on HCG levels.
Garnick et al. (1980)	In vivo	Cohort	IV	2	Treatment		Following treatment of TGCT, HCG was elevated in two regular cannabis smokers, however normalised following ceasing cannabis.

TABLE 2.

Summary of studies on the relationship between cannabis and prostate cancers

Author (year)	In vitro versus in vivo	Type of study	Level of evidence (Sackett)	Number of participants	Risk versus management	Exclusion criteria	Summary
Sidney et al. (1997)	In vivo	Cohort	111	64855	Risk	Cancer diagnosis one year prior to AIDS/HIV diagnosis	No association between prostate cancer risk between ever users or never users of cannabis (OR 1.3; 95% CI 0.6 to 2.6).
Huang et al. (2022)	In vivo	Cohort	111	151 945	Risk		Previous cannabis use was protective for prostate cancer risk (HR 0.52; 95% CI 0.46 to 0.58). When adjusted for family history, HR 0.83; 95% CI 0.74 to 0.94).
Roberto et al. (2019)	In vitro	Experimental study	V		Treatment		Cannabinoid WIN significantly reduced prostate cancer cell proliferation, migration, invasion, induced apoptosis, and arrested cells in Go/G1 phase in a dose-dependent manner. Administration of WIN resulted in a reduction in the tumor growth rate compared to control in athymic mice ($P < 0.05$).
Morales et al. (2013)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Cannabinoid Chromenopyrazoledione derivative 4 (PM49), inhibits prostate LNCaP cell viability (IC50 ¼ 15 mM) through oxidative stress mechanism, PPARg receptor and partially CB1 receptor. In in vivo treatment, derivative 4 at 2 mg/kg, blocks the growth of LNCaP tumors and reduces the growth of PC-3 tumors generated in mice.
Pietrovito et al. (2020)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Cannabinoid WIN negatively affected CAF-mediated cancer cells' invasiveness and impaired activation and reactivity of cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs).
Baram et al. (2019)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Variability observed with differing cannabis compounds and extracts. Δ 9-trans-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ 9-THC) did not produce the same effects as the whole cannabis extracts. Differing cannabis extracts had differing effects dependant on specific prostate cancer cell line and extract's composition.
Kosgodage et al. (2018)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Cannabidiol significantly reduced exosome release in PC3 cancer cell lines. Modulating effects were dose dependent (1 and 5 μ M). Evidence to suggest cannabidiol may be associated with changes in mitochondrial function, including modulation of STAT3 and prohibitin expression, CBD can be used to sensitize cancer cells to chemotherapy.

continued on page 56

TABLE 2.

Summary of studies on the relationship between cannabis and prostate cancers, Cont'd

Author (year)	In vitro versus in vivo	Type of study	Level of evidence (Sackett)	Number of participants	Risk versus management	Exclusion criteria	Summary
Morell et al. (2016)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		PI3K/Akt/AMPK may be an important axis modulating prostate cancer neuroendocrine differentiation. Cannabinoid WIN was found to block PI3K/Akt/AMPK and therefore cannabinoids may have therapeutic potential against NE prostate.
Orellana- Serradell et al. (2015)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		CB1 and CB2 receptors are more highly expressed in higher Gleason score prostate cancer cell lines. Treatment of these cells with synthetic cannabinoid analogs produces a cell growth inhibitor effect via an apoptotic pathway which is dose-dependent and mediated via the CB1 receptor.
DePetrocellis et al. (2013)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Cannabidiol was associated with down- regulation of androgen receptors, p53 activation and elevation of reactive oxygen species. It was pro-apoptotic in prostate cancer cell lines and enhanced effects of docetaxel and bicalutamide against xenograft tumors and when given alone reduced tumor size in some cell lines.
Nithipatikom et al. (2012)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Endocannabinoids through CB1 activation suppress migration of prostate cancer cells.
Sreevalsan et al. (2011)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Cannabinoids were demonstrated to inhibit prostate cancer cell line growth and induced mRNA expression of several phosphatases. Of note the addition of a phosphatase inhibitor was shown to prevent apoptosis which suggests a potential a role of phosphatases cell death in prostate cancer cells with cannabinoids.
Nithipatikom et al. (2011)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		There was observed dose-dependent inhibition of cell proliferation in prostate cancer cancer cells, in the presence of endocannabinoid hydrolysis inhibitors.
Brown et al. (2010)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Through anti-proliferative effects certain omega-3 fatty acids may act as endocannabinoids in prostate cancer cell lines.

continued on page 57

TABLE 2.

Summary of studies on the relationship between cannabis and prostate cancers, Cont'd

Author (year)	In vitro versus in vivo	Type of study	Level of evidence (Sackett)	Number of participants	Risk versus management	Exclusion criteria	Summary
Chung et al. (2009)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Higher prostate cancer severity (Gleason grade) was associated with higher tumor CB1 receptor immunoreactivity and lower disease specific survival in prostate tissue specimens.
Olea-Herrero et al. (2009)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		By decreasing prostate cancer epithelial cell proliferation CB2 agonists may have a role in the treatment of prostate cancer.
Olea-Herrero et al. (2009)	ln vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		A synthetic CB2 agonist exerts anti- proliferative effects in prostate cancer cells. Treatment of mice with prostate xenograft tumors with a CB2 agonist resulted in significant reduction in tumor growth
Sarfaraz et al (2006)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Mixed CB1/CB2 agonists can cause the arrest of prostate cancer cells in the cell cycle and induction of apoptosis.
Sarfaraz et al. (2005)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Mixed CB1/CB2 agonists induced time and dose-dependent apoptosis, decreased protein and mRNA expression of androgen receptors, reduction of intracellular protein and mRNA expression of PSA, and decreased PSA level in prostate cancer cells.
Velasco et al. (2001)	In Vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Cannabinoid C1 receptor mediated Δ 9-tetrahydrocannabinol stimulation of nerve growth factor production in prostate cancer PC-3 cells.
Melck et al. (2000)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Prostate cancer cell proliferation was inhibited by endogenous ligands of cannabinoid receptors when induced by exogenous prolactin.
Ruiz et al. (1999)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol demonstrated dose-dependent apoptotic effect on prostate cancer cells PC-3.

population-based case-control study of 369 patients aged 18 to 44 found that men with testicular germ cell tumours (TGCT) were almost twice as likely to be current cannabis smokers (OR 1.7; 95% CI 1.1 to 2.5)[5]. When further stratified by histological type, there was a stronger association between non-seminomatous or mixed tumours (OR 2.3; 95% CI 1.4 to 4.0). Younger age at first use and frequency of use appear to increase risk (age < 18 years [OR 2.8] versus age \geq 18 years [OR 1.3]) as does daily or weekly use (OR 3.0) versus less than once per week use (OR 1.8)[5].

Trabert et al. compared males diagnosed with TGCT and male friend controls, finding that patients with TGCT were more likely to be frequent cannabis users compared with controls (OR 2.2; 95% CI 1.0 to 5.1).

TABLE 3.

Summary of studies on the relationship between cannabis and bladder cancer

Author (year)	In vitro versus in vivo	Type of study	Level of evidence (Sackett)	Number of participants	Risk versus management	Exclusion criteria	Summary
Thomas et al. (2015)	In vivo	Cohort	111	84170	Risk		At 11 year follow up time cannabis use only (no tobacco use) was associated with a 45% reduction in bladder cancer incidence (HR 0.55; 95% Cl, 0.31 to 1.00).
Chacko et al. (2006)	In vivo	Case-control	III	124	Risk		In patients with urothelial carcinoma, cannabis use significantly correlated with tumor stage, grade, and number of recurrences suggesting possible increased risk of TCC.
Huang et al. (2022)	In vivo	Cohort	III	151 945	Risk		Previous use of cannabis was associated with a reduced risk of bladder cancer (HR 0.66; 95% Cl 0.51 to 0.86). When adjusted for tobacco smoking and gender, HR 0.77; 95% Cl 0.58 to 1.02.
Bettiga et al. (2017)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Exposure to cannabinoid receptor CB2 agonists inhibited bladder cancer growth, down-modulated Akt, induced caspase 3-activation and modified SL metabolism, overall leading to bladder cancer cell apoptosis.
Gasperi et al. (2015)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Endocannabinoids can exacerbate pro- inflammatory status in human urothelial cell carcinoma cell lines by binding to CB1 and CB2 receptors and allowing T lymphocytes to adhere to treated cancer cells. CB1 inverse agonist decreases cancer proliferation by delaying cell cycle progression.
Yamada et al. (2010)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Vanilloid receptor is more abundantly expressed in high-grade urothelial carcinoma cells, and administration of cannabidiol results in dose-dependent apoptosis via influx of calcium.

Additionally, they found that patients with nonseminoma were significantly more likely than controls to be frequent and long-term users (OR 3.1; 95%CI 1.2 to 8.2 and PR 2.4 and 95% CI 1.0 to 6.1)[7].

A further population-based case-control study from 2012 including 163 patients with 269 age and ethnicity-matched controls demonstrated that compared with no THC use, previous THC use increased the risk of TGCT (OR 1.94; 95% CI 1.02 to 3.68). When stratified by histological sub-type, there was a specific association between non-seminoma and mixed histology tumours (OR 2.42; 95% CI 1.08 to 2.42)[6]. A meta-analysis of the above studies found that for current, chronic, and frequent users, there is an association with the development of TGCT, compared with those who have never used[44]. Previous use of cannabis increased the odds of TGCT development by 62% (OR 1.62; 95% CI 1.13 to 2.31) and a use frequency of weekly or more appeared to double the odds of TGCT development (OR 1.92; 95% CI 1.35 to 2.72). Duration of cannabis use (> 10 years versus never used) increased likelihood of TGCT development (OR 1.5; 95% CI 1.08 to 2.09)[44].

A cohort study by Huang et al. has examined United Kingdom biobank specimens of individuals with infor-

TABLE 4.

Author (year)	In vitro versus in vivo	Type of study	Level of evidence (Sackett)	Number of participants	Risk versus management	Exclusion criteria	Summary
Maden et al. (1993)	In vivo	Case-control	111	465	Risk		Use of cannabis was not significantly associated with risk of penile cancer (OR 1.5, 95% Cl 0.7 to 2.3).

Summary of studies on the relationship between cannabis and penile cancer

mation on cannabis use[8]. After examining 64 730 samples, it demonstrated a minimally increased risk of developing testicular carcinoma (HR 1.12; 95% CI 0.49 to 2.52), this was not statistically significant (P = 0.793).

A cohort study of 49343 Swedish males born between 1949 and 1951 found that there was no evidence of a significant relationship between previous cannabis use and the subsequent development of testicular cancer (119 testicular cancer cases, adjusted HR 1.42; 95% CI 0.83 to 2.45)[45]. However, heavy cannabis use (> 50 times in a lifetime) was associated with an increased incidence of testicular cancer (HR 2.57; 95% CI 1.08 to 5.42)[45].

In a 2-patient case series by Garnick et al. elevated serum β -hCG levels returned to normal following cessation of cannabis use[10]. As β -hCG is a tumour marker for some testicular cancers, its implications in follow-up were believed to be significant. Two subsequent small series examining a combined 22 patients determined that THC did not affect β -hCG levels[10,46]. Further investigation is warranted in this younger population where reported cannabis use rates are increasing.

Cannabis use appears to be an important risk factor for TGCT. Whilst research to date demonstrates an apparent effect on incidence, more should be done to understand the mechanism of action and to potentially generate novel targets for treatment.

Penile Cancer

Penile cancer accounts for < 1% of cancers in men annually[43]. A 2003 single case-control study by Maden et al. found no relationship between cannabis use and penile cancer[36]. At the time of this review there were no publications examining cannabis as a treatment arm for penile cancers. This highlights the need for further research and understanding in this area.

Prostate Cancer

Prostate cancer is the second most commonly diagnosed cancer in men worldwide, accounting for approximately 15% of male cancer diagnoses each year [43]. Only one observational study has examined the relationship

between cannabis use and prostate cancer incidence. This retrospective study examined several different malignancies, and found that when adjusted for confounding factors, previous use of cannabis did not confer a higher risk of prostate cancer in comparison with non-use (RR 1.3, 95% CI 0.6 to 2.6)[11]. Huang et al. demonstrated a reduction in incidence with previous use of cannabis (HR 0.52; 95% CI 0.46 to 0.58), which was statistically significant (P < 0.001). When adjusted for family history, HR 0.83; 95% CI 0.74 to 0.94)[8].

A number of studies have examined cannabis and its derivatives, as well as cannabinoid receptors, as potential treatment targets for prostate cancer. Synthetic cannabinoid WIN 55-212,2 (WIN) has been shown to reduce prostate cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion, and to induce apoptosis and arrest cells in Go/G1 phase in a dose-dependent manner by acting as an agonist on receptors CB1 and CB2[15-22,27,29,30]. Mechanistic studies revealed these effects were mediated through a pathway involving cell cycle regulators p27, Cdk4, and pRb[12,13,25,26,28]. However, it should be noted that in vitro studies demonstrated significant variability between differing cannabis compounds and extracts, with effects dependent on specific prostate cancer cell lines in addition to the extract's composition[14,24].

There is currently a paucity of high-level evidence for the risks of cannabis use and potential for developing prostate cancer, although promising models for cannabinoids as a targeted treatment for prostate cancer do exist.

Bladder Cancer

Urothelial carcinoma (UC) of the bladder is the tenth most commonly diagnosed cancer worldwide and the seventh most common in men[43]. A case-control study by Chacko et al. (2006) of Vietnam-era veterans found that 88.5% of participants with UC reported habitual use of cannabis, compared with 69.2% of agematched controls (P = 0.008)[32]. Importantly, however, this study's small sample size (n = 124) could not be

TABLE 5.

Summary of studies on the relationship between cannabis and renal cancer

Author (year)	In vitro versus in vivo	Type of study	Level of evidence (Sackett)	Number of participants	Risk versus management	Exclusion criteria	Summary
Taha et al. (2019)	In vivo	Observational	IV	42** • included patients with mMelanoma and mRCC. Unclear how many had mRCC alone.	Treatment		Use of cannabis during immunotherapy (nivolumab) in mccRCC decreased treatment response rate and did not affect progression free or overall survival.
Huang et al. (2022)	In vivo	Cohort	111	151 945	Risk		Previous use of cannabis was associated with a reduced risk of RCC (HR 0.51 95% CI 0.35-0.76). When adjusted for gender, smoking status and BMI, HR 0.61 95% CI 0.40-0.93.
Wang et al. (2019)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Cannabinoid CB2 receptor expression is functionally related to cellular proliferation, migration, and cell cycle of RCC cells and therefore may have role in assessing therapeutic effects or target in RCC.
Larrinaga et al. (2013)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		Cannabinoid CB1 receptor mRNA was under-expressed by 12-fold in Chromosomal renal cell carcinoma (ChRCC) and variable expression in renal oncocytoma and therefore may have a role in differention of tumours.
Larrinaga et al. (2010)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		In ccRCC tumour tissue expression of mRNA encoding cannabinoid CB1 receptor was observed with marked downregulation of CB1 protein in tumor tissue and non- tumour tissue. CB2 receptor expression was negative in all cases. Findings indicate implications of cannibinoids in kidney physiology.
Choi et al. (2008)	In vitro	Experimental	V		Treatment		The cytotoxicity of cannabidiol increased in a dose- and time-dependent manner with growth inhibition to a mild-moderate degree in Rencal renal cancer cells.

adjusted to remove to bacco use — the most common risk factor for UC — as a confounder. The authors postulated that THC had a carcinogenic effect due to metabolites remaining in the urine for up to 60 hours post consumption, as opposed to 12 hours for nicotine metabolites.

In a separate cohort study of 47 092 men examining those who used cannabis (41%), tobacco (57%), both (27%), or neither (29%), only tobacco use was associated

with an increased risk of bladder cancer (HR 1.52; 95% CI 1.12 to 2.07)[31]. Cannabis use was in fact associated with a 45% reduction in bladder cancer incidence (HR 0.55; 95% CI 0.21 to 1.00)[31].

In the study of United Kingdom biobank specimens, bladder cancer risk was again reduced in the setting of previous cannabis use (HR 0.66;95% CI 0.51 to 0.86)[8]. When adjusted for tobacco smoking and sex, HR 0.77; 95% CI 0.58 to 1.02. However, current use of cannabis seemed to be associated with an increased risk. This was difficult to interpret, as the investigators did not have access to the timing of use, and therefore may have been confounded by cannabis use in the setting of managing the symptoms of malignancy.

A number of in vitro studies also have shown cannabinoids to be protective against UC. Yamada et al. showed that villinoid receptors are expressed more in high grade UC. They also demonstrated a dose-dependent relationship between cannabis administration and apoptosis mediated by calcium influx, via villinoid receptors[35]. This was supported by another study examining the activation of CB2 in primary UC of the bladder, where it was found to lead to cell death[33]. These principles were further expanded upon by Gasperi et al. (2015), who demonstrated that endocannabinoids create a pro-inflammatory state in human UC by binding to CB1 and CB2 receptors therefore decreasing cancer proliferation by delaying cell cycle progression[34].

There appears to be an apparent protective effect of THC against the development of bladder cancer. In view of the high proportion of THC users who concurrently use tobacco, care must be taken, however, in interpretation of any studies that do not stratify for these 2 variables.

Renal Cancer

Renal cell carcinoma accounts for 3% of cancer diagnoses each year, and there has been an increase of 2% in cases each year over the past 20 years; however, mortality rates have remained stable or are decreasing[43].

The examination of biobank specimens by Huang et al. was the first study to look at the association between cannabis and RCC[8]. They found that previous cannabis use has a significant inverse association with developing RCC (HR 0.52; 95% CI 0.35 to 0.76, P < 0.001).

Taha et al. (2019) performed an observational study of 42 patients with either metastatic RCC, non-small cell lung cancer or metastatic melanoma who were undergoing immunotherapy and found that in these patients, the use of cannabis reduced the response rate to treatment. However, cannabis did not affect the progression-free survival or overall survival[38]. It should be noted that the data from this study did not stratify patients based on cancer type and involved only small numbers.

Several in vitro studies have been performed to examine the effect of cannabis and its derivatives on receptors of RCC cell lines. Choi et al. in 2008 reported that RCC cells exhibited mild-to-moderate dose and time-dependent growth inhibition in response to cannabidiol[42]. Another study found that CB2 expression on clear cell RCC cells was functionally related to cellular proliferation, migration, and the cell cycle. Expression of CB2 was up-regulated in RCC compared with normal surrounding tissue, and was an independent prognostic factor for patients, and therefore represents a potential therapeutic target[39].

Conversely, CB1 was under-expressed in RCC tissue as compared with surrounding normal tissue. Given the difficulty in establishing a clear differential diagnosis of RCC in clinical practice, expression of CB1 and CB2 represent a potential diagnostic tool for RCC[40].

Ultimately, there is a lack of understanding of the effect of cannabis use on the incidence of RCC, however CB1 and CB2 present potential targets for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes.

Confounding effect of tobacco

Tobacco smoke is a known significant carcinogenic substance for the development of many cancers[47]. Cannabis and tobacco use commonly occur together. It was estimated that 57.9% of cigarette smokers reported a lifetime history of cannabis use, but 90% of cannabis users reported a history of smoking cigarettes[48]. Adjustment for tobacco cigarette smoking was reported in all but one of the studies that examined the incidence of genitourinary malignancy. Given their likely association, adjusting for tobacco cigarette smoking is both difficult and important, and should be considered as a key endeavour to ensure study validity in future research.

Effect of THC delivery

The delivery of THC is changing. Whilst previously cannabis was almost exclusively smoked, novel delivery methods are being explored by consumers, including vaping and oral intake, including capsules and liquid mucosal sprays, as well as being combined in food or liquid forms^[49]. Alternative delivery methods can alter both the amount of THC being delivered to a consumer and the potential contaminates that reach the body. Although vaping is thought to avoid toxic components of smoking such as tar, there are still contaminates, including pesticides, heavy metals, and ammonia potentially acting as carcinogens. As the cannabis industry continues to grow, it will be critical for manufacturers to work towards minimising contaminants of the cultivation process, as well as to standardise the quality of products being delivered to consumers^[49].

Limitations

This review highlighted several limitations in the available literature. Studies that have examined the risk of genitourinary malignancy and cannabis use are observational in nature, with no high-level evidence available. Also, there is a large variation in the number of patients included, with many studies having very few patients, making it difficult to generalise results to the population. Additionally, the studies that examine the use of cannabis in the diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up of genitourinary malignancy are still in the experimental phase, limiting their ability to be translated into practical use at this point.

This review has several limitations. The search was conducted of the data bases Ovid MEDLINE, Ovid Embase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) from inception to present, Google Scholar (first 200 citations relevancy ranked), and clinical trial registries. Although it is unlikely, it is possible that other articles may have been identified if other databases had been searched. Additionally, only articles published in English were included, meaning that some relevant studies may have been excluded. Finally, given that no further papers were excluded following full text review, it remains possible that the abstract screening was too narrow and therefore some relevant papers may not have been included.

Future directions

This review has highlighted the lack of evidence about the effect of cannabis on the risk and management of genitourinary malignancy. Further studies that explore the effect of cannabis, including in different forms such as oils and edibles, should be designed to better understand this area. It may help clinicians to stratify patients' risk of having malignancy given their history of cannabis use. Additionally, it is important for the policymakers to understand the unintended consequences of the ingestion of these substances, as there remains a lack of evidence surrounding the harm of using cannabis.

Likewise, further understanding of the effects of cannabis on the diagnosis, management, and follow-up of genitourinary malignancy will be important for translation of this research into in vivo models and the development of practical uses for this information.

Conclusion

With the increasing legalisation of cannabis for both recreational and medical uses, it is imperative to understand its effect upon the incidence of and potential use in the management of genitourinary malignancies. Cannabis has been associated with both increased and decreased risk of different genitourinary malignancies. The use of cannabis and cannabinoids for the investigation, treatment, and follow-up of these malignancies is not fully understood. This review demonstrates the incomplete nature of evidence that exists in this area. Further research is required to be able to understand and potentially harness the use of cannabis.

References

- 1. United Nation Office on Drugs and Crime. World Drug Report 2021: Cannabis and opioids [Internet]. 2021. Available at: https://www.unodc.org/res/wdr2021/field/WDR21_Booklet_4.pdf https://www.unodc.org/res/wdr2021/field/WDR21_Booklet_3.pdf. Accessed December 9, 2022.
- Rossato M, Pagano C, Vettor R. The cannabinoid system and male reproductive functions. J Neuroendocrinol. 2008;20(SUPPL. 1):90–93.
- 3. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. National Drug Strategy Household Survey 2019. Canberra; 2020.
- Zi H, He SH, Leng XY, Xu XF, Huang Q, Weng H, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of kidney, bladder, and prostate cancers and their attributable risk factors, 1990–2019. Mil Med Res.2021;8(1):1–15. doi. org/10.1186/s40779-021-00354-z
- Daling JR, Doody DR, Sun X, Trabert BL, Weiss NS, Chen C, et al. Association of marijuana use and the incidence of testicular germ cell tumors. *Cancer*.2009;115(6):1215–1223.
- Lacson JCA, Carroll JD, Tuazon E, Castelao EJ, Bernstein L, Cortessis VK. Population-based case-control study of recreational drug use and testis cancer risk confirms an association between marijuana use and nonseminoma risk. Cancer.2012;118(21):5374–5383.

- Trabert B, Sigurdson AJ, Sweeney AM, Strom SS, Mcglynn KA. Marijuana use and testicular germ cell tumors. *Cancer*.2011;117(4):848– 853. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3016.2011.01210.x
- Huang J, Huang D, Ruan X, Huang J, Xu D, Heavey S, et al. Association between cannabis use with urological cancers: a population-based cohort study and a mendelian randomization study in the UK biobank. *Cancer Med*:2022 Aug 17. doi: 10.1002/cam4.5132. Online ahead of print.
- Garnick MB. Spurious rise in human chorionic gonadotropin induced by marihuana in patients with testicular cancer. N Engl J Med. 1980;303(20):1177.
- Braustein G, Thompson R, Gross S, Soares J. Marijuana use does not spuriously elevate serum human chorionic gonadotropin levels. *Urology*.1985;25(6).
- Sidney S, Quesenberry CP, Friedman GD, Tekawa IS. Marijuana use and cancer incidence (California, United States). *Cancer Causes Control*.1997;8(5):722–728. doi: 10.1023/a:1018427320658.

- Roberto D, Klotz LH, Venkateswaran V. Cannabinoid WIN 55,212-2 induces cell cycle arrest and apoptosis, and inhibits proliferation, migration, invasion, and tumor growth in prostate cancer in a cannabinoid-receptor 2 dependent manner. Prostate.2019 Feb;79(2):151-159. doi: 10.1002/pros.23720. Epub 2018 Sep 21.
- Morales P, Vara D, Goméz-Cañas M, Zúñiga MC, Olea-Azar C, Goya P, et al. Synthetic cannabinoid quinones: Preparation, in vitro antiproliferative effects and in vivo prostate antitumor activity. Eur J Med Chem. 2013;70:111–119. doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2013.09.043
- Brown I, Cascio MG, Wahle KWJ, Smoum R, Mechoulam R, Ross RA, et al. Cannabinoid receptor-dependent and -independent anti-proliferative effects of omega-3 ethanolamides in androgen receptor-positive and -negative prostate cancer cell lines. Carcinogenesis.2010;31(9):1584–1591.
- 15. Chung SC, Hammarsten P, Josefsson A, Stattin P, Granfors T, Egevad L, et al. A high cannabinoid CB1 receptor immunoreactivity is associated with disease severity and outcome in prostate cancer. *Eur J Cancer*.2009;45(1):174–182.
- 16.Olea-Herrero N, Vara D, Malagarie-Cazenave S, Díaz-Laviada I. Inhibition of human tumour prostate PC-3 cell growth by cannabinoids R()-Methanandamide and JWH-015: Involvement of CB 2. *Br J Cancer*.2009;101(6):940–950.
- Olea-Herrero N, Vara D, Malagarie-Cazenave S, Díaz-Laviada I. The cannabinoid R()methanandamide induces IL-6 secretion by prostate cancer PC3 cells. J Immunotoxicol.2009;6(4):249–256.
- Sarfaraz S, Afaq F, Adhami VM, Mukhtar H. Cannabinoid receptor as a novel target for the treatment of prostate cancer. *Cancer Res*.2005;65(5):1635–1641.
- Sarfaraz S, Afaq F, Adhami VM, Malik A, Mukhtar H. Cannabinoid receptor agonist-induced apoptosis of human prostate cancer cells LNCaP proceeds through sustained activation of ERK1/2 leading to G 1 cell cycle arrest. *J Biol Chem*.2006;281(51):39480–36491.
- 20. Velasco L, Ruiz L, Sánchez MG, Díaz-Laviada I. Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol increases nerve growth factor production by prostate PC-3 cells: Involvement of CB1 cannabinoid receptor and Raf-1. *Eur J Biochem*.2001;268(3):531–535.
- Melck D, De Petrocellis L, Orlando P, Bisogno T, Laezza C, Bifulco M, et al. Suppression of nerve growth factor Trk receptors and prolactin receptors by endocannabinoids leads to inhibition of human breast and prostate cancer cell proliferation. *Endocrinology*.2000;141(1):118–126.
- 22.Ruiz L, Miguel A, Díaz-Laviada I. Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol induces apoptosis in human prostate PC-3 cells via a receptor-independent mechanism. *FEBS Lett*.1999;458(3):400–404.
- 23.Pietrovito L, lozzo M, Bacci M, Giannoni E, Chiarugi P. Treatment with cannabinoids as a promising approach for impairing fibroblast activation and prostate cancer progression. Int J Mol Sci.2020;21(3):1–16.
- 24.Baram L, Peled E, Berman P, Yellin B, Besser E, Benami M, et al. The heterogeneity and complexity of Cannabis extracts as antitumor agents. *Oncotarget*.2019;10(41):4091–4106.

- 25.Kosgodage US, Mould R, Henley AB, Nunn A V., Guy GW, Thomas EL, et al. Cannabidiol (CBD) is a novel inhibitor for exosome and microvesicle (EMV) release in cancer. *Front Pharmacol*.2018;9(AUG):1–17.
- 26.Morell C, Bort A, Vara D, Ramos-Torres A, Rodríguez-Henche N, Díaz-Laviada I. The cannabinoid WIN 55,212-2 prevents neuroendocrine differentiation of LNCaP prostate cancer cells. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis*.2016;19(3):248–257.
- 27. Orellana-Serradell O, Poblete CE, Sanchez C, Castellón EA, Gallegos I, Huidobro C, et al. Proapoptotic effect of endocannabinoids in prostate cancer cells. *Oncol Rep*.2015;33(4):1599–1608.
- De Petrocellis L, Ligresti A, Schiano Moriello A, Iappelli M, Verde R, Stott CG, et al. Non-THC cannabinoids inhibit prostate carcinoma growth in vitro and in vivo: pro-apoptotic effects and underlying mechanisms. *Br J Pharmacol*.2013;168(1):79–102.
- Nithipatikom K, Gomez-Granados AD, Tang AT, Pfeiffer AW, Williams CL, Campbell WB. Cannabinoid receptor type 1 (CB1) activation inhibits small GTPase RhoA activity and regulates motility of prostate carcinoma cells. *Endocrinology*.2012;153(1):29–41.
- Sreevalsan S, Joseph S, Jutooru I, Chadalapaka G, Safe SH. Induction of apoptosis by cannabinoids in prostate and colon cancer cells is phosphatase dependent. *Anticancer Res*. 2011;31(11):3799–3807.
- Thomas AA, Wallner LP, Quinn VP, Slezak J, Van Den Eeden SK, Chien GW, et al. Association between cannabis use and the risk of bladder cancer: results from the California men's health study. Urology.2015;85(2):388–393. doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2014.08.060
- Chacko JA, Heiner JG, Siu W, Macy M, Terris MK. Association between marijuana use and transitional cell carcinoma. Urology.2006;67(1):100–104.
- Bettiga A, Aureli M, Colciago G, Murdica V, Moschini M, Lucianò R, et al. Bladder cancer cell growth and motility implicate cannabinoid 2 receptor-mediated modifications of sphingolipids metabolism. Sci Rep.2017;7(February):1–11. doi.org/10.1038/srep42157
- Gasperi V, Evangelista D, Oddi S, Florenzano F, Chiurchiù V, Avigliano L, et al. Regulation of inflammation and proliferation of human bladder carcinoma cells by type-1 and type-2 cannabinoid receptors. *Life Sci*.2015;138:41–51. doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2014.09.031
- 35. Yamada T, Ueda T, Shibata Y, Ikegami Y, Saito M, Ishida Y, et al. TRPV2 activation induces apoptotic cell death in human T24 bladder cancer cells: a potential therapeutic target for bladder cancer. *Urology*.2010;76(2):509.e1-509.e7. doi.org/10.1016/j. urology.2010.03.029
- 36. Maden C, Sherman KJ, Beckmann AM, Hislop TG, Teh CZ, Ashley RL, et al. History of circumcision, medical conditions, and sexual activity and risk of penile cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst*.1993;85(1):19–24.
- Woythal N, Arsenic R, Kempkensteffen C, Miller K, Janssen JC, Huang K, et al. Immunohistochemical validation of PSMA expression measured by 68 Ga-PSMA PET/CT in primary prostate cancer. *J Nucl Med*.2018;59(2):238–243.

- Taha T, Meiri D, Talhamy S, Wollner M, Peer A, Bar-Sela G. Cannabis impacts tumor response rate to nivolumab in patients with advanced malignancies. *Oncologist*.2019;24(4):549–554.
- Wang J, Xu Y, Zhu L, Zou Y, Kong W, Dong B, et al. Cannabinoid receptor 2 as a novel target for promotion of renal cell carcinoma prognosis and progression. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2018;144(1):39–52.
- 40. Larrinaga G, Sanz B, Blanco L, Perez I, Candenas ML, Pinto FM, et al. Cannabinoid CB1 receptor is expressed in chromophobe renal cell carcinoma and renal oncocytoma. *Clin Biochem*.2013;46(7–8):638–641. doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2012.12.023
- 41. Larrinaga G, Varona A, Perez I, Sanz B, Ufalde A, Candenas ML, et al. Expression of cannabinoid receptors in human kidney. Histol Histopathol.2010;25:1133–1138.
- Choi WH, Park H Do, Baek SH, Chu JP, Kang MH, Mi YJ. Cannabidiol induces cytotoxicity and cell death via apoptotic pathway in cancer cell lines. *Biomol Ther*.2008;16(2):87–94.
- 43. EAU Renal Cell Cancer Guidelines. 2021. Available at: https://uroweb. org/guidelines. Accessed December 20, 2022.

- 44. Gurney J, Shaw C, Stanley J, Signal V, Sarfati D. Cannabis exposure and risk of testicular cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer*.2015;15(1). doi.org/10.1186/s12885-015-1905-6
- 45. Callaghan RC, Allebeck P, Akre O, McGlynn KA, Sidorchuk A. Cannabis use and incidence of testicular cancer: a 42-year follow-up of Swedish men between 1970 and 2011. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*.2017;26(11):1644–1652.
- 46. Hogan P, Sharpe M, Smedley H, Sikora K. Cannabinoids and hCG levels in patients with testicular cancer. *Lancet*.1983;1144.
- Gandini S, Botteri E, Iodice S, Boniol M, Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P, et al. Tobacco smoking and cancer: a meta-analysis. *Int J Cancer*.2008;122(1):155–164.
- 48. Agrawal A, Budney AJ, Lynskey MT. The co-occurring use and misuse of cannabis and tobacco: A review. *Addiction*.2012;107(7):1221–1233.
- Dryburgh LM, Bolan NS, Grof CPL, Galettis P, Schneider J, Lucas CJ, et al. Cannabis contaminants: sources, distribution, human toxicity and pharmacologic effects. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*.2018;84(11):2468–2476.