Certolizumab Pegol for the Treatment of Chronic Plaque Psoriasis: DLQI and WPAI Patient-Reported Outcomes From Two Ongoing Phase 3, Multicenter, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Studies (CIMPASI-1 and CIMPASI-2)

Diamant Thaçi,¹ Alice B. Gottlieb,² Kristian Reich,³ Jerry Bagel,⁴ Daniel Burge,⁵ Luke Peterson,⁶ Janice Drew,⁵ Catherine Arendt,⁷ Jolanta Węgłowska⁸

*University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Cermany; *New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY; *Dermitologikum Hamburg and SCIderm Research Institute, Hamburg, Germany; *Psoriasis Treatment Center of Central New Jersey, East Windsor, NJ; *Dermira, Inc., Menio Park, CA; *UCB BioSciences, Inc., Raleigh, NC; *UCB Pharma, Brussels, Belgium; *Nepubliczny Zaklad Opieki Zdrowotnej multiMedica, Wrocław, Poland

INTRODUCTION

- Psoriasis affects ~3% of adults in the US and ~2-6% in Europe,⁵³ and most patients develop the disease in the third decade of life⁴
- The correlation between psoriasis and reduced quality of life has been well-documented,⁵⁷ with more severe forms of the disease associated with greater reduction in quality of life⁴

 Psoriasis is negatively correlated with work productivity, and patients with more severe disease experience increased productivity loss⁶⁻¹⁹

 Certolizumab pegol (CZP) is the only PEGylated, Fo-free, anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) biologic currently under development for the treatment of moderate-to-severe chronic plaque psoriasis and has demonstrated efficacy and safety in previous porsiasis trialis^{11/19}
 CIMPASI-1 (NCT02326278) and CIMPASI-2 (NCT02326272) are ongoing phase 3 trials

CINTERSET (VCL022229) and CINTERSET (VCL022222) are origoning priase 3 trans designed to assess the efficacy and safety of CZP compared with placebo; patient-reported quality of life and work productivity from the first 48 weeks of these studies are presented here

METHODS

Study Design

 CIMPASI: and CIMPASI: are replicate, phase 3, randomized, double-bind, placebo-controlled, multicenter studies conducted in North America and Europe
 Patients were randomized 2:2:1 to CZP 400 mg every 2 weeks (Q2W), CZP 200 mg Q2W (following 400 mg loading dose at Weeks 0, 2, and 4), or placebo Q2W for 16 weeks

At Week 16, patients continued to receive treatment through Week 48 according to the following criteria:

- CZP 400 mg Q2W- and CZP 200 mg Q2W-treated psoriasis area and severity index (PASI) 50 responders (≥50% reduction in PASI) continued to receive their initial blinded treatment
- Placebo-treated Week 16 PASI 75 responders (z75% reduction in PASI) continued blinded placebo treatment; PASI 50-75 responders (z65% but <75% reduction in PASI) received C2P 200 mg Q2W (following 400 mg loading dose at Weeks 16, 18, 20)
 Week 16 PASI 50 norresponders entered the Escape Arm and received unbinded
- CZP 400 mg Q2W

 PASI 50 nonresponders at Week 32, 40, or 48 were withdrawn from the study

Figure 1. Study Design



Patients

 Eligible patients were ≥18 years of age and had moderate-to-severe psoriasis for ≥6 months (PASI ≥12, affected body surface area [BSA] ≥10%, physician's global assessment (PGA; 5-point scale) ≥3)

 Patients had to be candidates for systemic psoriasis therapy, phototherapy, and/or photochemotherapy

 Patients were excluded if they had previous treatment with CZP or with >2 biologics (including anti-TNF); had history of primary failure to any biologic or secondary failure to >1 biologic; had erythrodermic, guttate, or generalized pustular psoriasis types; or had bistory of current chronic or creatment with abscription for fungal infections

Quality of Life and Work Productivity Assessments

Mean change from Baseline (CfB) in Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) at Week 16

(secondary endpoint) and Week 48 were assessed • DLQL minimal clinically important difference (MCID: >4-noint improvement^{id}) responder

rate, DLQI 0/1 (absolute score s1) responder rate, and CfB in Work Productivity and Activity Impairment Questionnaire-Specific Health Problem (WPAI) at Week 16 and Week 48 were also assessed

Negative CfB values for DLQI and WPAI signify improvement

Statistical Analysis

 Efficacy analyses were performed on the Randomized Set (all randomized patients) Inferential statistics for CE in DLOI at Werk 15 were based on a maralysis of covariance (ANCOVA) model with treatment group, region, and prior biologic exposure (veshol and radoms and Baseline DLOI sore as a covariate, a similar ANCOVA model (usability) Baseline DLOI with Baseline WPAI score as a covariate) was used to calculate inferential statistics for CEB in WPAI at Week 15

Mean CfB values are reported for continuous variables, and percentages are reported for responder variables

 Las observation carried forward (LOCF) was used to impute missing data for CIB in DLOI (Week 16 and Week 43) and WPAI (Week 16); nonresponse imputation was used for DLOI MOID and LOID (17: CIB in WPAI Week 48) was based on observed cases week 16 PASI 50 nonresponders had Week 16 ivalues carried forward to Week 48; all other missing data during the Maintenance Period were imputed using LOCF except for categorial and/ormal data witch were intrudiced as nonresponders. Last observation carried forward (LOCF) was used to impute missing data for CB in DLOI Wheek 16 and Week 48) and WPAI (Week 16); nonresponse imputation was used for DLOI MCD and DLOI 01; CB in WPAI at Week 46 was based on observed cases Veeks 16 PASS 30 nonresponders has MWeek 16 values carried forward to Week 48, all other missing data during the Maritenance Period were imputed using LOCF except for categorical endpoind data which were impuded as nonresponders.

RESULTS

Patient Disposition, Demographics, and Baseline Characteristics - In CIMPASI-I(CIMPASI-2, 88)87 patients were randomized to C2P 400 mg Q2W, 95)91 to C2P 200 mg Q2W, and 51)49 to placebo (Figure 2) - In both studies, at least 90% of patients in each treatment arm completed Week 16

(Figure 2)

(* genue -) of those patients who entered the Maintenance Period in CIMPASI-I.[CIMPASI-2, 50 9%]84.8% of C2P 400 C2W patients and 95%]84.2% of C2P 200 mg C2W patients completed Week 84 (Figure 2).
• Baseline DLOI scores were comparable across treatment groups for both studies while WPAI score tendes varied slightly bus sludy (Table 1).

Figure 2. Patient Disposition



Cyben entering Maintennen period, palvesbo-hander 1943 Ts respondent p.27% studietisch in 1945) confrant billend plazmanner (1943 Sch 2014), förstande and studiet palvesbare (CP2) Sch 2014 (CP2) (

Table 1. Patient Demographics and Baseline Disease

		CIMPASI-1		CIMPASI-2			
			CZP 400 mg Q2W (N=88)	Piacebo (N=49)		CZP 400 mg Q2W (N=87)	
Demographics							
Age (years), mean ± SD	47.9 ± 12.8	44.5 ± 13.1	43.6 ± 12.1	43.3 ± 14.5	46.7 ± 13.3	46.4 ± 13.5	
Male, n (%)	35 (68.6)	67 (70.5)	60 (68.2)	26 (53.1)	58 (63.7)	43 (49.4)	
White, n (%)	45 (88.2)	87 (91.6)	79 (89.8)	44 (89.8)	86 (94.5)	81 (93.1)	
Geographic region, n (%) North America Europe	26 (51.0) 25 (49.0)	49 (51.6) 46 (48.4)	45 (51.1) 43 (48.9)	35 (71.4) 14 (28.6)	61 (67.0) 30 (33.0)	61 (70.1) 26 (29.9)	
Weight (kg), mean ± SD	95.2 ± 19.5	92.6 ± 21.0	92.2 ± 21.7	87.1 ± 26.4	97.8 ± 25.6	91.8 ± 27.3	
BMI (kg/m²), mean ± SD	32.2±6.8	31.1 ± 7.3	30.7±6.7	30.2 ± 8.0	32.8±8.3	31.7±8.9	
Baseline Disease Char	acteristic	s					
Duration of psoriasis at screening (years), mean ± SD	18.5 ± 12.9	16.6 ± 12.3	18.4 ± 12.9	15.4 ± 12.2	18.8 ± 13.5	18.6 ± 12.4	
Concurrent PsA	4(78)	10/10/5	15 (17.0)	9 (18.4)	22 (24 2)	26 (29 9)	

screening (years), mean ± SD						
Concurrent PsA (self-reported), n (%)	4 (7.8)	10 (10.5)	15 (17.0)	9 (18.4)	22 (24.2)	26 (29.9)
PASI score, mean ± SD	19.8 ± 7.5	20.1 ± 8.2	19.6 ± 7.9	17.3 ± 5.3	18.4 ± 5.9	19.5 ± 6.7
BSA affected (%), mean ± SD	26.1 ± 16.1	25.4 ± 16.9	24.1 ± 16.6	20.0±9.5	21.4 ± 12.2	23.1 ± 11.6
Prior biologic use,* n (%) anti-TNF anti-IL17	15 (29.4) 10 (19.6) 3 (5.9)	30 (31.6) 19 (20.0) 8 (8.4)	29 (33.0) 17 (19.3) 4 (4.5)	14 (28.6) 9 (18.4) 2 (4.1)	32 (35.2) 22 (24.2) 8 (8.8)	30 (34.5) 22 (25.3) 4 (4.6)
DLQI, mean ± SD	13.9±8.3	13.3 ± 7.4	13.1 ± 6.5	12.9 ± 7.3	15.2 ± 7.2	14.2 ± 7.2
WPAI domain scores, mean ± SD Absenteeism Presenteeism Work productivity loss Work activity impairment	5.2 ± 12.2 21.9 ± 25.5 24.5 ± 28.1 28.8 ± 25.1	2.3±75 19.3±25.6 20.6±26.9 29.2±28.0	5.2 ± 18.4 20.3 ± 25.0 24.4 ± 29.1 33.6 ± 28.8	2.5 ± 7.0 15.3 ± 17.4 16.8 ± 19.0 31.0 ± 26.6	70 ± 22.4 20.0 ± 25.8 25.9 ± 31.5 36.8 ± 32.7	13±4.5 188±19.8 19.3±20.4 33.6±28.9

Patients may have had exposure to >1 prior biologic but s2 par exclusion orderia BMI, body mass index BSA, body surface area; C2P, entritizament pegit DLO, Dematology LKe Quality Index; E, interfuedir; PASI, posteliais area and sevenity index; PQA, physiciany global assessment; PAA, pervision arthrisis, PQM exerce? availability to the provide the physiciany global assessment; PAA, pervision arthrisis, PQM exerce? availability to the physiciany global assessment; PAA, provide arthrisis, PQM exerce? availability to the physiciany global assessment; PAA, provide arthrisis, PQM exerce? availability to the physiciany global assessment; PAA, physiciany global assessment; PAA, pervision arthrisis, PQM exerce? availability to the physiciany global assessment; PAA, physiciany global assessment; PAA, provide arthrisis, PQM exerce? availability to the physiciany global assessment; PAA, physiciany global assessment; PAA, provide arthrisis, PQM exerce? availability to the physiciany global assessment; PAA, physiciany global asse

is area and severity induc; POA, picking global assessment, PAA, pickide arthrist, amor necrosis factor, WPAI, Work Productivity and Activity Impairment Questionnaire-Specific anter necrosis factor.

Patient-Reported Outcomes

DL01

• At Week 16, mean C1B in DL01 demonstrated greater improvement for both
C2P 400 ng C2W and 200 ng C2W vs placeto (Figure 3)

• improvement was maintained with both C2P 400 ng C2W and 200 ng C2W at Week 48

Figure 3)





Statistical comparisons not performed at Week 48 Peakeas at Week 59 heads on Alley 54 heads requires many from an ANCOVA model with heatment group, region, and prior biologic exposure (yesho) as factors and Baseles DCOI score as a covariate using LOCF imputation wines. 19 MAS Discoregordens half Wave Alley 4 heads carefor forwards Uwale All, all domains and the Margh the Marghan and the Alley Alley

229 400 mg C

DLQI MCID responder rates were greater at Week 16 for CZP 400 mg Q2W and
 200 mg Q2W vs placebo (Figure 4)

 Improvement was maintained for CZP 400 mg Q2W and 200 mg Q2W at Week 48 (Figure 4)

Figure 4. DLQI Minimal Clinically Important Difference^a Responder Rates Through Week 48



DLQI 0/1 responder rates were also greater at Week 16 for CZP 400 mg Q2W and 200 mg Q2W vs placebo (Figure 5)

The rates were maintained for CZP 400 mg Q2W and 200 mg Q2W at Week 48 (Figure 5)

Figure 5. DLQI 0/1 Responder Rates Through Week 48



 Greater CfB to Week 16 was observed with both CZP doses compared with placebo in WPAI presenteeism (reduced work effectiveness), work productivity loss, and activity impairment domains (Figure 6)

 WPAI improvements for both CZP doses were maintained at Week 48 among completers (Figure 7)







Figure 7. Change From Baseline in WPAI Domain Scores at



CONCLUSIONS

 Treatment with CZP 400 mg Q2W or CZP 200 mg Q2W was associated with significant, clinically meaningful improvements in quality of life (DLQI) and work productivity (WPAI) versus placebo at Week 16

 Improvements in quality of life and work productivity were maintained through Week 48 with continued CZP 400 mg Q2W or CZP 200 mg Q2W treatment

 For most measures, improvements were numerically greater in patients receiving CZP 400 mg Q2W than in those receiving CZP 200 mg Q2W

References

1. Ruchalondo et al. J. Am Acad Damatol. 2014;75(3):513-8. 2. Kunt et al. J. Am Acad Damatol. 2009;65(2):518-84.
3. Damister et al. D. / Damatol. 2019;55(8):513-9. 4. Fastor et al. Dematologia. 1975;45(4):151-8. G. Gattori et al. J. Am Acad Damatol. 2009;55(3):744-8. Fastori et al. J. Am Acad Damatol. 2009;55(3):744-7. Fastori et al. J. Am Acad Damatol. 2009;55(3):744-7. Fastori et al. Dematologi. 2007;55(3):745-7. Fastori et al. J. Am Acad Damatol. 2009;55(3):744-7. Fastori et al. Dematologi. 2007;55(3):747-7. Fastori et al. J. Am Acad Damatol. 2009;55(3):747-7. Fastori et al. J. Am Acad Damatol. 2009;55(3):747-7. Fastori et al. J. Am Acad Damatol. 2009;55(3):747-7. Fastori et al. J. Damatol. 2017;55(3):557-7. Fastori et al. J. Damatol. 2017;55(3):577-53.

Acknowledgements

This sludy and all costs associated with the development of this poster were funded by Dermira, Inc. Dermira and UCB are in a strategic collaboration to evaluate the efficacy and safety of ceribitz-mails peopl in the treatment of moderale-tosevere plaque psoriasis. Medical writing support was provided by Prescott Medical Communications Group (Chicago, IL)

Author Disclosures

DT Consular4, abitory load member and spacker for Addris, Amrai, Angen, Biogen-Maie, Borinnger Ingelheim, Biold-Mayel, Sapkit, Calgen, Daylo, Galaman, Galagao, Galaschinthrön, Jamos, La Deham, B Li Ja, Manha Masa, Many, Mohyak, Shoushi, Bagemer, Shada, Sandh-Yaner, Filler Cli, Awarod, Allo Cli, Mavorat, Nu Yuy, Angen and any based agreements: Jaman, Calgen, Britel-Mein Spath, Beendel ALMN, UCL, Navorat, Nu Yuy, Filler Mithy, Mark, Sam Primanoulan Multimer, Bender-Machina Quiray, Lanaro, Hoyle, Kitawan, Tohen, Tao, Cali, Berning Mark, Sam Primamoulan Multimer, Bender-Machina Quiray, Karano, Hoyle, Kitawan, Hong, Kitawan, Hong, Kitawan, Hong, Kapana, Han, Manna, Han, Hang, Kapana, Nama, Landonshrithina, Jamase, Lao Pamin, El Lily, Make, Marki, Navat, San, Dain Jama, Pitan, Rayan, Mathi, Bachrage Hogheim, Nuoriti, El Lily, Calgein, Jamesen, LiD Pamin, Raya, Watan, Dait, Wati, Marki, Bachrage Hogheim, Nuoritin, El Lily, Calgein, Jamesen, LiD Pamin, Raya, Watan, Dait, Jaman, Hang, Hang, Mathi, Linki, UCL, Rovong Li, Hoganitan, Jamesen, LiD Pamin, Pana, Yana, Watan, Bath, Wata, Maron, Li Han, Marker, Hann, Manga, Calgein, Cachan, Danis, Bi Lily, Galakin, Jaman, Lio Pamin, Marker, Palin, Rayano, Yanan, Hang, Gagina, Cachan, Jamas, Hai, Lila, Galakin, Jaman, Lio Pamin, Marker, Palin, Rayano, Katha, UKL, Jaman, Hang, Katha, Katha, Katha, Katha, Katha, Katha, Katha, Katha, Katha, Hang, Katha, Katha, Katha, Katha, Hang, Katha, K

Poster presented at the 36th Fall Clinical Dermatology Conference | Las Vegas, NV | October 12-15, 2017