Phase 1 study of fianlimab, a human lymphocyte activation gene-3 monoclonal antibody, in combination with cemiplimab in advanced melanoma: Expansion cohort analysis

Omid Hamid,¹ Karl Lewis,² Amy Weise,³ Meredith McKean,⁴ Kyriakos P Papadopoulos,⁵ John Crown,⁶ Tae Min Kim,⁷ Nehal J Lakhani,⁸ John Kaczmar,⁹ Ragini Kudchadkar,¹⁰ Alexander Spira,¹¹ Guilherme Rabinowits,¹² Kevin Kim,¹³ Richard Carvajal,¹⁴ Stephen Williamson,¹⁵ Ella loffe,¹⁶ Shuquan Chen,¹⁶ Jayakumar Mani,¹⁶ Vladimir Jankovic,¹⁶ Laura Brennan,¹⁶ Glenn Kroog,¹⁶ Tasha Sims,^{16*} Israel Lowy,¹⁶ Giuseppe Gullo¹⁶

¹The Angeles Clinical and Research Institute, a Cedars-Sinai Affiliate, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ²University of Colorado Hospital, Detroit, MI, USA; ⁴Sarah Cannon Research Institute/Tennessee Oncology PLLC, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁵START San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA; ⁶St Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; ⁷Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, South Korea; ⁸START Midwest, Grand Rapids, MI, USA; ⁹MUSC Hollings Cancer Center, North Charleston, SC, USA; ¹⁰Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta GA, USA; ¹¹Virginia Cancer Specialists, Fairfax, VA, USA; ¹²Miami Cancer Institute, Miami, FL, USA; ¹³Sutter Health Research Enterprise, San Francisco, CA, USA; ¹⁴Columbia University of Kansas Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁶Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA; ¹⁵University of Kansas Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁶Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA; ¹⁵University of Kansas Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁶Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA; ¹⁶Columbia University of Kansas Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁶Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA; ¹⁶Columbia University of Kansas Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁶Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA; ¹⁷Columbia University of Kansas Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁶Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA; ¹⁷Columbia University of Kansas Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁶Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA; ¹⁷Columbia University of Kansas Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁶Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA; ¹⁷Columbia University of Kansas Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁶Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA; ¹⁷Columbia University of Kansas Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁶Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA; ¹⁷Columbia University of Kansas Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁶Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA; ¹⁷Columbia University of Kansas Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁶Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA; ¹⁷Columbia University of Kansas Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁶Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA; ¹⁷Columbia University of Kansas Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁷Columbia University Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁸Columbia University Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁸Columbia University Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁹Columbia University Medical Center, Fairway, KS, USA; ¹⁹Columbia University Medical *Formerly with Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Background

- Combination anti-lymphocyte activation gene-3 (LAG-3) and anti-programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) treatment demonstrated higher median progression free survival (PFS) and objective response rate (ORR) compared with anti-PD-1 monotherapy in a Phase 2/3 clinical trial of patients with untreated advanced melanoma.1
- The RELATIVITY-047 study showed an ORR of 43.1%.²
- Fianlimab (REGN3767) and cemiplimab are both high-affinity, human, hinge-stabilized immunoglobulin 4 (IgG4)
- monoclonal antibodies derived using VelocImmune technology Fianlimab blocks LAG-3 and major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II-driven T-cell inhibition.³
- Cemiplimab blocks interactions of PD-1 with PD-ligand 1 (PD-L1) and PD-L2.4
- In an initial expansion cohort, fianlimab + cemiplimab in patients with advanced melanoma gave an impressive
- efficacy of >60% ORI
- Here we present clinical activity and safety follow-up data of fianlimab + cemiplimab in Phase 1 expansion cohorts of patients with advanced melanoma, and a confirmatory expansion cohort (NCT03005782).

Objectives

- To assess preliminary anti-tumor activity of fianlimab + cemiplimab as measured by ORR per Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.1 criteria in patients with advanced melanoma
- To assess the safety profile of fianlimab + cemiplimab in patients with advanced melanoma.

Key takeaway

This analysis provides additional data that supports the use of fianlimab + cemiplimab combination treatment for patients with advanced melanoma.

Conclusions

- In two sequential expansion cohorts (total N=40/cohort), fianlimab + cemiplimab independently and reproducibly demonstrated clinically meaningful activity among patients with anti-PD-L1-naive advanced melanoma.
- ORR was 63.8% (7 complete responses and 44 partial responses) and median DOR was not reached (95% CI: 22.6, NE)
- Kaplan-Meier estimation of PFS was 24 months (95% CI: 9.9, NE).
- Clinical activity was observed in poor prognosis subgroups (i.e., LDH > ULN, liver metastases), as well as in patients with high and low PD-L1 expression levels.
- Observed clinical activity in the anti-PD-L1-exposed population (ORR 13.3%) was consistent with previous reports of anti-LAG-3 + anti-PD-L1 combination treatment in this setting.

• The fianlimab + cemiplimab combination demonstrated an acceptable risk/benefit profile similar to that observed with cemiplimab monotherapy and other anti-PD-1 agents. In the anti-PD-L1naive population:

- 96.3% of patients experienced treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs) of any grade.
- 28.8% of patients experienced serious TEAEs.
- 16.3% of patients discontinued treatment due to a TEAE
- A Phase 3 trial (NCT05352672) of fianlimab + cemiplimab in patients with advanced melanoma is ongoing

4. Burova E et al. *Mol Cancer*. 2017;16:861–870.

5. Hamid O et al. J Clin Oncol. 2021:39(suppl 15):9515

References

1. Tawbi HA et al. N Engl J Med. 2022;386:24-34. Long GV et al. J Clin Oncol. 2022;40(suppl 36):360385
Burova E et al. Mol Cancer. 2019;18:2051–2062.

Funding

Funding was provided by Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc

The authors thank the patients, their families, all other investigators and all investigational site members involved in this study. The study was funded by Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Sanofi. Medical writing support and typesetting was provided by Jenna Lee, MSc, of Prime, Knutsford, UK, funded by Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Sanofi.

Disclosure

Omid Hamid reports honoraria from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi and Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; and consulting or advisory roles with Aduro Biotech, Akeso Biopharma, Amgen, Arcus Biosciences, BioAtla, Bristol-Myers Squibb, CytomX Therapeutics, Exelixis, Genentech GlaxoSmithKline, Idera, Immunocore, Incyte, Iovance Biotherapeutics, Merck, Merck Serono, Moderna Therapeutics, NextCure, Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Roche, Sanofi, Seattle Genetics, Torque and Zelluna.



Scan the QR code

for co-author disclosures

Methods

- Adult patients with advanced melanoma who had no prior anti-PD-1/PD-L1 treatment (naive; Cohort 6 and 15) or had prior anti-PD-1/PD-L1 treatment within 3 months of screening (experienced; Cohort 7) received fianlimab 1600 mg + cemiplimab 350 mg intravenously (IV) every 3 weeks (Q3W), for up to 51 weeks (Figure 1).
- Prior systemic therapies, including prior adjuvant therapies, were excluded for Cohort 15. Patients in Cohort 7 must have tolerated prior anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy for at least 6 weeks and must not have discontinued treatment due to toxicity.
- Patients had an option to continue fianlimab + cemiplimab treatment for an additional 51 weeks.
- Tumor measurements were performed every 6 weeks for the first 24 weeks, then 9 weeks for the subsequent 27 weeks.
- The data cut-off date was July 1, 2022



and must not have discontinuous used and the second s

plex; ORR, objective response rate; PD-1, programmed cell death-1; PD-L1, programmacokinetics; RECIST 1.1, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors version 1.

Results

Baseline demographics and disease characteristics

- As of July 1, 2022, 40 patients were enrolled and received treatment in each Cohort 6 and Cohort 15, and 15 patients received treatment in Cohort 7.
- Median age among the anti-PD-L1-naive patients (Cohorts 6 + 15) was 69.0 years (range: 24–88), 60.0% of patients were male, and 90.0% were White (**Table 1**),
- For anti-PD-1/PD-L1-experienced patients (Cohort 7), median age was 59.0 years, 46.7% were male, and 60.0% were White.
- The median sum of diameters of the target lesion was 51.5 mm (range: 11–214) among patients in Cohorts 6 + 15 (Table 1).
- Among anti–PD-L1–naive patients 33.8% had stage M1c at baseline, 35% had lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels above the upper limit of normal (ULN), and 23.8% had liver metastases (Table 1)

Table 1. Baseline characteristics and disposition: Anti-PD-L1 naive (Cohorts 6 + 15) Anti-PD-L1 naive[†] Cohorts 6 + 15 Characteristic Cohort 6 (N=40) Cohort 15 (N=40) (N=80)

-9-			
Median (range), years	69.5 (27–85)	69.0 (24–88)	69.0 (24–88)
≥65 years, % (n)	60.0 (24)	62.5 (25)	61.3 (49)
Male, % (n)	62.5 (25)	57.5 (23)	60.0 (48)
White, % (n)	90.0 (36)	90.0 (36)	90.0 (72)
SOD of TL at baseline, median (range), mm	51 (15–214)	52 (11–173)	51.5 (11–214)
BRAF mutant, % (n)	27.5 (11)	30.0 (12)	28.8 (23)
Melanoma subtype, % (n)			
Acral	7.5 (3)	5.0 (2)	6.2 (5)
Mucosal	2.5 (1)	0	1.2 (1)
Cutaneous nonacral	90.0 (36)	95.0 (38)	92.5 (74)
Metastasis stage at baseline, % (n)			
M0	15.0 (6)	5.0 (2)	10.0 (8)
M1	82.5 (33)	87.5 (35)	85.0 (68)
M1c [‡]	45.0 (18)	22.5 (9)	33.8 (27)
LDH > ULN, % (n)	42.5 (17)	27.5 (11)	35.0 (28)
Liver metastases, % (n)	35.0 (14)	12.5 (5)	23.8 (19)
Previous systemic therapy, % (n)	20.0 (8)§	0	10.0 (8)
Prior systemic therapies, including prior adjuvant therapies, excluded for	or Cohort 15.		

*M1 category includes M1, M1a, M1b, and M1c

patients received prior treatment for advanced disease and six patients received prior adjuvant treatment. , lactate dehydrogenase; PD-1, programmed cell death-1; PD-L1, programmed cell death-ligand 1; SOD, sum of diameters; TL, target lesion; ULN, upper



Table 2.

% (n), unles ORR, % (95% Complete Partial resp Stable dise Progressiv NE DCR

DOR, media ORR: baselir LDH > ULI LDH norm ORR: liver me Yes No

KM-estimate

[†]Prior systemic therapies, including prior adjuvant therapies, excluded for Cohort 1 I, confidence interval; DCR, disease control rate; DOR, duration of response; KM, Kaplan-Meier; LDH, lactase dehydrogenase; N1, proportion of patients w the listed LDH status; N2, proportion of patients with the listed liver metastasis status; NE, not evaluable; NR, not reached; ORR, objective response rate; PD-1, programmed cell death-1; PD-L1, programmed cell death-ligand 1; PFS, progression-free survival; ULN, upper limit of normal.

Table 3

% (n), unles Patients cor Ongoing trea Disease p Patient de Death Physician Duration of

(Table 4).

- Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded baseline tumor samples were used to determine LAG-3 and PD-L1 expression levels by IHC.

	Anti-PD-	Cohorts 6 + 15	
otherwise stated	Cohort 6 (N=40)	Cohort 15 (N=40)	(N=80)
(6))	62.5	65	63.8
o CI)	(45.8, 77.3)	(48.3, 79.4)	(52.2, 74.2)
esponse	15.0 (6)	2.5 (1)	8.8 (7)
onse	47.5 (19)	62.5 (25)	55.0 (44)
ase	17.5 (7)	15.0 (6)	16.3 (13)
e disease	15.0 (6)	15.0 (6)	15.0 (12)
	5.0 (2)	5.0 (2)	5.0 (4)
	80.0 (32)	80.0 (32)	80.0 (64)
d PFS, median (95% CI), months	24 (4.2, NE)	NR (7.5, NE)	24 (9.9, NE)
(95% CI), months	NR (11.9, NE)	NR (6.3, NE)	NR (22.6, NE)
e LDH, n/N1 (%)			
l	10/17 (58.8)	6/11 (54.5)	16/28 (57.1)
l	15/23 (65.2)	18/24 (75.0)	33/47 (70.2)
etastasis, n/N2 (%)			
	6/14 (42.9)	3/5 (60.0)	9/19 (47.4)
	19/26 (73.1)	23/35 (65.7)	42/61 (68.9)

	Anti-PD-L1 naive [†]		Cohorts 6 + 15	
otherwise stated	Cohort 6 (N=40)	Cohort 15 (N=40)	(N=80)	
bleted planned treatment [‡]	15.0 (6)	5.0 (2)	10.0 (8)	
ment	15.0 (6)	52.5 (21)	33.8 (27)	
treatment	70.0 (28)	42.5 (17)	56.3 (45)	
ogression	45.0 (18)	17.5 (7)	31.3 (25)	
	15.0 (6)	15.0 (6)	15.0 (12)	
ision	5.0 (2)	0	2.5 (2)	
	2.5 (1)	5.0 (2)	3.8 (3)	
lecision	2.5 (1)	5.0 (2)	3.8 (3)	
exposure, median (range), weeks	37.1 (2-110)	24.2 (3-56)	30.9 (2-110)	

AE, adverse event; PD-1, programmed cell death-1; PD-L1, programmed cell death-ligand 1

Clinical activity based on PD-L1 and LAG-3 levels was assessed for patients in Cohort 6

- LAG-3 levels were reported as the percentage of positively staining immune cells in the viable tumor area using the 17B4 clone.

Table 4. Clinical activity based on PD-L1 and LAG-3 levels (Cohort 6)			
	Patients, % (n)	ORR, % (n)	PFS, median (95% CI), months
Overall	100.0 (40)	62.5 (25)	24 (4.2, NE)
LAG-3 expression ≥1%	67.5 (27)	74.1 (20)	24 (5.6, NE)
LAG-3 expression <1%	12.5 (5)	40.0 (2)	NR (1.4, NE)
PD-L1 expression ≥1%	45.0 (18)	77.8 (14)	24 (9.9, NE)
PD-L1 expression <1%	40.0 (16)	56.3 (9)	8.5 (2.8, NE)
PD-L1 ≥1% and LAG-3 ≥1%	45.0 (18)	77.8 (14)	24 (9.9, NE)
PD-L1 <1% and LAG-3 ≥1%	22.5 (9)	66.7 (6)	5.6 (1.2, NE)
PD-L1 <1% and LAG-3 <1%	12.5 (5)	40.0 (2)	NR (1.4, NE)
There were no patients with PD-L1 ≥1% and LAG-3 CI, confidence interval; IHC, immunohistochemistry; PD-L1, programmed cell death-ligand 1; PFS, progra	<1%. LAG-3, lymphocyte activation gene-3; ession-free survival.	NA, not available; NE, not evalua	able; ORR, objective response rate

Table 5. Clinical activity among anti-PD-L1-experienced patients (Cohort 7)			
% (n), unless otherwise stated	Total (N=15)		
ORR, % (95% CI)	13.3 (1.7, 40.5)		
Complete response	0		
Partial response	13.3 (2)		
Stable disease	26.7 (4)		
Progressive disease	53.3 (8)		
NE	6.7 (1)		
DCR	40.0 (6)		
KM-estimated PFS, median (95% CI), months	1.5 (1.3, 7.7)		
DOR, median (95% CI), months	NR (3.4, NE)		
ORR by LAG-3 expression, %			
<1%	NA		
≥1%	18.2		
ORR by PD-L1 expression, %			
<1%	18.2		

, confidence interval; DCR, disease control rate; DOR, duration of response; KM, Kaplan-Meier; LAG-3, lymphocyte activation E, not evaluable; NR, not reached; ORR, objective response rate; PD-1, programmed cell death-1; PD-L1, programmed cell d n gene-3; NA, not available

Safety data

- The safety profile of fianlimab + cemiplimab combination treatment was similar to anti-PD-L1 therapies.
- Median duration of treatment exposure was 30.9 weeks (range: 2–110) among anti-PD-L1-naive patients and 9.0 weeks (range: 6–57) among anti-PD-L1-experienced patients (Table 6).
- In the anti–PD-L1–naive population:
- − Rate of grade \geq 3 treatment-related adverse events (AE) was 20.0%.
- Rate of discontinuation due to treatment-related AEs was 15.0%.
- Treatment-related AEs leading to death occurred in two patients (2.5%): one experienced colitis and one experienced cardiac shock.
- The patient who experienced cardiac shock also had COVID-19 with pulmonary edema concurrently.
- Rate of treatment-emergent adrenal insufficiency was 10%.



Table 6. Safety for anti-PD-L1-naive and -experienced patients				
% (n), unless otherwise stated	Anti–PD-L1 naive† (N=80)		Anti–PD-L1 experienced (N=15)	
Duration of exposure, median (range), weeks	30.9 (2.0–110.0)		9.0 (6.0–57.0)	
Patients with treatment-emergent AEs regardless of attribution	Any grade	Grade 3–5	Any grade	Grade 3–5
Overall	96.3 (77)	40.0 (32)	80.0 (12)	46.7 (7)
Serious	28.8 (23)	25.0 (20)	33.3 (5)	26.7 (4)
Patients with treatment-related AEs				
Overall	80.0 (64)	20.0 (16)	53.3 (8)	20.0 (3)
Serious	13.8 (11)	13.8 (11)	13.3 (2)	13.3 (2)
Treatment-emergent immune-mediated AEs, % (n)			
	Any grade	Grade 3–5	Any grade	Grade 3–5
Overall	65.0 (52)	11.3 (9)	33.3 (5)	13.3 (2)
Occurred in >5% of patients (any grade)				
Rash	23.8 (19)	0	26.7 (4)	0
Pruritis	15.0 (12)	0	0	0
Hypothyroidism	13.8 (11)	0	0	0
Arthralgia	12.5 (10)	0	6.7 (1)	0
Diarrhea	12.5 (10)	0	13.3 (2)	0
Myalgia	10.0 (8)	0	6.7 (1)	0
Adrenal insufficiency	8.8 (7)	2.5 (2)	6.7 (1)	0
Colitis	7.5 (6)	3.8 (3)	0	0
Pneumonitis	6.3 (5)	0	6.7 (1)	6.7 (1)
¹ Prior systemic therapies, including prior adjuvant therapies, excluded for Cohort 15. AE, adverse event; PD-1, programmed cell death-1; PD-L1, programmed cell death-ligand 1.				