3 years of tralokinumab treatment provides long-term disease control as demonstrated by clinically meaningful outcomes in moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis

Richard B Warren¹, Kristian Reich², Eric Simpson³, Richard Langley⁴, Antonio Costanzo⁵, Hidehisa Saeki⁶, Peter Almgren⁷, Emilia Vacko⁷, Anna Carlsson⁷, Melinda Gooderham⁸, Mette Deleuran⁹, Juan Francisco Silvestre¹⁰, Stefan Weidinger¹¹, Andrew Blauvelt¹²

or End of a light and new second in the end of o
Ermatology and Cutaneous Science, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada; ⁵Dermatology, Nippon Medical School, Tokyo, Japan; ⁷LEO Pharma A/S, Ballerup, Denmark; ⁸SKiN Centre for Dermatology, Peterborough, ON, Canada; Department of of Dermatology, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada; Probity Medical Research, Waterloo, ON, Canada; Probity Medical Research, Waterloo, ON, Canada; ⁹Department of Dermatology and Allergy, University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Campus Kiel, Kiel, Germany; ¹²Oregon Medical Research Center, Portland, OR, USA

Introduction

- Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a chronic skin disease which may impact patients throughout their lifespan, requiring efficacious long-term treatment options with a favorable safety profile¹
- The European guidelines (EuroGuiDerm) define disease control or remission as satisfactory reduction of the signs and symptoms of AD whilst being on a safe long-term anti-inflammatory treatment²
- An international consensus previously defined a set of core decision points for systemic therapies at 6 months, including EASI \leq 7, itch NRS \leq 4 and DLQI \leq 5 representing mild/no disease³
- Tralokinumab, a specific, high-affinity interleukin-13 inhibitor, is approved in Europe, Canada, and the United States for the treatment of adults with moderate-to-severe AD
- ECZTEND (NCT03587805) is an ongoing open-label extension trial assessing the safety and efficacy of tralokinumab over 5 years after the completion of parent trials (PT)
- A recently presented interim safety analysis of adult patients with up to 42 months of exposure confirmed the safety profile of tralokinumab⁴

Objective

To evaluate the efficacy and safety of 3 years of tralokinumab treatment, using clinically relevant outcomes indicating disease control, for adults with moderate-to-severe AD

Materials and Methods

Patients and treatment

- In ECZTEND, patients who completed PT of tralokinumab received open-label tralokinumab 300 mg every two weeks (Q2W, home use) plus optional topical corticosteroids (TCS, US class ≥4 or Europe class ≤3) or topical calcineurin inhibitor (TCI), with visits every 8 weeks
- For key inclusion and exclusion criteria, please see Blauvelt et al⁵
- Multiple tralokinumab PT of varying duration will contribute to the ECZTEND population (ECZTRA 1-8 and the investigator-initiated study TraSki, conducted in 11 countries)
- While ECZTRA 3-5 and 7 were completed at data cutoff (April 30, 2021), participants in these trials did not receive 52 weeks of tralokinumab and therefore were not included in the 3-year efficacy analysis
- This *post hoc* analysis assessed tralokinumab efficacy in a homogenous group of participants with the longest tralokinumab treatment duration at data cutoff (April 30, 2021)
- Data are presented from eligible adult patients with moderate-to-severe AD who completed 3 years of tralokinumab treatment irrespective of response in the PT ECZTRA 1 and 2 (1 year in PT, 2 years in ECZTEND; Figure 1; N=347 of 1442 total enrolled in ECZTEND at data cutoff)

Figure 1. Schematic of (A) ECZTEND interim analysis of patients previously treated with tralokinumab monotherapy for 52 weeks in ECZTRA 1 and 2, followed by 104 weeks of treatment in ECZTEND and (B) patient disposition at parent trial completion, ECZTEND baseline, and at April 30, 2021 data cutoff



Analyses

- Efficacy outcomes assessed were:
- Proportion of patients with 3 years total tralokinumab treatment achieving EASI-75/90, IGA 0/1, and EASI ≤ 7
- Percentage of patients achieving mild or no disease, defined as EASI \leq 7, itch NRS \leq 4, or DLQI \leq 5, after 3 years of tralokinumab treatment
- Proportion of time with mild/no disease during 3 years total tralokinumab treatment
- For EASI-75/90 and IGA 0/1 response rates, as observed data are presented, and intermittent missing data are presented using last observation carried forward (LOCF). Modified non-responder imputation (mNRI) sets discontinuation from ECZTEND due to adverse event(s) or lack of efficacy as non-response and uses LOCF for other missing data

Results

Baseline demographics and characteristics

- This post hoc analysis included 347 patients from the PTs ECZTRA 1 and 2 who had entered ECZTEND and consistently received tralokinumab for a total of 3 years at data cutoff, April 30, 2021 (**Fig. 1B, Table 1**)
- Amongst patients who completed 3 years of tralokinumab treatment (N=347), median EASI improved from 26.7 (IQR 19.7; 38.4) at PT baseline to 3.0 (IQR 1.0; 6.7) after one year of PT

Table 1. Baseline demographic and disease characteristics of patients with 3 years total tralokinumab treatment (ECZTEND interim efficacy analysis set)

	ECZTEND interim efficacy analysis set n=347					
Age Median years (IQR)	42.0 (30.0; 53.0)					
Sex n (%) Male Female	205 (59.1) 142 (40.9)					
Race n (%) ^a White Black Asian	259 (74.6) 20 (5.8) 56 (16.1)					
Parent trial n (%) ECZTRA 1 ECZTRA 2	224 (64.6) 123 (35.4)					
Age at onset of AD Median years (IQR)	3.0 (1.0; 15.0)					
Duration of AD Median years (IQR)	29.0 (19.0; 43.0)					
	Parent Trial Baseline	ECZTEND Baseline				
IGA severity n (%) Clear/minimal (score=0/1) Mild (score=2) Moderate (score=3) Severe (score=4)	- - 172 (49.6) 175 (50.4)	98 (28.2) 123 (35.4) 106 (30.5) 20 (5.8)				
EASI Median (IQR)	26.7 (19.7; 38.4)	4.7 (2.2; 12.4)				
SCORAD Median (IQR)	68.1 (60.8; 78.1)	32.8 (20.6; 46.9)				
DLQI Median (IQR), <i>n</i>	17.0 (11.0; 23.0), <i>n=343</i>	5.0 (2.0; 10.0), <i>n=332</i>				
Worst weekly pruritus NRS ª Median (IQR), n	7.9 (6.9; 8.9), n=346	5.0 (3.0; 8.0), <i>n=347</i>				

^aIn PTs, worst pruritus NRS was assessed daily; in ECZTEND, worst pruritus NRS was assessed based on recall of the previous week before the visit.

Sustained improvement in lesion extent and severity with continued tralokinumab

- Amongst patients who completed 3 years of tralokinumab treatment at data cutoff,
- o EASI-75 and EASI-90 were achieved in 76% (263/347) and 54% (186/347) of patients by mNRI, respectively (**Figure 2**)
- \circ IGA 0/1 was achieved in 44% (151/347) by mNRI (**Figure 2**)
- EASI ≤7 was achieved by 75% (261/347) by mNRI (Figure 2)

Figure 2. Proportion of patients with 3 years total tralokinumab treatment achieving EASI-75, EASI-90, IGA 0/1, and EASI ≤7



^aAs observed, includes patients from the PTs ECZTRA 1 and 2 who had consistently received tralokinumab for a total of 3 years at data cutoff, April 30, 2021.

^bIntermittent missing data imputed using LOCF.

^cDiscontinuation from ECZTEND due to adverse event(s) or lack of efficacy set as non-response, other missing imputed with LOCF.

Data as observed. Denominator is the smallest number of patients with DLQI, EASI, and itch NRS measurement(s) in category, except for individuals with no response where largest number is used. No response includes non-evaluable patients, e.g., for category DLQI≤5 + EASI≤7 where DLQI is not measured for one or more patient(s).

Maintained improvements in clinically relevant outcomes at 3 years of tralokinumab treatment

• Patients were controlled (mild/no disease) for the majority of the 3 years of tralokinumab treatment (Figure 3)

• At 3 years of tralokinumab treatment, 93% (254/274, as observed) of patients achieved at least one outcome (**Figure 4**)

• Median duration of response during PT and ECZTEND up to week 104, as observed:

>80% of visits with EASI ≤ 7 response [84.7 (Q1,Q3; 55.8, 93.8)%]

 \circ >80% of visits with DLQI \leq 5 [84.1 (43.1, 96.9)%]

 \circ >70% of visits with Itch NRS ≤ 4 [73.8 (31.7, 91.3)%]

• Using mNRI, 84% of patients achieved at least one clinically meaningful improvement, and 51% achieved clinically meaningful improvements of all three measures

Figure 3. Percent response from parent trial baseline in disease severity, itch, and life quality over 3 years



In ECZTEND, worst itch NRS was assessed based on recall of the previous week before the visit



Disclosures

Richard B Warren has received research grants or consulting fees from AbbVie, Almirall, Amgen, Arena, Astellas, Avillion, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, DiCE, Eli Lilly, GSK, Janssen, LEO Pharma, UCB, and UNION. He is supported by the Manchester NIHR Biomedical Research Grants or consulting fees from AbbVie, Almirall, Amgen, Arena, Astellas, Avillion, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, DiCE, Eli Lilly, GSK, Janssen, LEO Pharma, UCB, and UNION. He is supported by the Manchester NIHR Biomedical Research Grants or consulting fees from AbbVie, Almirall, Amgen, Arena, Astellas, Avillion, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, DiCE, Eli Lilly, GSK, Janssen, LEO Pharma, UCB, and UNION. He is supported by the Manchester NIHR Biomedical Research Centre. Galderma, Incyte, Kyowa Kirin, LEO Pharma, MedImmune, Menlo Therapeutics, Ortho Dermatologics, Pfizer, Pierre Fabre Dermo Cosmetique, Regeneron, Sanofi, Tioga, and Valeant. Richard Langley has served as a speaker for AbbVie, Amgen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Janssen, LEO Pharma, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB. Antonio Costanzo has received research grants or consulting fees from AbbVie, Almirall, Amgen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Janssen, LEO Pharma, Mitsubishi Tanabe, Maruho, Sanofi, Tokiwa, and Taiho; and scholarship donations from Esai, Mitsubishi Tanabe, Maruho, and Torii. Peter Almgren, Emilia Vacko, and Anna Carlsson are employees of LEO Pharma. Melinda Gooderham has been an investigator, speaker and/or advisor for: AbbVie, Amgen, Akros, AnaptysBio, Aslan, Arcutis, Bausch Health, BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celgene, Dermira, Dermavant, Eli Lilly, Galderma, GSK, Incyte, Janssen, Kyowa Kirin, LEO Pharma, MedImmune, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme, Sun Pharma, and UCB. Mette Deleuran has received research support, honoraria for lecturing, and/or is on consulting/advisory board agreements with AbbVie, Arena Pharmaceuticals, ASLAN Pharmaceuticals, Incyte, La Roche Posay, LEO Pharma, Lilly, Pfizer, Regeneron, and Sanofi Genzyme. Juan Francisco Silvestre has served as a consultant and uncertain and loce and the served as a consultant and loce and l received speaking fees at educational events for Sanofi-Genzyme, Regeneron, AbbVie, Leo Pharma, and Novartis and has served as the principal investigator in clinical trials sponsored by AbbVie, Amgen, AstraZeneca, BMS, Galderma, Incyte, LEO Pharma, and La Roche Posay, has performed consultancies for Sanofi-Genzyme, Regeneron, LEO Pharma, Abbvie, Pfizer, Eli Lilly, Kymab, and Almirall, he has also lectured at educational events sponsored by Sanofi-Genzyme, Regeneron, LEO Pharma, Abbvie, Pfizer, Eli Lilly, and is involved in performing clinical trials with many pharmaceutical industries that manufacture drugs used for the treatment of psoriasis and atopic dermatitis. scientific adviser, and / or clinical study investigator for AbbVie, Abcentra, Aligos, Almirall, Amgen, Arcutis, Arena, Aslan, Athenex, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Dermavant, EcoR1, Eli Lilly, Evommune, Forte, Galderma, Incyte, Janssen, Landos, LEO Pharma, Novartis, Pfizer, Rapt, Regeneron, Sanofi Genzyme, Sun Pharma, UCB Pharma, Vibliome, and Xencor

Acknowledgements

This analysis was sponsored by LEO Pharma A/S. Medical writing and editorial support from Alphabet Health by Meredith Whitaker, PhD and Juliel Espinosa, PhD was funded LEO Pharma A/S, Ballerup, Denmark according to Good Publication Practice guidelines (<u>https://www.ismpp.org/gpp3</u>). Richard B Warren is supported by the Manchester NIHR Biomedical Research Centre. This work was previously presented at EADV 2022.

All AEs

AEs related study drug Severity

Mild

Moderat

Severe

Serious AEs

Leading to withdrawa trial

across PTs.⁷

Limitations

- severe AD

Abbreviations

%, percentage of patients with ≥1 event; adj., adjusted (for PTs, Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel weights were applied to calculate adjusted AE incidences and rates to account for different randomization ratios across PTs)⁷; AE, adverse event; AD, atopic dermatitis; BP, parent trial baseline; DLQI, dermatology life quality index; E, number of adverse events; EASI, Eczema Area and Severity Index; IGA, Investigator's Global Assessment; IQR, interquartile range; Itch NRS, peak worst weekly itch NRS; LOCF, last observation carried forward; mNRI, modified non-responder imputation; n, number of patients achieving the indicated metric, or with ≥1 event; nE, number of events; nP, number of patients; N, number of patients with recorded observation; NRS, numerical rating scale; PYE, patient-years of exposure; PT, parent trial; Q2W, every 2 weeks; SCORAD, scoring atopic dermatitis; SD, standard deviation; TCI, or topical calcineurin inhibitor; TCS, topical corticosteroids.

References

1. Weidinger S, Novak N. Lancet. 2016;387(10023):1109-1122. 2. Wollenberg A, et al. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2022;36(9):1409-1431. 3. de Bruin-Weller M, et al. Acta Derm Venereol 2021; 101: adv00402. 4. Blauvelt A, et al. Poster presented at: American Academy of Dermatology Annual Meeting; March 25-29, 2022, Boston, MA. 5. Blauvelt A, et al. al. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2022;S0190-9622(22)02345-3. 6. Simpson E, et al. Poster presented at: Revolutionizing Atopic Dermatitis (RAD) Conference; December 13-14, 2020, Virtual. 7. Chuang-Stein C. Pharm Stat 2011; 10:3-7.

No new safety signals with continued tralokinumab treatment

• The safety profile was consistent with the PTs⁶ and the overall ECZTEND population (n=1442),⁴ with no new safety signals arising with continued tralokinumab (**Table 2**)

Table 2. Summary of adverse events (AEs) in patients with 3 years total tralokinumab treatment

	AEs in ECZTEND				AEs up to Week 16 in parent trials						
	ECZTEND interim efficacy analysis set ^a				initial tralokinumab treatment ^b						
	Tralokinumab Q2W + optional TCS (n=347; PYE=707.7)			Tralokinumab Q2W ± TCS (<i>n</i> =1605; PYE=473.2)			Placebo Q2W ± TCS (n=680; PYE=193.1)				
	n (%)	Rate ^c [(nP/PYE)*10 0]	Е	Rate ^d [(nE/PYE)*10 0]	n (adj. %) ^d	E	Adj. Rate ^{d,e} [(nE/PYE)*10 0]	n (adj. %) ^d	E	Adj. Rate ^{d,e} [(nE/PYE)*10 0]	
	295 (85.0)	144.2	1422	200.9	1080 (65.7)	3148	639.5	449 (67.2)	1276	678.3	
d to g ^f	102 (29.4)	18.3	251	35.5	463 (28.0)	1025	207.6	176 (26.8)	365	195.6	
	247 (71.2)	80.5	917	129.6	881 (53.2)	2127	429.8	326 (49.0)	738	391.0	
ate	180 (51.9)	40.6	450	63.6	518 (31.5)	917	189.5	258 (39.0)	478	254.3	
	31 (8.9)	4.6	55	7.8	77 (4.6)	104	20.2	40 (6.3)	60	33.0	
s	31 (8.9)	4.6	34	4.8	37 (2.1)	38	7.4	18 (2.8)	22	11.9	
o al from	9 (2.6)	1.3	9	1.3	34 (2.0)	42	8.6	12 (1.8)	15	8.0	

^aIncludes 347 patients from the PTs ECZTRA 1 and 2 who had consistently received tralokinumab for a total of 3 years at data cutoff, April 30, 2021 ^bIncludes patients from a pooled safety analysis set from parent trials ECZTRA 1, 2, 3, 5, and Phase 2b, previously presented at RAD Virtual 20201; AEs related to study drug and AEs leading to withdrawal during initial treatment sourced from data on file; TCS rules varied across parent trials with mandatory TCS in ECZTRA 3, and TCS use not part of treatment regimen in ECZTRA 1, ECZTRA 2, and ECZTRA 5. ^cRate calculated by number of patients divided by PYE until first event, multiplied by 100.

^dRate calculated by number of events divided by PYE, multiplied by 100.

^eFor PTs, Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel weights were applied to calculate adjusted AE incidences and rates to account for different randomization ratios

^fAEs related to study drug comprise AEs considered possibly or probably related by the investigator and AEs with missing causality.

• The analysis presented here represents a subgroup of patients who were eligible, chose to enter from two of the PTs and were treated for two years in ECZTEND by the time of data cutoff, and as such, the data may not be generalizable to the full tralokinumab population (see **Figure 1B**). Analyses on the full two-year cohort were previously presented⁴)

Conclusions

• Clinically meaningful improvements were observed in AD signs and symptoms in patients with moderate-to-severe AD treated with tralokinumab for 3 years

 Nine out of ten patients achieved at least one of the clinically relevant outcomes of EASI ≤ 7, itch NRS ≤ 4, or DLQI ≤ 5, representing mild/no AD; approximately 60% achieved clinically meaningful outcomes by all three measures

• The response rates were maintained over time with patients having EASI ≤ 7 for more than 80% of visits during the 3 years of treatment

• These data, in combination with the favorable safety profile, suggest tralokinumab as an efficacious and well-tolerated treatment option for long-term disease control in patients with moderate-to-



Scan to download a copy of this poster

Copies of this poster and its content, obtained through this QR code, are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without written permission from the authors