

Is a new EU wine policy coming? The unexpected role of regulatory measures

Eugenio Pomarici¹, Roberta Sardone²

¹ Università degli Studi di Padova, Dipartimento Territorio e Sistemi Agro-Forestali (TESAF) Via dell'Università 16 - 35020 Legnaro (PD), Italy, E-mail: eugenio.pomarici@unipd.it

² CREA - Centro Politiche e Bioeconomia, Via Barberini, 36 - 00187 Roma, Italy, E-mail: roberta.sardone@crea.gov.it

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Eugenio Pomarici, Università degli Studi di Padova, Dipartimento Territorio e Sistemi Agro-Forestali (TESAF), Via dell'Università 16 - 35020 Legnaro (PD), Italy, E-mail: eugenio.pomarici@unipd.it

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record.

Please cite this article as:

Pomarici E., Sardone R. (2022), Is a new EU wine policy coming? The unexpected role of regulatory measures, **Wine Economics and Policy**, *Just Accepted*.

DOI: 10.36253/wep-13189

38 **Abstract**

39

40 On January 1, 2023, a “reformed” Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) will come into force, which
41 is innovative by nature in structural terms, and focused on environmental and social sustainability
42 issues, aimed at a comprehensive digitization-based modernization of the agri-food sector. The new
43 CAP keeps the current structure based on *expenditure* and regulatory *measures*, but includes a new
44 planning tool, the national CAP Strategic Plan, a new CAP management model, and the new delivery
45 model (NDM). Concerning EU wine policy, the new regulations foresee a number of specific
46 amendments to existing rules, including changes that will apply to financial support for the wine
47 sector with a reduced budget and to the regulatory measures. Among the latter, the most globally
48 impacting are labelling rules, which require more information to consumers and allow the use of an
49 e-label, the use of hybrid grape varieties for the production of appellation wines, and the inclusion
50 among CAP regulated products of partially or totally de-alcoholised wines.

51

52 **Key words:** Common agricultural policy, de-alcoholised wine, hybrid grape varieties, labelling

53

54 **Introduction**

55 On January 1, 2023, a new system of regulations will come into force that defines the next EU
56 common agricultural policy (CAP), ending a long process that started in June 2018, when the
57 European Commission, led by Jean-Claude Juncker, presented a proposal that profoundly reshaped
58 the CAP with the aim of defining a new governance model for post-2020 European agriculture.
59 However, the approval process was slowed down by the issues of Brexit dependant budget cuts, the
60 reshaping of rules for the management of the EU Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), the
61 settlement of a new EU Commission led by Ursula von del Leyen, which launched the *European*
62 *Green Deal Strategy* and the consequent *From Farm to Fork* agricultural Strategy, and finally, by the
63 COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, the reform proposal adapted to the new context completed the
64 process of approval in December 2022, with the official publication of the new CAP regulations.

65 The “reformed” CAP has an innovative nature in structural terms, being much more focused on
66 environmental and social sustainability issues, as clearly expressed by the 3 general objectives¹, each
67 of them detailed in three specific objectives, and aimed at a comprehensive digitization-based
68 modernization of the agri-food sector (1).

¹ Reg. 2117/2021, art. 5: (a) to foster a smart, competitive, resilient and diversified agricultural sector ensuring long-term food security; (b) to support and strengthen environmental protection, including biodiversity, and climate action and to contribute to achieving the environmental and climate-related objectives of the Union, including its commitments under the Paris Agreement; (c) to strengthen the socioeconomic fabric of rural areas.

69 As the wine sector in the EU is highly supported and regulated by CAP (2, 3, 4), and the EU is a key
70 actor in the global wine market, a new CAP may have a quite significant relevant effect on such
71 markets in terms of competitive scenarios, product innovation and institutional settings. Therefore, it
72 is of some interest to look at the key aspects of CAP reform in general and in relation to wine, whose
73 policy to date has been characterized by many peculiarities, which will be mitigated in the next
74 programming period, thanks to the New Delivery Model. Despite this, European wine policy remains
75 structured on two main blocks of interventions: expenditure measures on the one hand, and regulatory
76 measures on the other. For this reason, it is worth to reflect on what news and what effects can be
77 expected following its entry into force, starting from January 2023.

78

79 **1 The main changes**

80 In terms of general architecture, the new CAP maintains the current structure, which combines two
81 components of equivalent importance, *expenditure* and *regulatory measures*². On the one hand, the
82 expenditure measures consist of *direct payments* to farmers to ensure income stability and to
83 remunerate them for public goods not normally paid for by the market, *sectoral interventions* to
84 stabilise and/or improve the functioning of the concerned markets, and *rural development policy*,
85 which provides for the structural strengthening of the agricultural sector and rural areas. On the other
86 hand, the regulatory measures, defined by a very large number of different provisions, include
87 provisions concerning many different areas of interest for agriculture and agri-food products.

88 However, beyond this element of continuity, the main novelty of the CAP 2023-2027 is a new tool,
89 the Strategic Plan, that each Member States can draw upon for the joint programming of all
90 expenditure measures to achieve the CAP objectives, also setting quantitative targets and milestones
91 consistent with the achievement of the “more ambitious” environmental and social targets (5). This
92 novelty, which actually empowers EU Member States in the shaping of the CAP intervention, is part
93 of the intended innovation of the CAP management, defined as a new delivery model (NDM) aimed
94 “to shift the policy focus from compliance to performance, and rebalance responsibilities between
95 the EU and the MS level with more subsidiarity [...] improving policy coherence across the future
96 CAP and with other EU objectives”³.

97 The rules concerning the CAP Strategic Plan and therefore the management of all expenditure
98 measures are laid down by the new Regulation 2115/2021 (CAP Strategic Plan Regulation). The
99 regulatory measures in force with the reformed CAP are those included in Regulation 1308/2013,

² These measures define a complex framework which represents a characterising part of CAP; but nevertheless, the EU agricultural policy is frequently identified only with its expenditure measures.

³ Explanatory memorandum to reform proposals (6, p. 2).

100 modified by the new Regulation 2117/2021 (Amendment Regulation). Below, a brief but complete
101 description is presented of the numerous and diverse changes that have been approved for the wine
102 sector as a result of this long and complex reform process in the final stages, with the approval of the
103 national Strategic Plans scheduled for the end of 2022.

104

105 **2 Towards a new wine policy**

106 The CAP reform introduces changes in the wine sectoral intervention and regulatory measures, but
107 does not turn upside-down the “EU wine policy” structure, consistently with the Commission view
108 on the effectiveness of the current asset of such policy: “while the successive 2008 and 2013 reforms
109 of the wine policy have overall reached their objectives, resulting in economically vibrant wine sector,
110 new economic, environmental and climatic challenges have appeared. Therefore, the regulation
111 foresees a number of specific amendments to existing rules to cope with these challenges”⁴. AS a
112 matter of fact, the analysis of the performance of the EU wine sector in the recent past has shown that
113 there is no evidence of satisfactory progress towards high levels of environmental sustainability and
114 a satisfactory exploitation of the potential of vitivinicultural activities in the development of marginal
115 areas (1).

116

117 *2.1 The new financial support*

118 The set of spending measures destined to the wine sectors will rely on a reduced budget with respect
119 to the “old” CAP (approximately 1 billion €/year, - 3.9%), but with an enlargement of the range of
120 the intervention types, or measures, that Member States may make available for wine actors⁵.

121 In the new policy framework, wine growers and wine producers and marketers will be potential
122 beneficiaries of seven types of “structural” measures that aim to strengthen the competitiveness of
123 the wine sector in MSs, allowing the financial support of improvements at different levels of the
124 supply chain. One measure sustains wine growers for “restructuring and conversion of vineyards”
125 with the objective of improving sustainability by changing the vineyard management techniques,
126 replanting the vineyard in better sites or using varieties more suited to the eco-physiological condition
127 of the farm. Four different measures sustain material (physical assets) and immaterial (software,
128 design costs, licenses, patents) investment and promote innovation activities and best practices to
129 achieve better wine quality from the perspective of sensory properties and environmental and social
130 sustainability. A new measure is included in this group, specifically designed to finance investment

⁴ Explanatory memorandum to reform proposals (6, p. 14). Such arguments are consistent with the last evaluation of CAP measures applicable to the wine sector (7).

⁵ The complete description of the new CAP sectoral interventions for wine is included in article 58 of Regulation 2021/2115 (CAP Strategic Plan Regulation).

131 targeted to achieve specific improvements in terms of the carbon or water footprint. Two measures
132 are designed to improve the position in the market of EU wines, including within Third Countries,
133 which involves financing wine producer's true promotion activities, public relations, advertising,
134 wine exhibitions, while inside the EU are admitted only actions limited to information campaigns
135 about PDO and PGI, to comply with the opposition of the Directorate General for Health and
136 Consumer Protection of the European Commission (DG SANCO) policies that could result in an
137 increase in alcohol consumption in the EU.

138 Three measures offer a set of tools to assist enterprises in facing different economic risks: harvest
139 insurance, mutual funds and green harvesting. These were conceived as preventive instruments able
140 to encourage a responsible approach to crisis situations after the dismantling of the traditional market
141 protection measures (price support, distillations, and private storage, with most aid in force until
142 2008) and are confirmed in the new CAP.

143 Two new measures finance actions undertaken by interbranch organisations recognised by Member
144 States⁶ in the wine sector aimed at i) enhancing the reputation of Union vineyards by promoting wine
145 tourism in production regions, and ii) improving market knowledge.

146 Finally, a new measure finances the access of companies in the wine sector to advisory services,
147 particularly concerning the conditions of employment, employer obligations and occupational health
148 and safety, explicitly introducing the social dimension within sectoral wine interventions.

149 It is up to each Member State to decide which measures to make available for its own actors in the
150 wine production chain⁷ and how to distribute the wine national budget, with only an obligation to
151 allocate at least 5% of the budget for actions with a positive impact on the environment, climate
152 change or sectoral sustainability. Interestingly, a first analysis of the projects⁸ of the CAP Strategic
153 Plan delivered by Member States to the European Commission shows that the resources assigned are
154 almost totally directed to the "old" structural measures, almost replicating the previous allocation
155 patterns (1, 8). The exclusion of the new measures is probably partially related to the fact that these
156 were introduced only in the last version of the regulation, when the draft of the CAP Strategic Plans
157 was already in an advanced phase, and the stakeholders did not have enough time to evaluate their
158 real interest. To this must be added the fact that the measures directed to interbranch organisation are
159 not applicable in all EU wine producers' countries, as those existing are not always recognised under
160 the EU rules. The new measures could eventually be selected in the case of a future update of the

⁶ According to Regulation (EU) 1308/2013.

⁷ It is worth to remember that the wine sectoral interventions are addressed to different beneficiaries along the wine production chain, including nonagricultural actors.

⁸ The projects of CAP Strategic Plans are currently (October 2022) in a revision phase according to the comments that the Commission sent to Member States and will be fully operative before the end of the year.

161 CAP strategic plans. Finally, it should be underlined that some (old and new) sectoral measures are
162 addressed to objectives also pursued through the rural development policy. In these cases, the interest
163 in their implementation under the sectoral interventions could be greatly reduced, as confirmed, for
164 example, by the limited resources allocated by MSs in favour of the risk management measures,
165 which are usually supported within the rural development policy framework.

166 If the wine sector, as a whole, is going to be less funded by sectoral intervention, more financial
167 resources should reach winegrowers⁹ through the renewed mechanisms for calculating the CAP direct
168 payments. Winegrowers are only receiving direct payments from 2013, and in some of the wine EU-
169 producing countries, they received only a small amount of money¹⁰. Now, the new CAP should bring
170 good news for agricultural actors in the wine sector, as the reform has among its targets the
171 rebalancing of the distribution of such payments in all Member States. In the future, all winegrowers
172 should receive a payment proportional to the farm area similar to that received in other sectors, under
173 the condition that they comply with some basic requirements related to the adoption of sustainable
174 practices. Moreover, they could benefit, according to the national decision, from additional payments
175 in the case of the adoption of the new voluntary environmentally friendly practices laid down in each
176 CAP Strategic Plan (the so-called eco-schemes¹¹). Regardless, the actual increase in the resources
177 coming from the direct payment budget will likely be different in each Member State, as both the
178 increase in the basic payment assigned or the number of eco-schemes actually accessible for wine
179 growers will depend on the single Member State decisions.

180 As already mentioned, in the “new” CAP, as in the “old”, actors in the wine sector may also apply
181 for financial support from the rural development policy in competition with actors belonging to other
182 agricultural sectors, as no preassigned budget for grape or wine producers exists. Regardless, in the
183 new policy framework, rural development measures open to vitivinicultural actors should be planned
184 consistently with those of sectoral intervention inside the CAP Strategic Plan, with the aim of
185 facilitating the accomplishment of the CAP objectives and of those specifically defined for the wine
186 sector¹².

187
188
189

⁹ In the CAP, the definition of “winegrowers” refers only to producers which are also involved in the agricultural phase of grape productions.

¹⁰ The current value of the payment per hectare of vineyards is, in some Member States, different from other surfaces due to different rules in the Member States used in the implementation of the decoupling processes started with Agenda 2000 (9).

¹¹ Reg. (EU) 2117/2021, art. 31

¹² Reg. (EU) 2117/2021, art. 57.

190 2.2 *New rules*

191 Most of the amendments to the EU wine policy announced by the Explanatory Memorandum to deal
192 with the new economic, environmental and climatic challenges concern the rules for the marketing
193 of agricultural products and the functioning of the agricultural sector, which are laid down by the
194 Amendment Regulation¹³ and includes relevant novelties.

195 The Amendment Regulation allows the inclusion of varieties coming from a cross between *Vitis*
196 *vinifera* and other species of the genus *Vitis* in the production of wines with a protected designation
197 of origin (PDO). This rule change is rather radical, as genetic purity has been, over time, a distinctive
198 aspect of the regulation of European terroir-linked wines (2). In introducing this change, the EU
199 recognises that these new varieties may represent a gamechanger for the future of sustainable
200 winemaking [10]. Indeed, genetic research and nursery activities are delivering new interspecific
201 hybrids obtained by multiple ‘backcrosses’ between some of the widely planted *Vitis vinifera* grape
202 varieties (e.g., Merlot and Chardonnay) with non-*vinifera* grape varieties, obtaining new varieties
203 with a high percentage of the *Vitis vinifera* genome, thus aiming to preserve most of the sensorial
204 properties of the “noble parent” [11].

205 Such novel genotypes have an innate resistance against cryptogamic diseases, allowing a reduction
206 in the use of synthetic pesticides by more than 80% [12] - far greater than the 50% objective set by
207 the European Green Deal, allowing for approximately 60% savings/ha in the cost of treatments and
208 15% savings/ha in vineyard operating costs [13]. The first studies show a positive attitude of
209 consumers towards these new varieties, also known as PIWI¹⁴ (14), which therefore promotes all
210 three dimensions of sustainability. Their diffusion could be fostered by the subsidies for restructuring
211 and the conversion of vineyards under the sectoral intervention of the CAP described above.

212 Concerning new production options, the Amendment Regulation lays down the inclusion of the
213 products obtained by wine de-alcoholisation with an alcoholic degree lower than the minimum
214 indicated by the definition of wine¹⁵ among the products covered by the wine sector. Such products
215 can currently be produced and marketed only as beverages, but from January 2023, such products
216 will be labelled wine if they comply with the EU approved wine oenological practices¹⁶. The de-
217 alcoholisation can be total and partial, but only partial de-alcoholisation will be authorised for wines
218 with a protected geographical indication or protected designation of origin. For such wine, the

¹³ Regulation (EU) 2021/2117, art. 1, concerning changes to Regulation 1308/2013.

¹⁴ From German: Pilzwiderstandsfähige (disease resistant).

¹⁵ Regulation (EU) 1308/2013, Appendix I: At least 8.5% in the northern part of EU (Wine-growing zones A and B); at least 9% in the south (Wine-growing zone C).

¹⁶ In the EU, products covered under the wine sector can be produced only by means of the oenological practices and using the substances listed in the EU Regulations: Reg (EU) 1308/2013, Reg. 934/2019, Reg 203/2012..

219 possibility of de-alcoholising must be included in the product specification, which should contain a
220 description of the partially de-alcoholised wine and, where applicable, the specific oenological
221 practices to be used to make the partially de-alcoholised wine or wines, as well as the relevant
222 restrictions on making them.

223 The Amendment Regulation recognises that further research and experimentation is necessary to
224 improve the quality of the de-alcoholised wines, but the inclusion of such products in the wine sector
225 allows producers to obtain subsidies for investments and R&D activities using sectoral intervention
226 or rural development measures, again showing the high level of interconnection among different
227 instruments (expenditures and regulatory measures) within the CAP for the wine sector. Regardless,
228 it will likely be necessary to define new specific rules for the production of such products as the
229 subtraction of alcohol, especially if the final result is zero or very low alcohol, requires specific
230 technological interventions to rebuild the sensory equilibrium, which are currently not included in
231 the list of EU oenological practices.

232 Interestingly enough, inclusion in the list of EU vitivinicultural products of de-alcoholised and
233 partially de-alcoholised wines was not considered in the first draft of the Amendment Regulation, as
234 a result of the co-decision process after the renovation of the EU Commission and Parliament in 2019.
235 Such novelty also represents a break from the traditional European wine regulation, as the alcohol
236 content was considered an essential part of the identity of wine in the European tradition. Not by
237 chance, within the OIV for years EU Countries, although with different nuances, have been against
238 the inclusion of such product in the wine categories of the OIV International Code of Oenological
239 Practices, pressing for their inclusion in the category of “Products derived from grapes, grape must
240 or wine”. Likely, most European wine stakeholders are now confident that the market opportunities
241 of such products are more important of the tradition¹⁷. The products of wine de-alcoholisation are not
242 new in the market, but only recently have experienced a relevant growth. In particular, they have
243 grown from US \$7.8 billion in 2018 to \$10 billion in 2022 in ten different key markets. Moreover,
244 IWSR forecasts that no- and low-alcohol product volumes will grow by +8% yearly between 2021
245 and 2025.

246 Further amendments of the EU wine regulatory framework concern rules about labelling, new
247 planting of vineyards and interbranch organisations related to PDO wines.

¹⁷ The preliminary statements of the Amendment Regulation (whereas 40) explain the choice concerning de-alcoholised wines only referring to market opportunities. But it can be assumed that such choice was supported also by the awareness that these products comply with the recommendations recently expressed by WHO in the framework of the *Global Action Plan on Harmful Consumption of Alcohol* (https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/EB150/B150_7Add1-en.pdf).

248 To provide a higher level of information to consumers, complying with the EU general regulation of
249 labelling of food products, the wine label will include a nutrition declaration and a list of ingredients.
250 Producers will have the option of limiting the contents of the nutrition declaration on the package or
251 on a label to only the energy value, making the full nutrition declaration and the list of ingredients
252 available on electronic support¹⁸. The Commission is delegated to lay down rules for the indication
253 and designation of ingredients, with new rules coming into force after December 2023. The task of
254 the Commission is not trivial, as the listing of what is an ingredient, beyond grape and must, is not
255 straightforward. In principle, all the oenological substances listed in regulation 934/2019 as additives
256 should be labelled¹⁹, but professional organisations are lobbying to limit the obligation of labelling
257 to those that are not already present in the grape.

258 Moving on to the scheme of authorisations for vine plantings, in force since 1 January 2016, it is
259 extended from 2030 to 2045, with two mid-term reviews in 2028 and 2040 to evaluate the operation
260 of the scheme and, if appropriate, apply changes. It is therefore significantly extended, with minor
261 revisions, the current regime that allows Member States to make available each year authorisations
262 for new plantings corresponding to 1% of the total area actually planted with vines in their territory²⁰.
263 This choice also confirms the impossibility of reallocating the area corresponding to the grubbed-up
264 vineyards in farms that give up viticulture to other farms. The outcome of the CAP reform results in
265 a substantial confirmation of the recently reformed scheme, which is effective in preventing structural
266 surpluses of supply, but that in progress could determine a depletion of the production potential and
267 hinder the structural strengthening of active and competitive farms in well developed areas, as well
268 the improvement of the socioeconomic fabric of marginal areas developing vitivinicultural activity,
269 which represents one of the few productive options for farmers.

270 The CAP reform introduces new rules that can empower interbranch organisation related to PDO and
271 PGI wines²¹ in managing the position of the wines of interest in the market and deal with the
272 distribution of added value along the supply chain. According to the new rules, interbranch
273 organisation of producers of PDO and PGI wines will be allowed to request of Member States to lay
274 down, for a limited period of time, binding rules for the regulation of the supply of their wines of
275 interest. Moreover, such interbranch organisations may provide non-mandatory price guidance

¹⁸ Anticipating the coming in force of the Amendment Regulation, some actors of the European wine industry developed the already operating *U Label platform* (<https://www.u-label.com/>) which can support wineries in implementing the e-labelling for wine products.

¹⁹ The substances listed in the Regulation 934/2019 are classified in additives and processing aids; the processing aids, which are the most numerous oenological substances and that could be present in the wine only as residues, must not be labelled.

²⁰ As measured on 31 July of the previous year.

²¹ Recognised by Member States according to Regulation (EU) 1308/2013.

276 indicators concerning the sale of grapes for the production of wines of interest, provided that such
277 guidance does not eliminate competition with respect to a substantial proportion of the products in
278 question. In any case, the intention of the EU to empower interbranch organisations is also revealed
279 by the new measures introduced in the sectoral intervention reserved to these bodies. However, the
280 extension of the powers of interbranch organisations may raise questions about conformity with the
281 principles of competition law but up to now no concern has been expressed by stakeholders.

282

283 **3. Potential impacts**

284 In summary, the CAP reform is leading to interesting changes in the wine policy, which has potential
285 impacts in the wine market at the European and global levels.

286 The amount of financial resources to be transferred to wine actors is not expected to change much,
287 but complex rule changes should determine other substantial evolutions, such as the improvement of
288 the sustainability level that could make EU wine supply more consistent with the market demand and
289 comparable to non-EU competitors. Therefore, the balance of power on the market should not be
290 affected by the new CAP. Moreover, the scheme of authorisations for vine plantings represents a
291 remarkable contribution of the EU to the global market equilibrium, which, however, could hamper
292 the reaction of EU wine producers in the case of a wine demand rise.

293 More significant impacts of the CAP reforms will be on the labelling practices and innovation
294 options.

295 Concerning labelling, the new EU rules are going to define a new global standard for trading. Third
296 Country producers will be required to comply with such rules, and consumers of EU wines in Third
297 Countries will become accustomed to the new labelling rules. These could also be a driver for a new
298 OIV wine labelling standard²². However, beyond the aspects related to the technicalities of labelling,
299 the new regulation could have interesting indirect effects in terms of changes in oenological practices.
300 Such changes could result in a demand for new equipment or new services. In fact, most additives
301 (i.e., the substances subject to labelling), which do not correspond to substances already present in
302 the grape, can be substituted with physical treatments²³. Therefore, wineries could be induced to
303 change their processes to limit, as much as possible, the list of labelled items. As such, physical
304 treatments require specific equipment that could be hard to pay back in small or medium wineries,

²² The EU decision to allow the electronic labelling of mandatory information is likely something without precedents; this is very relevant because the question of electronic labelling is being debated in Codex Alimentarius at the present time.

²³ As suggested by prof. Moio in his contribution “Vers une logique de l’etiquetage du vin” presented at the Conference at the Bordeaux University, June 21, 2018.

305 and a new demand for external services could emerge, which will proceed in parallel to the demand
306 for the supply of services for managing the e-label that will be linked with the physical label.
307 Moreover, the disclosure of a limited category of oenological substances could bring the attention of
308 media and of consumers to the whole of complex oenological substances and practices that are
309 allowed in the EU and are of common use elsewhere. The awareness of most consumers about how
310 the wine is produced is currently quite scarce, so the additional compulsory information could result
311 in an increasing demand for full disclosure on how wines are made, going beyond what is requested
312 by the new rules, resulting in pressure for the exclusion of practices and processing aids that could be
313 badly perceived by consumers. The final results could be positive, including a general orientation
314 towards a “light” or “precision” oenology, which rely on high-quality grapes and minimal
315 intervention in the winery. On the other hand, the higher transparency of the complex oenological
316 practices and substances commonly used in wine making should be accompanied by an appropriate
317 communication effort to prevent dangerous and unjustified trust issues.

318 Concerning the new rules about the partially or totally de-alcoholised wine and the use of hybrids in
319 the production of PDO wines, these will have practical impacts that are currently difficult to foresee.
320 The EU is opening to de-alcoholised products presented as “wine”, along with the contemporary
321 positive forecast of market analysis agencies, are now arousing the interest of many EU companies.
322 The resources of the sectoral intervention could ease access to indispensable investments, at least for
323 the larger of them. The actual market growth will depend, first on how much the interested companies
324 will be successful in delivering quality consistent wines, and second, on successfully managing
325 production costs that are rather high (15, 16). Moreover, the possible societal concern for the adverse
326 social (overcompensation alcohol assumption - 17) and environmental (energy use -18) implications
327 of these products may also play a role. In the case of wide consumer acceptance, it is possible to
328 foresee that new service providers will emerge, organised to manage in specialised plants, compliant
329 with the strict fiscal regulation of alcohol production and conservation, the dealcoholisation process
330 and complementary operations.

331 Concerning the new hybrid resistant varieties, the actual speed and dimension of their diffusion will
332 depend on the solution to many issues. Permission to include such varieties is increasing the interest
333 of producers and policy-makers, but new fungus-resistant grapevine varieties still represent an
334 immature technology whose adoption requires investments with a long payback (19). In fact, the
335 stability of resistance/tolerance to the targeted pathogens is unknown, and a strong research effort is
336 even now devoted to obtaining new fungus-resistant grapevine varieties with multiple genes for
337 resistance (11). Moreover, the implications of the use of such new varieties regarding other pathogens
338 are not clear. Last but not least, the choice of available new varieties is still restricted with respect to

339 the huge differences in wine styles, soil and climate conditions of viticulture, and a large uncertainty
340 persists concerning the optimal viticulture and oenological practices to adopt.
341 The EU wine sector is moving in the coming years towards a normative framework with many
342 differences with respect to the past. With regard to the new CAP organisation, only when the CAP
343 Strategic Plans, in particular, and the new delivery model, in general, will be in force will it be
344 possible to understand if this new organisation will be more effective in sustaining the wine sector,
345 also reducing the red tape burden frequently criticised by practitioners and scholars (2, 3). With regard
346 to the wine policy, EU wine producers will likely be better supported in achieving more ambitious
347 environmental targets and will be inspired to evaluate new options in terms of product and processes
348 and to deal with public opinion pressures that could result from the new labelling rules. The labelling
349 rules, at least in the short run, could result in an additional non-tariff barrier to trade (20, 21).
350 Regardless, a relevant consequence of the CAP reform is a significant change of some identity
351 elements of the “European wine charter”: the minimum alcohol degree is no longer a constitutive
352 element of the definition of wine, the wine is no longer the result of a magic (black) box fed only
353 with grape, and the (high) quality of the EU wine is no longer exclusively linked to the *Vitis vinifera*.
354 Dramatic changes, indeed, that could have unforeseen consequences on the global wine market.

355

356 References

357

- 358 1 Pomarici, E., Sardone, R. (2020) EU wine policy in the framework of the CAP: post-2020
359 challenges, *Agricultural and Food Economics*, 8(1), 17
- 360 2 Meloni, G., Swinnen, J. (2013) The political economy of European wine regulations. *Journal of*
361 *Wine Economics* 8(3):244–284
- 362 3 Gaeta, D., Corsinovi, P. (2014) *Economics, governance, and politics in the wine market: European*
363 *union developments*. Palgrave Macmillan, London and New York
- 364 4 Anderson, K., Jensen, H.G. (2016) How much government assistance do European wine producers
365 receive? *Journal of Wine Economics* 11(2):289–305
- 366 5 De Castro, P., Miglietta, P. P., & Vecchio, Y. (2021). The Common Agricultural Policy 2021-2027:
367 a new history for European agriculture. *Italian Review of Agricultural Economics*, 75(3), 5-12.
- 368 6 European Commission (2018) Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the
369 Council establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the
370 Common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural
371 Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD)
372 and repealing Regulation (EU) No 1305/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council and

373 Regulation (EU) No 1307/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council, COM(2018) 392
374 final, Brussels, 1.6.2018 [https://eur-lex.europa.eu/resource.html?uri=cellar:aa85fa9a-65a0-11e8-](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/resource.html?uri=cellar:aa85fa9a-65a0-11e8-ab9c-01aa75ed71a1.0003.02/DOC_1&format=PDF)
375 [ab9c-01aa75ed71a1.0003.02/DOC_1&format=PDF](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/resource.html?uri=cellar:aa85fa9a-65a0-11e8-ab9c-01aa75ed71a1.0003.02/DOC_1&format=PDF)

376 7 Agrosynergie GEIE (2018) Evaluation of the CAP measures applicable to wine. European Union,
377 Luxemburg [https://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/evaluation/market-and-income-reports/cap-measures-](https://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/evaluation/market-and-income-reports/cap-measures-wine-sector_en)
378 [wine-sector_en](https://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/evaluation/market-and-income-reports/cap-measures-wine-sector_en).

379 8 Pomarici, E., Di Chiara, V. (2022) Scelte conservative nei Piani strategici della Pac, *Vite&Vino*, n.
380 3.

381 9 Henke, R., Pupo D'Andrea, M.R., Benos, T., Castellotti, T., Pierangeli, F., Romeo Lironcurti, S.,
382 De Filippis, F., Giua, M., Rosatelli, L., Resl, T., Heinschink, K. (2015) *Implementation of the First*
383 *Pillar of the CAP 2014-2020 in the EU Member States*, Study for the European Parliament,
384 Directorate General for Internal Policies, IP/B/AGRI/IC/2014_45. Volume PDF 978-92-823-7646-1
385 Carta 978-92-823-7645-4 Annex PDF 978-92-823-7961-5 Carta 978-92-823-7962-2

386 10 Pomarici, E., Vecchio, R. (2019) Will sustainability shape the future wine market? *Wine*
387 *Economics and Policy*, 8(1): 1–4.

388 11 Montaigne, E., Coelho A., Khefifi, L. (2016) Economic issues and perspectives on innovation in
389 new resistant grapevine varieties in France. *Wine Economics and Policy*, 5(2): 73–77.

390 12 Casanova-Gasco'n, J., Ferrer-Marti'n, C., Bernad-Eustaquio, A., Elbaile-Mur, A., Ayuso-
391 Rodri'guez, J.M., Torres-Sa'nchez, S., et al. (2019) Behavior of vine varieties resistant to fungal
392 diseases in the Somontano region. *Agronomy*, 9(11): 738.

393 13 Salmon, J.M., Ojeda, H., Hubert, A., Giraud Héraud, E., Fuentes Espinoza, A., De Herralde, F., et
394 al. (2018) Livre blanc sur les varié'tés ré'sistantes: e' tat des lieux en France, Espagne et Portugal,
395 Projet SudOe Vinover, Editor Vinover, Available from:
396 https://vinovert.eu/images/publications/L111_LIVRE_BLANC_3lgues.pdf.

397 14 Vecchio, R., Pomarici, E., Giampietri, E., Borrello, M. (2022) Consumer acceptance of fungus-
398 resistant grape wines: Evidence from Italy, the UK, and the USA. *PLoS ONE*, 17(4 April)

399 15 Stasi, A., Bimbo, F., Viscecchia, R., Seccia, A. (2014) Italian consumers' preferences regarding
400 dealcoholized wine, information and price, *Wine Economics and Policy*, 3(1), pp. 54–61

401 16 Meillon, S., Dugas, V., Urbano, C. and Schlich, P. (2010) Preference and acceptability of partially
402 dealcoholized white and red by consumers and professionals, *American Journal of Enology and*
403 *Viticulture*, Vol. 61 No. 1, pp. 42-52

404 17 Masson, J., Aurier, P. (2017) Modifying wine alcohol content: sensory and non-sensory impacts
405 on quantities consumed. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, 32(1-2), 102-
406 117

- 407 18 Margallo, M., Aldaco, R., Barceló, A., Diban, N., Ortiz, I., & Irabien, A. (2015) Life cycle
408 assessment of technologies for partial dealcoholisation of wines. *Sustainable Production and*
409 *Consumption*, 2, 29-39
- 410 19 Pertot, I., Caffi, T., Rossi, V., Mugnai, L., Hoffmann, C., et al. (2017) A critical review of plant
411 protection tools for reducing pesticide use on grapevine and new perspectives for the implementation
412 of IPM in viticulture. *Crop Protection*, Elsevier, 2017, 97, pp.70-84. 10.1016/j.cropro.2016.11.025.
413 hal- 02628891
- 414 20 Dal Bianco, A., Boatto, V., Caracciolo, F., Santeramo, F. G. (2016) Tariffs and non-tariff frictions
415 in the world wine trade, *European Review of Agricultural Economics*, Volume 43, Issue 1, February
416 2016, Pages 31–57, <https://doi.org/10.1093/erae/jbv008>
- 417 21 Mariani, A., Pomarici, E. (2019) Barriers to Wine trade, *The Palgrave Handbook of Wine Industry*
418 *Economics*, pp. 291–315

Accepted Manuscript