Maintenance of Response With Certolizumab Pegol for the Treatment of Chronic Plaque Psoriasis: 48-Week Results From Two Ongoing Phase 3, Multicenter, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Studies (CIMPASI-1 and CIMPASI-2)

Kristian Reich,¹ Andrew Blauvelt,² Diamant Thaci,³ Craig Leonardi,⁴ Yves Poulin,⁵ Daniel Burge,⁶ Luke Peterson,⁷ Janice Drew,⁶ Catherine Arendt,⁸ Alice B. Gottlieb⁸

Dermatologikum Hamburg and SClderm Research Institute, Hamburg, Germany, 20regon Medical Research Center, Portland, OR; 2University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany, 2Central Dermatology and Saint Louis University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO; *Centre de Recherche Dermatologique du Québec Métropolitain, Québec, Canada; *Dermira, Inc., Menlo Park, CA; *UCB BioSciences, Inc., Raleigh, NC; *UCB Pharma, Brussels, Belgium; *New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY

INTRODUCTION

 Psoriasis affects ~3% of adults in the US¹² and ~2-6% in Europe,³ and most patients develop the disease in the third decade of life4

· Therapy for patients with chronic plaque psoriasis varies per the severity of the disease with topical therapies and/or phototherapy used to treat limited or mild psoriasis and photochemotherapy, cyclosporine, methotrexate, apremilast, or biologics such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors, anti-IL17s, and anti-IL12/23s used to treat moderate to-severe forms

· Certolizumab pegol (CZP) is the only PEGylated, Fc-free, anti-TNF biologic currently under development for the treatment of moderate-to-severe chronic plaque psoriasis and has demonstrated positive results in previous psoriasis trials5.6

 CIMPASI-1 (NCT02326298) and CIMPASI-2 (NCT02326272) are ongoing phase 3 trials designed to assess the efficacy and safety of CZP compared with placeho: results from the first 48 weeks of the two studies are presented here

METHODS

Study Design

· CIMPASI-1 and CIMPASI-2 are replicate, phase 3, multicenter studies conducted in North America and Europe, consisting of randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled periods for the first 48 weeks followed by 96 weeks of open-label observation

Patients were randomized 2:2:1 to CZP 400 mg every 2 weeks (Q2W), CZP 200 mg Q2W (following 400 mg loading dose at Weeks 0, 2, 4), or placebo Q2W for 16 weeks (Figure 1) · At Week 16, patients continued to receive treatment through Week 48 according to the

- following criteria:
- CZP 400 mg Q2W- and CZP 200 mg Q2W-treated psoriasis area and severity index (PASI) 50 responders (≥50% reduction in PASI) continued to receive their initial blinded treatment
- Placebo-treated Week 16 PASI 75 responders (≥75% reduction in PASI) continued blinded placebo treatment: PASI 50-75 responders (≥50% but <75% reduction in PASI) received CZP 200 mg Q2W (following 400 mg loading dose at Weeks 16, 18, 20) PASI 50 nonresponders at Week 16 entered the Escape Arm and received unblinded
- CZP 400 mg Q2W

· PASI 50 nonresponders at Week 32, 40, or 48 were withdrawn from the study



Patients

· Eligible patients were ≥18 years of age and had moderate-to-severe chronic plaque psoriasis for ≥6 months (PASI ≥12, affected body surface area [BSA] ≥10%, physician's global assessment [PGA; 5-point scale] ≥3)

· Patients had to be candidates for systemic psoriasis therapy, phototherapy, and/or photochemotherapy · Patients were excluded if they had previous treatment with CZP or >2 biologics (including anti-TNF); had history of primary failure to any biologic or secondary failure to >1 biologic

had erythrodermic, guttate, or generalized pustular psoriasis types; or had history of current, chronic, or recurrent viral, bacterial, or fungal infections

Study Assessments

 Coprimary endpoints were PASI 75 and PGA 0/1 ('clear' or 'almost clear' with ≥2-category improvement) at Week 16

· Secondary endpoints reported here were PASI 90 at Week 16 and PASI 75 and PGA 0/1 at Week 48; PASI 90 at Week 48 was included as an additional endpoint

· Safety evaluation included assessment of treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) Statistical Analysis

· All efficacy analyses were performed on the Randomized Set (all randomized patients) · Logistic regression models with factors of treatment group, region, and prior biologic exposure (yes/no) were used to analyze PASI 75, PGA 0/1, and PASI 90 responder rates

- (Week 16) - The Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method for multiple imputation was used to
- account for missing data7 · Safety assessments were performed on the Safety Set, which included all randomized
- nationte who received >1 does of study medication

RESULTS

- Patient Disposition, Demographics, and Baseline Characteristics . In both studies, at least 90% of patients in each treatment arm completed Week 16, and the highest Week 16 completion rates were in the CZP 400 mg Q2W treatment group
- (Figure 2) Of those patients who entered the blinded Maintenance Period in CIMPASI-1ICIMPASI-2 90.9% 88.4% of CZP 400 Q2W patients and 95.9% 84.2% CZP 200 mg Q2W patients
- completed Week 48 (Figure 2) · Baseline PASI and PGA scores were comparable across treatment groups for both studies

(Table 1) · Roughly one-third of study participants reported prior biologic use, and the proportio

across treatment arms was similar (Table 1)

Figure 2. Patient Disposition



Table 1. Patient Demographics and Baseline Disease Characteristics

	CIMPASI-1			CIMPASI-2		
			C2P 400 mg Q2W (N+88)			C2P 400 mg Q2N (N=87)
Demographics						
Age (years), mean ± SD	47.9 ± 12.8	44.5 ± 13.1	43.6 ± 12.1	43.3 ± 14.5	46.7 ± 13.3	46.4 ± 13.5
Male, n (%)	35 (68.6)	67 (70.5)	60 (68.2)	26 (53.1)	58 (63.7)	43 (49.4)
White, n (%)	45 (88.2)	87 (91.6)	79 (89.8)	44 (89.8)	86 (94.5)	81 (93.1)
Geographic region, n (%) North America Europe	26 (51.0) 25 (49.0)	49 (51.6) 46 (48.4)	45 (51.1) 43 (48.9)	35 (71.4) 14 (28.6)	61 (67.0) 30 (33.0)	61 (70.1) 26 (29.9)
Weight (kg), mean ± SD	95.2 ± 19.5	92.6 ± 21.0	92.2 ± 21.7	87.1 ± 26.4	97.8 ± 25.6	91.8 ± 27.3
BMI (kg/m²), mean ± SD	32.2 ± 6.8	31.1 ± 7.3	30.7 ± 6.7	30.2 ± 8.0	32.8 ± 8.3	31.7 ± 8.9
Baseline Disease C	haracteris	tics				
Duration of psoriasis at screening (years), mean ± SD	18.5 ± 12.9	16.6 ± 12.3	18.4 ± 12.9	15.4 ± 12.2	18.8 ± 13.5	18.6 ± 12.
Concurrent PsA,* n (%)	4 (7.8)	10 (10.5)	15 (17.0)	9 (18.4)	22 (24.2)	26 (29.9)
PASI score, mean ± SD	19.8 ± 7.5	20.1 ± 8.2	19.6 ± 7.9	17.3 ± 5.3	18.4 ± 5.9	19.5 ± 6.7
DLQI score, mean ± SD	13.9 ± 8.3	13.3 ± 7.4	13.1 ± 6.5	12.9 ± 7.3	15.2 ± 7.2	14.2 ± 7.2
BSA (%), mean ± SD	26.1 ± 16.1	25.4 ± 16.9	24.1 ± 16.6	20.0 ± 9.5	21.4 ± 12.2	23.1 ± 11.
PGA score, n (%) 3: moderate 4: severe	35 (68.6) 16 (31.4)	62 (65.3) 33 (34.7)	65 (73.9) 23 (26.1)	37 (75.5) 12 (24.5)	66 (72.5) 25 (27.5)	61 (70.1) 26 (29.9)
Prior biologic use, ¹ n (%) anti-TNF anti-IL17	15 (29.4) 10 (19.6) 3 (5.9)	30 (31.6) 19 (20.0) 8 (8.4)	29 (33.0) 17 (19.3) 4 (4.5)	14 (28.6) 9 (18.4) 2 (4.1)	32 (35.2) 22 (24.2) 8 (8.8)	30 (34.5) 22 (25.3) 4 (4.6)
Any prior systemic psoriasis treatment, n (%)	36 (70.6)	66 (69.5)	61 (69.3)	36 (73.5)	65 (71.4)	63 (72.4)

r exclusion criteria mab pegol; DLQI, Dermatology Life Quality Index;

Coprimary Endpoints

 At Week 16, responder rates were greater for CZP 400 mg Q2W and 200 mg Q2W versus placebo for PASI 75 and PGA 0/1 (p<0.0001 for all) (Figure 3, Figure 4)

Secondary Endpoints

 CZP 400 mg Q2W and 200 mg Q2W PASI 75 responder rates were maintained to Week 48 (Figure 3)

· PGA 0/1 responder rates were also maintained to Week 48 for patients who continued to receive either dose of CZP during the Maintenance Period (Figure 4)

 At Week 16 PASI 90 responder rates were greater for CZP 400 mg O2W and 200 mg O2W versus placebo (p<0.0001 for both) (Figure 5)

· PASI 90 responder rates continued to improve beyond Week 16 and the difference between

dose groups increased by Week 48 in CIMPASI-1 (Figure 5)



Figure 3. PASI 75 Responder Rates From Baseline to Week 48



Based on logistic regr Week 16 PASI 50 nor were imputed via mul C2P, certolizumab pe O2W every 2 weeks tod) onte Carlo PASI 75. >75% reduction in neoriasis area and se





*CZP 200 mg Q2W patients received loading dose of CZP 400 mg at Weeks 0, 2, and Based on logistic regression model with factors for treatment, region, and prior biologic Based on logistic rep Week 16 PASI 50 nc data were imputed v CZP, certolizumab p Intrifeligencers was it sources and internet of the interne

Figure 5. PASI 90 Responder Rates From Baseline to Week



Safety

 For CZP 400 mg Q2W and 200 mg Q2W vs placebo. TEAElserious TEAE incidence rate per 100 patient-years from Baseline to Week 16 were 375.9|19.0 and 292.3|6.9 vs 297.1|6.8 in CIMPASI-1, and 405.7115.3 and 308.717.4 vs 388.9/0 in CIMPASI-2

 For CZP 400 mg Q2W and 200 mg Q2W, TEAE|serious TEAE incidence rates per 100 nationt-years was lower from Baseline to Week 48 compared with rates per 100 patient-years from Baseline to Week 16 (257.6)10.4 and 218.3)5.3 in CIMPASI-1, and

277.5|7.5 and 236.0|9.7 in CIMPASI-2) (Table 2) One serious infection was reported in the CZP 400 mg Q2W group in CIMPASI-1, and one

in the CZP 400 mg Q2W group in CIMPASI-2; one death, due to motor vehicle accident, was reported in the CZP 400 mg Q2W group in CIMPASI-1 (Table 2)

 After 48 weeks of treatment, TEAEs occurring in >10% of all CZP-treated patients were nasopharyngitis and upper respiratory tract infection (Table 3)

CIMPASI-1 CIMPASI-2 TEAEs, n (%) [inciden ce rate"] 72 (72.0) [218.3] 111 (77.1) [257.6] 73 (76.8) [236.0] 103 (79.8) [277.5] 74 6.41 761 All Serious Discontinuations due to TEAE, n (%)^b 4 (4.0) [5.3] 11 (7.6) [10.4] 7 (7.4) [9.7] 7 (5.4) [7.5 5 (3.5) 8 (8.4) 0 8 (6.2) 1 (0.7) 0 0 Deaths, n (%)* 0 TEAEs of interest, n (%) [incidence Infections and 50 (50.0) (102.5 68 (52.7) [111.2] 50 (50.0) [102.5] 76 (52.8) [115.9] 49 (50 5) (09 1) Latent tuberculosis Active tuberculosis Candida infections 1(0.7)[0.9] 0 1 (1.0) [1.3]* 1 (1.1)[1.4]⁴ 2 (1.6) [2.1]¹ 1 (0.8) [1.0] Oral fungal infection Fungal skin infection 1(0.7)[0.9] Herpes Zoster 1(11)[14] 0 Herpes dermatitis 1(0.8)[1.0] Epstein-Barr viral 0 0 1(11)[14] 0 1(0.7)[0.9] 0 1 (0.8) [1.1] Serious infection: 0 Non-melanoma skir 0 1(0.7)[0.9] 0 1 (0.8) [1.1] 0 0 0 0 ma skin BD flare 2(14)[18] 1(11)[14] 2(16)[21] Depression

Table 2 Adverse Events From Baseline to Week 48

tched doses could have been counted in both CZP doses andomized to CZP 200 mg Q2W who received CZP 400 mg Q2W in the Escape Arm were counted in

Patients initially rainconnece to Cur and ing an initial both C2P doesn't control to Cur and the C2P, certolizumab pegol; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event

Table 3. Most Frequently Reported TEAEs (≥5% in Any

n (%), [incidence rate*]	CIMPASI-1			CIMPASI-2	
	CZP 200 mg Q2W (N=100)*	CZP 400 mg Q2W (N=144)*		CZP 200 mg Q2W (N=95)*	CZP 400 mg Q2 (N=129)*
Nasopharyngitis	28 (28.0) [46.5]	40 (27.8) [46.9]	Nasopharyngitis	17 (17.9) [26.4]	25 (19.4) [29.
URTI	12 (12.0) [17.2]	13 (9.0) [12.8]	URTI	11 (11.6) [16.1]	13 (10.1) [14.
Arthralgia	6(6.0)[8.2]	2(1.4)[1.8]	Hypertension	5 (5.3) [7.2]	8(6.2)[8
Headache	6(6.0)[8.2]	12 (8.3) [11.7]	Urinary tract infection	5 (5.3) [7.1]	5(3.9)[5
			Pruritus	2(2.1)[2.8]	8(6.2)[8
			Pharyngitis	6(6.3)[8.5]	3 (2.3) [3
			Bronchitis	2(2.1)[2.8]	7(54)[7

hed doses could have been counted in both C2P doses domized to C2P 200 mg Q2W who received C2P 400 mg Q2W in the Escape Arm were counted Patients initially ran both CZP doses peopl TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; URTI, upper respiratory tract infection

CONCLUSIONS

Treatment with CZP 400 mg Q2W or CZP 200 mg Q2W for 16 weeks was associated with statistically significant, clinically meaningful improvements for all endpoints analyzed (PASI 75, PGA 0/1, and PASI 90) compared

Response rates were maintained at Week 48 with both CZP doses For most measures, improvement at both Week 16 and Week 48 was numerically greatest in patients receiving CZP 400 mg Q2W

TEAEs were consistent with the known safety profile of anti-TNF therapy and no new safety signals were observed with CZP at any dose over 48 weeks

Reference

Noncentrologia I. J. Am Acad Dermatol. 2014;70(2):512-6.2. Kurd et al. J. Am Acad Dermatol. 2009;60(2):218-24. 3. Danielisen et al. Br J. Dermatol. 2015;15(6):6):1031-0.4. Fahrer et al. Dermatologia: 1074;146(1):14.8. Fahrei et al. Br J. Dermatol. 2015;17(1):1030-0.6. Glueman et al. Arbitro Care Br Berlichola, 2014;67(1):058-20.7. Stan, Application of Marko Ohan Monte-Carlo Multiple Imputation Mitrol to Deal with Missing Data from the Mechanism of MARIs or Sanshity Analysis et a conjugitual Talician Talin. Tich et al. Mitrof-Carlo Simulabael Sadd States (Modeling). Singapore: Springer: 2017:233-52.

Acknowledgements

This study and all costs associated with the development of this poster were funded by Dermira, Inc. Dermira and UCB are in a strategic collaboration to evaluate the efficacy and safety of certolizmab peopl in the treatment of moderate-to-severe places costraise. Medical writing succode was provided by Present Medical Communications Group (Chiaoou L)

Author Disclosures

Autoritor LISS/USS/USS ME: Speaker Isse Incoratia, and/or advisory load: Abbite; Amger, Boger, Boshniger Ingahein Pharma; Celjene Centoor: Coragen; Forwald Pharma; Relies/Benthöline; Janssen-CBg; LEO Pharma; El Lily, Mada: Meed Sharp & Dohn; Novertis Costen Pharma; Piber; Reprincer: Tabasi, UBP Pimar; Xenopet, AB; Costending horostati, and investigate; and/or speaker's New; Abbite; Amger, Boshniger Ingelmen; Pianta; Calgene; Denmira; Nic-GaschmittKing; and/or speaker's New; Abbite; Amger, Boshniger Ingelmen; Pianta; Calgene; Denmira; Nic-GaschmittKing; and/or speaker's New; Abbite; Amger, Boshniger, Ingelmen; Pianta; Calgene; Denmira; Nic-GaschmittKing; and/or speaker's New; Abbite; Amger, Boshniger, Ingelmen; Pianta; Calgene; Denmira; Nic-GaschmittKing; and/or speaker's New; Abbite; Amger, Boshniger, Ingelme; Abbite; Amger, Boshniger, Santa; Abbite; Amger, Boshniger, Ingelme; Abbite; Amger, Boshniger, Santa; Abbite; Amger, Boshniger, Santa; Abbite; Amger, Boshniger, Abbite; Amger, Boshniger, Boshniger, Boshniger, Santa; Abbite; Amger, Boshniger, Amger, Boshniger, Boshniger, Santa; Abbite; Amger, Boshniger, Boshniger, Santa; Abbite; Amger, Boshniger, Boshnig Glaschmerkke, Jansen El Life, Merick Nourise Pfeiter Regioners: Bander, Eland Genoyne, Ban Hanner, Uck Harman Valker, D.C. Forsunker Fr. Kröhler, Bengen-Seit, Calgiene, Bahl, Walkanki, Noorsen, Pfeiter ad Krenpert, Boerffer, Adnossy Staard, Ablirke, Angen, Bogen-Seite, Calgiene, Bahl, Walkanki, Noorsen, Pfeiter ad Krenpert, Boerffer, Adnossy Basel, Adline, Sander, C.G. Spainer, Bahl, Walkan, Sander, Marken Darier, Bahl, Sander, Machaell, Baseler, McKagelann, Basel, Callinge, Bahl, Walkanki, Noorsen, Pater and Neuris, Janues, Muchaell, Bahler, Bahl, Callinge, Bahl, Bahl, Gaussi Sander, Sander, Gaussenberg, Harth, Marken, Bahren, Bahler, Ba

Poster presented at the Presented at the 36th Fall Clinical Dermatology Conference | Las Vegas, NV | October 12-15, 2017